



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



3 1761 00015717 2

THIRD STREET,
BOSTON.



WILLIAM H. FOWLE.

6000

George Frederick Fowle,
a remembrance
from his godfather
John Shring Esq:
of Alford House
Somerset.


George Frederick. Poole
to the
from the
of the
house.



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2008 with funding from
Microsoft Corporation

1874
The following is a list of the
names of the persons who
were present at the
meeting of the
Board of Directors
of the
Company held on
the 1st day of
January 1874.





WILLIAM PALEY, D. D .

Engraved by Engleheart from a Painting by Romney.

Published January. 1825. by Baldwin, Cradock & Joy. & others. London.

THE
WORKS
OF
WILLIAM PALEY, D.D.
WITH
ADDITIONAL SERMONS,
ETC. ETC.
AND A CORRECTED ACCOUNT OF THE
LIFE AND WRITINGS OF THE AUTHOR,
BY THE REV. EDMUND PALEY, A. M.
VICAR OF EASINGWOLD.
WITH A PORTRAIT.

A NEW EDITION,


IN SIX VOLUMES.

VOL. I.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR C. J. G. AND F. RIVINGTON; J. NUNN; LONGMAN, REES,
ORME, BROWN, AND GREEN; T. CADELL; J. RICHARDSON; BALDWIN
AND CRADOCK; HATCHARD AND SON; R. SCHOLEY; WHITTAKER
AND CO.; HAMILTON, ADAMS, AND CO.; SIMPKIN AND MARSHALL;
SMITH, ELDER, AND CO.; E. HODGSON; AND J. COLLINGWOOD: ALSO
FOR J. PARKER, OXFORD; J. AND J. J. DEIGHTON, CAMBRIDGE; AND
G. AND J. ROBINSON, LIVERPOOL.

1830



BR
75
P3
1830
V.1

LONDON:

PRINTED BY THOMAS DAVISON, WHITEFRIARS.

P R E F A C E.

It may be deemed, if not the duty, yet often the interest, of an editor or a biographer to keep himself out of sight; but I may seem so obviously chargeable with an affectation of delicacy and scrupulosity if I pretend to only a silent concern in this edition, that it can scarcely be expected I should not avail myself of a few words to explain the design with which it is sent out of my hands.

In the space of nearly twenty years, it may be presumed all has been stated, which has any chance of coming before the public from any other source, respecting the Life and Writings of Dr. Paley; and that his works have undergone all the criticism of his contemporaries, as well as change of form and arrangement, which may have been thought requisite. Yet there is (necessarily perhaps from the way in which many of these editions have been compiled) enough of irrelevancy in the matter, and defect in the arrangement of these works, to dissatisfy any one who takes an

interest in the name and memory of the author. How far indeed a son may suppose that his father cannot be recognised in what has already been made public in so many shapes, merely because he finds it at variance with his own feelings, will not be left to my decision; but if it be not a sort of filial presumption to feel a pride, as well as a property in a father's name, I would fain think myself called upon to set his name and memory right with the public, or at least give a correct, and if possible, an improved edition of his works. As they at present stand, they are but poorly trimmed for posterity.

My duty indeed as a biographer and editor will be more pleasantly discharged, if I disclaim any great pretension to either of these titles, and only acknowledge that I am greatly indebted to the advice of those, without whose suggestion I might not have attempted, and without whose assistance I could scarcely have ventured, to bring forward this present edition.

As to the sketch of the life prefixed to this edition, except a few home-touches of character, it may more properly deserve the name of a critique, or correction of what has already been before the public under many names. The lives which have already appeared are,

1. An article in the Public Characters, for 1802.
2. Biographical Dictionary, by Aikin, 1808.
- 3.

Meadley, first edition, 1809; second edition enlarged, 1810. 4. Biographical Dictionary, by Chalmers, 1814. 5. An edition of Paley's Works, with a Life by Chalmers. 6. A small 18mo edition, with a short sketch of the author's Life. 7. The Works of W. Paley, D.D., with an extract from his correspondence, and a Life of the author, by the Rev. Robert Lynam, A.M. Assistant Chaplain to the Magdalen Hospital, 1823. This edition, it may be observed, is accompanied by the following advertisement: "All possible care has been taken to render this edition superior in every respect to preceding editions." It is only necessary for me to say, that hitherto none of Dr. Paley's family have had any connexion with any of the foregoing Lives or Works; nor have they, except in one instance, thought it their concern to interfere in any way with those booksellers who have had the right of managing them. Besides these, there have been many occasional notices scattered through different periodical publications, some of which may have originated with his friends. To the Memoirs of Dr. Paley, by G. Wilson Meadley, I am induced to give a decided preference, both on account of their general accuracy, and the diligent research made by that writer in collecting materials for a "Life" with which he was almost entirely unacquainted. I can scarcely indeed claim attention to any

thing of my own, except it be to the correcting of some, and confirming, or at most adding to, other parts of what he has written. To a late edition of Paley's works there is a life prefixed by Alexander Chalmers, probably enlarged from one in a Biographical Dictionary by the same author in 1814; but both evidently, though not avowedly, gleaned from Meadley's Memoirs. I must, however, acknowledge, that I have gleaned, as from many other quarters, so from this; but only so far as I could draw myself away from a little acrimony, which, however it may suit his purpose, will interest his readers less, since it is particularly directed to that very writer from whom he has borrowed so largely. However, from Meadley's Dedication "to the friends of civil and religious liberty," and also from "that reserve of high rank in one, or breaking down of another," which has been hinted at in the Quarterly Review of Meadley's Memoirs, I would withdraw with the same kind of feeling that would lead me to keep out of a scrape: as I cannot be ignorant of what is meant by such insinuations, so I am desirous of considering them groundless and idle. My object will be found to be of a higher kind. What is presented new may not be more free from irrelevancies, for into such the subject is not unlikely to run under my hand. It is derived from family recollections and

the domestic life of an affectionate father; and though it may be no other than the private history of any family may afford, it forms, at least to his friends, not only the most gratifying, but the most interesting part of his character. As far as I have been able, from some hints discovered in his own hand writing, I have preferred that he should speak for himself. I feel conscious, however, that little of this sort worthy of distinction is within my reach; because from the period of life at which most of his family were left at his death, and from his own habits in private, which were formed rather to contemplation than communication, but least of all to any display of himself—none knew less of the general incidents of his public life than his own family. It may, therefore, be worth while to pay a particular attention to his *mind*, so far, that is, as it may consist with a biographical sketch. At the same time that no man's *life* less abounded in important incident, or rather in public interest, no man's *mind* seems better worth following from the rise to the maturity of many of his opinions and principles. This also may account for so much of his private life and habits being brought forward in the following pages; as exclusive of the consideration that every man has his own concern in the public as well as private events of his life, so far as these may make an impression on his character—it

seemed almost the bent of his inclination to try his most private and insignificant actions by the test of his public principles.

As to that part of his works now first edited, it is brought forward rather as a substitute for some matter which was in no sense original, than as making any fresh claim upon public attention, or perhaps materially affecting the credit of the author. As it has been no part of my design, so it may almost be conceived that it is no part of my desire, to indulge either less or more than that degree of jealousy which will be easily allowed to such an undertaking. While I avow myself suspicious of what may have been advanced by others under the name of Paley's Works, it is but fair that I should be fearful of detracting from the merit of the author by the insertion of any new matter unworthy of him.

If any thing more be necessary from the editor to the reader, it may be some little explanation with respect to the Sermons, both those that have been before published, and those that have been hitherto unpublished. As to the first, on the one side, in a codicil to the author's will there is an express injunction, "that the said Sermons shall not be printed for sale." On the other side, there was an assurance that they certainly would be, and even were, so printed for publication surreptitiously. Dr. Paley's executors had to

steer betwixt the difficulty of doing injustice to his memory by submitting to the sale of such a publication, and that of bringing upon themselves a charge of having sacrificed his injunction to pecuniary considerations. What ought to have been done, it is now in vain to speak of. For what was done, the reasons are these. It was obvious, that the injunction might be fairly construed rather as precluding those who might be interested, from any spoliation upon his name, should this volume fall into improper hands, or rather perhaps as *enhancing* the gift, than from a fear that any thing unworthy of his name should appear. This latter supposition, indeed, as it would at once lessen the value of the gift, so it will be more than contradicted by the fact, that many of the Sermons so left for publication were more early and more incomplete, and more unconnected productions of his pen, than many which were still in manuscript; and that he was not in the habit of revising what he had written of this sort with any suspicion of mere verbal inaccuracies, or the construction of his sentences. The money arising from the sale, therefore, by being disposed of in some public charity, was considered as placed out of the reach of any private advantage; and after making some small deduction towards building one national school house at Bishop Wearmouth, and another at Giggleswick, a

place intimately connected with the author, the remainder was paid into the fund of the general Society for the Sons of the Clergy. So much for the publishing of these "said Sermons," which were only a small part of those left for publication, and a still smaller part of the whole collection, to which no such injunction applies. From these last, most of those now first published have been selected; and if one or two of the former have been added, it has been for the sake of preserving a connexion with the former publication, evidently intended by the author himself, or of giving some strong and peculiar characteristic of his mind and sentiments.

As to the Sermons now brought forward, it may be acknowledged that much will be found in some of them, which will be recognised by any reader of only slight information; but it may be said also, that it seems worth while to see not only how old topics may be made interesting under a new dress, but how this author's manner of reading and reasoning led him to draw out the pith of even the closest writer. It may be well also to observe how he treats of even the most ordinary subject, and how much he disdained on more serious subjects to seek only those, on which he might indulge his natural fondness for originality.

With regard to the general arrangement of the

volumes, it is yet incomplete, the second volume having been demanded and printed *as the second*, before the arrangement of this edition was thought of. As being the order which seems to have been contemplated by the author, and as being the obvious arrangement of his subject, the Natural Theology ought to have stood first—the Evidences next, to which, as being connected both in matter and time of publication, the *Horæ Paulinæ* and the minor Tracts might have succeeded. The Moral Philosophy and the Sermons obviously come last, as the result of the whole. This, however, concerns more the printer and publisher of the works than myself.

EDITOR.

March, 1825.

CONTENTS


PREFACE

EVIDENCES OF CHRISTIANITY

Part I. The Christian Religion. Part II. The Christian Church. Part III. The Christian Ministry. Part IV. The Christian Sacraments. Part V. The Christian Moral Law.

INDEX

THE INDEX IS ALPHABETICALLY ARRANGED IN THE MANNER OF A DICTIONARY, AND THE REFERENCES TO THE SEVERAL PARTS OF THE WORK ARE GIVEN IN THE MARGINS.



THESE NOTES ARE INTENDED TO ASSIST THE STUDENT IN HIS READING OF THE WORK, AND TO POINT OUT THE SEVERAL PLACES WHERE THE DIFFICULTIES OF THE ORIGINAL LANGUAGE ARE TO BE MET WITH. THEY ARE NOT INTENDED TO SUPPLY THE PLACE OF A COMMENTARY, NOR TO AFFORD A FULL EXPLANATION OF THE DOCTRINES AND REASONS OF THE WORK.

CONTENTS

OF

VOL. I.

Life	Page xvii
Appendix	cclxxxi

EVIDENCES OF CHRISTIANITY.

Preparatory Considerations.—Of the antecedent Credibility of Miracles	1
--	---

PART I.

OF THE DIRECT HISTORICAL EVIDENCE OF CHRISTIANITY,
AND WHEREIN IT IS DISTINGUISHED FROM THE EVIDENCE
ALLEGED FOR OTHER MIRACLES.

Proposition stated	10
------------------------------	----

PROPOSITION I.

That there is satisfactory Evidence, that many, professing to be original Witnesses of the Christian Miracles, passed their Lives in Labours, Dangers, and Sufferings, voluntarily undergone in Attestation of the Accounts which they delivered, and solely in Consequence of their Belief of those Accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same Motives, to new Rules of Conduct ib.

CHAPTER I.

Evidence of the Sufferings of the first Propagators of Christianity, from the Nature of the Case	Page 11
--	---------

CHAPTER II.

Evidence of the Sufferings of the first Propagators of Christianity, from profane Testimony	24
---	----

CHAPTER III.

Indirect Evidence of the Sufferings of the first Propagators of Christianity, from the Scriptures and other ancient Christian Writings	31
--	----

CHAPTER IV.

Direct Evidence of the same	37
---------------------------------------	----

CHAPTER V.

Observations upon the preceding Evidence	53
--	----

CHAPTER VI.

That the Story, for which the first Propagators of Christianity suffered, was miraculous	59
--	----

CHAPTER VII.

That it was, in the main, the Story which we have now, proved by indirect Considerations	64
--	----

CHAPTER VIII.

The same proved from the Authority of our historical Scriptures	80
---	----

CHAPTER IX.

Of the Authenticity of the historical Scriptures, in eleven Sections	Page 95
SECT. I. Quotations of the historical Scriptures by ancient Christian Writers	103
SECT. II. Of the peculiar Respect with which they were quoted	130
SECT. III. The Scriptures were in very early Times collected into a distinct Volume	134
SECT. IV. And distinguished by appropriate Names and Titles of Respect	138
SECT. V. Were publicly read and expounded in the religious Assemblies of the early Christians	141
SECT. VI. Commentaries, &c. were anciently written upon the Scriptures	144
SECT. VII. They were received by ancient Christians of different Sects and Persuasions	149
SECT. VIII. The four Gospels, the Acts of the Apostles, thirteen Epistles of Saint Paul, the first Epistle of John, and the first of Peter, were received without Doubt by those who doubted concerning the other Books of our present Canon	157
SECT. IX. Our present Gospels were considered by the Adversaries of Christianity as containing the Accounts upon which the Religion was founded	162
SECT. X. Formal Catalogues of Authentic Scriptures were published, in all which our present Gospels were included	168
SECT. XI. The above Propositions cannot be predicated of those Books which are commonly called Apocryphal Books of the New Testament	171

CHAPTER X.

Recapitulation Page 176

OF THE DIRECT HISTORICAL EVIDENCE OF CHRISTIANITY,
AND WHEREIN IT IS DISTINGUISHED FROM THE EVIDENCE
ALLEGED FOR OTHER MIRACLES.

PROPOSITION II.

CHAPTER I.

That there is NOT satisfactory Evidence, that Persons pretending
to be original Witnesses of any other similar Miracles, have
acted in the same Manner, in Attestation of the Accounts
which they delivered, and solely in Consequence of their
Belief of the Truth of those Accounts 182

CHAPTER II.

Consideration of some specific Instances 204

AN ACCOUNT
OF
THE LIFE AND WRITINGS
OF
W. PALEY, D.D.



LIFE OF DR. PALEY.

As it is one design of these memoirs to seek occasions where the subject of them may speak for himself, so it may be worth while to begin, where such biographical sketches usually do begin, with some short account of the family from which Dr. Paley used to boast his origin. No man was more ready on all occasions to value real merit of any kind, or in any condition; and though he never treated the pride of ancestry with contempt, he neither had, nor thought he could have, any pretensions to be jealous of his rank in society. It will be found in the following pages a striking part of his character, that he not only was perfectly clear from any uneasiness in this respect, or any consciousness of his own importance, that he never therefore was forward to assume importance beyond the most private character; but that he never refused to allow it to others who deserved it, whatever might be their station, nor ever indulged in any but the most cheerful satisfied view of all conditions. He praised what was good in every thing, he passed over good-humouredly what was weak, he was ever on the watch for the best and most cheering prospects, and equally ready to point out to others the advantages and peculiar felicities of their situation. It was with such views as this that he always expressed himself fully satisfied with his own lot, and showed himself satisfied by the great pleasure he took in reflecting upon his rise in life. It is gratifying to his family and intimate friends, to recollect the amusement he both felt and afforded in

giving what are called *family* anecdotes; and it is no less *useful*, as showing how far such a character may be modified, if not moulded, by the accidental circumstances of time, place, and early habits. He used to speak much of one of his great-uncles, who kept a hardware stall, on market days, at Settle, in Craven, from the vicinity of which place his family sprung; and who, on being directed by a witty neighbour to make a common sewing needle in value less than one farthing; not only did so with great diligence and simplicity, but gravely charged half-a-crown for a very bungling piece of workmanship. Another kinsman of his, who kept a little grocer's shop in the same town, and whom he took great delight in assisting to make, or perhaps to wrap up, tobacco, was held out to his own family as a model of perseverance and industry, because he separated two pounds of black and white pepper which had accidentally been mixed, and went thirty-six times (as he used to calculate) into his shop for a farthing.

On his finding it necessary to assume what he used to call his *dignity* in later life, and having occasion for his armorial bearings, he used to mention with great glee the circumstance of his arms being found on what might probably have passed for a piece of grandeur in his family in early times, on a tankard belonging to an elder branch, to which the family estate at Lancliffe at present belongs; but with a mischievous pertinacity in maintaining the low origin of his family, he used to take every opportunity of insisting that even this tankard was bought at a sale. "Thus," said he, when, subdean of Lincoln, he was in company where family and family arms were more than sufficiently attended to, "was I sporting away with the arms of the Lord knows who, and famous blazing arms they were." To which he added, "this I take to be the history of many coats of arms we see now-a-days." Being still in want of a crest, which this same family plate denied to him, he humorously proposed, or was highly pleased with the suggestion of a malt-shovel, from its suitability with

what he supposed the only trade of the family, as there still exists upon the premises belonging to the estate a large malt-kiln. This, however, was kept perhaps equally for the convenience of the neighbourhood, as it was usual on many larger estates to afford the tenants the use of a malt-kiln; and in justice to his ancestors, this might serve rather to aggrandize their state than make them into maltsters. There are a few local circumstances connected with the name, which might have given him an opportunity of speaking of its *antiquity* at least, without having recourse to the Heralds' Office, but he was better satisfied to take it as he found it. These recollections, however, afforded amusement for many an hour with his family. With a district so singular in the romantic wildness of its scenery, compared with much of the surrounding country, but more singular, at least in his early days, for the almost characteristic independence and simplicity of its inhabitants, his associations seemed entirely pleasurable; but it might be because he had often afterwards an opportunity of renewing his early impressions at a time when other scenes and objects had drawn his attention, and when he might be forcibly struck with the difference, rather than, as has been represented, "from his feeling himself most at home, because the unworn asperities of his nature, as they excited the least surprise, so gave the least offence*." The esteem with which he was always received, and the cordiality of his welcome, made him sensible that the worth and integrity of his friends in Craven were far too valuable to make him attend at all to any "reciprocal accommodations of mere manner, or any comfortable feeling of being set loose from the restrictions of polished society." He was little inclined either there or any where else to lay much stress on those little particularities of private life, which serve to annoy or to please inferior minds, but few were more attentive to time, place, and situation.

* Quarterly Review.

Inferences drawn from the recollection of early days are not much to be relied on for indicating any thing of character; except they lead to some prevailing tendency which unconsciously arises from early habits; and it is not inaptly observed* that the cast of his character might be derived from his connexion with the place almost of his nativity. As far as it was “locus Græcâ comitate et provinciali parsimoniâ mixtus, et bene compositus,” he certainly was much indebted to it. The originality also of his character, as well as his bold independence in thinking and acting, might be partly owing to the manners of the place. But for the line of life marked out for him, for much of his force and aptness of expression, for many of his private habits, which materially influenced his public character, for his dislike of any sacrifice of his time and occupations to the mere etiquette of life, for his economy on a plan, for his clever and often ridiculous calculation upon the wants and necessities of a family, for his observation upon the minutæ of life, for his almost parsimonious habits in what regarded himself, and for his liberal, and even profuse, way of dealing with the wants of others, we may find some account in what he used often to relate of his father and mother; though as few families are without their peculiar secrets, any meddling with what may be called the *individuality* of a family must be rather fearful. On the death of his mother he says, among other things, “she was the most careful and affectionate of parents,” and he who never said a word too much in his letters, though it cannot be expected that he should give this as the whole of her character, marked her leading traits as decidedly as it is held out by her acquaintance. She was a little, shrewd-looking, keen-eyed woman, of remarkable strength of mind and spirits; one of those positive characters that decide promptly, and execute at once; of a sanguine and irritable temper, which led her to be constantly on the alert

* Meadley, Appendix A, 2d edition.

in thinking and acting. She excelled in the conduct of her family concerns. It was very much the fashion of her day and of her neighbourhood to have, or aim at having, the reputation of good management. She was so thrifty in her housewifery, that it not only formed the chief object of her attention, but gave rise to the only characteristic trait recorded of her in her family, viz. her turn for practical drollery. If she could surprise her servants in bed at four o'clock in the morning, she seized the opportunity of sparing herself the trouble of a scold, and yet gaining the advantages of it, by carrying up their breakfast, and with a curtsy, *presenting it to the ladies*. She was certainly a clever managing woman. She had for her fortune £400, which in those days, and in that neighbourhood, was almost sufficient to confer the title of an heiress; at least it was a fair sum for one of good family. At the time of her marriage, which was much disapproved of as beneath what she ought to have expected, she rode on horseback behind her husband from Stackhouse, near Settle, in Craven, to Peterborough: she undertook the charge of the limited income which a vicarage of £35 a year, a minor canonry of Peterborough cathedral, and a few pupils afforded. She afterwards, on her husband's being elected master of the grammar school at Giggleswick, travelled back to her native country in the same plight, with her son on her lap, and all their worldly goods in a tea-chest. She kept her family, which increased to four children, reputably and respectably, on a very limited income: she gave £100 to her husband towards building a house, and another hundred towards an outfit for her son at college, and upon her death left £2,200 to her family, the accumulation of her small portion in the hands of a brother as managing as herself.

Her husband was of a different cast of character; liberal to profusion for his income, yet not only economical on a plan, but even scanty in his allowances to his family. It is not very important to know that shillings will become pounds, and

hundreds, thousands; but it may be worth notice, as showing what a short distance there is between a low estate and comparative opulence, and how soon a different rank and estimation in society may be gained, that this plan of economy and almost hereditary carefulness raised this younger son of a yeoman of no very opulent family to comparative wealth and consideration. He was educated at the school of his native place, and after receiving the portion of a younger son—a good education—was entered at Christ's College, Cambridge, as a sizar—was presented to the small vicarage of Helpstone by his college (as a compensation for some disappointment in a fellowship), from which he seldom got more than £30 a year, and when he left that neighbourhood was obliged to be satisfied with a few flower seeds for his daughters, transmitted annually by his curate as a balance to the produce of his living. It would not at last maintain a curate. He removed to Peterborough, where he obtained a minor canonry; was afterwards gratified by being elected schoolmaster of his native place on £80 a year, which afterwards became £200, and by the assistance as well as example of his managing wife, added to a legacy of £1,500, which laid the foundation perhaps of his family and fortune, contrived to scrape together £7,000. This same plan of putting forward both exertion and carefulness procured to his son William, the subject of these memoirs, as far as was independent of the changes and chances of every man's lot, threefold both of fame and fortune from a very small beginning. And now we have done with worldly matters.

The father of Dr. Paley was a cheerful, jocose man, a great wit, and an enlivening companion; in his days of activity, fond of field sports, and more fond of company than was relished at home. In his neighbourhood he was esteemed a good and even a popular preacher. His sermons, though not perhaps his own composition, were all short, substantial, and rather inclined to reason than feeling. They are the writings of a rational Christian divine, fond of the almost exclusive consi-

deration of subjects connected with the peculiar doctrines of Christianity. Sherlock and Clarke seem to have been his favourite models, and were largely drawn upon for furnishing the weekly supply. What is much more to his credit, he was a conscientious clergyman. He was twenty years curate of Giggleswick, and afterwards curate of Horton. He used to think himself one of the oldest incumbents on one and the same vicarage in his diocese, and perhaps in England, being fifty-six years vicar of Helpstone. But his fame, in the estimation of both himself and others, was built upon his school. He was altogether a schoolmaster, both by long habit and inclination, and when at the age of eighty-three, or eighty-four, he was obliged to have assistance (which was long before he wanted it in his own opinion), he used to be wheeled in his chair to his school, and even in the delirium of his last sickness, insisted upon giving his daughters a Greek author, over which they would mutter and mumble, to persuade him that he was still hearing his boys' Greek. He was rather coarse, but strong and significant in his language. He fancied himself a poet, and was fond of mouthing out shreds and patches of Greek and Latin, and English verse. He corrected his boys chiefly by similes taken from the most ordinary subjects of his observation. "To gabble like a mill-hopper;" "to mutter like a wheel-barrow on a causeway;" "to mumble like a bee in a fox-glove," are expressions which his scholars recollect to this day. He was from natural temperament, as well as from the habits of his profession, irritable and a disciplinarian, and carried his authority to his home, perhaps more from not being able to leave it at his school, than from any view of its necessity or use. The natural bent of his mind during his leisure was towards contemplation and country employments. He was found in the hay-field among his work-people, or sitting in his elbow-chair in the fields nibbling his stick, or with the tail of his damask gown rolled into his pocket, busying himself in his garden even at the age of eighty; and if he could not improve

it, was not seldom detected in making a common destruction of walk, border, and grass-plot.

From these parents, all their children inherited an eminently substantial character; a ready application of their reasoning powers to the practice of life; a natural brilliancy of common sense, rather than of wit; a strong bias towards worldly considerations, regulated by a much stronger inclination to, and feeling of, superior duties, and a most liberal disregard of their own, in comparison with their neighbours' convenience. Their son William, though he sometimes used to suppose himself destined for an humble situation, was always designed for a learned profession for many reasons. His mother desired that the only son of a man who stood so deservedly high in her estimation, as well as in that of her neighbours, should be distinguished; and his own inclination, joined to the praise of the neighbourhood, as is generally the case, led him to think his father an eminent man, and made him so proud of the profession of a teacher and a clergyman, that he adopted it almost insensibly. The first effort of that quickness and shrewdness of reply, which distinguished him so much afterwards, seems to have shown itself on this subject; for at a very early age, on being scolded by his mother, who finished with "God give thee grace;" "Ay, mother," he replied, "Grace o' God and Grace o' Canterbury will do for me." Besides, there had been in the family one eminent man, who had been vicar of Hunslet, in Leeds; a literary character, remarkably studious, and an author of some repute. His interference, and his fondness for his own calling, along with a library well stocked with old divinity, had given Dr. Paley's father a taste and a bias to the clerical profession; and these inducements might have their influence in determining the destination of the son.

It were to be wished that in the following part of these memoirs Dr. Paley might be found drawing in his own way as much of his own life and character as of his parents. But of his younger days he seldom spoke, except when he was

disposed to amuse a leisure hour with his sisters in more advanced life. There seems to be not only a gap in this part of his life found by most of his biographers, but a want of incident throughout. Few, perhaps, have written of a life so devoid of incident, and yet so eminently distinguished for talent and integrity; and fewer still have, perhaps, read what has been written without being forcibly struck with a paucity of fact and incident* to relieve the dry detail of intellectual advancement. It is pretty obvious, as is well observed †, “that the lives of men of letters do not usually abound with incident,” because the life of a student, and one devoted to literature, is necessarily so distinct from the ordinary business of the world, that but little can occur to vary the outward circumstances of his time. Yet this observation does not quite satisfy those who were acquainted with Dr. Paley, because though wholly bent upon making the most of the powers and faculties of his mind, he was not a man to be turned from the most trifling outward circumstances. With a decided preference for mind, he was active and eager at all times to engage in the common bustle of life. Perhaps a part of his character, the most striking even to a cursory observer, was that union of religious sentiment, of moral principle, of strong literary taste and ability, with a more than ordinary attention to common-place subjects. Such was the elasticity of his mind, that he could go, or rather be led away, not as a mere matter of relaxation, or a temporary suspension of mind, but

* Meadley, who seems to have rummaged every corner with indefatigable industry, and I believe (for I have had opportunities of knowing it through the kindness of his family) a scrupulous regard to accuracy as far as depended upon himself, is decidedly deficient in incident; and in his second edition, where every exertion is used to supply this defect, much is yet necessarily taken up with commenting on the writing and public sentiments of Dr. Paley. Of the *Life*, by Chalmers, little is to be said, except that the main facts are taken or corrected from Meadley, or from less authentic materials.

† Mason's *Gray*.

with all the vigour and application that he had been giving to his former subject, from writing a page of his Natural Theology, or expressing a deep sentiment in a visitation sermon, to arranging some flower-pots in his garden, or gathering vegetables or fruit for dinner. In one and the same letter he writes upon the principle and expediency of keeping the poor off the parish, and in the next paragraph gives an excellent receipt for cheap broth of Scotch cabbage and grits, and coarse beef. But not to trench farther upon what may be opened out in the following pages, it may be sufficient, in order to account for this want of incident in a character so distinguished for useful talents, to notice his abhorrence of all the arts by which public fame might have been obtained; his discreet vigilance in not obtruding himself into notice without a fit occasion, rather than any coy wish to be drawn out; his prevailing taste for private and domestic enjoyment; and the even tenor of his life which both natural inclination and the profession of a consistent clergyman led him to preserve.

He was born at Peterborough, 1743, but in little more than a year removed to Giggleswick, the birth-place of his family, and almost of himself. There is authority enough, however, for representing him in his younger days as a tall, awkward boy, remarkable amongst boys for nothing but animation and liveliness of spirits, great talkativeness, clumsiness in his attempts at dexterity and boyish sports, the perfect good nature and complacency with which he bore all the taunts and jeers of his companions, and the great inclination which showed itself even at that age, for acute, but good-humoured retorts. From the awkwardness of his gait, his unwillingness to join in active sports, his fondness for tricks and mimicking, that had something beyond the general habit of boys, or from his being one of those boys to whom such names easily and naturally attach, he was always called *Doctor* by his school-fellows. When he was very young, he was caught pulling

out a little girl's tooth, because he had seen a quack doctor, the celebrated Dr. Katerfelto, amongst some mountebanks in his village, performing the same operation. He was not at all proud of his independence, or bold or forward in personal courage as a boy. He had none of the saucy pertness of a tyrant school-boy, conscious of his own superiority in any thing, but a great share of that amiable sort of prudence and forethought, that marks the cast of mind rather than bodily or mental vigour. On being told of the death of a school-fellow, he said, he did not much wonder, for he was the only boy in the school he ever did or ever could thrash. Strange as it must have seemed to himself, who, of all men living, was one of the most feeling guardians of the animal creation (maugre what his biographers Meadley, and with a sympathetic, or perhaps copied humanity, Chalmers, have said of his fishing), the only pastime he then joined in was cock-fighting; but it is necessary to say, that by a school, or rather school-boy's charter, leave was obtained by the governors or trustees at the annual audit, for not only the boys, but the masters, to attend a cock-fighting, which the whole neighbourhood frequented. Consequently the keeping and feeding and fighting of cocks became a matter of state policy.

The years of childhood are the same in thousands, who are not afterwards to make a figure in the world; but as bespeaking something peculiarly characteristic in after life, it may be well to observe how far this strong disinclination for common sports and taste for original diversions might lead him to pursue with more satisfaction both a line of life and a mode of signaling himself so different from the pursuits of his companions, or of his neighbourhood, at that time. By his parents, however, he was reckoned a weak and delicate boy, and this might account to them for his aversion to the rough sports of boys, and might lead himself to indulge in a moody sort of animation, which, without being characteristic of finer feeling, or poetical sensibility, led him to droll tricks with his neighbours, and to win

by his kindness and attention, and pleasantry, the favour of all the old women in the neighbourhood. To these and to his sisters he showed an evident preference; such company he courted, and at the cottage fire-side he always found a welcome. He was even at that time fond of fishing, partly perhaps from following the taste of the neighbourhood in one of the finest trout rivers in England, and partly from finding in it a certain quiet whiling away of time, to which he seems at that time to have been partial. He certainly neither professed, nor wished to attain excellence in the art. He was much laughed at by his associates for his clumsy fishing tackle, and want of dexterity; but he continued so remarkably attached to it during the remainder of his life without being signally successful, that his love for it may fairly be imputed rather to his fondness for the quietness and peace, and the workings of the mind that accompany the sport, than any anxiety for the prey. A bite and a nibble were to him a good day's sport at any time of his life, and if by chance he came off with a single rise at his fly, he was fully satisfied. At this age he was remarkable for the keenness and acuteness and shrewdness of his observations. An old woman knitting, or a neighbouring joiner at his work, afforded a rare exercise for his inquisitiveness and originality. These were his usual places of resort when very young, and he used not seldom to sit up all night with one of his neighbours to watch the process of soap-boiling. There is one anecdote given of him in his family, which whether from mere coincidence, or some more worthy prognostication, peculiarly marks that artless disposition which characterised him through the rest of his life. When a mere boy, probably from the same principle which tempts other boys to imitate their fathers, he was found preaching in the market-cross of his village, and bawling out to a circle of old women and boys, "Behold an Israelite indeed, in whom there is no guile." "Ay, for sure," said an old lady who was passing, "every body knows thou art a guileless lad."

As to his abilities or attainments at school, his father seemed more disposed to rate them by his general character out of the school, than by any strict or brilliant application to his exercises. If any judgement of his performances can be drawn from his own account, it may seem that he was more observant of the regular discipline of the school, and of his father, and less satisfied with himself by reason of his own indolence, than has been represented. He was kept close to his books; he never stood in need of correction, but stood much in awe of his father, if by chance an exercise was unfinished or idly performed. So far was this carried, that he once, in company with his cousins and another boy who lodged in his father's house, not only ran away from home, but persevered in it, till, at night-fall, finding their beds on a wide and waste moor or peat-moss not so commodious as they had been used to, and not being designed by nature for little heroes, one of them pretended to be a conjuror, and assured them that he had heard something fly past with Whittington's message on a similar occasion, and the next morning found them at their post. It ought to be mentioned, that this little freak was the only indication given during his life of his ever being weary of his work, or of his ever feeling a wish to desert the task of labouring with his mind rather than his body.

For the credit, however, both of the school and school-master (since that seems rather misrepresented or dubiously spoken of*), it ought to be told that it was then (at the present time peculiarly so) in as high repute as any other of the old grammar schools in the north; that its fame was grounded chiefly on being a classical school; that as to making accomplished classical scholars, it was rather an object with the master to enable them to proceed by grounding them well; and that his son, though by no means brought forward before others, or obtaining any remarkable pre-eminence over any of

* See Quarterly Review.—Meadley.—Chalmers.

his competitors, was indebted to him for whatever he obtained of his classical information, and of his classical taste; that he was more particularly indebted to his father for much of that accuracy and exactness in training young minds to the same taste; that his fitness for the office of tutor, in which most of his biographers have made him pre-eminent, was probably the result of this regular and systematic teaching of his father; and that the system of discipline which made so important a part of both his public and private instruction in his more mature years was but the fruit of the same seed. He appears to have retained much more fondness for his classical than his mathematical acquirements; the very contrary of which is asserted*, without any warrant. He was far from ambitious of reputation in either study, and pursued them rather as necessary means to a future profession than fondled them for their own sake.

At the age of fifteen (1758) his father entered him as sizar at his own college (Christ's) at Cambridge; and wishing to visit his friends and his little vicarage, he accompanied his son on horseback, the only mode of travelling then in use in his neighbourhood. Dr. Paley's account of the matter was as follows: "I was sent earlier to college than any young man before or since; and the reason was this—my mother wished to make a baker of me, and my father had made up his mind that I should be a parson. Having just recovered from a fit of illness when I was at the age of fifteen, he took me to college, and had me entered upon the books." On this occasion, it being observed by the Mayor of Lincoln, that it was a fortunate circumstance, as they should not have had the pleasure of having him there; "That does not follow, Mr. Mayor," he replied; "for though not subdean, I might have been Mayor of Lincoln."

It was to be expected that a lad of fifteen, just emerging

* Chalmers.

from his hills on a pony of his own, and with his pockets full of money, should be more struck with the novelty of his situation than with any other event at that time; and so he would, had not his whole mind been engrossed with jockeyship. He used to recollect it with great pleasure; and being constantly disposed to make his wit and ridicule fall upon himself rather than others, would relate his disastrous journey and his numerous falls, and his father's caution with regard to his money, in the very language and manner already made public. On his return with his father, he was sent, as a preparation for the university, for one year to Dishforth, near Topcliffe, in the North Riding of Yorkshire, to be under a young man who was just leaving his father's school to take upon him the office of village schoolmaster at that place, and who being a remarkably simple, honest, and worthy character, was much esteemed both by Dr. Paley and his father. Here, when he became subdean of Lincoln, on his annual journey from Bishop Wearmouth to that place, he pointed out to his family, with great seeming satisfaction, the very house he lived in for £8 a year; spoke much of the familiar manner in which he passed his time with the villagers, but never said a word about his acquirements in mathematics, which must have been great, considering that with one year's preparation for the university, and with the assistance of a person but little older than himself, he was able, and conscious enough of his power, notwithstanding his abuse of the intermediate time, to become senior wrangler. This place he seemed anxious to mark in his recollection for two things—for his being attracted by the simplicity of his host's family, and for his now first having an orange of his own; in other words, from first feeling his own master. But if this was all that he thought worthy of notice, others in the village and in the school were ready enough to observe so many peculiarities in him, that he readily gained the reputation of being crazed. From his habit of constantly pondering, and musing, and employing his thoughts, he was

much alone. The place of his most frequent resort was a pump in the middle of the village, which he used to aim at from the side of the road a dozen times before he could hit it. His master observed, that when they walked together to the neighbouring town of Borough-Bridge, what was eight miles to him, his friend Paley, by his strange turnings, and twistings, and stoppings, managed ingeniously to make sixteen. Here too his vicinity to Knaresborough, where his uncle lived, gave him an opportunity of interesting himself much about Eugene Aram, who, he used to say, was hanged, if ever man was, by his own ingenuity. To this event, and to his associations with it, he used to think was owing his great fondness for matters of judicature, and particularly for criminal courts. It might probably have been the first application of a mind already prepared for close observation; at any rate it seems to have been the first exercise of a faculty in which he afterwards so much excelled.

When he was sixteen years old, he went to reside in college, probably not only from the fashion of sending young men so much earlier, but in order that he might be sooner able to stickle for himself, as his phrase was; but whether he was partly led away by the charm of dissipation, and by the novelty of a college life*, or felt some dissatisfaction at the manner in which he spent the early part of his time there, cannot now be ascertained. It is stated as a probability in

* Public Characters for 1802. This work, desultory as it is in most of its articles, and much as he used to smile at the article belonging to his name, was presented to him in his lifetime, and corrected by him in many of the facts by marginal notes, very much in his manner. "No, no, unjust, forgery, foolish paragraph, questionable." And this, to which I have alluded already, is the only piece of information which can be considered authentic from his own hand. I have availed myself of it wherever I can. I have reason to think that the "character of Archdeacon Paley" was inserted by one whose information on the subject need not have been scanty, and whose general character for eminent abilities was very high. I believe this to have been made known to, or at least strongly suspected by Dr. Paley himself. ED.

the work alluded to, without any mark of his dissent. He certainly disapproved much of the plan of sending mere boys to college, and practised nearly the opposite extreme in his own family. It is not to be understood that he was inattentive to the general pursuits of a university education; but perhaps not in a way to satisfy a prediction which his father had made to one of his boarders, "My son will be a great man; I am certain of it, for he has by far the clearest head and the most observation of any body I know." As he was however always indisposed to bodily activity, it may naturally be supposed that great liveliness of spirits, elasticity of mind, desultoriness of study, fondness for company, would be against severe application. Still he was known to have been at that time, as well as whilst he was at school, remarkably attentive to the main object of a student; nor did his father ever find occasion for dissatisfaction during his vacations. He was certainly not distinguished* for his diligence; nor did any but himself seem to think him distinguished for idleness. His rooms presented perhaps the best picture of his mind, or rather of his desultory mode of pursuing his studies; for he could never consent to place his books in any order, but had authors of all kinds thrown on the floor around him. His third year of residence in college was remarkable for not only a fresh and continued application to the sort of reading required for a high degree at Cambridge, but, what seems to have given the first spring to his extraordinary powers, that degree of satisfaction and gratification in the pursuit of an object which never afterwards seems to have left him. To this period of his life may be very well assigned an opinion which is given † to some later passage of it: "his powers, once roused, became spontaneously and abundantly prolific; and the native fertility of his mind, instead of being exhausted or impaired by a single push, appeared to be invigorated by severe exertion." His

* Biographical Dictionary. Aikin.

† Quarterly Review.

mind seems from this time never to have been satisfied without an object. What it had been before may be partly conjectured from a letter to one of his sons, who being at that age when boys usually, if ever, feel disposed to indulge hypochondriacal feelings, had described the state of his mind to him, and was answered with "*Experto crede*, at your age I was like you, and have found since that there is nothing like having something to do; stick to your business, and depend upon it that your mind will return." He now, however, had the good sense to attend to the advice and judicious interference of his tutor Wilson (afterwards judge Wilson), of whom he ever after retained a grateful remembrance*.

By Mr. Wilson he was recommended to Mr. Thorpe, who was at that time of eminent use to young men in preparing them for the senate-house examination, and peculiarly successful. One young man, of no shining reputation, with the assistance of Mr. Thorpe's tuition, had stood at the head of the wranglers; and soon after Dr. Paley introduced himself to Mr. Thorpe, stating that it was a great object with him to obtain academical distinction, and added in his own peculiar manner, "If you could make **** senior wrangler, you may have some chance with me †." He rose early, as he had not done before; he saw no company during the day, as he had done before, his room being seldom free from loungers; and

* There is a story related by Meadley of a bedside scene, which that gentleman gained from some of Paley's friends, but has very properly withdrawn into a note in the second edition of his Memoirs. I do not believe there is any good authority for it, because it does not seem to consist either with his general character before this period, or with the fact that his private tutor, Wilson, did actually stir him up by his advice to try for the highest honours. Another strong presumption against the tale is, that his family, whom he was constantly in the habit of urging to exertion by every little story and incident that he could find, were ignorant of it. ED.

† With Dr. Thorpe, afterwards archdeacon of Northumberland, Dr. Paley had an opportunity, when at Bishop Wearmouth, of renewing his acquaintance.

allowed himself an hour at night to eat his bread and cheese at Dockrel's coffee-house, in Trompington-street. Thus he used to say he became senior wrangler in the year 1763. The contest was between Mr. Frere and himself; but as the little disappointments of one and successes of another candidate have often, both before and since, been repeated, it is scarcely uncommon enough to dwell upon, except it be to state that he has added in his own hand a doubt to the story of an estate being promised to Mr. Frere in the event of success*. It is pleasant at this day to find his friends so jealous of his fame as to mention all the minute circumstances of his success. For himself, who would have been ready either to allow the fairness, or laugh at the conjectures of his friends or opponents in any decision with respect to a tripos, he was content to say neither more nor less than that he had very nearly lost it by the badness of his hand-writing.

In the keeping of his act previous to his senate-house examination, he was the very innocent cause of some little disagreement and squabble between Mr. Watson (afterwards bishop of Llandaff), the moderator, and Dr. Thomas, the master of Christ's; the latter of whom feared for the orthodoxy of his college, if he had allowed an undergraduate of his own to muster his talents *against* the eternity of hell torments. This interference the moderator blamed; and, perhaps with more want of temper than either prudence or love of free discussion, showed that he would have been glad if the young man could have supported that side of the question. Dr. Thomas, however, whether at the suggestion of any other, or from his own opinion of the freedom with which such questions were likely to be treated by the bold genius of a young man, or alarmed by being told that the general attention of the university was roused by such a question, expostulated with him on the impropriety of his encountering such a subject.

* By putting a Q. to the margin of the Public Characters.

Paley readily assured him that there was not the least intention to offend, nor any suspicion that he could draw down the notice, much less the displeasure, of the university; adding, that it was a question which seemed to invite originality; and this he thought of more consequence to himself than to the university. Whether he was conscious of having carried the taste for paradox into graver subjects, and therefore would have been ready to acknowledge the kindness of Dr. Thomas, and the perfect propriety of his interference, or he was inclined to regret that Mr. Watson did not willingly give him the liberty he begged, of withdrawing his question altogether,—it is certain that he afterwards held in a peculiar and for him rather impatient degree of dislike the remembrance of that learned man, who as a moderator dealt favourably with him. It rests indeed upon mere conjecture, whether that opinion of the bishop of Llandaff might be taken up on account of the opposition he at that time showed to the master's objection, or to any of this prelate's transactions or sentiments in later life. It does not appear that there was any acquaintance between them beyond this period, nor could it be owing to any known or suspected want of congeniality, for they never came at all into collision. After all, perhaps the best reply to any insinuation which the very mention of this circumstance by his biographers may seem to imply is, that whatever his sentiments were at the time, or the bias of his opinions, he seems to have kept them to himself; and that such bold attempts at freedom of discussion seem rather to rest upon the discovery of others than his own intentions. It is but fair that allowance should be made for the circumstances under which any young man would choose a question from Johnson's *Quæstiones Philosophicæ*; and this will at once show that it is unnecessary to suppose any peculiarity of sentiment or course of cogitation involved in the choice of such a subject. Something has been said of the uncouthness of Paley's manners, the eccentricity of his finery, and the ge-

neral slovenliness of his dress. He is said to have attracted general attention in the schools, by appearing with his hair full-dressed, a deep ruffled shirt, and new silk stockings, which, aided by his gestures, his actions, and his whole manner, when earnestly engaged in a debate, excited no small mirth among his spectators. These are, it is true, unimportant points, which are, perhaps, unworthy of farther notice, but that they enable the present writer of this sketch to account for them once for all by giving a well-stamped mark of this extraordinary person which accompanied him through life, viz. such a decided respect for institutions of all kinds, even the most minute and insignificant ceremonies and observances of life, as might lead him, with his then notions of civilized and polite ranks, to overdo in the external appearance of his person. Yet there was such an eagerness for any research which might engage his mental powers, and such a natural, artless love of argumentation, that forgetting all this sacrifice to finery, he would not care to appear quite at home on his subject. Neat and plain in his dress on his appearance in public, but extremely afraid of any sacrifice of his time to his own personal comfort, the same habits seem to have accompanied him throughout his life, which to a mere stranger might easily appear those of a sloven; but to his friends, who knew his wish to avoid any singularity, or affect any eccentricity either outwardly or inwardly, they seemed only the usual attendants of active and energetic faculties*. Add to this, that his manner and action were formed upon no model of elegance or grace. "Nature herself might have

* I have seen in some magazine, or periodical publication, an accurate description of his appearance in his lecture-room, with his night-cap, his breeches' knees unbuttoned, his stockings awry, one leg upon his knee, lounging on his chair, and picking his beard. This is forcibly and painfully striking, as agreeing exactly with the figure that I should have been tempted to present of our constant, and first and most diligent instructor, when, coming from school to breakfast, we took our stand with our grammar lesson in his study. ED.

called him eloquent," but nobody else would, who had seen his unseemly and strange mouthing even in latest life, in endeavouring to convey his impressions, which were eminently strong, or his feelings, which were much stronger, say on any passage of Virgil, Cicero's Orations, Shakespeare, Campbell's Pleasures of Hope, &c. &c.; he was wrought up and carried away into more droll gesticulation than his general attention to reason would have allowed his hearers to expect. These circumstances might have been uncommon enough to make him famous in the schools of the university; and with his ardour and acuteness in disputation, caused them to be well attended on his act. One of his most intimate friends used to amuse Dr. Paley and his family with relating his appearance in the schools under the warmth of argument. On being posed by his adversary, he would stand with his head dropping upon one of his shoulders, and both his thumbs in his mouth; on striking out his answer with the animation of a *ευρηκα* he would stretch his arms, rub his hands, and speak out his exultation in every feature of his face and muscle of his body. He seems to have been quite wrapped up in his subject; so much so, that his ardour, both at that time and in more grave and weighty discussions afterwards, must have met with hearers unobserving indeed, if they were not struck with it, and indifferent, if they were not interested. It has been said that his promptitude of delivery, and strength of conception, did more for him in the senate-house examination than his mathematical acquirements; and his fluent delivery* has been spoken of as rather signal in his lecture-room; it may be well therefore to observe, that if any judgement can be formed from a later period (when it might be supposed that habit and practice would have added to his manner of expression), his delivery, though not hesitating, was considerably embarrassed. He seemed to labour with the very

* Meadley.

liveliness of his conceptions, agreeably to Dean Swift's simile, "persons rushing out of church block up the doorway." So very rapid was the flow of his ideas, and so wide the range of his conceptions, that between hunting out proper expressions of them, and preserving his short and pithy mode of delivering his sentiments, his language was full of unevennesses, and his enunciation rather entangled. A periodical publication*, in its review of Meadley's Memoirs, has observed, with somewhat more taste than accuracy, on the singularity of a circumstance which seems very doubtful†, that the first production of his pen should have been an ode in the manner of Ossian. But the accompanying remark, "that he never afterwards showed any one particle of taste for poetry," is an assumption. He was by no means deficient in either his taste or his fondness for poetry. He might indeed inherit from his father an imagination sufficiently discursive, and in his school-boy days he was partial to scribbling little pieces of rhyme; and afterwards he improved his taste by an acquaintance with, and constant habit of dipping into, most of our English poets. His constant ardour, as well as elasticity of mind, gave abundant room for his being anxious, as well as the elegance and soundness of his taste did of his being able, to relish them. It is not improbable, that whatever enthusiasm he possessed when young gave way to a stronger and more matured power of reason.

This remark naturally introduces another which is authorised indeed, "nullis in hoc suis sermonibus sed quia par videbatur," as well as by its standing uncontradicted in the work above alluded to‡, and by the habits of his life afterwards, viz. that he did not follow up either mathematical or

* Quarterly Review.

† What leads me to doubt of this circumstance is, that he gave me a copy of the *Luctus Cantabrigiensis* on that occasion, and pointed out some pieces that were thought eminently dull in his day, but said nothing of himself or his own attempts. ED.

‡ Public Characters.

metaphysical learning at all, any farther than suited his office of tutor. There are reasons for thinking that he viewed them only in the light of opening and preparing the mind for more useful studies, for studies at least more congenial to the cast of his own mind. He never certainly made either an object or an amusement of them afterwards. If this opinion should be correct, it will serve at least to show the facility with which only a short and unwearied attention attains its object, even against the stronger bent of inclination; for he has been heard to say, that he did not know that he ever perfectly worked a simple rule of three question, or did more in arithmetic than add up his bills.

On taking his degree, he was desirous of working his own way, and bent upon sparing his father's money, which he had always obtained when he applied for it; but such applications were neither frequent, nor accompanied by much observation from which any thing can be collected of his undergraduate-ship. He offered first to take the situation of usher in his father's school, and upon being desired to carve out his own way without stopping or preventing his views by any attention to his father, accepted an offer soon made to him by his tutor, Mr. Shepherd, of a similar situation in an academy at Greenwich. He often described this as a woful drudgery, though he now set out first in the world in a way that suited well enough his prevailing taste for observation on men and manners. It may seem indeed surprising, and it has surprised many, who value literary distinction only as it adds to a man's worldly interest, that a youth of nineteen, with the highest honour of the university fresh upon him, should sit down so easily to the drudgery of an academy, or even to the more exalted task of communicating instruction in a college lecture-room; but let it be considered, that in all situations, he never was known to flinch from labour, or to dislike the mere circumstances of his lot; in all he was resolved to do his best; and he who found entertainment and interest in every thing,

might be easily led by his superior powers to make entertainment of even carrying on instruction. He seems to have been easily weaned from any desire for home, by the consideration of being both useful to himself, and holding himself in readiness for any opening in the university. His near neighbourhood too to London, where he was a constant attendant on the houses of parliament, the theatre, and when a holiday would allow, on the courts of law, where he took an especial interest "in the fate of his friends," the prisoners, as he used to call them, was sufficient with him to compensate for many more unpleasant circumstances than he had to endure. The acting of Garrick would at any time make him walk to London without his dinner, in order that he might be there in time. He at that time used to choose his seat in the centre of the pit, a short distance from the stage, because he observed a knot of stage critics generally seated thereabouts. In after life, when he still continued peculiarly fond of even the worst theatrical exhibitions, his first care was to bustle up to what he thought the best place, which was in front of the actors, as near the stage as his situation might allow.

It is observed that he was not a little mortified with this his first occupation as the second usher in a mere academy, not devoted to the sort of literature with which he had been conversant. But his object was employment and emolument, more than any prospect of, or love for, a particular course of study. It is certain that the pursuit of classical or mathematical knowledge made no part of his object, neither probably had he occasion to ask himself the reason of his taking this situation; since one who is by principle, as well as inclination, resolved to throw himself entirely into the service of his station, whatever it may be, seldom troubles his head about the little annoyances belonging alike to every office. It does not appear to have occurred to him as any thing more than an employment from which he obtained a certain stipend. He took much delight in observing upon Mr. Bracken's stipulation, and the

importance which was attached to it by its being referred to Dr. Shepherd, who procured for him the situation. It was made part of the bargain that he should wear a wig, and sit behind the door. He thought he had little occasion for such a signal decoration to his head in order to give an air of dignity and age to his face, till on going home shortly after on a visit to his friends, he found Mr. Bracken's proposal very judicious, for he was received by some of his countrymen as his old aunt's husband.

He did not, however, droop in his situation of assistant in a school, nor let go his hold either of his interest in his old studies, or of the pursuit of academical honours. In 1765 he gained the Bachelor's prize by a Latin essay on the subject, "*Utrum civitati perniciosior sit Epicuri an Zenonis philosophia.*" He undertook to advocate the Epicureans, and this circumstance, together with its having called forth more notice from some of his biographers than seems to belong to it, makes it necessary to observe (what otherwise would scarcely be of importance amongst many university prizes), that there is no appearance on the face of the essay of any peculiarity of sentiment, except perhaps it be a leaning towards exposing the hypocrisy of Stoicism. If any thing else is to be noticed upon this first attempt to excite public attention in any way, besides that it is a subject which was congenial enough to his own turn of thought and habit of observation, and one on which he could show an ingenuity and closeness of argumentation, it is this—that the prevailing traits of his mind in later life are very conspicuously seen in that balancing between two opposite opinions, that discernment of the *laudanda et culpanda* of each, that liberality in construing men's motives, that freedom and independence of discussion, that advocating of an injured party, which are at once the best protection to, as well as accompaniments of, ingenuousness and candour. "*Latuit forsan veritas intervallo prope pari utrinque reducta. Non igitur Zenonis præcepta Epicureorum ex libris haurienda,*

nec tamen ponendus est Epicurus qualis exhibetur a Stoicis—
 quorum alter multum adversatur reipublicæ, multum uterque.”
 The popularity of the Stoics, says the writer in a note, those
 Pharisees in philosophy, is easily accounted for. The all-suf-
 ficiency of virtue to complete our happiness will ever be the
 theme of popular eloquence, and the language, if not of nature,
 at least of pride. Zeno was a politician, and in that light, it
 will appear, has advanced many things immediately destructive
 of the welfare, some things fatal to the existence, of a state.
 Epicurus was a more speculative philosopher, and therefore
 if his principles were pernicious, it was only in their more re-
 mote consequences. “Candidi vero estimatoris est non quid
 quisque dicat, sed quid cuique dicendum erat, videre. Phi-
 losophi autem constanter sibi, convenienterque sentire*.”
 When it is considered that his genius and love of disputation,
 with something perhaps of a wish to make, if not the worse,
 yet the less favoured side appear more favourable, might easily
 incline him to the less obvious side of the question; and that
 his subject was not at his own option, nor appears in any way
 connected with his services to morality in later life; it must be
 looked upon as amongst the singularities of coincidence, rather
 than as any taste or distaste for the principles of these philo-
 sophers, that any inference drawn from this essay may be
 applied to the moral writings of Dr. Paley. It cannot indeed
 be more than conjectured; but the age at which he wrote,
 the subject chosen in the ordinary course of university exer-
 cises, the line of study which it embraced being never after-
 wards followed by himself, are circumstances which make it
 doubtful whether any particular passages of this essay may be

* Something ought perhaps to be said for making extracts from this
 essay, rather than adding the essay itself in an appendix. The former
 plan is followed merely so far as may be sufficient to give the general
 characteristics of his mind at that time. By the latter the reader might
 be disposed to suspect that something like an attempt was made to place
 this university prize on an eminence above other prize essays.

produced as indicative of the bent of the writer's mind. At any rate, he certainly had little admiration for the Epicurean principle, which he seems to have thought irrelevant to his subject; much less will any one of his friends who knew him well, suspect that there was any tendency in him towards a wide construction in favour of even doubtful morality. Indeed it is to show but a slight acquaintance with any heathen philosophy, at least a much slighter than a successful candidate for a prize essay in one of our universities would show, to suspect that he was likely to turn it into a defence of Epicurean principles in the common acceptance of that term. He calls himself an advocate of Epicurus, but it is in such sentences, and with such saving clauses, as these: "Recognoscite vero, Academici, explicandas esse disciplinas, non defendendas; earum inter se conferendas utilitates, non ipsas collaudandas, esse sectas." "Quidnam vero causæ potissimum esse dicam, cur cum in errores perniciosos inciderint ambo, alterius tamen ad cælos efferantur laudes, alterius fama parum meritis respondeat?" "Hoc etenim, Epicure, concedendum tibi posco, non in epulis luxuque regio, sed in victu cultuque tenui; non in effusis omni intemperantia libidinibus, sed in sano corpore animoque tranquillo tuam te vitam posuisse beatam. Impietas quædam suspecta gravissimas Epicuro notas inussit, quas quidem cum delere aggrediar, absit ut alia mente id præstem quam veritatis gratia; neque enim si fuisset, qualis esse potuit, impius, ego is sum qui patrociniū ipsius mordicè suscipiam." After all, a great deal more of the essay is employed in drawing forward the errors of Stoicism, than in recording the honours or services of Epicurus. All he says in defence of his favourite is contained in the following sentence: "De Epicuro, cujus patrociniū suscepi, pauca jam attexam. Videamus ergo virum doctrinæ castimonia vitæque continentia clarum—videamus erga civitatem pium, erga Deos non impium.—Quem tamen iniquissimis conviciis lacerârunt, laudandus non videatur.—Nollem vero rosetum excindere, quod spinas nonnullas rosis

ferat intextas—meminerimque semper Dei esse nil omnino peccavisse, paululum autem deliquisse penè hominis esse divini. Videamus postremo, Academici, quàm vana, quàm nihil, omnia philosophorum de virtute erant documenta; de religione autem, cum in eam acrius aguntur hominum animi, ineptissimè disputatum esse. Tandem vero Sol exortus est æthereus—tandem purior e cœlo descendit disciplina.—Illuxit aliquando religio, cujus auctor est Deus, cujus materia veritas, cujus finis est felicitas. Religio aliquando illuxit, quæ Stoæ paradoxon in principiis verè Epicureis fundari voluit. Sufficit ad felicitatem virtus, virtutis tamen finis est felicitas. Stabile denique quiddam est, quo pedem figamus; patetque nil veterem potuisse disciplinam, nil non perfecisse Christianam.” And to show that he did not sink his integrity in casuistry or in the sportiveness of argument, he has added in a note at the end, “The intent of this inquiry is not so much to defend the principles of either sect, as to prove the insufficiency of both. For neither the welfare of the public is promoted, nor the happiness of the individual secured by either. Their apprehensions of the nature of God, and of the ends of virtue, are both erroneous, and their errors are both attended with pernicious consequences. Zeno fell into the most fantastic superstitions, which whilst Epicurus corrected, he well nigh endangered religion. The virtue of the one was visionary and ideal, that of the other, sordid and selfish. It was reserved for one greater than Zeno to exalt the dignity of virtue with its utility, and by superinducing a future state, to support the paradox of the Stoic on Epicurean principles.” Still there seem sufficient grounds for remarking an obvious simplicity of thinking as well as writing even at so early an age; and whether the natural turn of his mind towards observation of the common modes of acting led him to entertain this subject, or the application of his mind to this subject influenced him to apply to morality, it is certain that many sentiments may

be recognised, as proceeding from the same writer on morals and Christianity. It may at least very fairly be observed, that in this his first attempt at bringing his reasoning powers into action, he succeeded in impressing his friends at the university with a notion of what they were to be. So far was it characteristic of his mind, that its notes contain some deep reasoning, very much in the manner of his more mature productions, and show at once much penetration and a fixed love for an independent way of thinking. "There is not perhaps a more effectual way of injuring the cause of virtue than by straining its duties too high. The bulk of mankind will easily persuade themselves that virtue, this ideal virtue, was never designed for ordinary practice, but to be confined to a few more elevated natures and sublimer views. Rousseau, that insidious enemy of Christianity, has objected to it, that it has greatly defeated its own end, by refining too much on moral duties, though this is unjustly urged against a religion which, whilst it elevates our natures, condescends to our infirmities, and is accommodated to our weaknesses without flattering our corruption; yet it might with great propriety have been objected to the Stoics, who, whilst they would raise virtue above the reach of fortune, raised it above the reach of man, above that of all, save a few visionary enthusiasts."

Again. It is not for the interest of virtue to teach men to expect more from it than it either can in this world, or was ever designed to perform. The disappointments men meet with will endanger their perseverance, and inclination will step in to persuade them, that what has thus deceived their hope is nothing but the dream of the visionary, or imposition of the artful.

"*Ii extiterunt amici qui Atheismum adeo non destruere societatem censuerunt, ut amotâ Deorum curâ et existere civitatem posse, et extitisse dixerint; quorum sub vexillo militavit militatque adhuc versutissimus Gallorum scriptor,*

cujus sane ingenium lubenter laudassem, ni optimum Deorum munus, religionem, humano generi invidisset." After this follows a very long note in answer to Voltaire.

“ With respect to the general question, as an example of which Voltaire exhibits the later ages of Rome, when all who had any concern with the state renounced all belief either of the popular religion, or of any other—with respect to the general question, the truth of Cicero’s observation has been often proved: ‘ pietate adversus Deos sublata, fides etiam et societas humani generis, et una excellentissima virtus, justitia, tollitur.’ With respect to the particular assertion of Voltaire, let it be remembered that if Rome was an instance of such a state, it was an example how wretched such a state must be, I say how *wretched*, if instead of contemplating its conquests and triumphs, we read the history of its civil calamities and domestic miseries. Again, let it be observed, that when Voltaire concludes that Rome subsisted without the aid of religion, he supposes either that the great bulk of the people were, like their superiors, infidels, or that it was indifferent to the state, whether those who carried on the administration of it had any religion or no. The first supposition, history contradicts; the second, reason and experience; for though the great might be induced to serve their country by particular motives; some by a due sense of the expediency of civil communities, and the utility of supporting them, others by a passion for popular glory, the emulation of families, or pride of ancestry, and lastly many from principle might defeat the ambitious purposes of an aspiring rival; though the great might be influenced by these principles, and by such as these, yet amongst the vulgar, where no such motives can subsist, it is clear (besides the use of religious impressions in discharging the private duties of life), that the superior physical strength they are possessed of would never be subjected to the laws and restraints of society, was there not added to the

opinion of power in their superiors, an opinion also of *right* strengthened at least by religious persuasion."

In speaking of Zeno's prohibition of ceremonial worship, "Vereor ne aut omnem sustulerit religionem, aut perpaucis constituerit idoneam. Cum enim ita natura simus comparati, ut ea sola, quæ in sensu incurrant, alte atque facile imprimantur in mentem—frigebit necessario religio, si ritu orbetur decoro, et penè inter mortua flaccescit Pietas."

On the rough immodesty and indecency of the cynical language he says, "Hæc autem verborum licentia, quàm factorum quoque minuit verecundiam, qualesque libidini subiecit faces, satis profectò ratione constat—utinam, juvenus academica, ne et experientia non esset compertum."

On noticing the promiscuous concubinage of Zeno, he adds: "Solivagam scilicet naturam arctiùs interiusque concluderunt connubia; necessitudines affinitatesque secutæ sunt: ita sanguinis conjunctio et benevolentia, deinde civitati devincti homines civitatum urbiumque præsidia quærebant. Et si aliquis sit mulierum delectus, aliquis ubivis amor, gravissima quoque humano generi insæviret discordia. Quænam porro infantiaæ tutela, quænam juventutis esset educatio—ni ætas eorum imbecillitatis præsidium, in cura concilioque parentum habuisset—nullæ quippe leges, nulli mores, eousque valere potuerunt, ut in parentibus de suâ sobole incertis, omnique *σοφῆ* vacuis, idoneam communis sobolis curam excitarent."

In answer to the objection against Epicurus's principle of utility, "Si utilitate metiantur omnia, ab officio quis facilè deflectet, si eam rem sibi quæstuosam fore putavit," he writes, "præter timorem ne aliquando patefacta fuerint scelera, consuetudinem esse quandam, cui mens subjecta est humana, cujusque ea vis est, ut quam virtutem utilitatis causa aliquandiu sumus prosecuti, eam et prosequeremur, quanquam aut cesserit utilitas aut cessisse videatur:" and in a note to this he adds, "I have chosen to give this answer to the objection

rather than Epicurus's own, drawn from the fears and apprehensions attending upon guilt; first, because the mechanical influence of habit upon the human mind, so necessary to account for most of its operations, was a thing little understood by the ancients, and secondly, because it furnishes an answer to a great part of Cicero's objection against Epicurus. He has collected a variety of instances wherein the devoted heroes of antiquity have sacrificed their interests and pleasure to their country's good, and from hence concludes, that they are acted upon by some higher principles than a regard to private utility, which consequently in these instances could not be the end of virtue. But it appears that these worthies, if they were led on by principles of virtue at all, and not rather by a passion for glory, might, notwithstanding, be influenced by a rational conviction, or implicit persuasion of its utility, habitually settled in the mind, though the advantage of this particular action was not for the present either seen or considered." This was written when the author was twenty-two years of age. Whether by having his attention thus drawn to this peculiar view of moral science, he was induced afterwards to bring it forward in a more enlarged shape, or that this little work as well as his Moral Philosophy had gradually contracted the same complexion from any habits of mind or thought in which he had previously indulged, it is not easy to determine; but it is curious to find in so early a production an objection answered, which was afterwards dressed out in a more substantial form against his own doctrine of Expediency. It is but fair to say, that he had long been famous for the ingenious manner in which he supported a paradox, and on this essay one of his friends was in the habit of communicating an *àccount* which seems at once to clear it of all design, that he knew no one more ready or more able to support a paradox, or say any thing on any side of any subject, than his friend Paley, except his eldest son.

The Latinity of this essay, which seems to have been ac-

counted for rather discreditably, and spoken of* rather slightly, it might be unnecessary to notice, as it satisfied the university. It cannot, however, be improper to maintain, on the authority of these quotations, that the style is not otherwise than classical, as far as it speaks for an imitation of, and acquaintance with, the best classics; that as for "the parade of ancient learning," if that is meant to apply to the style, it is not so loaded as the preface to Bellendenus; if to the matter, we should be told how a writing on ancient philosophy *could* well disdain ancient authority, even on points less connected with the subject than the general dress of its sentiments. It may be useful to adduce in this place, as a qualification to the opinion which has been entertained of his classical taste and attainments, the testimony of one whose correspondence is given in Appendix A. Meadley, second edition,—a man in every way capable of forming a solid opinion of classical attainments. On this very subject he says, "I had intended to give you a short sketch of Paley's talents as a classical scholar. It must suffice to assure you, as I very truly can (for in his own department a man may speak with some confidence), that wherever in his *Horæ Paulinæ* any criticism on the Greek language is employed, his remarks, without ostentation, are eminently acute, vigorous, and just. Indeed all his knowledge seems to have been sound as far as it went, and though all his life he studied things more than words, yet he perfectly understood at the proper time how to turn an exact knowledge of words to a very substantial account." Horace, Virgil, and Cicero, were even to the latest of his life his table books, and at a time when he could have no other occasion for them than as books of amusement. He was not, certainly, a deep classical scholar, nor did he think himself so. He was heard to say, when giving this essay and his *Concio ad Clerum* to one of his sons, at a time when he was labouring to infuse

* See Chalmers, Meadley, and Quarterly Review.

into him a nice distinction between the mere writing of Latin, and a classical habit of thinking in Latin, "These were the only two pieces of Latin composition I ever made public, and I got into a sad scrape with my English notes." The surmises of one of the judges of the time, "that he supposed the author had been assisted by his father, some country clergyman, who having forgotten his Latin had written the notes in English," were perfectly unfounded, for it was all his own. His own apology for English notes stands thus in the beginning of the essay: "The author of this dissertation begs he may be excused the liberty he has taken of subjoining to it a few notes; for in a composition of this limited nature, though he hopes he has collected into it whatever is material to the argument, yet the observations must needs be very general, and it is well known what little weight general observations have, unless it be at the same time recollected by what authority they are attested, and by what instances supported. Rather, therefore, than prolong the dissertation or perplex the reasoning by a variety of references and quotations, he has chosen to annex them to their respective propositions in the form of notes. He has now and then hazarded a collateral observation, and hopes it will be excused, if he has done it in English. The propriety of this is, with respect, submitted to the learned reader," (this, by the by, smacks of a fondness for authorship) "who will consider that no other use is designed to be made of them, than to confirm to the judges of this performance what he has advanced or observed in the body of his work."

The motto annexed to the outside cover of the copy sent in to the vice-chancellor was most happily descriptive of his bustling yet good-humoured ambition.

"Non jam prima peto, Mnestheu, neque vincere certo,
Quanquam O ———."

The motto which is prefixed to the essay itself is,

"Insani sapiens nomen ferat, æquus iniqui,
Ultra quam satis est virtutem si petat ipsam."

The only observation he made to his friends at home at the time of gaining the prize was, "that he verily believed another prize essay would have ruined him."

As soon as he was of sufficient age, he was ordained to be assistant curate of Greenwich; left Mr. Bracken about the same time, not, as has been stated, from any ill usage with respect to the distribution of money or presents, but from that gentleman's not mentioning to him a proposal which had been made in his behalf by a lady of Greenwich to place her son under his sole care, provided Mr. Bracken would set him at liberty from any engagement. This proposal was made known to Mr. Paley by some fortunate circumstance, but not by Mr. Bracken; and as there was no stipulated engagement between them, his usher quickly determined to have nothing more to do with him.

He often seemed to recollect this first setting out for himself in the cares of a householder and a clergyman, at the age of twenty-three, with great amusement. His first pupil, for whom he ever after expressed great affection, yet not more than was his pupil's regard for him, was of a delicate constitution, and had been nicely brought up. Mr. Paley had no place to receive him into but a house with a stone floor, and being too poor to comply immediately with his mother's request for a carpet, he good-humouredly and thriftily made him stand upon the bellows. The first fee he ever got for the performance of his clerical duties he recollected with equal gratification, as it reminded him of his own simplicity. Being called upon by some great family to give private baptism to one of the children, he was presented with a slip of paper containing some piece of coin, which, to use his own words, he so pressed and fumbled about from an anxiety to know what it contained, that he had scarcely got out of the door when he ventured to peep; but on so venturing, he turned his head towards the house, and saw the whole family laughing at the windows. He soon, however, took the rat-sickness, as he said, from the

load of duty laid upon him as assistant curate ; for he reckoned that it was bad enough to be a simple rat, as was his cant term for curate, but to be the rat of rats, was as many degrees below a curate, as a deputy-sexton is below him. Not long after this time he was elected a fellow, and as soon as his favourite pupil, who had resided with him at Greenwich, was ready for college, he accompanied him thither, and commenced a residence in the university. Here he engaged in private tuition, still keeping his first attention fixed upon his companion and pupil. Shortly after his fixing in college, Dr. Shepherd, who had been long tutor, and on Dr. Backhouse's retirement, sole tutor for some time, and had lately been much engaged in forwarding Lord Sandwich's interest, as well as in other pursuits which called him much out of college, wished to have Mr. Law* for his assistant tutor in mathematics, and some little time after offered Mr. Paley his departments in natural law and in Locke †.

They continued a little more than three years as sub-tutors to Dr. Shepherd, when finding that a conscientious discharge of their duty, an eager interest in the improvement of their pupils, the confidence of their college in their abilities, and their own consciousness of superior talents wholly employed upon the one object of tuition, had the success generally attending such qualifications both upon themselves and the university, they proposed to Dr. Shepherd that he should admit them to a share in the tuition. After some struggle they obtained what they wanted, and what Law humorously called a *trisection*. Dr. Shepherd continued long after they both had left their situation in college ; but he is represented

* Afterwards Bishop of Elphin.

† I am not certain that he lectured in Locke at all. In the Public Characters, to which allusion has already been made, it is stated that Law lectured on Locke, to which it is added in the margin, *dele Locke*. Of his lectures on Locke I never heard, nor were they left among his papers with his other lectures.—ED.

by an account*, which probably would have been corrected had it been inaccurate, to have been at that time a sort of sleeping partner. It is well known, however, that Law continued in the mathematical department, and Paley lectured on moral philosophy, each giving a lecture in the evenings—Law in classics, and Paley in the Greek Testament: not in addition, as is stated by Meadley, but as Dr. Backhouse had lectured in the Greek Testament and the classics before, Mr. Paley lectured in, and chose that branch of divinity, in which consists the practical part of a clergyman's duty. But the addition, if any, or as it might then be called, the *innovation*, was in fixing upon the evening for this lecture. It is curious that this choice of departments, which was more accidental than designed, or perhaps more determined by the mutual indifference of both, than by any previous inclination to these studies, should have been the chief source from which the one derived his celebrity, and the other an amusement, even for life. Certain it is, that Paley often declared he would have taken either part. Indeed, his mind was not only capacious, but of that serviceable kind which is not uncommon. He could draw upon it for any occasion. He was more particularly attached to that closeness, and precision, and keenness, and deep penetration, which are eminently called forth at the bar. He used to think himself formed for a lawyer, both from his fondness for such sort of pointed investigation as is required on cross-examination of witnesses, and his cleverness in weighing evidence. In the latter part of his life he has been heard to say, that he often amused a sleepless night by making speeches to answer those of Mr. Pitt, Mr. Fox, and other of the leading men in parliament, and he thought he got on very well.

It might seem invidious†, not to say idle, to ground any

* Public Characters.

† Meadley has employed about sixty pages on Mr. Paley's university career. This rather speaks for a general want of incident in other stages of his life, than any want of discrimination in Mr. Meadley; though a farther

singular fame upon the manner in which any department is filled in the university, and more especially when it is considered that the same office of tutor has been discharged to this day by many with answerable effects, though they be not much called forth into public notice. It is no less consistent with his own views through life than with his just estimate of the exertion of others, to prefer classing him with a crowd, rather than catch at fame or eminence by speaking of the manner in which he discharged his office; neither did he himself seem inclined to lay any great stress upon his residence in the university as the happiest or most useful time of his life. He used indeed to revert to his college life very frequently, as is not unusual with others, and was much pleased in marking it as the time of his acquaintance with literary men of his day. There are, nevertheless, one or two circumstances attendant on his situation worth noticing, as at this period of life his character seems to have assumed more settled features, and as he now first, at the age of thirty, laid the foundation of his future celebrity as well as fortune.

The first feeling of a man's way, and the being accounted for something in the dramatis personæ of life, is undoubtedly gratifying, both at the first discovery and at the recollection of it; and here probably he found himself, at the age of twenty-eight or twenty-nine, set on his feet more than at any other date. His former habits of life had not been much above a low condition, and his own sentiments were very far removed, then and afterwards, from any overweening views of his own importance. He was now called to comparative independence, and to an association and equality, both in public and private, with many of the first-rate abilities. He appears to have been much esteemed, and even looked up to amongst his literary as well as his private friends in the university. His acquaintance with the men of note about his standing acquaintance with his subject might have enabled him to discern between what belonged to the individual, and what was partly owing to his situation.

seems to have been large enough, though not remarkable. In a Cambridge calendar with which, when it first appeared, he was much pleased, he marked all those with whom he had maintained any acquaintance, and his marks are very numerous. He was a member of the Hyson Club, which had been first formed by the wranglers of Dr. Thorpe's year, about five years before he took his degree; and which was at that time celebrated in the university as containing among its members some of very shining talents, among whom are to be enumerated, Dr. Beadon, the late bishop of Gloucester, Dr. Tomline, the late bishop of Winchester, Dr. Milner, the late dean of Carlisle, Dr. Waring, professor of mathematics, Mr. Vince, &c. It is well known that this system of clubbing has long prevailed in both of our universities; and as well used for rubbing off any little asperities, or the stiffness of more severe studies, he made it an object, as will be seen, in almost every place where he afterwards resided, either to promote or join a sociable meeting of the kind. In this Hyson Club, however, he seems to have contributed largely to the general stock of brilliancy and merriment which generally attends the relaxation of powerful minds, without at the same time entering into that sort of childishness which is sometimes affected for the sake of persuading others that the mind is unbending from graver pursuits. His powers of affording entertainment in general society were, it seems, at that time distinguished; and he must be eminently possessed of a talent for adapting himself, and of materials fit for being adapted to general use, who can preserve the same character with all parties in society during the course of a whole life. What is represented of his detaining the Fellows' table by his wit and drollery till he had eaten his own dinner, and being the life and spirit of a combination-room, deserves notice, not only as giving a strong mark of his mind at that time, but as continuing through stages of pain and sickness, when mere animal spirits must have failed. He had one excellence in a

high degree in after-life, which even then he seems to have been possessed of, that of always being able to impress his company with some apophthegm or witticism that would bear repetition; some stroke of wit on things of ordinary occurrence, which would be recollected as often as the same thing occurred: his family even at this time are seldom long in any company or society in places where he lived, without having occasion to trace him by means of such memoranda. With Dr. Waring, who, in his preface to *Miscellanea Analytica*, seems to have hit upon what was a great characteristic of his friend's mind "in veritatis investigatione ingenii maxime pollentis," he was more intimate than is generally represented. Of his goodness of heart, simplicity, genius, and learning, he coincides in opinion with the anonymous author in the *Public Characters*; but his manners and conversation he estimates much more highly. Dr. Waring indeed appears, according to the testimony of one very little junior to Paley, to have been as eminently distinguished by a general knowledge as by a characteristic simplicity of manners*. With Mr. (afterwards, on changing his profession from divinity to physic, Dr.) Jebb, he joined freely on subjects of scientific and speculative inquiry, and used often to talk over with an intimate friend in private, thirty years after, his pleasant intercourse with Jebb and Waring. But both these were his seniors. Though he esteemed them much, as possessed of independent and acute habits of thought, and rather looked up to them as pleasant and warm friends, there is but little reason to believe that he ranged himself on their side, or on any side, either in religion or politics. He read, and thought, and acted for himself on every occasion of his life. Of the warm-heartedness, indeed, and disinterestedness of his friendships, scarcely enough

* Gilbert Wakefield. There are many marginal—"No—No—unjust, foolish paragraph, I do not remember any such anecdote," added to a story about Mr. Waring, inserted in the *Public Characters*.—ED.

can be said, but much more than enough has been insinuated in various quarters, as to his heterodox principles and views, tending to innovation both in church and state, from his connexion with what is termed their "*party*." Merely to hazard conjectures against a generally received opinion is but a hopeless undertaking, but in the absence of all facts which point out any more intimate admiration of the principles of any party, and with the possession of an experience which plainly speaks the contrary, it may be fairly and fearlessly said, that he never in his life was a *party man*. On all questions, he was the advocate of liberal principles, and the most liberal discussion, but he never went one step beyond the bounds of fair and candid conclusions, or of established order. What his biographer* says of him on this subject, viz. that he was of a liberal party in the university, is just as true of him at any other period of his life. He was more properly a liberal man of any party. He brought great interest and eagerness to any party, and advocated any set of arguments by which good was to be done, or truth forwarded; but to say he was a secret advocate for ecclesiastical reform, or a political dabbler, from the circumstance of his having very slightly engaged in a question of the day which made considerable noise, or from having entered on a course of lecturing which involved all duties, moral and political, is to confound party with opinion, and to make every man a partisan who expresses his sentiments for or against any side of a question. It must be remembered that there was at that day, and perhaps is still, a certain aptitude in receiving, as well as readiness in affixing the name of *party* to men of any public note, according as their cast of mind or their abilities seem favourable to a certain way of treating particular subjects. Yet they are not to be called liberal, either in spirit or in letter, though they are equally eager for the character of liberality. Gilbert Wakefield, who adds a good deal to the weight of what

* Meadley.

might be called the liberal party at that time in the university, though by the selfishness and disappointed vanity which runs through his Memoirs, he gives occasion for doubting the purity of his motives on many occasions, says, "No man of any age, of any sect or denomination, has been so much a practical dissenter as myself, but as to party I will be of none, nor fight under any standard but that of truth and liberty." Yet this same was a reviler of church establishments, at the same time that he was called by Dr. Priestley an enemy to dissenters. He acknowledges himself indeed an enemy to most of the dissenters of that day, but not to the cause; and says, that ecclesiastical power in the hands of some of them would be a tyranny. One difference between these two, and probably many more of the same party, seems to have been, that Wakefield was not pleased with any party, and could not be; for his maxim, of which he says he made an excellent use on numerous occasions, was "*παντων δε μαλιστα' αισχυναιο σουτον.*" Mr. Paley was of every party, and friendly with men of all parties, but never exclusively attached to any. Wakefield's general account of this age of the university, and the questions at that time agitated, if they form a sort of right to his correcting "a mistake of those censorious surmisers who had imagined him to have been brought over to the same party," may serve equally on this occasion. He says, "It is not improbable that the example of such respectable characters, occupied in the pursuit and the profession of religious truth, might apply a spur to the willing courser, as it certainly excited, with the publications then current, a variety of conversation and debate upon the controverted points in theology among the undergraduates. But the influence over my mind went no farther. I soon found the truth to lie upon the surface; and was persuaded that a single eye of any acuteness, purged from those films of habitual acquiescence which are superinduced by the operations of timidity, or the suggestions of prudence, would never be a very long time in making the

discovery, and then my constitutional frankness and intrepidity would instantly impel me to the practical profession of it." The only transaction of public interest in the university in which Mr. Paley is said to have been concerned with any thing like eagerness or interest, was one on which he published a pamphlet entitled a Defence of Considerations on the Propriety of requiring Subscription to the Articles of the Church of England * : his interest in this question seems to have arisen from his having already made it a subject of attention in his lectures. But he appears, according to general opinion, to have engaged in it only so far, as to answer an attack made upon Bishop Law, his friend's father. But on this, as a young performance, which was not made the vehicle for grave opinion, and which reflects more on the tone of his opponent than conveys any additional information or sentiment, it is not necessary to say much more. Indeed, it is probably as signal a proof of his dislike to party, and much more to the purpose to observe, that he has not left behind him any one hint, or any room for conjecture, how far he was concerned in it. It was made a subject of doubt, even during his life, whether it was his or not, and was admitted after his death into a volume almost foisted into public notice ; but he seems to have been still satisfied with his own silence, and might have been much more entertained with the random-shots that have been fired at him since, by its being found out, " that it contains great arrogance and contempt both for his predecessors and his contemporaries, who viewed the matter in a different light, and with much intemperate argument." We are farther told to judge † how far he was consistent with himself, by appealing to his chapter on Subscription to the Articles of Religion ; but it ought not to be forgotten, that he was using the substance

* I have now by me authority enough to enable me to say decidedly that this pamphlet *was* the production of Mr. Paley.—ED.

† Wakefield's Memoirs.

of this chapter in his lectures at the very time. On this subject, and the interest it excited, as well as the general character of Mr. Paley in the university, which may very fairly be drawn from him without suspicion of partiality, something may be collected from the very equivocal bashfulness exhibited so boldly and intemperately by the author here named, who was nearly contemporary. Without noticing any pamphlet of Mr. Paley's in Defence of *Considerations* which incline to his own side of the question, he brings forward a difference of view on another part of the argument, and says, "I blush for him, I blush for the degradation of my species, when I see a man like Mr. Paley stain the pages of his incomparable book with such a shuffling chapter on subscription. He has amply gratified the most sanguine expectations raised in his friends by the extraordinary powers of his penetrating and comprehensive understanding, and the glory of his academical career; but has he acted up in this instance to the general simplicity and honesty of his character?" It will confirm what was said before, of the influence of a man's own views in determining him to or from the concerns of a party, if it be recollected that this dissenting Wakefield denominates the articles a "blessed farrago of mere impertinence and absurdity," and therefore is not likely to bear patiently with any one who treats them reasonably; though such an one proves himself to be no advocate of ecclesiastical slavery, having wished to abolish them, as appears by the Defence of *Considerations*. The truth is, they are treated by Wakefield as matters that deserve but one opinion; by Paley, as points to call forth and fairly exercise a difference of opinion, though he is himself unfavourable to them. This certainly is no shuffling, at least not shuffling for *honours*. As to his not joining the petition*, and excusing it by saying "that he was a coward, and that he could not afford to keep a conscience," or "that

* Meadley.

he would come in with the next wave," or inferring "that he was inconsistent with himself in such expressions," this is surely to give his mere sallies of wit and humour a more grave rebuke than such occasions seem to call for. These, like any other expressions uttered with his constitutional vivacity, as is very justly observed, ought not to be too rigidly interpreted*. Those who knew him well can exactly measure the importance of them, and can say, that his character has been entirely misunderstood, if from his being acquainted with men of great learning, and freedom of mind, and boldness in grappling with the mysteries of science, he has drawn upon himself an opinion of his being either a party man, or a partaker in most of the peculiar sentiments of his associates. For himself he would probably have recommended the old woman's recipe, which is given in the said *Defence of Considerations*, &c. "to leave off thinking for fear of thinking wrong." In the *Biographical Dictionary*, by Aikin, it is well observed from some periodical work, "that the Bishop of Carlisle's theological opinion fell greatly below the established standard of orthodoxy;" and Dr. Jebb's sentiments were equally obnoxious to the zealous friends of the church on the same account, though what were "his unwearied and intrepid exertions for promoting a reform in the university as well as in the church and state, by which he had incurred their odium," may be partly conjectured from what has just now been said. "The intimate friendship which subsisted between these learned men and Mr. Paley was perceived with a jealous eye by many who were closely attached to the established systems. Because he was a liberal thinker, it was suspected that he must be a latitudinarian; and they were prepared to discover dangerous tendencies in his moral and political speculations, if

* With Meadley, in spite of this good-natured salvo, Mr. Chalmers is much affronted, because he has not at once saved him from the imputation of great impropriety. His present biographer does not think that there is any occasion to apprehend the least danger, or feel the least affronted.

they should ever be given to the public." That his opinion, as well as his general character as an author, met with a good deal of this premeditated, preconcerted plan of opposition, may be best left as a matter of uncertainty; but it is a point quite beyond the power of any party to deny, that he seems not to have been so affected by any such insinuations as to be induced to swerve, during a great part of his life, from an open and independent declaration of his sentiments; that he never in truth departed from that true balance of reason which is the best test of independence; and that he supported all sides from the same, and only from the same motives, as he blamed, and ridiculed, and endeavoured to correct, all sides. Neither, on the other hand, ought any farther anxiety to be shown about his adherence to party than may withdraw him from the stir and bustle necessarily attendant upon it; because he would not have been either afraid to avow, or ashamed to confess, that he admired the same liberality of view, the same boldness in pioneering amongst the entanglements of learning, and opening the approach to the true spirit of all institutions. As far as this might be considered the design of the liberal party in the university, he would not be unlikely to engage in it with all his might; but though it might incline him to adopt some views at first, the tendency of which he neither saw nor looked for, it gave no twist to his mind at all sufficient to make him valuable to a party. It might lead him, indeed, not by any means to be "an overturner of churches, and spoiler of temples," but to take the obvious and first impressions of their use and abuse, to compare institutions and establishments as they exist, with the first intention of them, rather than to reason in favour of them, merely because they were existing institutions, or to follow them from their rise through the several steps of improvement. A young and ardent mind, little able, or at best not much inclined, to take hold of the chain on which many of our institutions and established forms depend, nor observing the links by which it is connected, each

more polished than the last, might easily be tempted to join in any wish for reform or revision, from detecting some roughnesses and blunders. From his natural taste for rubbing off any artificial guise, together with a certain reluctance in courting discretion, he might have been led hastily, and, with his early impression, to a rashness in finding fault with what more matured deliberation would have induced him to allow for; and so he might be more than partially involved in any public charge of heterodoxy, or at least a suspicion of being bent on innovation of some kind. But we may easily imagine that he scarcely supposed himself able to hold a decided opinion on matters of grave doctrine or political sentiment, or to stand forth as the advocate, and much less the champion of any party, since neither the complexion of his mind, nor the general temperament of his after-life, was at all worse for it. It was certainly not tinctured with any decided opposition either to church or state, nor does he seem to have been prevented from balancing between the advantages and disadvantages, the probability and improbability, of many points, which in later periods of his life came to him under a more important character.

In the estimation of all parties in the university, he seems to have been singularly honest, and strictly upright; a strong-minded, substantial, yet discriminating reasoner; a staunch advocate for discipline; liberal, modest, and independent; decisive, but not determined against improvement in his sentiments on subjects of religion and morality; wise enough to discriminate between a passionate and a rational prosecution of his object, yet always holding that object to be a bold and manly discharge of his duty*. He was associated, too, more

* For these characteristics I am much indebted to the stories so aptly brought forward by Meadley, to the communication of many of his acquaintance, to my own conclusions, from throwing together many recollections, and to the general estimation which appears to have been attached to his memory. Nor can I give any authority for gainsaying it, except

in sentiment than by any strenuous exertion, in discussing, if not improving the system of education then in the university; but he never took any office but that of taxor, nor did he assume much authority in his college, except on a few substantial points to which discipline might be well directed. He did not tease with demanding any little observances, nor did he easily yield to any requisition for licence and relaxation on substantial points. In conjunction with a man of very congenial views, and with the same grasp of mind, he was able at once to enter upon such a course of steady, and, as it is well termed*, old-fashioned discipline, as to leave on the minds of some of his pupils a lasting personal respect for himself, and in others, though at the time unwilling to submit, a fear and reverence, which never afterwards seemed to diminish, or appear ridiculous to themselves. He opposed, along with his fellow-tutor, Law, the grant of the college-hall to Lord Sandwich, who was strongly favoured and supported by Dr. Shepherd. This gentleman was probably too much interested in his lordship's behalf to be very scrupulous on that occasion, till it was suggested to him by the other tutors, that it would be so much for the interests of good order and discipline to oppose the unconditional grant of it, that they were resolved to stand firm against the whole proceeding, unless the offensive part of it was removed. Dr. Shepherd upon this claimed a promise from Lord Sandwich, that nothing but what was consistent with college discipline and strict propriety should take place, and they withdrew all opposition. There was no squabble of party in this, nor was Mr. Paley at all concerned in the contest between Lords Hardwicke and Sandwich.

The offer to go into Poland to superintend the education of Prince Poniatowski's relative, the young Prince Czartorinski,

one that I do not choose to follow—Hazlitt, that literary Thersites, who appears little acquainted with the character he so unsparingly bespatters.—ED.

* Quarterly Review, vol. ix.

was communicated to his friends at home; and though he showed no disinclination to the scheme, yet the reluctance which his mother showed was so much stronger than any wish expressed by his father, who thought it a very advantageous offer for his son, that he declined it.

The offer which is said to have been made to him by Lord Camden's friends, of becoming his lordship's private tutor, but to have been declined on account of his engagements, was never actually brought to his option, though it was talked of; so that he missed nothing by that.

Of his lectures, in addition to what has been so well and fully given*, it may be said, that there was little of the *popery* of education, as it is called,—little of precomposed forms of lectures. His plan, as far as he was sensible of plan, seems to have been to teach his pupils to think for themselves. He entered upon his subject not with a view of polishing what was known, but of teaching others to find out what was unknown, by observing the steps by which he had discovered it. As he seems to have employed much of that part of life, in which he first began to think and act for himself, in giving instruction, it may readily be conceived, that the habit of communicating it would continue long after his lectures were past. Accordingly he may be found employing the same mode of instruction in his family which he used at college, and it is characteristic enough of his powers to deserve mention by itself. Of his lectures on the Greek Testament, which some of his biographers have unfortunately praised “for being free from sectarian disputes,” and in which he is said to have recommended his pupils “rather to listen to God than to man,” there seems no authority whatever for speaking in this language, as his Greek Testament, from which he lectured, and which is even yet to be found, is chiefly filled with notes critical and explanatory, in the manner adopted since by Elsley. These

* Meadley.

explanations are taken principally from Bowyer's Conjectures on the New Testament, which came out just at that time, and are very consistent with the design he proposed to himself, as appears by the first page of his Lecture Book: "Points to be explained—Heb. phraseology—ways of reasoning—accommodation of prophecies—proverbs—explanation of customs." Of his other lectures, some notice will be taken when we come to that part of his life in which he sent out his works.

Of his private friendships during this period, it might not be necessary to say more than that he seemed naturally disposed to prefer private friendship to public notoriety at all times. So much, however, of his future fortune, as well as the exercise of his abilities, depended upon an intimacy formed at this time, and so strongly does the character of his more intimate friends prove how little he thought of belonging to any party in religion or politics, that all mention of them cannot well be omitted. Dr. John Law, Bishop of Elphin; the Rev. Wm. Sheepshanks, Fellow of St. John's; Lord Ellenborough; the Rev. Dr. Ord, of Bury, who was at that time his pupil, were four friends with whom he used to spend much, not only of his leisure time, but of his retirement, for more literary and serious conversation. Few evenings during their residence in the university passed without their visiting at one another's rooms. With these he kept up a constant correspondence and intercourse during the remainder of his life. The Rev. Edward Wilson, who was Mr. Pitt's early tutor, whose rise is said to have been limited, by some doubts of his orthodoxy, to a canonry of Windsor and rectory of Burfield, seems to have been a much valued friend; the Rev. Wm. Stoddart, of Ashford in Kent; Mr. Hall, master of the free-school at Grantham in Lincolnshire; the Rev. Mr. Wilson, of Moulton in Suffolk; and Mr. Mapletoft, of Anstye near Buntingford, seem to have been in habits of very friendly intercourse with him, and were often mentioned by him to his family with great pleasure. His connexion too with Mr. Unwin

ought to be recorded, as agreeing so well with his strong inclination for piety and religious conversation, for their intimacy was very great, and their conversation the most unrestrained on religious subjects. Though they differed materially on some of their views, yet did not this difference prevent a perfect and friendly interchange of sentiments on this subject, nor indeed does it appear to have assumed any other than the character of a religious friendship. Once afterwards at least he had the gratification of seeing his old friend at Dalston; at which time Mr. Unwin introduced his friend Cowper to him, and seemed anxious that they should be on the same footing as himself; but this intimacy never got forward; nor is there any recollection in Dr. Paley's family of his opinion or correspondence with either of them, except that on reading Hayley's Cowper, he observed, that "he had given Cowper a black cat, and he wondered that he had not mentioned that amongst other important matters." Of his epistolary correspondence, the want of which is much regretted*, as well in this as in other passages of his life, enough perhaps will appear in the sequel of our sketch to make the omission less unsatisfactory.

His private friends, some of whom still survive him, seem to value his memory, as that of one who was benevolent, candid, affable, lively, and spirited, ready to assist at all times, and ready to communicate whatever he thought, and whatever he knew, with a perfect unconsciousness of his own superiority, and without any suspicion of his own importance; and with such peculiar buoyancy of spirit, that they at once saw he was not only interested in what he was about, but cared not a rush for his own trouble or inconvenience.

In order to carry our account forward to a more mature stage, it will be necessary shortly to revert to his intimacy with Dr. Law, Bishop of Carlisle. Law and Paley had been acquainted while undergraduates, but their acquaintance did

* Meadley.

not approach to intimacy till after Paley had taken his degree; and so much did it grow upon both of them by a habit of occasional intercourse during their joint tuition, that its closeness was only to be equalled by its duration. There existed between them a singular union of steady and rooted principle, perfect singleness and integrity of heart, congenial powers of mind, and great warmth of feeling; yet these qualities were as singularly contrasted by a different application of them almost through life, by different pursuits, and even different inclinations and tempers. Yet so true and stedfast was their friendship, that though thrown into different parts of the world, there was both a constant interchange of letters and personal intercourse between them for thirty years, and their movements and enjoyments whilst in the university, as well as in later life, seem to have been regulated with reference to each other. They made frequent excursions together during the vacation to Giggleswick and other places. They usually travelled in a gig, and as if resolved to make a *vacation* of it, in every sense of the word, they added much to the pleasantry of their friends at home, and in college, by relating the ludicrous scenes in which they contrived to engage. As all, even the most humorous stories of his had point, it may perhaps serve to show the kind of incidents which then and ever after formed the chief food of his observation and amusement, if it be related that it fell to Mr. Law's share to support the dignity of the party with a servant and a gig, to which Mr. Paley added a horse, kept solely for that purpose. This horse was no very comely beast, and their servant was jealous for the character of the whole party. He therefore stitched a fine flowing tail to the harness, and used to surprise his masters on their arrival at an inn, by bringing with great importance the horse's tail into their room along with their luggage. It was in one of these excursions to Bath, that Mr. Wilkes, who occupied an adjoining room at the York Hotel, politely introduced himself one morning, and spent an hour with them. When at Giggles-

wick, Mr. Paley amused himself with fishing, while his companion scrambled over hills and stone walls, in which that country abounds, in search of amusement. An old man of the village, who accompanied Mr. Paley in fishing, was the only person, he used to say, who gave him a true view of the folly of affected condescension, “*arcta decet sanum comitem toga;*” for, on being asked to ride with Mr. Paley in his gig, which was intended to gratify the old man—“Nay,” said he, “I’d as well walk beside you; for if you wouldn’t shame with me in Settle, I should with you.” Some letters which remain among Dr. Paley’s papers and manuscript works mark very clearly the depth of affection and interest; and it is under a conviction that familiarity between friends ought to be sacred, that the present writer restrains himself from giving more extracts in this place. Let one suffice, which speaks not only to the point now meant to be recorded, but to the general character and cast of mind of the Bishop of Elphin*. In the Irish rebellion, after describing some of the alarms to which they were constantly exposed—“The next thing you hear may be that I am knocked on the head, when you will lose a friend who never directed an action against your happiness or a word against your reputation during his life.” This intimacy led to an introduction to Mr. Law’s father, who was, according

* It may serve to show further the great strength of mind and firmness of spirit which this eminent man possessed under the dangers of his country, if we give an extract from a letter written by Dr. Paley about this time to some of his friends. “The Bishop of Elphin has raised a corps of forty, which he commands himself, and does regular duty. The archdeacon is his captain. They were all picked men. One nevertheless has been taken up and hanged. His friends want him to live in England on a few hundreds a year in preference to that. Carlisle is full of Irish emigrants. A gentleman received a letter that all his servants were concerned and sworn; he had no suspicion of any of them till the night he set off; his butler entertained three hundred rebels at his house. A young gentleman taken up at the coffee-house here in women’s clothes, his father fighting for the king; his uncle a rebel, and just hanged.”

to Mr. Paley's own words, "his first and best patron*." The master of Peter House is unjustly aspersed, as having been promoted to the bishopric of Carlisle, and as having obtained some former pieces of preferment from an improper adherence to the Dukes of Newcastle and Grafton. These aspersions are entirely unfounded, as appears both by the Life, published by Mr. Paley, and what is more to our present purpose, by some manuscript notes left in his hand-writing, clearly proving it impossible from some circumstances attending his promotion. The bishop had selected his son's friend for his chaplain on his occasional visit to his diocese, and was soon enabled to provide for his son in such a way, that after about five or six years residence in college, he quitted it, to reside in his prebendal house at Carlisle. The following year his friend Paley was presented by the bishop to the rectory of Musgrave in Westmoreland, and soon after left his situation at college to undertake the charge of a country parish in a neighbourhood with which he had no connexion. He had discharged the office of tutor, as he says himself in his preface to the Moral Philosophy, about nine years. It was certainly no small surprise to some of his friends that he should leave engagements, which were at that time very lucrative, for a living of £80 a year: but it may well be supposed, that to a man of his powers of mind and habits of deep reflection, this was much less a consideration than his views of usefulness in the discharge of the more active clerical duties. His conviction, that though he was filling an important and useful station, yet it was not so congenial to his own elastic and energetic mind, as to seem worthy of terminating his prospects—the superior pleasures of an active and diversified life—the feeling of "*spatiis obstantia claustra*,"—

* Dr. Watson, Bishop of Llandaff, observes in his life, that Dr. Law, the master of Peter House, was one of the best metaphysicians of his time. "From my friendship with that excellent man, I derived much knowledge and liberality of sentiment in theology; and I shall ever continue to think of my early intimacy with him as a fortunate event in my life."

the desire of being at liberty to indulge a fondness for contemplative observation, and for studying man more than books,—all might influence him in choosing the life of a country clergyman. This indeed can only be conjecture, as much of what is here observed must necessarily rest upon conjecture; but it is at least fair, that what consists with his character in his best days, and with what was allowed to be a distinguishing trait in that character, should have more weight than any random conjecture that he had the promise of further provision from his patron, or any prospect of it built upon his connexion with Mr. Law. Indeed this is contradicted by himself in a marginal note on the *Life* already alluded to. He had always declared to his friends in college his design of leaving it as soon as he could, and seemed to his friends particularly fond of expatiating upon the pleasures of the frugal life of a country clergyman. Prospects he must undoubtedly have had, but they were the prospects of a man who was always inclined to believe that diligence, exertion, ability, and a regular discharge of an important duty, never were long without an adequate provision.

He brought to his new situation a mind disposed to be happy in its own resources, a good flow of spirits, a natural as well as habitual cheerfulness, great fondness for intercourse with its neighbours, and a strong inclination to make the most of his power of observation and penetration, by applying them to the purposes of religion and morality. These interests, the preservation of which was certainly the prevailing and paramount aim of his life, would not necessarily require mention, but that they were so deeply fixed as to have been a special and distinctive mark of his character, both grave and gay, both in his serious and his trifling moments, during the course of his life.

He never lived at Musgrave, nor had he any thing to do with farming or husbandry while he continued in that neighbourhood; but having been at Carlisle with Mr. Law during the former year on his induction to Musgrave, he was suddenly

struck with the beauty of Miss Hewitt, and after holding a short consultation with his friend, and not a much longer one with the lady, he, in the following spring, left college, and returning to Carlisle, married her. It was not a match of interest. She was the daughter of a spirit merchant at Carlisle, and though connected with the corporation and some of the first class of society in that city, she added much more afterwards, both to his comfort and his fortune, than he either expected or inquired after at the time. Sensible, but mild and unassuming, of retired habits, of a sweet and negative disposition, but inactive from ill health, and, as she used to call herself, a mere thread-paper wife, she both sufficiently accorded, and was sufficiently contrasted with the ardent temper, active and positive character of her husband, and therefore readily joined in his wish and inclination for the character of a country clergyman.

From this point, therefore, he is to be considered in a new and more perfect state,—in his public capacity as a preacher and an author, in his private character as a family man, and an individual possessing great power and influence in his circle, chiefly by his worth and integrity, and singleness, and the consistency of his life, his natural turn for benevolence, a freedom from all sorts of partiality and prejudice in his rules of acting, a close observation of the minute demands of society, and a nice distinction between the use and abuse of matters comparatively indifferent. In these will be found to consist most of what characterises him as an individual. Of his private life during this period not much can be given which may be made of public interest, except by observing, that he seemed now first to adopt the same methodical habits which continued with him during his life. His love of quiet, indeed, if not of retirement, yet of that degree of communication with society, which should leave him leisure enough for study and contemplation, were very strong even in this new state of his life; and living in the small country town of Appleby, which

was at a short distance from the village of Musgrave, where there was an agreeable, and to him valuable society, he passed his time usefully and satisfactorily. He had enough of society, enough of amusement, and enough of study. He soon either joined or promoted one of his Hyson clubs, where, for two hours in an evening, a few friends used to meet, spend sixpence, play a rubber at whist for threepence, and find entertainment and communicate information, without either quarrelling or abuse, in talking over the affairs of the neighbourhood; and here he used to boast of having added greatly to his stock of practical knowledge in the common concerns of life*. He had fishing to his heart's content; for, situated on the banks of the Eden, in one of the most delightful vales of Westmoreland, Appleby is quite the place for a fisher, who enters into the spirit of the sport, and loves the amusement of whipping the stream more than catching the fish. It might be probably from his eagerness for this amusement whilst at Appleby†, that Mr. Law struck out his intention of having his picture taken in his fishing trim; but it was not carried into execution till some time after, when Dr. Law was advanced to an Irish bishopric. To say nothing of the preposterous figure of a fisherman in a buzz wig and an arch-deacon's hat, which was scarcely worn on state occasions, it is probable that neither Romney who painted it, nor Dr. Law who insisted on having it painted in that guise, knew much of fishing, or recollected how little that very peculiar kind of pike fishing would be recognised on canvas even by fishermen; and if it was so designed in order to catch the beaming expression of his countenance, as may be very obviously conjectured from the sort of countenance which belonged almost exclusively to him, it would have been equally consistent with

* — Non de villis domibusve alienis,
 _____ sed quod magis ad nos
 Pertinet, et nescire malum est, agitamus.

HOR.

† Meadley has mentioned this incorrectly.

his mind, and more so with good taste, to have made him intent on any other subject of contemplation, since there were many at that time as congenial to him. It was thought so good a painting, that when Dr. Law, then Bishop of Clonfert, called on Romney to pay him the stipulated price, the painter took up his £50 with great dissatisfaction, at the same time observing, he had been offered twice as much for it.

The society of Appleby was made more agreeable to him by its offering to him an acquaintance with Mr. Yates, who was at that time master of the grammar-school in that place, and quite a Busby of the north. Though before that time it was a school of no mean repute, as having prepared along with St. Bees men of that and the adjoining county for the old foundation of Queen's College, Oxford, yet it was indebted to Mr. Yates for much of its celebrity, which at that time was very great, and since that time has not diminished. By his long and close attention to the spirit as well as technical part of his office, and by the superior penetration and attainments which he brought to it, he was concerned in the interest and improvement of some of the principal families in the county. Mr. Paley was much junior to Mr. Yates, who at that time was advanced in years, but they soon discovered and valued in each other (as might be expected in a provincial town, where such discoveries are not often made,) the same fondness for literary and intellectual pursuits, and quickly entered into habits of unconstrained conversation. Mr. Paley, brought up to think a schoolmaster's the first of all employments, was glad to find so much affability, good-humour, and cheerfulness, united to great taste and intellect*. There was besides a

* Whilst I am upon this subject, I cannot help mentioning that Mr. Yates translated almost the whole of the first volume of the Spectator into Latin, as elegant and classical as the English of Addison, and was famous at eighty years of age for the spirit and tone with which he read the plays of Shakspeare.—ED.

great similarity in their ways of thinking, and in the degree of importance they both attached to the manners and morals of those that depended upon them; they both paid particular attention to those little steps by which immorality makes a gradual progress, and were unwilling to overlook trifles. Many a cheerful hour was passed in each other's society. Mr. Yates used to desire Mr. Paley's company in an evening to sit with him, when the messenger was sent back to say he was busy knitting. Another message was sent to desire he would bring his knitting with him, when Mr. Paley would good-humouredly put it in his pocket and exhibit it, to show that he was in truth knitting a stocking for his first child. All these circumstances were recollected, when he called this, as he has often been heard to call it, the happiest time of his life.

It may be necessary to observe, as he is now in a way to be considered in his proper character as a divine, and distinctly as a composer of Sermons, that of all other departments of his life, the least stress has been laid by his biographers upon that ordinary class of duties, the performance of which he considered the most important—that he never before assumed or pretended to the full discharge of the duties of a country clergyman—that from this time the composing and delivery of sermons was made a principal business, and was a duty in which he both liked and was most able to display his great powers of mind—that the sermons which were now composed will appear to be of more importance in the sequel than is generally understood—and that from this period to the end of his life this work never seems to have lost its interest in the least, nor to have assumed a different cast or management. It is said indeed by those who perhaps knew him best, that his early productions in this way were verbose and florid, meaning by his early productions those at Greenwich and during his residence in the university; and by

“ verbose and florid,” of a cast different from his later productions*. The authority for so saying is derived from too good a source to be disputed by those who have not a better; and there are at this day very few remaining in manuscript of that period. Those that do remain indeed are not so free from an affectation of style and common-place sentiments as those of his later life, though by no means unlike the style of the notes to his Essay, which is at once manly and scholar-like. It is not, in short, unfair to presume, that he might in his younger days be carried away by the passion for display which attaches to most young writers, as well as by a notion of adapting them more readily to a congregation used, as his then opinion might be of the people at Greenwich, to a higher style of preaching than mere country congregations. They are many of them full of high-flown apostrophes, and what he would have been inclined perhaps to call little elegancies of composition, which show the writer of them not to have been without taste and feeling, but scarcely bespeak great powers of reasoning. Thus in one of these earlier productions of his, one of those at least which show their age by their torn and tattered condition, and the firm kind of hand-writing; upon the text, “ The days of our age are threescore years and ten,” are to be found the following passages, which do not bear the stamp of his mind, though they may of his feelings in an earlier age, when the poetical fervour of such writers as Watts or Hervey, or the eccentric effusions of Sterne, are often substituted for more rational piety. “ Do you but look upon yourself as dying daily, and hastening to the grave,—do you in

* These observations upon the style and manner of his sermons are not indeed so much applied to the period now spoken of; but they are nevertheless applicable, both because the character of them is marked from this time, and most of those dated at this period continued, with small alterations, to be occasionally preached at other places; so that what will suit one period of his life may easily suit another in that respect.—ED.

your most serious meditations often represent to yourself your own frail, languishing, consumptive condition, and the swift approaches that death is still making towards you, every day bringing you nearer and nearer to your long home,—and you will find that nothing could have been more effectual to humble your pride than this will be. Stoop down and look into the grave and see how your head must shortly be laid there, and you will see little reason to be lifted up with any thing.”

Again, “ Thirdly, the effectual and constant sense of our frailty will quicken us to provide for death and for another world. He can scarce avoid this, who ever looks upon himself as a dying man. Can any one be so hardy as to think of launching forth into the other world, of entering upon eternity, before he hath made his peace with God by a conquest over his evil habits, obtained an interest in Christ by practising his laws, and some comfortable evidences of the forgiveness of his sins? The serious apprehensions of death will, if any thing will do it, awaken his conscience, rouse up his drowsy soul, and make him seriously thoughtful and solicitous about his eternal concerns. One great cause of the deep slumber and desperate carnal security of most men,—of this wretched neglect of the salvation which Christ in his Gospel offers, and of putting off from day to day the one great business of making their peace with God, and securing the welfare of their immortal souls—of all this the principal cause is, that they put far from them the evil day, and either think not upon death at all, or think of it at a great distance.” A sermon upon the crucifixion, the burden of which is, to set on a broader basis of reason and common sense the doctrine of atonement, has the following beginning and conclusion, which if they be original, bespeak a more ranting strain of preaching than he usually indulged in. After the text comes an allusion to that well-known passage from Isaiah:—“ Who is this that cometh with dyed garments from Bozrah—who is this that

cometh from Edom? He that beareth our griefs and carrieth our sorrows—that was wounded for our transgressions and bruised for our iniquities; that appeaseth the vengeance of an incensed God and taketh away the sin of a condemned world. Sacrifice and burnt offering thou wouldst no longer have—then said I, lo, I come to preach the glad tidings of salvation and seal them with my blood. I come to lay down my life a ransom for many, and offer myself an oblation for the sin of the whole world. It was not enough that the Son of God should take upon him our nature, but that he should share our sufferings too.—It was not enough that he should be born to teach, but that he should die to redeem his people—it was not enough that he should die, but that he should give himself to the death of the cross. Well might all nature sympathize with her expiring Lord—well might darkness overspread the land, and the veil of the temple be rent in twain. The sun saw this and fled, the earth quaked for fear. What aileth thee, O thou sun, that thou fleddest, and thou earth, that thou quakest for fear? The Lord of life endureth death—he who could have summoned the host of heaven to his aid yielded up his soul an offering for sin, and boweth his sacred head upon the cross. This, my brethren, is the great mystery of godliness—this the amazing spectacle of mercy, which the return of this season invites us to.” Towards the conclusion of the same—“ Ill fated Jew, well might our Saviour say, thou knewest not what thou didst when thou crownedst with thorns the King of Heaven—thou knewest not when thou inflictedst those stripes by which thou thyself wast healed—thou knewest not when thou laidst the cross upon him, who bore it for thy sake—thou knewest not that it was his death, which was to give light and immortality to thee that piercedst his side—when thou shouldst behold again thy Saviour and thy judge.”

Be these sermons whose or what they may, the only effusion to be found at all like them is one of a much later date, and

written in a fair hand, in which amongst much rather commonplace matter, on the Christian's hopes, with a text from Heb. ii. 15, "And deliver them who through fear of death were all their lifetime subject to bondage;" is the following passage, which is given as a specimen of his attempts at pulpit eloquence; for if this sermon be not his own, it was certainly preached.—"How for example was it with the Apostles, with the primitive converts of the religion, which yet is the same religion, and still offers the same hopes? When we see the first followers of the faith of Christ relinquish their pleasures and renounce their indulgences, exchanging a life of sensuality and voluptuousness, for abstinence, mortification, and self-denial, ease and security for pain and danger—when we see this, we see the power of Christianity to lift the human soul above the world in which we live: but when we see those disciples of a crucified Lord, following their Master through sufferings and death, when we see them set at defiance the most cruel tortures that barbarity could exercise or ingenuity contrive; lingering in the agonies of death, yet saluting their destiny with songs of triumph, and breathing out their souls in thanksgivings to God, who had accounted them worthy to be partakers of the sufferings of Christ; from this spectacle we learn what faith can do. O faith! thou guardian of a Christian's virtue, thou source and fountain of all his joys, thou balm that healest the ills of life—thou beam that lightest us through the vales of death—by thee we quench the darts of Satan—by thee we surmount the terrors of the grave—by faith with confidence we have access to God. If then these persecuted champions of the Christian faith not only supported death with fortitude when unavoidable, but submitted to a voluntary martyrdom; shall we find the same faith unable to sustain our fortitude in the hour of quiet and natural decease? They met a violent and untimely fate, inflicted by incensed and barbarous enemies; we expect our departure, when God in his own good time shall command us to pass through a

peaceable change to a better existence. They wrestled with the waves on splinters of the wreck—we sail on to the shore on beams of cedar.” He himself says in one of his charges, “that in most men genius is ripe before judgement. It opens with the bloom of youth, and sometimes does not survive it. On the contrary, the judgement seldom attains its maturity till much later. Being in a great measure the fruit of experience, it is of slow growth, and is in a state perhaps of constant progress at best, so long as the powers of the understanding remain entire. He therefore who addresses himself to any species of composition in the earlier part of his life, comes to it with the advantage of a fertile and glowing imagination, but often with great imbecility or unsoundness of judgement. Any man who recollects his early compositions will be sensible of this.” This florid style, however, is to be received as so far from the usual character of his compositions, that it rather leads to the conclusion, either that he was not in the habit of preaching much on his first taking orders, or that he copied many of them from his senior curate, which has sometimes been hinted, or that he altered his mode of composing them. In the university he had been considered rather more original than eloquent in the pulpit, but sufficiently attractive to draw the attention of both the old and young members of the university. In the college vacation, on coming among his friends he preached with great effect to crowded congregations in the church of his village; and notwithstanding the ease with which such popularity is gained in such a neighbourhood by a man already much admired, yet it was said even then, that he was never well or thoroughly known, till he was heard from the pulpit. But from the date of his taking upon him the more active duties of a clergyman, to the end of his life, his sermons have the same distinguishing character, and the mode of his delivery seems to have remained equally earnest and singular. One great excellence of his preaching and the performance of his church duties, was that he carried all his

powers and all his heart into the pulpit. He was there, if any where, in his glory. As this indeed is remarked of him elsewhere, so it may be added, that he seemed peculiarly, and above all other feelings, impressed with a *personal* concern in the devotions he led. He seemed anxious to catch the spirit of devotion rather than to be attentive to its forms. This much more than compensated for any want of dignity which he showed; it was so apparent, that it held out at once to some of his hearers an excuse for his lowering the tone of his devotion to common and ordinary feelings. He certainly here showed himself free from any thing like affectation of solemnity, or the pomp of priesthood, or the unaccommodating exclusive airs of a devotee. He who was cheerful and animated and bustling in the world, was all solemnity and zeal and earnestness in the pulpit. He avoided indeed the inconsistency of having two characters, by showing it impossible that he could be otherwise. He had not the art, or never used it, of presenting one face to one set of men, and another to another, but was the same man in different situations; and yet so much unlike what he usually appeared in mixed society, that no one would readily recognize him who did not understand what would be the effect upon such a mind, of pure unadulterated devotion. Though he adapted his conception and his views of a subject to the class of hearers, to whom he preached, yet he was acceptable to all classes in any one congregation, by the plainness and originality of his illustrations, the peculiar strength, yet homeliness and familiarity of his style, the exactness and expressiveness of his language; and it may bear observation, that he was particularly striking even to children, whose attention is not easily secured. It has often been observed by those of his hearers, who were scarcely able or inquisitive enough to examine the reason, that the finer and more polished periods of celebrated preachers never impressed them so much, or fixed their attention so steadily as his manner of preaching; and some

of his younger and habitual hearers have remarked, when attending other very excellent and popular preachers, that though they found themselves admiring the beauty of style and manner which was wanting in their own minister, they were far from being so sensible of any impression that was for their good afterwards. It was not easy to hear him with indifference; nor was there any agreeable monotony in his sermons to slumber over; for they contained nothing but roughnesses, and yet were full of sentences which formed rather general maxims, than mere matter of temporary application. He entered at once, not only into the spirit, but into the very middle of his subject, with such facility, and such powers of enlarging upon it, that he made his hearers acquainted with more in a short time, than might have been given to them on ordinary occasions by a hundred sermons.

He had, as was remarked before on another occasion, a peculiarity of delivery, and awkwardness of attitude, more particularly observable in the pulpit; but the attention of his hearers soon wholly merged in the matter, and was carried from the preacher to the subject. He seemed indeed to be inattentive to all arts and elegancies of elocution, and to prefer what might show him anxious to do his best and do credit to his subject, rather than to be at all desirous of the graces and decorations of delivery. He persuaded his hearers, that, whatever he might be considered, however he might shine, however he might offend against more accurate taste, he reserved his whole powers for his subject. The manner of his preaching was strong and striking, and rather of a reproving cast, than soft or moving. He certainly approached the ludicrous, when he attempted to move by his oratory. In his delivery, that taste and application to the wants and desiderata of his subject, which is conspicuous in his writings, was looked for in vain. His voice is stated to have been rough and inharmonious, and his accent provincial. This is not sufficiently qualified. His voice was not strikingly rough, but on the contrary in private

sweet and very distinct; but though deep, it was by no means strong, nor very capable of exertion. Its roughness, if any, was on occasional exertion. On first entering a church, where he was performing service, or a room where he was speaking, it was rather strikingly pleasant, because natural. Neither was his accent peculiarly provincial. It might have been called rather wanting in refinement, but by no means disagreeably so.

Of his method of composing sermons, probably the best illustration may be drawn from his own rules on this subject, delivered in his lectures; yet to see how far he was consistent with himself, and adopted his rules into his own practice, and also how many additions he found it necessary to make when the practice of them came before him, it may be well to give one or two observations upon it. Indeed from the activity and energy of his mental powers, which led him to think of many points at once, from his being every where a minute and penetrating observer, and applying that observation to some purpose and some object; from the general turn of his thoughts towards higher contemplation, from the constant recurrence of his duty, from his heart being wholly in this business, it is more than probable that he would himself be inclined to give great weight to this part of his writings. There is a reason which might probably prevent his appearing in public as a sermon-writer during his life, besides his own ingenuous declaration*, “that they are in such constant use, that he was glad to change his living because they came too often over.” This is, that he was perhaps indebted to his sermons, or at least the enlargement of his subject in this way, for his works on Moral Philosophy, Evidences of Christianity, and Natural Theology, as most of those sermons that remain seem clearly formed upon thoughts connected with his favourite subjects, and some of them contain the same sentiments, and even ex-

* Meadley.

pressions which are to be found in his latest productions, though from their date evidently composed before he had any views of publication. Some of these sermons are published in this edition for the purpose of confirming this statement. Instead of any disparagement to him as a writer, it is presumed they will show that his works were certainly fondlings of his mind, and seem to have called forth the repeated application of his thoughts, and to have shared under one shape or another even the advanced powers of his intellect. His method of composing sermons then appears to have been this:—He let himself into the midst of his subject, and reduced what he had to say, or what he intended to say, into method and heads, before he encountered it, so as to see both beginning, middle, and end, at one view. He rarely undertook a subject at a venture. He was constantly in the habit of turning it over and over at his leisure, and guarding its progress by repeatedly turning back to where he set out, so that he came more than half prepared to his paper. Thus what is said* of his being a rapid composer, or his seldom transcribing his sermons, might easily be accounted for. “Slow composition,” in speaking of the composition of sermons in one of his charges, “does not in general answer; it makes breaks, and interrupts the flow of thought.” But he was rather to be called a desultory than rapid composer of a sermon; at any rate he was by no means a rapid finisher. He seldom was without two or three sermons

* Meadley. The incident that gave rise to Meadley’s information was the following: in later life, when on a visit at Buckden previous to his being installed to the subdeanery of Lincoln, he was asked to perform the Sunday duty there, and on being afterwards requested to leave his sermon there for private perusal, he answered, “You may have it, madam, freely, but it is what neither you nor any body else can make out, for I had much ado to make it out myself.” Having promised, however, to get it copied, he shortly after gave it to his daughter, who, astonished as she well might be, at the laborious charge committed to her, plagued him so much for explanations of what he himself could not make out, that he tried what dictating would do, and in this task he was interrupted by the friend who gave Meadley this information.

lying unfinished on his table; which he took up according to the channel of his thoughts, and was thus enabled to stuff them so much with acute observation, and pithy sentences. He recommends in the same charge, "frequent transcribing." "One writing," says he, "is worth many readings. It may be said perhaps that so much anxiety about diction destroys one of the best properties of popular writing, ease of style and manner. The very reverse of this is the truth, unless we choose to call slovenliness ease. There are no compositions in the language which have been so admired for the very quality of ease as those of the late Mr. Sterne; yet none I believe ever cost their author more trouble. I remember to have seen a letter of his, in which he speaks of himself as having been incessantly employed for six months upon one small volume." He also recommends "frequent revisals of what was written." This was his own case. No man for instance was so particular about punctuation; in many of his writings the only intelligible marks of his pen at first sight are prodigious commas. He seems to have been careful, and even almost proud, not only to bring forward what he conceived from his own experience to be the prevailing desiderata of the subject, but to grapple with any obvious difficulties. This indeed is said very happily* to be a distinguishing character of his works, and it seems to have been partly the cast and bent of his mind. He never seems to refuse any the most knotty point, for fear of not encountering it with sufficient force; nor to have avoided any perplexing intricacy, for fear of not disentangling it so as to make it intelligible to his hearers. He seldom attempted to make more than one or two impressions, in one sermon, for this reason, that a sermon is better worth the labour which conveys any one impression, than that which is so full of matter, that it either leaves the hearers in doubt what to take hold of, or wholly lost in a mass of confusion. For much the

* Chalmers.

same reason, he was a friend to short sermons. "Let one impression," said he to a friend, "be but made, and send it home with your congregation, and you do more for them than giving them twenty comments."

One prevailing defect which is sometimes complained of, and which has struck many of his congregation on hearing him from the pulpit, is a want of close or conclusion, or gradual winding up of his subject. He never spun out his discourse; whether short or long he finished it as soon as he had no more to say. He was not much in the habit of appealing to the feelings of his congregation, and seems not to have relished the usual way of applying what he had previously been saying by any well wrought or artificial mode of addressing their feelings. Nor does it seem so much from any studied design, much less from any artifice, that these abrupt conclusions so often occur, but rather from an unwillingness to affect what he did not feel, and what he could not have delivered with any degree of self-possession. Though by no means deficient in feeling and pathos, which he had the power of rousing in the best way by natural, unaffected touches, not too much dwelt upon, he was certainly more partial to the way of working conviction by reason, than taking any advantage of feeling, when he did not feel. Though possessed of the warmest feelings of religion, he never indulged in the religion of feeling.

He might indeed have discovered from the manner in which his early sermons were received (supposing the specimens given *do* mark his true method of writing at that time), that the delivery of such was but ill suited to his inelegant manner. He might think, if he reasoned upon 'it, that whatever moved the affection was perishable as the affection itself; whatever made an impression upon the understanding, or wrought conviction by the force of reason, was at least more likely to be permanent; but it was more consistent with his natural character to suppress or conceal his feelings. On religious sub-

jects he seldom conversed, and rarely spoke at all upon them with any of his family. Whether in addition to this constitutional bias he felt that the display of even natural feelings was often attendant upon weakness or affectation, and that man's religious feelings were too awful a subject for bunglers to meddle with, it is clear as well from his manner of preaching as from the composition of his later sermons, that there was not an attempt made to guide either himself or his hearers by feeling. So much indeed do most of his later sermons, published and unpublished, partake of this character, that they have been, though rather injudiciously, classed amongst moral and religious essays, in utter regardlessness of what he expresses in one of his own charges: "The danger however (*i. e.* of preaching up the necessity of faith, which was left to be unproductive) is nearly overpast. We are on the contrary setting up a kind of philosophical morality, detached from religion, and independent of its influence, which may be cultivated, it is said, as well without Christianity as with it; and which, if cultivated, renders religion, and religious institutions, superfluous. A mode of thought so contrary to truth, and so derogatory from the value of revelation, cannot escape the vigilance of the Christian ministry. We are entitled to ask upon what foundation this morality rests. If it refer to the divine will, (and without that, where will it find its sanctions, or how support its authority?) there cannot be a conduct of the understanding more irrational than to appeal to those intimations of the Deity's character, which the light and order of nature afford as to the rule and measure of our duty, yet to disregard and affect to overlook the declarations of his pleasure which Christianity communicates. It is impossible to distinguish between the authority of natural and revealed religion. We are bound to receive the precepts of revelation for the same reason that we comply with the dictates of nature. He who despises a command which proceeds from his Maker, no matter by what

means or through what medium, instead of advancing, as he pretends to do, the dominion of reason, and the authority of natural religion, disobeys the first injunction of both*.”

Instead of making a sermon to a text, he not unfrequently chose his text after he had completed his sermon; not, according to the old receipt, because his text would suit any sermon, or his sermon any text, but because he was in the habit of considering the wants of his congregation, or of turning over some subject, which wanted to be made plain to his hearers, without a particular reference to his text or any single passage of Scripture. There is a story by Meadley of his making eight sermons from one text. Two or three appear amongst his collection with the text specified, “Exhort one another daily, while it is called to-day, lest any of you be hardened through the deceitfulness of sin,” Heb. iii. 13; but nothing extraordinary is to be said of them, except that they are written in a different hand. His texts seem to have been for the most part chosen from those passages of the Epistles that are the least intelligible: if in any thing he did not sufficiently repress the marks of his being a scholar, it was in this. He copied from none. He was perfectly original, if not in matter, yet in the manner of bringing it forward: yet he seems to have adopted for his model, Sherlock, Clarke, and Hoadley; the latter of whom he calls “the excellent Hoadley.” He resembles them not only in the rationality of their style and sentiment, and their freedom from any improper display of learning or feeling, but also in the choice and treatment of many of their subjects. Indeed the only *stolen* sermons which are amongst his collection are two from Hoadley†: so that his direction in his College Lectures, “As to preaching, if your situation requires a sermon every Sunday, make one and steal five,” was not adopted from

* This was preached in the year 1790, not long after the publication of his Moral Philosophy.

† Three of the latter sermons in vol. vi. of this edition are said to be taken principally from Flectwood.

his own practice; nor is it easy to tell where he could have found sermons at all suited to his habit of thinking or manner of delivery. These, too, were his general books on a Sunday evening, when he had his family round him, and one of them reading aloud: Tillotson more rarely, and sometimes Scougal, and Ostervald's *Corruptions of Christians*, by Mutel. What he says so well in his Lectures, "When your Greek Testament is stocked with notes, the interpretation of texts is at hand," is true of his own manner of composing; for his Greek Testament from which he lectured is a small Wetstein, interleaved with quarto sheets, as full as it can hold from beginning to end of manuscript notes, written for the most part in a short hand peculiar to himself.—If these observations have taken up more room than memoirs of this kind seem to allow, they as properly belong to this place as any other, for the reasons mentioned before; and could not well be given in any less critical manner, without reserving the whole for some future page, with which it might be more unconnected.

In his public capacity of clergyman, his attention was also necessarily much drawn to the wants of his parish in particular, and, by his intimacy with the bishop's family and with the archdeacon, to the affairs of the clergy in general belonging to the diocese. Much of the management as well as interest, both of the bishop and dean and chapter, fell necessarily under his friend the archdeacon, Mr. Law's, charge; and this made it, by a common sort of sweeping supposition, generally believed, though entirely without grounds, that he, with the assistance of Mr. Paley, had the management and administration of the whole ecclesiastical affairs within that diocese. Mr. Paley never interfered beyond his station at any time.

About this time, that is, during his residence at Appleby, either as vicar of Dalston, or rector of Musgrave, he preached his first sermon, and chose for his subject the use and application of Scripture language. He seems to have pitched upon this subject, not so much from any signal misapplication that

had been made, or from any party in the church which at that time was struggling for ascendancy in the diocese; but he had, probably, in his official situation as chaplain observed, that very little attention was paid to such matters; and it was easy to see what would be the consequence of a general want of distinguishing between the two uses of certain Scripture terms. It seems to have been his object on that, as on most other occasions of his life, to strike out something deserving of public attention—rather with a view of drawing men's minds from a blind attachment to any ill-grounded position, than with the design of promoting, or making himself known as a favourer of any particular sentiment.

It is not for the sake of instituting a fresh inquiry into the merits of this performance, that it is here noticed; but of declaring at once that it seems scarcely to consist either with the decency or propriety of this undertaking, to graft any private sentiments on the writings of the author upon a mere detail of his life and opinions: nor does it seem to savour less of a general want of material, than of misplaced criticism, that such advantage is taken in some of the Lives already published. But it will not be improper to take a passing view, as occasion may arise, of some odd inconsistencies, with which no writer can be justly chargeable; and which are wholly to be referred to the differing sentiments of the reader, and an inattention to the main design of the writing. Instead, therefore, of entering at all into what might seem to awaken criticisms long since laid asleep, it will be best to limit observation to the mere style and composition of the various works.

It is remarkable that this sermon has, above many others, given rise to an opinion that an evident "change" took place afterwards in his sentiments; because his sentiments on the doctrine of conversion are stated more fully, but not one whit less firmly, in the volume of sermons published after his death. As far as change may be wanted, or might have been desirable, it would be well to take refuge in the Spanish proverb men-

tioned by the Spectator,—“ A wise man changes his mind, a fool never will.” But in this case something still more applicable may be observed, against any change being either felt or wanted by this writer. It is not certain that the one was not founded upon the other, so far as to be an improvement upon and a more detailed view of the particular doctrine. What tends to confirm this opinion is, that one or two sermons, given in this edition, bear evident marks of being much later compositions, and yet contain the same sentiments on Scripture language; whilst there are others which were, by their marks and dates, evidently composed about that time, containing sentiments which might be made to speak a sense as different as any thing which is said to indicate a change. Indeed when a “change” of views is spoken of, or attributed to any writers on many different subjects at many different times, it should be recollected what is the particular aim at each time of writing, or each mention of such subject; and though it has often been observed, since the posthumous volume of sermons came out, that the writer never before appeared in such softened colouring, or in such a kindly genial light, nor was ever known well as a Christian divine before that volume made its appearance, yet to show at once how much of this surprise is owing to the reader who expresses it rather than to the subject on which it is expressed, it is certain that most, if not all the sermons in such volume, were composed during the early part of his ministry. It may be admitted, indeed, that as he is no ordinary writer, so it is not easy for a slovenly or hasty reader to take in at one glance all the meaning and application of particular passages; so much indeed is this the case, together with a certain air of originality, and as it were *novelty* in the construction of his sentences, that a difficulty is sometimes felt in getting forward in the perusal of his works, from being obliged to go back and revise every now and then some few sentences or periods. Though perspicuity is certainly so leading a trait of his whole works as well as of his particular ex-

pressions, that a reader is never in doubt about his aim, yet that very brevity which often forms his perspicuity, is the cause of some perplexity. When others would use periphrasis and wordy explanations, he always seems to convey in the fewest words what he intends; so that a reader may be well at a loss to recollect the steps by which he has got to his point, and be surprised to find himself there so much sooner than he expected. It is thus also* very possible, that the reader may carry forward impressions which are unauthorised or undesigned, or unthought of in other parts of the same writing. Something too must be allowed on this head, to the train of sentiment which a writer is carrying on, and which may lead to many expressions apparently inconsistent with the same writer on different subjects. Something must be allowed to the improvement of a young and comparatively inexperienced divine; something to an unwillingness, or a want of opportunity, to explain the exact manner in which one opinion hinges on another, even though a conviction be present that such opinion will seem inconsistent; something to a wish at any future time to explain or to soften the decisiveness of an opinion delivered, though not with haste, yet without sufficient qualification; something to a bluntness and short sententiousness in the mode of delivering an opinion,—which mode is, of all others, perhaps the most subject to misconstruction, as well as to the charge of inconsistency, from containing parts of a meaning which at other times it may be necessary to contradict,—something too, and a great deal, must be allowed to the consideration of what is *the main aim and design* of the writer, for by this all other thoughts are generally to be measured. We need not look farther for the want of such allow-

* Though, in contradiction to this opinion, I have heard it sometimes asserted that a reader is always at home in these works, and never has to look back for a connexion or a meaning; yet I am not disposed to change this opinion, conceiving that there is a sufficient variance between the scope of the two opinions to warrant both. ED.

ances, with respect to the present author, than to one or two of the Charges delivered shortly after this time; in which, as well as in other detached parts of his works, he may be said either to be inconsistent with himself, or to have changed his sentiments. In truth, it is not a mere probability, but a position, that waits only a close examination to be proved, that the inconsistencies with which this writer *may* be charged, are not less than those with which he has been charged. By one, indeed, he has been held to have unscriptural views of many doctrinal points; and by another to have abandoned his reserve, and expressed himself too freely an advocate for those very doctrines. By Trinitarians he is represented as smacking of a Socinian; by Unitarians, as leaning too much to the contrary side. By one he is said to hold Anti-Christian views, by another to be a genuine Christian. By some his system of morals is thought to depend too much on religion, by others not enough. By one set he is held to have sapped the very foundation of our church establishment, by another to have been too much confined to forms and habits of veneration for them. By this party he is said to have spoken his mind too freely and bluntly on politics, by that to have been shamefully indecisive. But this is not all. Those who have a happy knack of finding out the bent and course of opinions of any writer from sentences cull'd " *Apis Hymetti more modoque,*" would be able, by such an investigation of his writings, to make him either a latitudinarian or a bigot; either tolerant or intolerant; either high church or low church; either whig or tory; either violent liberal, or a prejudiced advocate for subjection and obedience to unlimited prerogative. Without admitting that such misconstructions are obvious, but rather adverting to the inattention and disregard with which some readers apply to any works which seem to contradict their own favourite bias, it may easily be acknowledged that this writer is as liable as many others to the charge of inconsistency and wavering sentiments. It is by no means unlikely to arise from

that sort of decided and sententious tone, which is often attendant upon superior abilities; but which, unfortunately for them, is so much oftener assumed by mere sciolists, that it is seldom received without some hesitation or qualification. It seems to be one great characteristic of his mind, that he preserved a steady uniformity and consistency of opinion on most of the great points of his religion, and such an even balance withal as led him to allow for different ways and habits of thinking*. At any rate, be it change, be it improvement, be it inconsistency, be it variableness or indecision, or any other less fixed and decided "change" which any of his works seem to deserve, the only anxiety which can at present be shown is to represent him as a most honest and independent writer, who never blinked his sentiments from a desire to be considered as leaning to any party or any set of opinions. He seldom swerved at all from his own habits of thinking and acting, from any consciousness that such misapprehension might arise; nor ever was much troubled with a suspicion that he was liable to any such charges. He seems to have gone straight forward, without attending to what might be said of him.

He will be found called out on one or two public occasions shortly after this period, and publishing sentiments equally new and original; which, if they speak any thing, bespeak not a mind either wedded to party, or bound up in prejudice of any kind. Thus at an Ordination, holden at Rose Castle, as bishop's chaplain, he preached an admonitory sermon to the young clergy, in which he entered into topics almost too familiar, but still uniting, in his strong and characteristic manner, the sanctity of the ministry with the ordinary occupations of life. This sermon is published amongst the tracts

* The Quarterly Review for 1809 says, "He is never so blindly bigoted to what he himself approves, as not to be aware that an opposing bias, or a different cast of thought, may cause others to draw conclusions directly the reverse."

of the Society for promoting Christian Knowledge. Two years after this he delivered a sermon at the consecration of the bishop of Clonfert in Ireland, which displeased the liberal party by the partiality he showed for the establishments of his church, and was equally dissatisfactory to the high church party, by implying that the church was only one of the numerous family of Christianity, instead of being its only offspring. This will serve exactly to confirm the opinion here expressed on his *change* of views, and of his being a writer careful to balance between the extremes; and therefore, like other independent men, liable to be misconstrued by both parties, and of little use to either. He who is too limited for low church, and too broad and liberal for high church, but steadily adheres to his own views, and those views formed without partiality to either party, may be exposed to the neglect of both, and the contempt of both; but he may think it satisfaction enough to know that truth will certainly prevail at last. Indeed it is quite curious, at this day, to look back upon the contending sentiments that have been entertained of this writer, and the opposite views that have been attributed to him throughout the whole of his literary career.

Here properly enough may be noticed, among other smaller works, the publication of the *Companion in Visiting the Sick*; which was not indeed sent out for some few years after this date, when he lived at Carlisle. The history of the little tract, which has by a surprising blunder been printed and published amongst his works, is shortly this: He had experienced, as is intimated in an advertisement prefixed to the edition he sent out, a want of some more assistance than was given by the Liturgy, and a little closer application to the many particular cases in which clergymen must necessarily, and are invited by the rubric of the church to, step over the usual bounds of public offices. He had found at Giggleswick, in his father's possession and use, the ninth edition of an excellent compilation, chiefly from bishop Jeremy Taylor's *Rules and*

Exercises for Holy Dying, with a few prayers selected from the Liturgy and some of the older divines. Whether this, which was dated 1754, and dedicated to the archbishop of Canterbury by I. W., or some of a later date, many of which are extant, formed the ground-work of the present edition, is not very well worth determining; but it is necessary to say, that he neither made the slightest addition to it, nor had the least wish for or thought of claiming any merit from it. His sole design in editing it was for the good of his brethren in the same diocese, who had laboured under similar difficulties with himself.

In a very short time after obtaining the rectory of Musgrave, he is said to have been instituted by his patron, the bishop of Carlisle, to the vicarage of Dalston, near his lordship's episcopal residence. Hither, however, he did not remove or reside at all for the present; for, in a little time, the living of Appleby, at that time £200 a year, becoming vacant, was presented to him by the dean and chapter of Carlisle,—probably with some degree of influence used by his friend the archdeacon. This conjecture seems strengthened by an opinion which stands uncorrected in the work before alluded to*, that the vicarage of Appleby was given to him as a farther provision in the church, in order to enable him to send out his Moral Philosophy. It is known, indeed, that it was owing to Mr. Law's and the bishop's interference that it was sent out at all; for they expressed themselves so decidedly partial to the clearness and method, and as it were, the familiar reasoning he had shown in his Lectures, that they were anxious for the publication of them. For this purpose it was necessary to digest them rather more into a regular treatise, though little expectation was formed of their being much added to or altered. He had expressed himself to his friend, on many occasions before this period, unwilling to involve himself, considering his pre-

* Public Characters for 1801 and 1802.

sent situation as a family man, in the risk of publishing what might not indemnify him for the expense of publication. Whether such a condition might be expressed or implied in private conversation between them, is uncertain; but certainly nothing in any shape bordering on either a promise or a conditional presentation was either suspected at the time, or could have occurred, since it is expressly contradicted in his own hand-writing.

That from this time he began to meditate a work of the kind, and to habituate himself at the age of thirty-four to the name of a writer and an author, is thought by his more intimate friends not improbable, for the following reasons: Many of his sermons written during this period are enlargements of the particular heads of his lectures, and form parts both of his Evidences, the Moral Philosophy, and Natural Theology. This circumstance, though curious in itself, cannot at all detract from the merit of those works, as it shows the direction of his thoughts at this time, and the peculiar way in which he connected his study and his duty together. Another fact, which seems to confirm the conjecture here made, is, that its publication was understood in his family to be retarded by a circumstance which is reserved for private histories of this kind to record, but which can scarcely at this distance of time be considered as material to any of the parties concerned. Mr. Law expressed a wish to have its publication delayed during the bishop of Carlisle's life, because he considered some opinion there expressed at variance with his father's sentiments. In consequence of this, Mr. Paley hesitated, till he found Mr. Edward Law, the late Lord Ellenborough, equally urgent for its appearance, as it had been many years in hand. Some of Dr. Paley's family have supposed that the work employed nearly seven years, from its first commencement to its final arrangement and finishing off. He always declared, the produce of its sale, if any, should go towards the fortune of his eldest daughter; as she was at that time the chief and almost

exclusive object of his anxiety, only two of his family being born at Appleby: and as no such exclusive attachment was afterwards shown, it has naturally been supposed that he commenced the work at Appleby. At any rate, what has been conjectured concerning this work, viz. that the plan of it was adopted or at least developed between the years 1776 and 1785, only proves how little is got by guessing; as the little short essay on the Morality of the Gospel, and the sentence from that little treatise on which much of the conjecture rests, will appear both of them inapplicable to the work in question, and to have been written at the very time when he was carrying on his plan of Expediency in his lectures*. The bishop of Carlisle, before Mr. Paley left Cambridge, had occasion to send out, for the use of students in the university, a small and separate edition of part of his Considerations on the Theory of Religion; to which was attached a short Essay on the Character of Christ, with an Appendix on the Morality of the Gospel, by Mr. Paley. This, though it made but little show, might add considerably to his name as an original writer on subjects by no means uncommon, and raise the expectations of his friends; but it was only an enlargement on part of his lectures on divinity, from which, as will hereafter appear, was made up his Evidences of Christianity. It is taken from a loose sheet, which, amongst many others, were kept by his side in lecturing, and occasionally referred to in his lecture-book, by "produce the papers."

* Meadley, who from Paley's position in this little work, that "the Gospel rule of loving our neighbour as ourselves, and doing as we would be done by, are much superior rules of life to the *σοφιστρον* of the Greek, or the *honestum* of the Latin moralists, and better than the *utile* or general expediency of the moderns," seems to draw a fair conclusion that the System of *Expediency* was not adopted till after the date of this work,—is carried away by his deference for generally assigned names in adopting *Expediency* as the term which ought to designate Paley's System of Moral Philosophy.

It is well observed*, that it is of the greatest importance in the history of an extraordinary mind, to mark as far as possible the progression of its powers, exertions, and attainments, the discipline and direction which may have had an effect upon them, “*quo progressu, quibus initiis usque eò creverit;*” and every thing which regards the formation of so popular a work may deserve attention†. To state in a few words what appears to have been the prominent circumstance which brought his talents into play is perhaps impossible, for it was by a very gradual progress, almost from infancy, that such powers of mind became uncommon; but that the application of such powers to works like this of Moral Philosophy, Natural Theology, and the Evidences of Christianity (which may be called his great works), depended partly on the circumstances of his life, and partly on the natural bent of his mind, seems borne out by the fact, that from the age of thirty to the end of his life, he seems to have employed his stock of thought and contemplation on two great subjects, Divinity and Morality; more especially and characteristically, the religion usually professed in this country, and the conduct suitable to that profession, or springing from it.

But a little longer digression, if it be called so, may be allowed for a few observations on the composition and formation of the work more immediately concerned at present; though it was not published till some years after.

Sufficient ground seems to be given by the preface to the Moral Philosophy, as well as by the various lecture-books to which his own eventually succeeded in the university, for saying that the plan of the work was drawn from the system which he found already adopted in his college, of lecturing on ethics. The books generally adopted at that time in those colleges of Cambridge where ethics, or what was connected

* Bisset's Life of Burke.

† Meadley.

with ethics, were made an object of attention, were Rutherford's Institutes of Natural Law, Hutchinson, Tucker, and Clarke. In his own college Dr. Backhouse, predecessor to Mr. Paley in that department, had given his lectures from Hutchinson. So applicable, however, was this new way of treating the science to the object he had in view at the time of forming his lectures, so much was it a desideratum even in the university, to procure some popular and practical treatment of this subject, that in 1785 and 1786, immediately after its publication, Paley's Moral Philosophy was made the ground-work of exercises and disputation in the schools; and, not long after, was substituted for other books pretty generally throughout the university. It is said indeed, that the substance of his lectures was retained in his college by succeeding tutors, long after he quitted Cambridge; whether this was so or not, as soon as the Moral Philosophy was published, it was adopted at Christ's also. His mode of treating the subject of ethics was that of a mind grasping its subject, and turning it every way, in order to bring it into more ordinary use and application, and that without any pretension to originality of design: without examining any doubts, encountering any errors, discussing any obscurities, but what he had himself found actually to exist, he rooted amongst those very doubts, errors, and obscurities, till he was able to bring all his subject together clearly and satisfactorily in a point, at which it might be taken hold of. Hence originated his lectures. Still the same mind which struck out such a mode of reducing old systems to modern use, and connected them with common sense and common practice, carried on its operations, as might be expected, with the same acuteness and penetration; and having entered upon a certain course of study and thought, improved each lecture from time to time by the addition of various hints, with a view to their publication. This plan of publishing his Moral Philosophy was certainly pressed upon him by his friend Mr. Law, who knew well enough his prevailing

taste for rubbing off the stiffness of school learning, and forming a reading-made-easy of an abstruse science, and for offering it in the most imposing shape. On his leaving college, he was still observant of his plan in the performance of his clerical duties; and it has already been observed, that many of his most popular and most striking sermons were but an enlargement of this one train of thought. In the pulpit of his parish he became habituated to the mode of familiarizing subjects of morality. He adapted his thoughts to country congregations, and by such means began to feel his way to more ordinary capacities; so that when he was called upon for the publication of his works, he had little else to do than to arrange materials already collected*, and partly descanted upon. Such facts as these (for they are not difficult to be ascertained) may seem to some to be the secrets of authors, which are better not divulged; by others they may be considered as detracting materially from the merit of the author's originality. But he pretends to none in the general subjects of his greater works: and in the particular treatment of these general subjects, the very plan he adopted according to his own words, "of first putting down his own thoughts, purposely in order to keep clear of the train of other writers," was the way to ensure originality in some degree; and still it rather adds to than diminishes our admiration, to know that such works were the constant subject of thought, some for twenty, others for thirty years, and are the sources from which all his other public writings flowed. Indeed the want of originality, which has been often noticed in this writer, applies more to his choice of subject than the manner of treating it; and this is likely to be almost the least consideration, or the least of all objections, when we know that it was not so much the design of the

* It is related by Wilson, in his *History and Antiquities of Dissenting Churches*, that Lardner's "Credibility" probably owed its rise to three discourses, delivered by that eminent man in a Tuesday evening lecture at the Old Jewry in 1723.

author to strike out any thing for himself to enlarge upon,—which is often no less easy than useless,—but to apply the whole force of his powers to improve the grand subjects in which men's interests are chiefly involved, in a new and striking manner; and thus, much of the author's design necessarily depends for its execution upon the proportion of thought and consideration which he has been able to bring to his assistance. It may be further stated, that these objects of his contemplation were almost daily enlarging themselves, as fresh hints were received, or new subjects of observation arose, or additional penetration was used to take hold of men's motives on common occasions. In short, if any quality is prominent in his chief works, it may be the mass of mind which they seem to contain. They were certainly no hasty production, nor can any other writing of the same author's be called immature in sentiment and opinion.

Another matter which may, like this last, be considered a sort of literary secret, inasmuch as it may open the very workshop of an author to public inspection, seems less obnoxious to the charge of improper exposure,—because there can be now scarcely any indelicacy in giving it or withholding it. This is no other than a view of his manuscript books, or rough copies; which may perhaps be curious, even beyond the blots and blurs of other authors, and interesting, as displaying the most intimate view of the rise, progress, and final settlement of his works.

As a matter of curiosity, it may deserve mention, that they are contained in eight or nine thick quarto paper books, with a sufficient number of smaller scrap books, and some for pocket use. These books are full of scribbling from one end to the other, in one of the worst and most illegible hands that ever adorned genius, mixed up in a confused and unconnected heap with penmanship of a fair and seemly quality. It is quite impossible to make out any connexion in either the pages of his books, the continuations of his sections, or even the scheme of his work. He seems to have filled up in any manner, or

in any part of his books, the different divisions of his subject till the very last. The bookseller's copy was probably the only one perfectly arranged. Of the Moral Philosophy, indeed, only one or two books remain besides his lecture-book; nor are these wholly devoted even to one work, but present a jumble of Moral Philosophy and Evidences of Christianity, with many scraps of less importance. To those who write straight forward on any given subject, it might be surprising—to those also who were acquainted with his way of seizing upon any idea that was of use to him, or who have seen him busied and intent on his work, it is more than amusing to survey the strange mixture of material which is to be found in his other books. They form a complete "olla podrida." For instance, in the midst of his Evidences, there is one page containing the authenticity of the historical books of the New Testament, and on the opposite page to it, a memorandum of having added a codicil to his will; then come three or four pages full of family occurrences of all descriptions, interspersed with a few sentences or a passage to be found in some of his works. Any one reading, if he can read, these pages, will find some interesting argument interrupted in the next page, and for two or three following pages, by the hiring of servants, the letting of fields, sending his boys to school, reproving some members of a hospital under his care for bad conduct; epistolary correspondence, both literary and friendly. There are to be found scraps of Latin joined to paragraphs of a sermon, and here a dedication of some of his works mixed up with an exercise of some of his children. So great indeed was the mixture of material, that it is easy for his family to say how much perplexity, as well as amusement, he has been known to reap from this circumstance. He has been heard twenty times to break out into a hearty laugh at his own folly in this respect.

Not the least subject of curiosity which the inspection of his manuscript offers, is his hand-writing. If this be, as some suppose, one of the characteristics of every writer, here, as

well as in his manuscript sermons, is good gleaning for his character. We are sometimes told that there are three descriptions of hand-writing, into some of which most men slide who can write at all; that which every body may read, that which only the writer himself can read, and that which neither the writer nor any body else can read. But his hand-writing includes all these descriptions. He had acquired, from a hasty and rapid flow of ideas, a habit of suddenly snatching up his pen and writing down at any moment what happened to occur to him, however differently he might be employed. So much had this habit grown upon him, that he latterly used a sort of short-hand scarcely to be deciphered by himself; so bad and so hurried indeed, that on revising some important sentence, he has been often heard to exclaim almost involuntarily, "What could I have been thinking or speaking about!" But this was only his rough writing; and it appears by the copy of a letter to one of his sons, which stands in one of these books, that he generally adopted this plan of transcribing even on the most trifling occasion. He says, after giving him some very positive, and for him characteristically minute directions, by which he might take care of his hand-writing, "if you are so liable to mistakes, you will write, as I do, whatever you write, twice over;" and it so happened, unfortunately for his example, that amongst the usual charges to his family in which we shall afterwards find he excelled, one was, to be very particular in keeping up their hand-writing. So like one undistinguished scribble was his own book, that on finding a page half written, one of his children, much under the writing age, very gravely filled it up with writing no less seemly, but with much less meaning, than the former half. In his earlier life he had written a very legible hand; and his college lectures, as well as other papers written about that period, show that it was from the mere temporary hurry of committing his thoughts to paper, that he became so eccentric both in the manner and loose arrangement of his writing. It cannot indeed be any thing but a matter of wonder how an author, so conspicuous

for his clearness and method, could draw any thing like order from such a confused incoherent and blotted mass as his manuscripts every where show; nor is it possible to devise how he went to the work of connecting what he had written with what was still to write.

He had his books constantly open on his table before him; and his sons, who were unconsciously, and often unwillingly, the almost constant companions of his literary labours in his study, well remember to have observed him attending to two or three of them at one and the same time,—and at any pause, or any demand for the lexicon or grammar, seizing his pen, and inserting a sentence or two into his works; and if this be not an uncommon or a commendable mode of composition, it speaks for another eminent quality of his mind, constant activity and exertion. It may serve indeed to show how the most active life may allow time, and the most uninteresting life food enough, to turn a man's powers to some use, if we state in what *way* he was never idle. He was by no means studious, in the sense of close application—of the actual reading and writing, and the sedentary part of a student's life. Though from his childhood to his life's end, he seems to have been more especially addicted to mental than bodily activity, he did not possess a due share of literary character amongst his acquaintance, chiefly from his being so much more conversant in active life and the actual business of the world. He was nevertheless most thoroughly industrious, in a more desultory way than most authors. From his first commencing writer, to the last stage of his life, he was scarcely for a moment without an object, and a literary object, to rest upon. When walking, fishing, riding, gardening, sitting still in his arm-chair, it appears from his papers that he was still constantly occupied. Some of the little books full of notes seem evidently to have been his pocket companions on his short excursions or his daily walks, and these he used on his return to unburden of their cargo.

These several circumstances put together form strong

symptoms, first, of his constant gathering of thought; secondly, of his entering, in the book that he first met with (for many were laid open before him at once), the sentiments that occurred to him at any chance time; and thirdly, that he used every moment. Even when apparently the most at leisure, he was still employed in labours of thought and intellect.

His lecture-book on moral philosophy, or what is supposed to be his lecture-book*, contains only some of the parts into which his Moral Philosophy is divided. It is distributed into short but pithy sentences; under particular heads indeed, though without any general classification into books, chapters, or even sections. It does not form one half in words, though more than one half in substance, of his enlarged plan. For instance, the opening of his theory, which forms so conspicuous a part of his present system, stands only as a small part of his section on Promises, b. 3, c. 5. Almost the whole of b. 2 is new, or brought together from fragments scattered here and there. The relative duties, b. 3, part 2, stand first after his introduction and preliminary consideration. Next come *contracts, loans, commissions, offices*; next, *drunkenness* stands at the top of the page, then *suicide, self-defence, litigation, re-*

* The reasons upon which this may be supposed to have been a lecture-book are, that it is generally written throughout in the same clear hand and faded ink with his loose papers on divinity, which certainly formed part of his lectures, and is bound up in the same way as his lecture-book from which his Evidences of Christianity are taken; and also interlined and mixed up with corrections scribbled in a later hand-writing, which corrections are all adopted in the work as it now stands—clearly indicating their transformation into a regular arrangement. Throughout his manuscripts I scarcely find a stop or point of any kind except hyphens. In revising his sermons for the pulpit, he used to take his pen and make commas with a vengeance. He used to be particularly urgent with his children to mind dots to the i's, strokes to the t's, and commas in writing; so that in this as in most other parts of instruction, he judged from his own experience of what was most wanting in himself. In the correction of the press this was made a main object. He marked sheets with commas, as he used to say, as long as the printer's nose. He never allowed even the smallest handbill to go out of his hands without correction in this particular. ED.

sentiment; and without any more division than is usually made between all these heads, viz. a fresh page, we come at *estate, property*, with this identical, important, and damning sentiment, which appears to have been written as early as 1773 or 4, or whenever his lectures commenced; and which is here given verbatim, in order that the reader may compare, if he please, the expression of the sentiment at that early period, when nothing perhaps was farther from his thoughts than being drawn into public and pecked at like his own pigeons. “If you saw a flock of pigeons in a corn-field, instead of each picking where and what it liked, taking so much and no more than what it wanted,—if instead of this you saw ninety-nine of them gathering all they got into a heap, taking nothing for themselves but a little chaff and refuse, keeping this heap for one, and that the weakest perhaps and worst of the whole flock; sitting round and looking on all the while, while this one was eating and throwing it about and wasting it, and if one more hardy or hungry than the rest touched a grain of it, all the others instantly flew upon it and tore it to pieces: if you saw all this, you would see nothing more than what is every day practised and established among men. Among men you see the ninety-and-nine toiling and scraping together a heap of superfluities and niceties for one, gather nothing for themselves all the while but a little of the coarsest of it; and this one, too, oftentimes the feeblest and worst of the whole set,—a child, a woman, a madman, a fool; looking quietly on, while they see the fruits of all their labour spent or spoiled, and if one of them take or touch the least of it, the others join all against him and hang him for the theft*.” Next to *property*,

* In his Lectures from which the Evidences are taken, there is a paragraph which might make just as much the other way. “We all know that a story which falls in with our own sentiments and passions gains an easy admission. The most unlikely and incredible things of the king and his ministers would go down with a party or a faction; with a club of modern patriots for instance, upon the slightest foundation, if it confirmed

which is connected as it now stands by pages following one another marked at the top—*advantages of it—the history of it—the real foundation of right—the law of the country—father, parents, their duty towards God*, and after a few white leaves, we meet with one marked *politics*; after which subject, the cutting out of ten or twenty leaves makes the only blank in the book: but there is a great deal of additional matter inserted in his short-hand sort of writing at every vacant space of the following pages; and the next page presents to us *lie*, after that comes *marriage*, then *oaths*, then *promises*, then *rights*; next *trade and commerce, wills and testaments*. After that about 200 quarto pages of more modern scribbling, which few but the writer may make out, and which perhaps few but himself were thought to be interested in making out. This writing is chiefly on promises and contracts. In the former part of the book, in alternate pages, is formed in this short-hand his chapter on Moral Sense; and throughout the book additions are made in the same hand to the different subjects. These, with another quarto book scribbled over partly with what is of use to his Moral Philosophy, and partly with other matter, form the greatest part of Paley's Moral Philosophy.

It may not perhaps be uninteresting if we extract, in addition to this detail, the first four or five pages of his lecture-book, not because it is peculiar, or different from many protographs of many authors, but in order that some notion may be formed how far his plan was marked out from the first, how he set about enlarging his hints into regular sentences, and what additional matter he found it necessary to introduce for the completion of his subject. The corrections are of one complexion*, and in a hand which speaks them of a later date, and it may be, the first touches towards an enlargement of his plan.

a notion they had taken up about the ministry, or served to humour their resentment against them."

* These corrections are denoted by the smaller type; and such words as the author had struck out with the pen are here printed in italics.

1. Definition of Moral Philosophy. 2. Use. 3. Moral Approbation.
4. Of Human Happiness. 5. Of Human Virtue.

The law of honor is a system of rules constructed by people of fashion men in the superior stations of life and calculated [solely to profit] society and facilitate their intercourse with one another and for no other purpose,

their

consequently no practices are adverted to by the law of honor but what tend to [molest this society] or incommode this intercourse.

Hence this law prescribes duties only betwixt equals or those which belong to the superior as well as those which belong to

† no breach of it to defraud tradesmen, cruelty to servants—uncharitable—the inferior

ness to poor—rigour to tenants—neglect of worship—profaneness.
on which account profaneness for example neglect of public worship

* fornication—adultery—duelling—drunkenness—prodigality.

† bounty to poor—devotion—forgiveness of injuries—education of children—*gratitude to benefactors.*

* refusing debts because a minor or if years elapsed confining debtors—voting partially in elections.

† To deliver particular directions in every case must have been more than the statutes at large—*which only do so in some*

INTRODUCTION.

Morality Ethics

Moral Philosophy—[*Morality*—Casuistry—Natural Law—[*Ethics*]
—mean all the same thing.

their duty & the reasons of it

That science which teaches men [*what they are obliged to do and why*]
—the use of such a study depends upon this

of life we

[*useful study because*] without it the rules that men are ordinarily go-
ourselves are apt

verned by may oftentimes mislead 'em through a defect either in the rule

Honor

or in the application.—These rules are either—the Law of Reputation—
Law of the Land and

the Civil Law—or the Scriptures—

Law of Honor C. 2.

The Law of Reputation } † prescribes duties only among equals
or Honor } * favourable to the passions.

THE CIVIL LAW

† omits many duties as not objects of compulsion but must be volun-
tary—

* permits many vices because it cannot define 'em nor consequently
punish 'em.

The Scriptures

† compendious and therefore general consequently assisted oftentimes in the
study in the application.

The law never speaks but to command, nor considered but where it can compell consequently those and any other duties which by their nature must be voluntary one of necessity out of the reach of the law as being out of the reach of its authority.

because

2. *The law permits that is suffers to go unpunished many crimes as incapable of being defined by any provision*

expensiveness spending a fortune

partiality in voting at elections injustice—concealment of a deposit prodigality

cruelty in procuring cocks for fighting.

This is the alternative the law must either precisely define beforehand and with precision the offences which it punishes or leave it to the decision of the magistrate upon each particular case whether it constitutes an offence which the law undertook to punish which is in effect leaving to the magistrate to punish or not to punish at his pleasure the individual that is brought before him which is just so much tyranny.

When therefore as in the instances above mentioned the right or wrong of it is intricate or not easy to be defined by the framer of the law.

[Here is a great deal of illegible and blotted matter.]

the Law of most countries especially of free states than commit the liberty

in such cases

of the subject to the humour of a magistrate leave men to themselves.

C. 4.

THE SCRIPTURES.

Whoever expects to find in the Scriptures particular directions for any particular case that arises will be disappointed

looks for more than he will meet with

directions

enlarged

(and to what a magnitude such a detail of precepts would have extended the sacred volume may be partly understood from these

The Laws of this country including the acts of the legislature admissions of our supreme courts of justice are not contained in fewer than a hundred

time in

volumes, and yet it is not one case out of three that you can find in any Law Book the case you look for to say nothing of those many points of

does not

conduct in which the Law profess[es] not to prescribe any thing.

Had then the same particularity which obtains in human Laws so far as they go been attempted in the Scriptures throughout the whole extent of morality is manifest they would have been much too bulky.

APPROBATION OF VIRTUE.

Whether the approbation of virtue natural a trifling question, because the term virtue includes within its meaning the idea of approbation.

Whether the approbation of any particular action or quality as gratitude, fidelity be natural a material question. When we read or hear of a son's stabbing his father at the very instant that father is giving him all he has, we cannot help disapproving, condemning, feeling an indignation to the man and aversion.

On the other hand when we hear an instance of generosity, humanity, we cannot forbear approving it liking the man.

Question whether this is by any instinct as the sexes are impelled to one another or acquired.

that is whether a savage Peter wild boy would feel these sentiments upon learning the stories—for the moral sense—that we can [*hope*] have no interest in it to approve as the affair may be transacted in distant ages and countries nor can we give any reason that is universal.

against the moral sense that it is not universal in some countries they think it right to maintain in others to put to death their aged parents—in some to expose, in others to support their children.

That the general though not universal approbation of particular actions may be explained even in cases where we have no interest without the supposition of a moral sense.

1st. because a great part of those who approve from precept authority and a habit of approving acquired from example in our infancy.

2. because having experienced in some instances such an action to be beneficial to us, or observed that it would be so, a sentiment of approbation rises up, which sentiment afterwards accompanies the idea or mention of the action, though the private advantage which first excited it be no more. the same happens in money.

† now he is happy—that would make one happy—the man is happy who
 has health and complacency—that is a happy ^{situation}

* eating drinking—music—magnificent sights. sports.

† retiring from business—into convents

N. B. The young pursue pleasure too much, the old ease.

† no delight to a shepherd in his superiority over his dog—to the farmer in his over the shepherd—to the squire in his over the farmer—to the prince in his over the squire—to the second wrangler in his over a senior optime, to a senior optime over a lose groat—to a lose groat over his bed maker.

A delight to the shepherd in his superiority in wrestling over another shepherd—to the farmer in horses over another farmer—to the squire in wealth over his neighbour squire—to the prince in his superiority of strength over another prince.

* by a peasant of more strength, a blacksmith of more skill, a soldier of more courage, a tradesman of more wealth, as much as by a prince of more power, a nobleman of more interest and splendour, a general of success.

† love of children, relations—bounty to poor, friendship—hence the discontent and peevishness of monks.

† fortune rarely procures happiness—for the want of it the weariness and misery of rich men—their engaging with so much eagerness in trifling pursuits elections, gaming horse racing.

Morality is taught in Scripture in this wise. general rules are laid down
 piety
of justice benevolence as these do as you would be done by—love our
 forgive as ye would be forgiven and that mercy is better than sacrifice
neighbour as ourselves the other of St. Paul at the close of the Epistles these
rules are illustrated in fictitious examples as the parable of the Samaritan
 the praise of the poor widow
as the reproof of the disciples at the Samaritan village or in instances which
 who cast in his censure of the Pharisees who
actually presented themselves the scribe who had found out in that country

HAPPINESS

† a relative term

2 that condition in which the amount of pleasure is greater than that of pain—

does not consist in the abundance of sensual pleasures because

* 1 they only continue for a little [*time*] at a time

2 because by frequent repetition they lose their relish

does not consist in the absence of bodily pain, labour molestation.

† because succeeded by dejection disquiet [*ude*] thoughts does not consist in greatness

† 1 because the pleasure consists in a superiority only over those whom

we compare ourselves with may be possessed by the meanest*
with the comparison soon ceases

2 because the pleasure [*consists in a superiority*]

and new competitions arise

consists

† in the exercise of the social affections.

† in the hope and pursuit of some object
health of body

first consequence of this account that happiness is pretty equally distributed among the different conditions of life.

2 that vicious men have not the advantage over the virtuous.

chose out the chief rooms the honest Scribe who had found of tradition
*that to love God and his neighbour were more than whole burnt offerings
 whereby they awarded the command.*

*And this is the way in which all the practical sciences are taught in
 arithmetic, navigation grammar and the like general rules are laid down
 and examples are subjoined not that these examples are the cases much less
 all the cases that will actually occur but by way only of better explaining
 principle
 the rule [and the mode] as so many specimens of the mode of applying it.*

* benevolence prompts a man to support an injured individual—prudence
 suggests a proper means, fortitude enables him to go through the danger
 of loss disgrace repute temperance overcomes the love of money ease amuse-
 ment which might divert him from it.

† a sot a knave a miser an humane man a pious man a slanderer.

† relieving beggars—attending stated seasons of public or private worship
 —abstaining from lying in different matters.

additional superior

*enforce the practice of it by more and higher sanction which seems indeed
 bringing and what is most wanted*

*to be the proper design of a revelation from God thus the unjust covenant
 breakers and extortioners are condemned in Scripture supposing it to be
 where it admits of doubt*

*known or leaving it to moralists to determine what injustice [extortion]
 injustice extortion breach of covenant is*

use

*The above considerations are intended to prove that the Scriptures do not
 supersede the study of the science of which we profess to treat and to acquit
 imperfection
 them of any charge of insufficiency on that account.*

the only difference is that the examples are annexed to the rules in the didactic manner to which we are accustomed but delivered as particular occasions suggested them this produced more than the same or any instance would because appeared with in their place a system, again the Scriptures for the most part presuppose in the persons they speak to a knowledge of the principle of natural justice and are employed not so much to teach new rules of morality as to

VIRTUE.

“The doing good to mankind in obedience to the will of God and for the end of everlasting happiness*.”

* anciently divided into benevolence, prudence, fortitude, and temperance, benevolence proposes good ends, prudence suggests the best means of pursuing ends, fortitude enables us to encounter the difficulties and conquer the discouragements that stand in the way, and temperance repels and overcomes the passions that obstruct it. more modern divisions into Duties towards God—prayer thanksgiving worship reverence towards ourselves chastity sobriety temperance care of health caution towards other men justice charity industry loyalty.

Ob: 1

† That men must ought to be governed by habit rather than reason in most of their actions.

1 because in many cases there is no time to reason

2 because he who reasons under the byass of a temptation is sure to err.

Hence arise 2 rules

* 1st. that many things are to be done for the sake [of] only of habits

2 That morality chiefly consists in watching habits, repressing bad and generating good ones.

Obs. 2.

That neither reason nor scripture have or could ascertain the exact quantity of innocence or virtue necessary to salvation but that we may collect from both that there is no salvation

1st. to those who are conscious of no other rule to go by than convenience or passion—

2d. to those who indulge themselves habitually and without reluctance in any one crime—

* I find in a Sermon, dated Appleby, 1779, this sentence: “Now I describe virtue to be the doing good to mankind, in obedience to the will of God, for the sake of everlasting happiness.” The text is, “Add to your faith virtue.”—ED.

C. 5.

THE MORAL SENSE.

*Now the question is whether if this story were related to Peter the wild
without experience or instruction
 boy who was caught in the woods of Hanover or to a savage separated from
 of all intercourse deprived under no possible
 the rest of his species and consequently free from the influence of example
 authority*

Phil. 2 9. 1 Cor. 10. Rom. 1. 31.

*education whether I say such man would feel upon the relation that
 any degree
 sentiment of disapprobation of the conduct which we feel or no—*

Then follows what is said in the Moral Philosophy in the chapter on Moral Sense, which is mixed up with Bounty, Charity, &c. But there is no appearance of any story from Valerius Maximus, nor is it clear what the word is which ought to be inserted before Conduct. It is certainly not Toranius, as it now stands.

Of Politics, the whole appears in his Lectures in the following:—

* Abraham. Jacob,

† Greece—Italy—Gaul—Britain—America—Judea.

† some millions of stout strong men in many states obey the will of a child a woman a fool a madman.

Obs : 3d.

When one side doubtful the other clear always bound to take the safe side and course

Rom. 14.

POLITICS.

thing

from *πολις*; science of every [*kind*] relating to society.

Cap. 1.

OF THE ORIGIN OF CIVIL GOVERNMENT.

Government at first either † domestic or military of a parent over his family or a general over his fellow soldiers.

Parental authority the foundation of civil for when children had been accustomed in their childhood to follow their parents directions and refer their differences to him when they grew up they would continue to do the same for who each would say so fit to direct and decide as [*they*] he—after his death would remain connected and fall under some successor of his appointment or otherwise elected—after which conquest accidental coalition common distress and other [*means*] reasons might extend the numbers and possessions of this small community.

Military authority the foundation of civil—in defence or war manifest necessity prompted those on the same side to put themselves under one leader which leader would retain in part his preeminence and authority after they returned to peace

† most countries at first occupied by many small independent governments.

Cap 2.

* How is submission secured when the strength is in the governed?

Men do not all obey from the same motive [*s*] yet each class and character of citizens have sufficient though different inducements to obey.

Three classes of subjects.

1. Those who act from prejudice
2. Those who act from reason
3. Those who act from self-interest

Those who act from prejudice determined by an opinion of right in the governors founded on ancient prescription

† right of primogeniture to tolls—to titles honour estates

‡ to uphold which princes have sometimes derived their extraction from Gods as Romulus and Saxon Princes or pretended a communication with 'em as Numa or to be the successors of those who had as the Sultan of Mahomet, Pope of St. Peter.

hence also the sacred efficacy of anointing the titles of Gods vicegerent
* Persians had a custom upon the death of their king to live 5 days without law or government that finding by experience the miserable effects of anarchy the slaughter rapine and confusion that accompanied such a condition they might be engaged in a firmer allegiance to their future sovereigns.

† As in a crowd or play house when men are taken up with the exhibition they think not of pushing forwards or, changing their situation.

† Cromwell advised to assume the title of king. hence many things retained rather than break the custom.

† Revolution broke the custom of succession and thereby abated the opinion of the right inheritance both in king and people—Elections in order to be free must not devolve for many times together upon persons of the same family or office

* Weavers Sailors Coalheavers Miners—are connected by their profession

† the opinion strengthened by observing that prescription conveys the right to almost all other things

‡ or by a notion of sanctity in the person of the Prince called opinion of right

* Those who act from reason determined by the necessity of some government or other the certain mischief of a civil disturbance and the danger of resettling it better or act ill if once disturbed.

† Those who act from self-interest by want of leisure—by the sense of ease plenty and safety he enjoys—by fear foreseeing he would by any attempt bring himself into a worse situation than his present as the strength of governments is greater than his own and he knows not that others will join him—

called opinion of power

1st Consequence

† As custom begets an opinion of right and that opinion is one support of government every change of custom and constitution diminishes the stability of government.

2 Consequence

† when the opinion of right is too predominant and superstitious it is abated by breaking the custom

3 consequence

As ignorance of Union is a great support of government to take care to keep up this ignorance.

* by dispersing and preventing great collections of men from conferring or combining together

2 by guarding against riots which produce in the riotous confidence engagements and assurances of assistance so that on a future occasion they can depend on one another

It is unnecessary to say more at large, both because it has often been said before, and because it is inconsistent with the design of this Life to repeat, that much was offered at the time for and against the system of Expediency in general, and on the particular deductions from it. Were it indeed admissible to express an opinion of some of the opposition which offered itself on this occasion, yet as he himself has been very frequently heard to say, "that he would never answer any thing that appeared against him, for time would do that for him," it ought perhaps to be more the concern of the present writer to imitate such an example, than to be anxious about his defence. Time has already had a fair chance of strengthening or pulling down many such systems.

There are, however, a few circumstances connected with this work which may be worth observation in this place, as well as some obvious and almost personal marks of the author to be in found in the style and manner of the writing; in recollecting and presenting which "*quis desiderio sit pudor!*"

His motives, indeed, for such silence are, like many other things, left to conjecture. It may be generally said that no man was better able, and few could feel more willing, to take in all the probable advantages as well as disadvantages of any particular conduct, or was more in the habit of weighing his motives before he acted on them. He seemed well persuaded, too, that there was a possibility of being misunderstood or misrepresented on any subject; and though by no means careless of public opinion, yet on a subject evidently calculated for so much discussion, it is easy to rest assured of the propriety of his silence, because it is easy to see that controversy would have proved useless. But from the general tenor of his conduct there is reason to suppose that there was more than a hopelessness of working conviction by argument—more than a consciousness of the two handles and two appearances of every subject to different minds—more than a feeling of risk lest he should lose, by repeated attempts at clearing away

obstruction, what would have been won without any such attempts. There was a decided aversion to speak, to write, or act with a sole reference to himself; not that it was necessarily to be reduced to a personal controversy, but there was a delicacy which was averse to appearing too much interested in his own views, in which his ardent mind, committing itself to the unreserved licence of controversy, could not but have appeared. There was besides a very strong dislike to the disturbance and inquietude of contending about any thing, whatever may have been said either on any other occasion or on this subject, either by himself or by others, of the *flatness* of a peaceable life*. He was as unwilling to have any acrimony felt towards himself, as he was to feel it towards others, and was as ready to show by his deportment the "*cui bono*" of such eagerness, as by his countenance he was ready to express his disapprobation of any thing like animosity. These are reasons sufficient to determine him to have no unnecessary discussion about any of his works. He had no personal acquaintance with Mr. Gisborne, his first and apparently his most direct antipode, for he has still followed his course in writing without the least apparent approximation either in matter or manner; but was much pleased by that gentleman's courtesy in sending him from time to time his various works; and as Mr. Gisborne's name appears in the list of those to whom he presented his future works, it is probable that nothing like ungentleness or soreness appeared on either side; nothing passed but a few reciprocal animadversions, if "the reciprocity was not all on one side." Mr. Gisborne's objections to the system are shortly, but ably answered in the volume of the Public Characters, to which an appeal has so often been made; but there is no marginal observation whatever made upon it in any parts of that work. "It must be obvious," he (Mr. Gisborne) asserts, "that the

* I believe the anecdote related by Meadley as having taken place at one of the bishop of Durham's public dinners, but do not see much propriety in introducing it.—ED.

principle of general expediency, as applied by Dr. Paley, will lead to innumerable conclusions contradicting the express commands of God, and that it cannot therefore be adopted by any one who allows the authority of the Scriptures." Now Dr. Paley, in stating the use of moral philosophy, informs us, that its object is to supply information on those points which the Scriptures have left undecided;—that it is to be silent when the Scriptures speak, and speak when they are silent*. Mr. Gisborne insists very much on Dr. Paley's having proved from his principle, that assassination, robbery, and perjury are not unlawful, and totally overlooks or mistakes the nature of his argument. He supposes some one to object to his principles, that it permits these crimes. To destroy these objections, he proves that their unlawfulness may be clearly deduced from it. If he meant to offer the conclusion to which it conducts us, as superior in authority to the rules of Scripture, he was guilty of an absurdity in reasoning which no one will be willing to impute to him. For on what is the principle established? On this, that its application will lead us by an excellent, but from the imperfection of our faculties, an imperfect way, to the will of God. Could he, therefore, without

* In a Sermon on "The Distinction of Orders in the Church, defended on Principles of Public Utility," preached at the consecration of his friend Dr. Law, the bishop of Clonfert, in 1782, is the following sentence, which seems to recognise the same sentiment which is here given,—not to say that such apologetic sentiments must have come under Dr. Paley's notice: "Though all things are lawful, all things are not expedient. If we concede to other Christian churches the legality of their institutions so long as Christian worship and instruction are competently provided for, we may be allowed to maintain the advantage of our own, upon principles which all acknowledge considerations of public utility." Here the doctrine of Expediency is clearly supported in the writer's own mind by St. Paul's authority, and by the deduction which he draws from the founder of our religion; "who," as is before observed, "seems negligent of any view but what related to the deliverance of mankind from spiritual perdition, and advanced no pretensions which, by disturbing the arrangements of human polity, might present an obstacle to the reception of his faith. Christianity may be professed under any form of church government."

glaring contradiction, recommend the deduction from it as obligatory, precedent to the morality of the Scriptures—which he has allowed to be declaratory of the will of God?”

Admitting, with Gisborne and Pearson, and even some who, upon the whole, are favourable to the system, that the difficulty of estimating “*consequences*” is an object of the most weight, and that “*general expediency*” is an instrument that cannot be wielded by a mortal hand, therefore that it is little worth exposing the fallacy of any objection grounded upon that, as this anonymous writer does with sufficient satisfaction,—still it seems impossible not to be struck with the want of application, the looseness and generic quality of the objections brought against the doctrine of Expediency, thus stated, which might seem to serve as much for heathen philosophy as for a Christian writer. Names are easily given, and when given, are easily run away with; and particularly when these names lead us to review with any degree of jealousy our own favourite opinions, we readily imagine ourselves called upon to defend the one without directly attending to the way in which it is attacked, or to question whether it is attacked at all. It seems to have been apprehended by many who know little of the rule of consequences, except that such rules may be adopted by those who do not live under a Christian revelation, that the doctrine of expediency was too favourable to the pride of human reason, and that to set up any system on no other foundation was virtually to reject the doctrine, or at least disparage the glory of revelation; and thus the very title of “*general expediency*” becomes the watch-word of a party and the criterion of opinion. “There are two principles by which men usually regulate their conduct in private or public life,” says a most benevolent and practical and useful living author—“the one built upon Political Expediency, the other upon Morality and Religion: the one looks almost wholly at the consequences of things, the other looks singly at duty*.”—And supposing this rule to pre-

* Clarkson’s Life of Penn.

vail even in politics, or in the acquirement of statistical knowledge, it will go a long way towards introducing a jealousy of any system built upon expediency. Still this only speaks for the danger of being led astray by names and appearances, and not at all for the unsoundness of any particular system. However, not only as an ingenious and pleasing and useful work, but as a book forming a sort of standard of modern practical morality, it has been pretty generally adopted at this day in one of our universities, and partially in the other. This is the best proof that there is no inherent danger in the system of expediency to revealed religion, and leaves little for the friends of the author to wonder at in the silence and contented sort of satisfaction which he seemed to feel under all the attacks upon his work. His opponents seemed to enjoy with perfect complacency their own refutation of what was to continue unconscious of attack, and he anticipated the surprise which they would feel when they should discover that all their labour had only conducted them to a point where they could see more clearly how much they had missed their way.

There is, indeed, one insinuation respecting this work held out in the *Life of the Bishop of Elphin**, which, as there it authority for so doing never before made public, it may somewhat concern the memory both of that prelate and of Dr. Paley to repel. It is said that "there is a high degree of probability that the obligations which the latter had to the former of these eminent men were increased by his (the Bishop of Elphin) having contributed some of those admirable chapters which shine out amidst the excellence with which they are surrounded, and form the principal ornaments of the book." To this is added in the margin, by Dr. Paley, "No." "—Thus far is certain, that all who are conversant with its history entertain the persuasion that some parts of it proceeded from the pen

* *Public Characters* for 1802.

of Dr. Law." Here is added "No." "Mr. Howard*, the person whose character has there been brought forward, used to declare that he copied a manuscript of Dr. Law which appears in the work.—The chapter on reverencing the Deity, in the second volume, has generally been ascribed to him." Here occurs, "Utterly without foundation." After determining that a marked and happy deviation from Dr. Paley's general manner is obvious in the following passage (here is inserted a paragraph in the chapter), the article then goes on to say—"What other chapters of the Moral and Political Philosophy, in addition to that on reverencing the Deity, are to be ascribed to Dr. Law, the writer of this article will not venture to determine;" and in the margin is inserted in the same handwriting, "The whole of these observations entirely unfounded."—Few can read his works without being struck with the constant recurrence of mirth and wit, and playfulness and liveliness, and many other qualities which render the perusal of them at once light and fascinating; but to those who are intimately acquainted with the writer, these qualities become much more interesting from having formed so conspicuous a feature of his mind. He brings to paper his very manner of conversation and private intercourse. Independent, however, of this association, which may unconsciously mislead any interested party in judging of the opinion of others, there are some peculiarities which are likely to form almost inimitable characteristics of his writing. Perspicuity, ease, familiarity with his subject, a readiness to familiarise his subject to his reader, deep and solid reasoning, which seldom leaves the mind unsatisfied, though often much surprised on the first enunciation of his propositions, are all not peculiar to his writings; but the

* It seems scarcely worth notice, that this same Howard, who was certainly employed in copying parts of the Moral Philosophy for the bookseller, was, though of that low rank of life which not unfrequently affords instances of such eccentricity, a most ingenious schoolmaster, but a most profligate character—such, however, as would but badly suffice for authority.

boldness of his bursts, the closeness of his applications, the clearness and order with which he draws them to his point, the suitableness though homeliness of his illustrations, the strength by which he is enabled to support such homeliness, and prevent it from ever degenerating into the ludicrous; the perfect independence on, or heedlessness of, all other writers, the apparent freedom from suspicion and disguise, the total want of a regular style and way in which some of his sentences are decorated and polished, together with the odd construction of others,—seem to be without any equal, or even rival in literature.

His illustrations, like his wit, seem often to come by flashes, and like his wit are but ill-governed by discretion or prudence, but flow from the natural tendency of his mind to an unrestrained and unsuspecting bluntness and boldness. His ardour of spirit, and his contempt of *rooting*, caused him often to be charged with, and to be liable to the charge of imprudence, in giving so many home-thrusts which might not be taken in the same spirit with which they were given.

His great powers of observation and penetration enabled him to give those bold and hard strokes by which he made his subject his own. They led him not only to discern the point and gist of an argument, and the grand desiderata of the subject, but to observe the course of his own mind in working its way among the minor parts, and bringing out the substance of a work from the mystery which the artifice or confusion of the writer had thrown around it. He adapts his own large grasp of a subject to the more ordinary train of knowledge, and the most general state of men's minds. Instead of giving scope to his own views without taking the reader's mind along with him, he seems continually and at every turn to retrace or recollect the steps by which he himself arrived at such a conclusion; and as he had found himself best convinced by drawing his doubts and reasonings to a point, so he drives directly at the point and no more, from his setting out to the end of his reasoning upon it.

It is well observed, “that no man ever abused learning less, or was less the dupe of learning*.” He was in character perfectly simple, unaffected, and single-minded, and carried these qualities to his writings. For the fudge and mysticism of learning he had a great contempt. Any disguise or affectation of knowledge, in any point whatever, used to meet with his keenest satire. He was even intolerant towards any appearance of pedantry, or towards those who took upon them more than they were able to stand to. To borrow a most applicable sentence, “He never forgot the use of his understanding, nor was solicitous to show what he knew more than what he thought. He never reasoned from memory, or spoke from quotation †.” “Wherever settled, or however employed, it was impossible for him not to observe or reflect; with such internal resources he wanted no library ‡.”

He builds surely, because he considers well the foundation, but he builds no more upon it than it will bear. He seems to have known, by a close examination of the workings of his own mind, how much the attention was fatigued by multiplying proof, and how much intricacy is avoided by adhering to one point—how much, in short, simplicity of design adds to perspicuity, and ensures a reader’s attention; he therefore rarely attempts more than will bring his subject down to the level of an ordinary comprehension. When it might seem to require whole tomes of divinity to treat of the Evidences of Christianity—when others would, perhaps, have consulted volume upon volume, to give a connected view of Moral Philosophy with any satisfaction to themselves or their readers, or as such a mind might be expected to entertain such a subject, he goes to work in a different way. Christianity is treated as it would offer itself to the illiterate fishermen, or the ignorant natural man. Moral Philosophy is brought to the level of common sense. The writings of St. Paul are noticed as speaking to

* Appendix A. to Meadley.

† Edinburgh Review, No. 70.

‡ Quarterly Review.

ordinary capacities, with reasonings and deductions obvious to the generality of minds. Nor is it in his writings only that he seems to have been an advocate for common sense; in all his actions as well as speculations he made that his great standard, before he allowed himself to adopt any opinion, "*retinuitque, quod est difficilimum, ex sapientiâ modum.*"

In one of his sermons before the university, he says, "In our wishes to convince, we are extremely apt to overdo our arguments." He seldom made too much use of any one proof, but seems rather to reserve a volume of proof for an inferior purpose. There are, indeed, one or two favourite sentiments which are to be found in more places and works than one: these, as the produce of much deliberation, or of some happy hit, he uses as occasion arises; but he never seems to introduce a reflection or an argument for the sake of dwelling upon it, or drawing more from it than the one conclusion he aimed at. Inferences or corollaries seem not to claim any part of his attention, but he leaves his reader to draw them for himself, not suffering himself to doubt that he is fully equal to the writer.

His candour and liberality are apparent in what is called by his biographers and others, his inconsistency, equivocation, casuistry. The allowances that he made to every position, viz. that it might be otherwise, were carried so far that, however incompatible with the general tendency of his mind, that view sometimes seems to approach towards vacillation or paradox, which is only the produce of a different train of reasoning, or a different way of handling a subject.

His *style* may be the strongest expression of his mind—strong, nervous, unvarnished, easily rising into dignity or pathos, though seldom put to such uses, it has not a word too much, nor scarcely an expression or term that would be improved by changing; yet it is so easy, so natural, so original, so characteristic of the writer, that though it is unlike most other styles, it serves as no other would do, to convey his peculiar sentiments in a most substantial manner. It is by a compari-

son, whimsical enough, represented in the Quarterly Review to be formed on the model of Johnson. Nothing can surely be farther from the characteristic of the two writers as to general style. It may be observed from the specimens given in this place, as well as afterwards, that the same strong and substantial, and rather opinionated way of expressing himself, seems to have adhered to him from the first commencement of his public duties to the last. His public lectures, as well as his early sermons, are full of the same sort of commanding positive strain, which may bear some resemblance to Johnson, but is not uncommonly assorted with superior talents. The homeliness of his style, indeed, might depend upon the circumstances which called him forth as a writer; but much of the familiar and unpolished air which his writings assume was probably contracted from his habit of communicating instruction by conversation to his pupils, and afterwards to his parishioners,—to whose minds he found the readiest access by a still plainer treatment of his subject; and thus, having been used to commit his thoughts to paper in this way, he thought no longer of ornament or embellishment. So much of it as was acquired (and no doubt a good deal must have been acquired by the very demand for it, and by its running in the same peculiar course through the whole of his writings), seems to have been gained from his unwillingness to hide any of the workings of his mind; from a frankness in showing all the ways by which he caught his thoughts, by a reluctance to keep his readers at a distance in order to gain credit for any great or shining qualities of genius. He seems to have embraced a sentiment and turned it over till he gained such an expression for it as he himself felt would convey his meaning, without giving himself much farther trouble about the arrangement of his words. Every sentence is sure to contain some substance which the reader has no difficulty in applying, and some substance which cannot be dispensed with, and so cannot be omitted or guessed at.

He is rarely led, in the course of expressing one conception, to wander into a kindred suggestion, like a dreamer or a driveller. Matter of fact is his aim; and he seems to bind himself in one straight-forward course, by a constant recollection of and recurrence to his subject. He never makes a sacrifice to style, nor ever courts smoothness, or avoids roughness, to obtain a more refined mode of expression. He appears to be careful, in the first place, to form a habit of thinking and reasoning correctly, and then trusts to his natural faculties for conveying his thoughts to others. From his constant habit of noting down occasional and detached sentiments, his language was likely to be full of breaks, and from the hardness, and decision, and pointedness of his ways of thinking, equally subject to knots, roughnesses, and unevennesses; but these are seldom felt by the reader, because the sentiments tell to his entire satisfaction. Not the least conspicuous quality of his mind and heart is, a perfect freedom from any high opinion of his own powers. Few are likely to be disgusted with his works from their assuming a dictatorial tone, or breathing an air of superiority; though few works are so full of little allusions to the author and his opinions, yet the artlessness of such allusions is so immediately apparent, that it dwells not on the reader's attention.

This seems a proper place to mention, that from some circumstances which took place about the time of publishing the *Moral Philosophy*, it is fair to conclude that he had little notion of estimating his own powers previous to that period. He could not indeed be ignorant of his own abilities, and therefore it would be only an oblique praise to lower them for him; but he seems not to have guessed at the reception which his works would meet with, and at best to have been much surprised and gratified at finding they ranked so high. The sudden rise of his powers in his own opinion is, perhaps, to be dated from the appearance of this work, and his first consciousness of public consequence to the great eagerness

and demand for these volumes. It will be shown, in speaking of some of his future works, what was his opinion at a more advanced stage of literary reputation; at present it will be a sufficient indication that it was not very high, if we observe that on his own negotiation with a bookseller in London, who was recommended to him at first by being concerned with the writings of the bishop of Carlisle, he would have concluded a bargain for two or three hundred pounds, had not his friend, then bishop of Clonfert, undertaken the management of it for him, and refused the offer till he should consult an eminent bookseller in Dublin. Meantime, Milliken, a bookseller in Carlisle, came forward in the name of this very Dublin bookseller, and offered £1000 for it. This offer was forwarded to the bishop in London, and would have been closed with at once, had not Mr. Paley wished to make the same proposal to the former bookseller in London. Without much scruple, though with considerable surprise at the enlargement of the speculation, he closed with the terms immediately. This let Mr. Paley into the secret of his own worth; for, on mentioning the circumstance to some of his family afterwards, "Little," says he, "did I ever think of making a thousand pounds by any work of mine!" The demand for it, however, as well as for his future works, gave neither him nor his bookseller any cause for dissatisfaction*; nor had he to wait for proposals on other occasions, but for his future publications made his own, and they were accepted.

But it is time to return from the work to the author, who, during this digression, is left at Appleby.

The account of his preferments, and the various movements to which he was subject in consequence, uninteresting as they may be to the general reader, are given with sufficient accuracy †. Nor are they in fact necessary to be detailed, except as connected with some interesting points of his character, and as showing that the same mind and principles

* I have now before me the twenty-first edition, dated 1814.—ED.

† Meadley and Chalmers.

accompanied him through all his situations, though under improved circumstances. Much of what is now to be given rests upon what could scarcely be known out of his own family. The policy of patronage is not often made public; and it is only the distance of time, and the unconcern of all parties, that make the entertainment cheap at the price of a slight indiscretion.

After holding the living of Appleby for about three years, he gave it up to enable the bishop to provide for a relation, and went to reside at Dalston; with an understanding, however, that he should soon be provided for by a more dignified station in the Cathedral at Carlisle. At the vicarage-house of Dalston it was necessary to make improvements, and alterations, and additions; and he therefore began, as he used to say, "adding to the dignity of its appearance;" and commenced farmer, by taking about twenty acres of glebe into his own hands. In this concern it was that he soon became a *bankrupt*, according to his own account, related by Meadley, but to what extent may be conjectured. In less than six months a prebendal stall became vacant, which he was informed of by his friend the archdeacon, who happened to be at Rose at the time, in the following short but good-humoured easy way:—"If you mean to have this, come here directly, for my father is old and weak, and there is an eager applicant for it now in the house." It is probable, however, that the archdeacon's injunction was useless; for, without the shadow of a demur, or any solicitation from Mr. Paley himself, he became forthwith the fourth prebendary of Carlisle, about the same time at which he vacated Appleby. He soon after divided his residence between this city and his living of Dalston, which was only four miles distant, and three from Rose, where he still had much concern and more interest than in any other object, in his capacity of chaplain.

About two years after this, in consequence of some important services rendered to the Duke of Portland fifteen or twenty years before by a clergyman in Cumberland (it is

said, by his deciphering some old English manuscripts or inscriptions, which had puzzled many antiquarians, in order to prove the Duke's claim to some disputed property), his grace very honourably wished to return the favour by being of service to him; yet from that clergyman's station, as well as from some eccentricities, passable enough in a character compounded strangely of much strong and original genius, and a temper irritable to an excess on trifles, he was unsuitable for the bench of bishops, in the filling of which the Duke of Portland, as Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, had then considerable sway. The bishopric of Clonfert happened to be at this time, 1782, vacant. The son of the bishop of Carlisle, who was archdeacon, than whom a more proper person both in station, character, and principle could not have been pitched upon, was elevated to the Irish bench of bishops; and, in consequence, the Duke of Portland had an opportunity of making this clergyman a prebendary of Carlisle. It is stated in the *Public Characters*, that a promise was required from the bishop of Carlisle, of the greater part of what he held, for a person on whom the Duke of Portland, the Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, wished to bestow it. But that this was wholly unnecessary may be well understood by any one acquainted with the general etiquette of patronage. That the insinuation is unfounded, and that no stipulation of the kind was either made or required, may be fairly presumed from its being contradicted in the margin by a decided "No;" which was not very likely to appear there without a full knowledge of the circumstance. On the other hand, in consequence of this change in the chapter, the archdeaconry was reserved for the bishop himself to give away, who conferred it on Mr. Paley. It is no part of the archdeacon's duty to superintend the affairs of the clergy in the diocese of Carlisle, as is the case in most other places, but that care devolves upon the chancellor altogether; so that this could not be looked upon in any other light than an addition, and that a small one, to his other pre-

ferments. The small living of Salkeld is annexed to it. This was the highest title he ever added to his name, and he even continued to be known by the title of Archdeacon Paley, long after his claim to it had ceased. Indeed whether from his easiness and liberality in transacting his own money concerns (for though he was most minute and particular in his knowledge about money, in his dealings and transactions with others he was eminently liberal), or from the real and almost proverbial low estate of the Cumberland livings, at that time, it was only during the latter part of his residence in Cumberland that he cleared so much as an income of £500 from all his preferments.

Three years after this he was advanced to the highest ecclesiastical station with which the bishop of Carlisle had any concern, by being appointed chancellor of the diocese. In rank it is generally held to be inferior to the archdeaconry in that see as well as in others, and perhaps in value, but in duties it is much more important, and as such it was always considered by him. That he was marked out for it some time before may be collected from the following piece of private history:—Dr. Burn, the late chancellor, had offered to resign the situation in favour of Mr. Paley, on finding that “those powers and assistance which he invariably devoted to the service of his clerical brethren* were fast declining, but wished to compromise that he might retain the usual emolument.” The bishop’s declining health made his son, Dr. Law, very urgent with Mr. Paley, that he should undertake the office during his father’s life; but he refused to have any thing to do with it, till it came fairly and freely to his acceptance. When it became vacant, by Dr. Burn’s death, the bishop of Carlisle was so ill, that Mr. Paley thought it impossible to get the necessary instruments passed through the Register-office, while his patron’s danger was sufficiently distant to make his

* These are Mr. Paley’s own words.

acceptance of it respectable. By the unexpected exertions, however, of the registrar, who was his wife's relation, and whom from his age and testiness it was considered impracticable to hasten, at twelve o'clock at night the papers were made out. They were signed in due course, and were made still more welcome by the bishop's recovery. The death of that most respectable and amiable prelate took place about two years after this. Of his constant exertions and steady zeal in his favour Mr. Paley professed a most just and impartial sense, knowing as he did, that the very friendship and almost cordiality with which he was treated by him, sprung from the purest motives of public good, and were intended, upon that principle only, to promote the interest of his diocese. A circumstance which occurred on the bishop's death was frequently noticed by Mr. Paley, with a constant reflection upon the indecent gaping and manœuvring, as well as the system of espionage, which is thought allowable, or which is not unusually practised in almost all families. Of all others perhaps the lot of the clerical body, which might, one would suppose, be more detached from worldly motives, is least exempt from this piteous condition. It is hard that no one, from an archbishop to a curate, or if it be not to carry it too far, to the parish clerk, can pass off the stage of life without a conviction, that however he may rouse the interest, he will scarcely engage the finer feelings of his expectant brethren! His son, the late Lord Ellenborough, was at that time engaged in the assizes at Carlisle, and his father's death being on that morning hourly expected, a horse was kept saddled in the stables at Rose castle, for the immediate despatch of a messenger to inform him of the event. When the messenger arrived in Carlisle, he found that not all his haste and preparation had prevented the news getting there before him; an expecting applicant had already set off from Carlisle to his patron, to sue for his assistance in procuring him the bishoprick. Those only might regulate such eagerness, who dis-

pense pieces of preferment; but, if not conscious of such hastiness themselves, they are probably not unconscious that many others have the same feelings towards them.

On these successive elevations, which took place from the year 1780 to the year 1785, his sphere of action became gradually enlarged. He was looked upon from this time as a resident in Carlisle, and considered himself so far stationary in that city, as to become much interested in its society. Before his family increased, he lived out of the precincts of the cathedral; but afterwards he enlarged his prebendal house by a very considerable addition, during which time he lived in the deanery, and soon removed into the abbey. Carlisle was at that time rather distinguished as a place of resort for those who seek and who lay themselves out for agreeable intercourse. It was then a quiet place in comparison with its present state of bustle. It was much less crowded with a manufacturing population, and less opened to commercial speculations. It had been, as it now is, a principal place of interest on the west side of the north of England, as an ancient and border city. The counties of Cumberland and Westmoreland were at that time divided in interest between two eccentric noblemen, who left very little room for a third or independent party; but for this reason its races, its elections and assizes, excited perhaps more interest, and were made more the tests of political ascendancy than they do at present. In other respects it might be called a place suitable enough for a busy sort of retirement, and contained within it a circle of enlightened and well informed gentry. The church added much to the general stock of agreeable society; and though in a less monied way than that establishment now allows of, was no less united and active amongst the rest of the inhabitants. This being the state of the place, it was a residence well suited for a man of Dr. Paley's habits and talents for active life. His first business seems to have been to get himself fairly poised between a private individual, and one holding a

responsible situation in life; but he was so averse from pushing himself into or courting notice, that he was more known in private circles during the whole of his abode at Carlisle, than as a public character. He was too accurate a discerner of the "tanti" of life to overstep his station. As an agreeable companion, a well informed scholar, and a useful member of the church, he is remembered with very kindly feelings by those who knew no more of him. His first object was his public concerns, which never for a moment were out of his view. Archdeacon and chancellor, as well as prebendary of the church, he had, as it is natural to suppose, a strong interest in the affairs of the cathedral and the clergy; yet it does not appear that he was so much concerned in the business of the chapter or the regulations of the cathedral, as about the general management of the establishment in the diocese. He was careful to keep up and promote, as far as he could, the proprieties of both. He was long the only active member of the chapter constantly resident in Carlisle; for though the prebendary before alluded to had no other residence, he scarcely could be understood either to mix or agree with the other members of the chapter. Dr. Paley rarely preached in the cathedral more than his turn, because it fell under the province of lecturer to supply any sermons that were wanting. He seemed to prefer his own little country church and well known flock to a more promiscuous and more elegant congregation. One character of his sermons which may make his style and manner of preaching more intelligible, may be added to what has been already given, because the mention of it belongs to this place, viz. that he would give the very same sermon in the same words to a congregation of uninformed rustics, and to one formed of the highest ranks of a populous city; yet so acceptable were they to both, that by the higher ranks he was said not to be so well suited to the lower from their not being capable of estimating the superiority of his matter and treatment; and by the lower, to be heard with

more interest than is usually given by them to sermons, because they always found themselves in possession of something they wished to understand, and wondered that they never understood it before. His talent for particular and minute observation, and for suiting his advice exactly to the case before him, joined to his general benevolence, enabled him to be of great use, in a quiet and silent way, to many of the clergy. In recommending, as he does in one of his charges, a personal conference with their parishioners upon religious subjects, "it is in many instances," says he, "a defect of a studious life, that it indisposes a man from entering with ease and familiarity into the conversation of the mixed ranks of human society." His advice was always readily and freely and heartily communicated; and in a way so apparently adapted to the level, as well as to the interest of the party concerned, that most of those who asked his advice found they were consulting a friend rather than one in an official situation. He was, however, so decided in tone, and so ready in discerning the consequences as well as motives of different actions and lines of conduct, that his advice had more the air of command and authority than he was aware of. To the lower order of clergy he was particularly attentive. To his curates, throughout his life he was strikingly liberal. Of his ecclesiastical censures he was sparing; and when he was obliged to exert them at a later period, in one flagrant instance of misconduct after repeated admonitions, his books at this day testify, that he discountenanced every kind of anonymous information; that he sifted common report to the bottom; that he looked upon all as prejudice that was not proved in so many words; and that his unwearied exertions to save the character of the man and the clergyman were exceeded only by his patience and impartiality in receiving any information on either side. His charges, only one of which has been hitherto made public, seldom failed to make an impression, as much from the substance of them, as from their shortness and

applicability. They are many of them very original, and have been often inquired after by some of those who heard them delivered.

Of the one published, which has been* noticed as containing some allusion to political preaching, which is rather unqualified, the following remarks may give some explanation, more particular than can perhaps generally be known. It certainly is an opinion but badly supported even by the names of the present day, that the clergy have nothing to do with politics, if it be taken to extend to any thing connected with public affairs; since it seems difficult to separate the interests of an establishment so intimately interwoven with the constitution, and since the concern of our parishioners with the public body is in general but too slightly observed. Even the pious and devout Herbert could tell us, that "his parson would make his children first Christians, then commonwealth's men." Dr. Paley's sentiments on the subject which are supposed to be alluded to, may be better collected from a much more considerable comment in the same sermon. "If," says he, "other occurrences have arisen in our neighbourhood, which serve to exemplify the progress and fate of vice, the solid advantage and ultimate success of virtue, the providential discovery of guilt or protection of innocence, the folly of avarice, the disappointment of ambition, the vanity of worldly schemes, the fallaciousness of human foresight, in a word, any thing which may remind us, 'what shadows we are, and what shadows we pursue,' such occurrences may be made to introduce topics of serious and useful meditation." But as to the hint, for it is but a hint, given as delicately as possible against "*party* or political transactions and disputes which would tend to dishonourable motives," what was present to the preacher's mind on the occasion may be understood, by observing that Cumberland was at that time the seat of a more violent ferment than is usually met with in contested elections; and

* Chalmers.

that the clergy, more particularly some amongst the higher stations of that body, were thought to be materially and improperly concerned. So far indeed was it carried about the period now spoken of, that it was the occasion of perhaps the only unpleasant occurrence that happened publicly during the course of his residence at Carlisle. It is connected indeed distantly with that "formidable opposition, which he is said to have joined*, against the overwhelming influence of a nobleman;" and no doubt gave rise to the account, though imperfectly given, "of the attempts made to weaken the elective franchise of the citizens of Carlisle." His interfering or rather joining publicly in a party, was in an affair in which some of the magistrates of Cumberland were concerned, in committing to prison two or three respectable inhabitants of Carlisle, who had pulled up some encroachments of this same nobleman upon the river, which prevented its being of service to the community, and which had been already condemned by a jury. This was generally esteemed such an excess of authority, such an insult upon the independence of the city, the respectability of the inhabitants, and the prudence of a magistrate, that it produced a shyness, or rather a neglect, of such magistrates long after. There had never been any great intimacy or intercourse between Dr. Paley and them, so that very little was gained by the accession of his name to the general sense of the inhabitants. As far as it went, he most highly and decidedly disapproved both of the act and the motive from which it seemed to proceed. When afterwards he was solicited by the dean of Carlisle, Dr. Ekins, who did not reside constantly, to come forward in compromising any misunderstanding, he expressed himself unwilling to *commence* an intercourse on that ground, but said, "he saw no reason why the slight or grudge should be perpetual;" and it is probable that his sermon on reconciliation of differences, which was

* Meadley.

preached about this time, was given in allusion to the difference then existing.

It may be a part of this subject, or at least will serve for passing from his church duties to his public life as an inhabitant of Carlisle, to observe, that he did not join in electioneering, nor in any thing connected with it; but he expressed his opinions privately upon the general services of Mr. Curwen to the city and county, which was indebted to him for a great deal more than its independence. He lamented the growth of *mushrooms* in Cumberland, which was the name given to a number of colliers and others who were allowed or rather forced to purchase forty shillings' worth of property; and in a letter written from Carlisle some years after he had ceased to be a resident there, he says, "Lord Lonsdale will be beat two to one, though joined by Lord Carlisle and the bishop. It is too soon for the freemen to forget the mushrooms." He also suffered his children to go bedizened with blue ribands, which the agents of Mr. Curwen had given them in profusion. These were his electioneering manœuvres. His politics, indeed, were as strongly marked as during any other period of his life; but they were marked only by his being of no party, in fact, no politician at all. He never seemed to know that he deserved the name of politician; and would probably have been equally amused at the grave attempts made to draw him into or withdraw him from any political bias, with which either this or any other writing may be concerned. He would always form his opinions upon what he considered substantial grounds, and if he could gain information enough to rest his opinion upon, it was sufficient for him. He could comment indeed upon passing events with an interest and eagerness which he seemed to have no power or wish to repress; but it was the interest of a warm and ardent mind, taking its own improvement and its own hints from whatever was curious or useful, or desirable or serviceable in the conduct of his fellow-creatures. He was an eager

devourer of a newspaper ; but one newspaper in a day which had been already read, was quite sufficient for his politics ; and as it is not unusual for us to form an opinion of a man's politics by inquiring what newspaper he reads, or (which indeed may be the truth) as the side and party which some politicians take may often depend upon their newspaper, so it may be well to mention that his was the Sun. It filled up one hour after dinner ; and whatever news was expected, or found to be contained in the post-bag, which every politician in the town knew at an early part of the day, he would not have thanked any one for letting him know before that hour. As a political dabbler, a reformer, or a staunch ministerial man, in the sense which that term is generally considered to bear, for the twenty years with which these memoirs are concerned, he was far too much involved in other pursuits, too ignorant of the general statistical history of his country, too desirous of a higher and more laudable and more benevolent use of his understanding, to savour in the least of a political clergyman. It is true there is a conscious and unconscious partisan. There is a party man whose principle is engaged without any force upon his inclinations or his interest, and who is scarcely known, or knows himself to be a party man, till circumstances call him out ; and there is another whose principle is so little affected, that he can deliver himself wholly up to whatever bias his inclination or his interest may prepare for him ; but Dr. Paley was neither of these. It seemed to be the turn of his mind to seek out and attain a balance in his opinions, on whatever subject his mind was employed. No man could preserve a more just balance between an independent man and an eager politician. He was most truly independent,—not as one who wishes to boast of being independent, who cares for nothing but himself and his own views, or who is afraid of being considered dependent ; but as one who is independent, on the sound principle of looking with more fixed views for a better purpose of existence.

While on this subject, it may not be improper to advert to what is said by his two contending biographers, on his refusal of the offer made to him by the bishop of Ely in 1789. It is suitable enough to the subject now mentioned, and occurred about the time of which we now speak. It is first to be observed, that what is said* and repeated about "some men not being fit to be trusted with the loose talk of their betters," though most decidedly to be reprobated in the use to which it is applied, is far too applicable to the general purposes of biography to dismiss without a great share of approbation. It is of use in explaining most of the misunderstandings that have arisen as to the public conduct of Dr. Paley, and of special use in this particular instance. There seems to be ground sufficient for Meadley, who knew but little of the parties to whom, or the occasion on which, it was spoken, to conclude that the offer was refused partly from such motives, as "not being able to keep in with Pitt a week;" but if this was said at all, it was given as a loose expression of what need not to have been repeated. It was one of those sentiments that were no sooner conceived than uttered, and no sooner uttered than forgotten. In this case as in many others, it is probable that the conversation from which such *proof* sentiments are drawn might lead to points on which he expressed agreement, but it is much more probable that such sentiments might take their complexion from the hearer rather than the speaker.

He was not at all unlikely, at any time of his life, from the substantial brevity and positiveness of his sentiments, to have many sayings and expressions palmed upon him, which he never thought of; but more than that, he was the most unfit, though perhaps the most liable, to fall into the hands of those dry retailers of other men's loose sayings, who dress up for

* Quarterly Review and Chalmers apply this not more indecently than injudiciously to Meadley, who was far too candid and careful and painstaking to deserve any such insinuation.

their own purposes whatever may be dealt out by men of some weight: but who that has any character to support is not made sensible of this! He indeed of all others was a perfect example of consistency on principle, and of discretion on any subject which he thought worthy of consideration, yet the most rash conceiver and utterer of hasty sentiments, of the most grave off-hand speeches, of the most undesigned, unpremeditated blunders in addressing or conversing with strangers for friends, and friends for opponents, that ever entered into common society. So weighty was his very sportiveness, so much in the style of seriousness did he produce his humorous sallies and lighter sentiments, that it not only required a penetrating discernor to tell what was joke and what was earnest, but a perfect and full acquaintance with his character. Though he was not one who was alarmed for consequences, if he could have foreseen them, yet such speeches were so unsuspected, that it is quite unfair to draw any conclusions from them. The following extract from one of his letters will set this matter at rest.

“ June —89. I send the enclosed letter for my father to see from the bishop of Ely, a man I know no more of than I do of the pope. I was never in a greater quandary.—I have great reason to believe that the situation would be a step to the highest preferments.—On the other hand to leave a situation with which I am much satisfied, and in which I am perfectly at ease in my circumstances, is a serious sort of a change. I think it will end in declining it.”

From the perfect conviction of his general freedom from all political bias, as well as a lively *feeling* of his character, his friends were convinced at the time, that he refused it chiefly from an unwillingness to enter upon another sphere of life and into a different society, fixed as he then was in a certain line of engagements. In the quarter whence the offer came there appears to have been no suspicion, much less grounds for supposing, that the refusal proceeded from any other cause.

Certainly no one of his more intimate friends ever had occasion to think him influenced by any such consequences as "quarrelling with Mr. Pitt." He himself seems to have been aware, or at least his family were, by hearing the opinion of his best friend, that he might have *missed a mitre* by it; but to an offer so handsomely and disinterestedly made, neither he nor any of his friends ever thought of adding any probable consequences.

Another occasion on which he came forward rather more publicly and prominently than was his custom, was in the year 1792, at a meeting held by the inhabitants of Carlisle, for the purpose of petitioning parliament for the abolition of the slave trade. He had already found occasion to notice this traffic in the course of his Moral Philosophy, though it is not to be found in his Lectures. He had been in correspondence for three or four years previously with the committee in London, but never * set himself fairly to any extraordinary activity, farther than as he in common with other able men might give publicity to their sentiments in that neighbourhood. The slave trade had excited a good deal of agitation in Carlisle and its vicinity, as it did in other places, partly from the talents and abilities that were roused throughout the kingdom in favour of the Africans, and partly from its being a common subject of interest in that neighbourhood, probably from the connexion between Carlisle, Lancaster, and Liverpool. That silly project of breaking the neck of the trade by

* It does not appear to me, that what is called his treatise entitled "arguments against the unjust pretensions of slave dealers and holders to be indemnified by pecuniary allowances at the public expense, in case the slave trade should be abolished," was sent as, or intended to be, a regular treatise. Mr. Clarkson's correspondence on the subject, which by the favour of Mr. Meadley's friends had been made known to me, seems only to authorise the mention of some hints, which Mr. Clarkson, in making up one of his reports or pamphlets, wished to avail himself of, but had mislaid them amongst his other papers. Mr. Paley's name appears on the books of the committee as a correspondent. ED.

lessening the consumption of sugar was rigorously and resolutely tried in many families, and, but for the sweetness of heroism, which made both young and old vie with each other in bearing with tasteless potions, would have spoiled many a cup of tea *. Even nurses were then taught to renew the old artifice of burning sugar in the candle, to show their wondering children how drops of blood distilled from the melting mass. To those who loved their own gratification better than more refined feeling, it was a hardship which made an impression, and to some others it may serve as a date for having frugally abolished the custom altogether. So active were the exertions of the people of Cumberland in favour of the abolition.—Mr. Paley, from having a relation at Lancaster who had amassed a considerable fortune in the West Indies as a planter, had an opportunity of making himself well acquainted with the mysteries of cruelty which lurked there; and neither his feeling of humanity, nor his common sense of morality, allowed him to hesitate on the subject.

The third occasion on which he interfered publicly was at a period when every adherent to any form of regular government might well exert himself to stem the torrent of popular commotion and frenzy at the French Revolution. Debating clubs and corresponding societies were said to be formed in Cumberland; but their influence did not extend much farther than to those who were just learned enough to be taken with Tom Paine's Rights of Man. It was on a general search

* That "entire abstinence from the sugar of the West Indian islands is the only instrument in our power of bringing the patrons of this horrid traffic to a sense of duty," is amongst the dogmas of Wakefield: who however clears the question of much entanglement when he says, "that conviction is the last thing wanted upon the subject, otherwise he would give two unequivocal universal maxims, one Christian and one heathen, applicable to this as well as every other subject. 1st. Evil is not to be committed that good may come, because the evil is certain and the good hypothetical. No political expediency whose basis is evil can terminate in national utility. 2. *Fiat justitia—ruat cælum.*"

being made by masters of families through their houses, and on finding that such cheap publications were much in circulation, that Dr. Paley sent out his "Reasons for Contentment," and a single cheap impression of his Chapter on the British Constitution. Though he found one or two copies of Paine's Rights of Man in his own family, and threw them into the fire, he was not led to have any desponding views of the general disaffection of the people, but thought it a great deal exaggerated by circumstances. This, as far as it may form a characteristic of his politics, was generally the complexion of his sentiments. His Reasons for Contentment are neither more nor less than the copy of a sermon, the original of which is marked as having been preached at Dalston, 1790. In one of these pamphlets, which were found on his bookshelves, was written in his own hand—"the best thing I ever wrote;" and as if to add one more instance to the common fatality in the character of authors, it is observed in the Public Characters, that "this pamphlet, notwithstanding the universal interest of the subject, was not very generally read, and by those who read it was not very generally admired. The side he took was unpopular."

Such was he in public; and so little did he think himself a public character, that it may be doubted, whether during a residence of thirteen or fourteen years at Carlisle he ever stepped out of his private circle into public and national concerns, except on these few occasions; and it is perhaps as distinctive a mark as can be given to him, to say, that with a great natural inclination for bustle and activity, he decidedly preferred, from a conviction of its superior importance, the quiet orderly discharge of regular duties to the popular and more assuming line of conduct, which yet he was never forward to blame.

He interested himself very much in the establishment of a dispensary, which was at that time a new thing there; and in the promoting of Sunday schools, it is well known, he en-

countered the grave charge of plagiarism. Of his spelling book, which it is well to notice amongst his public works, for a reason that will presently be obvious, nothing is to be said but what he himself was called upon to say, viz. "that the Sunday schools in Carlisle, at their establishment, were in want of some cheap and easy form of instruction; that he and the printer laid their heads together to cull from all such books, without fear of the imputation of plagiarists; that it was sent out in London by his permission, but with the condition of applying to Mr. Robinson, who, as he had learned since, was the author of the book, from which he had stolen the first part of it, the book itself having been given to his children." In answer to Mr. R.'s angry insinuation that he intended it as a matter of gain and embezzlement, he candidly tells him "that he never made a penny by it, but he is at any moment willing to make over to Mr. Robinson all his right and title in the work; that such a shabby, mean, publication is not likely to compete in the market with Mr. R.'s beautiful type and fine paper; that the reputation for authorship was really no motive for the theft; and that he had already made known to Mr. R. in a way that seemed to him to become both the subject and parties, that he was sincerely sorry for having unknowingly offended one, with whose literary merits and bad fortune he had, in common with every other scholar, often sympathised." The only observation necessary to be made in addition to this good-humoured and almost benevolent apology for it is, that on a future occasion, when he was asked to allow an impression of it to be printed at Newcastle, he replied, "Ay, you may do what you like with it, only take care; I got myself into a sad scrape about it." Mr. Robinson's character as a writer of some repute, and as a relation of Bishop Law, which, according to a note in the life prefixed to Chalmers's edition, that gentleman appears to have been, does not seem to have been known to him at the time, but it was perhaps suggested to him afterwards.

For the appearance of this little work his bookseller is answerable. Those interested in the memory and reputation of Dr. Paley find that appearance best accounted for by the general disregard paid to the fame of an author, when put in competition with any private interest or speculation. It may not be for the edification of readers in general, nor much for the interest of those whose pen moves by interest, to open the secrets of book-making. But the facts of the case are these, and they speak for themselves. A volume published after his death by his own bookseller consists of something more than 519 pages, 342 of which are taken up with the valuable information for the nursery, of *a dog, a hog, a cat, a rat*; and the Clergyman's Companion for Visiting the Sick; neither of which are Dr. Paley's, or can pretend to a place amongst Paley's sermons and tracts. This useful compilation of sermons and tracts was to be published just at the same time that another volume of his posthumous sermons was purchased and published by another bookseller, who was resorted to only after a comparatively low offer made by this his former bookseller; and after an injunction obtained from the Court of Chancery to restrain his attempts at sending out an impression already printed, without the consent of those immediately concerned.

As far as this same spelling book is indebted for its existence to the *mind*, and not to the circumstances of the author, (though little more than circumstances seem to have suggested the compilation,) it may be observed, that it was almost the natural turn of his leisure thoughts to observe the importance of little things of life. He was particularly fond of composing little prayers for his children or his own private use, many of which are to be found in his various commonplace-books, and by their very composition show no aim at publicity. In speaking of this spelling book it ought not to be omitted, that the only part which bears the stamp of originality in the first portion is in a list of directions for reading; and so peculiarly are they

constructed, that it may perhaps satisfy rather than displease Mr. Robinson's friends, if they be mistaken for Dr. Paley's* —“ Do not stop abruptly, avoid a whining cadence, support your voice firmly, mind your stops, let your tone be natural and with vivacity.” At least they were so completely engrafted on Dr. Paley's instructions to his family, that if they were not his own, it shows how much he valued Mr. Robinson's work.

In 1790 he published his *Horæ Paulinæ*. This, though perhaps the most original of his works, and containing as much accuracy of investigation, as much shrewdness in eliciting probable motives, is not calculated so well for general reading as his other works. It never met with a demand at all equal to the rest. Whether it be that the subject is more confined, that it has too distant a bearing upon general information, that it contains only a part of the evidences of Christianity, that it is a repetition of the same proof, that the mind of the reader is satisfied with proof long before the writer leaves his subject, it has never been much noticed in comparison with other writings of the same author. It is a fair specimen, however, of original criticism, and proves him to have been thoroughly versed in St. Paul's writings. That part, indeed, of his Greek Testament appears to have been his favourite reading, as there are in the epistolary part a great many more curious and critical notes than are to be found in the Gospels. The originality of the design may be questioned in this, as well as in the rest of his writings; and therefore it will be well to show, as far as any thing amongst his own papers will show, how much of the present work is original, that is, planned and executed without any previous application to lectures or other works.

* The particularity of such directions as these is not unlike what may be found in “ Additional Rules and Cautions,” appended to Izaak Walton and Charles Cotton's “ Complete Angler;” and who can be offended with the comparison? ED.

In the lectures from which his Evidences are taken, is to be found the following paper, which seems to have given rise to this work; since it contains more than he has given in his Chapter of Undesigned Coincidences *, and is only not inserted there as his other papers were, because, as he tells his reader, on trying he found himself unable to abridge what he had stated in this volume, so that he himself partly connects the two writings. Besides, it contains a great many of the passages from St. Paul's Epistles which are noticed in his *Horæ Paulinæ*, and which seem to be of the same kind with those that suggested the abridgement.

“ St. Paul's Epistles genuine, from the earnestness of affection and passionate zeal which appear in them, and which nothing but reality could inspire: *e. g.* Rom. viii. 35, 39; 2 Cor. xi. 21. *ad fin.*; 2 Tim. iv. 8. Could any man who was not in earnest write Phil. iii. 5, 14; 1 Cor. xiii.? could any man who did not look for the resurrection of the dead write the 15th chap. of 1 Cor.; as well as from the very obscurity and irregularity of them, which a forger would have to take care to avoid? Moreover the Epistle to the Colossians directs in the body of it, that it should be read amongst them; consequently could not be forged after Paul's death, as the very Epistle implied that, upon the receipt of it, it had been publicly read, whereas no such Epistle had before been heard of.

“ The 1st Epistle to the Corinthians is an answer to a letter they had sent him, and on that account impossible to be forged, because it must have come to hand soon after they had sent their letter, and have been written also by a person acquainted with the contents of it, and, consequently, whilst St. Paul was living, and they corresponded with him.

“ In the 1st Cor. 16. 21. Gal. 6. 11. 2 Thess. 3. 17. the very hand-writing appealed to—in other cases the transcriber or amanuensis is mentioned. Rom. 16. 22. The Epistle to the

* See Evidences of Christianity, P. 2, C. 7.

Philippians imports that it was sent by Epaphroditus, consequently would not be received unless Epaphroditus brought it.

“ But above all, their genuineness appears from the many examples of undesigned coincidences with one another, and with the Acts of the Apostles.

“ Observe, 1. The coincidences.

“ 2. The undesignedness of them; for, had they been unreal, either they would not be considered at all, or the coincidences would have been the effect of design. Phil. 4. 15, he says, that the Philippians had relieved him at Thessalonica. 1 Thess. 2. 9. 2 Thess. 3, 7, 8. he reminds the Thessalonians of the distress he had suffered among them, and how he had received nothing from them.

Phil. 3. 6.	compared with	Acts 9. 1.
1 Cor. 15. 8.	Acts 9. 17.
1 Thess. 3. 2, 6.	Acts 18. 5.
2 Cor. 9. 4.	Acts 20. 2.
1 Cor. 16. 5, 6.	Acts 20. 23.

“ Urges Timothy and Mark to come to him at Rome. Accordingly we find that Timothy and Mark were with him at Rome when the Epistles to the Philippians, Colossians, and Philemon were written.”

It may seem remarkable that no sketch of this work should have remained beyond this paper, which is placed amongst his other lecture papers,—if we except a few sentences relative to the genuineness of St. Paul’s Epistles, to be found there. It seems at least to show, that it had been amongst other employments of his thoughts for some time before. But this very circumstance of its not now appearing drawn up in a more enlarged form proves almost the entire originality of the work; since of his greater works, which were evidently sketched out before in his lectures, it is only the additional patch-work that remains, as was observed before, and not even the rough copies of a collected and compacted writing. So that this morsel, by being the only outline of a large volume, suggests obviously

that the work was written entirely without any such hints as he used in his *Moral Philosophy* or *Evidences*; that if this was not the case, some such enlarged sketch would probably have remained, as of the other works. The matter of it seems to have been the production of the time at which he wrote it. Nothing remains which may lead us to conjecture how long this work was in hand.

Both the *Moral Philosophy* and the *Horæ Paulinæ* have been printed in Germany, as is observed by Meadley. The first a year or two after its appearance in England, and the second in 1797. There appears, however, to have been an earlier application for a German edition of this last work from one of the deacons of Zurich; two of whose letters, remaining amongst Dr. Paley's papers, may be worth producing in this place, if it be only for their latinity.

“ Reverendissimo et doctissimo
Do—Do. Wilhelmo Paley,
Archidiacono Carleolensi, &c. &c.
S. Pl. D.

Joh. Jacobus Hess,
Diaconus ad ædem Abbatissanam
Turicensem.

Cum in *Ephemeridibus* literariis nuper de insigni libro quod *Horarum Paulinarum* titulo inscribitur, ea legissem, quæ me dubitare non sinunt, fore ut si liber iste in oris patriis omnique Germaniâ notior fieret, multis ad firmiorem de Religionis nostræ veritate persuasionem via panderetur; auctor fui amico Scaphusiano, Hurtero, utriusque linguæ idiomatis satis perito, ut ejus in linguam Germanicam ex Anglicâ vertendi curam susciperet, quod quo minus ipse facerem, muneris publici, cæterorumque laborum curâ detinebar. Eâ de re ad TE scribendi occasione tribus de causis lubenter usus sum; primo, quod justum et æquum esse videtur, ut de cujusvis libri vertendi consilio ante omnes auctor ipse certior fiat, ut, si typo jam

impressis addi quædam, vel in iis mutari vult, ea omnia versionis auctori possit communicare; deinde, quia librum ipsum ex Ephemeridibus quidem ita mihi notum, ut de ejus præstantiâ et utilitate dubitare nefas sit; inspicere nondum contigit; cum inter Bibliopolas Londinenses ac nostrates nullum intercidit commercium; quamobrem tyothetæ TVO mihi ignoto, vir reverendissime, quæso, significes, ut, addita pretii notâ (quod per negotiatorum quendam solvendum curabo) exemplar libri TVI, quamprimum fieri potest, ad me transmittat. Tertia, eaque gravissima, eâ de re TE interpellandi causa fuit ingens qua perfundor, lætitia, quoties lego vel audio lectu dignum quid eo fine in lucem editum esse, ut Historiæ Biblicæ, jam undique ab adversariorum telis et contemptorum fere oppressæ dignitas veritasque elucescat. Lardnerus quidem vester multa præstitit, sed et aliis quædam præstanda reliquit. Est et hoc ipsum, quod libris qualibuscumque meis de Christi, Apostolorum, Patriarcharum, etc. rebus gestis, in lucem editis (an in Angliam usque eorum aliqua notitia peragravit, nescio) pro virili adstruere et promovere admisus sum; persuasum habens, vix ulla alia ratione; hoc præsertim tempore, rei Christianæ melius posse consuli, quam ostendendo, firmis eam certissimisque *Historiæ* fide dignissima fundamentis niti. Et in ejusmodi rerum tractatione ne minutissima, quidem parvi habenda, sed et ipsas illas *περισσότητες* a lectoribus plerumque neglectas, multum sæpe momenti ad firmiorem animi persuasionem adferre, sæpissimè expertus sum. Quare, cum TV vir reverendissime novâ quadam, eâque valde commendabili ratione in isthoc genere laboraveris, est quod TIBI et cuivis litterarum sacrarum æstimatori librum TVVM legenti vel lecturo ex animo gratuler. Vale, vir doctissime, reverendissime! mê TIBI, commendatum habeas, et de re Christiana bene mereri pergas. Scripsi Turici Helvetiorum. d. 1 Junii 1792."

What answer was made to this application there is now no opportunity of knowing; nor does any thing occur amongst

the papers of Dr. Paley to build a conjecture upon. That an answer was returned favourable to the application, as well as courteous enough, may be collected from a second letter:—

“ Viro plurimum reverendo

Wilhelmo Paley, &c.

S. P. D.

S. Jacobus Hess,

Turicens. Diaconus.

Elapsis jam aliquot mensibus, necdum ad me perlato libro tuo, viâ jam prorsus aberasse vel intercidisse puto (ob turbas forsan in Gallia bellicas) desideratissimum illud munus tuum. Oritur hinc ad Scriptorem libri, quo carere nolim, recurrendi necessitas, quam quidem mihi imponi haud ægre fero. Accedit enim confidentiùs tecum confabulandi libertas abs te ipso concessa, immo vinculum quoddam amicitiae, quo me tecum jungi sentio lætorque, litteris quippe tuis amicissimis ad rescribendum invitatus. Fateor magna me lætitia adfectum esse isthac tua humanitate. Sum enim ita naturâ comparatus, ut quos veritatis Christianæ amicos et defensores magni facio, eos non e libris tantum, sed e suaviori quadam si fieri potest, conversatione, notos mihi reddere familiaresque cupiam. Te vero de Christianâ religione defendenda optime meritum esse, vel ex iis compertum habeo quæ in volumine 73^{mo} 78^o *Monthly Review*, page 411—413, ‘è Philosophicis tuis Principiis’ de incredulorum parum honestis artibus excerpta nuper legi verissima sane et momentosissima. Ne tamen vel loquacitatis vel assentationis suspectum me habeas, plura addere nolo. Vale, vir reverende, et mecum, si placet, conjunctissime. Scripsi Turici Helvetiorum d. v. Nov. 1792.”

This work cleared off, he quickly turned his attention to making up a more general, though scarcely a more original survey of the Evidences of Christianity. It was probably in furtherance of his early plan of putting his college lectures into a more complete form, that he commenced this undertaking; for it does not appear that any other inducement was

held out, or was necessary for him at this stage of authorship, than that of being a useful and striking writer. By this time, indeed, he was almost habitually a writer, and if writing for the press ever with him became a business, it was at this period; for, being at comparative ease in his circumstances, satisfied to the full with his station, happy in his clerical engagements, attentive to family duties, and diligent in a certain routine of professional duties, which called for a regular discharge and almost daily attention, he was necessarily much at home, though not occupied in his public capacity to the full stretch of his abilities; and a mind like his could not be satisfied with the mere possession of such resources.

Of the two works which are derived from his college lectures, viz. his *Moral Philosophy* and *Evidences*, the latter seems to have engaged much more of his research, the former much more of original thinking. There is, however, another difference in the rough model of the two writings,—if, as seems incontestably to be the case, his lectures gave rise to both. As far as respects the *Moral Philosophy*, the plan adopted in his lectures was adhered to in his work, though much enlarged. For his *Evidences* there is but a very crude and misshapen substance discoverable in his lectures, from which to draw any thing like a connected performance. In the one it is not difficult to recognise the plan, in the other it is more than difficult. Indeed so little does he seem to have decided with himself to what purpose he should turn these last, that even whilst he was reconsidering and remodelling them, he appears to have changed his plan. His general mode of composition and the unconnectedness of his rough sketches have been already observed, as suggested by a peep into his manuscript papers and books: and it may be that this very confusion gives rise to conjectures rather fanciful than well warranted; since what seems to us to stand without connexion, and as if written with a hasty snatch of his pen in any page, may to him who was master of his plan have had a very intelligible

connexion and consistency. The books into which the Evidences of Christianity were collected are in eight or ten numbers, and of different sizes, crammed with short and detached sentences, some of which are divided into heads, and others intermingled with a curious variety of heterogeneous stuff. To these books may be added a great many loose sheets of paper, which contain the most substantial part of his work. Most of these detached sentences are marked as if received and placed in order, and appear in the body of the work scattered in different corners. These same marks, and the passages so marked, are to be found very generally in his lecture-book also. Such statements will scarcely be thought too minute, if they enable us to ascertain, both the quantum of thought expended upon this work, and the way in which it may be said to have assumed its present form.

His lectures, as far as they present a rough cast of his Evidences, seem to proceed upon, and to be a sort of abstract of, the second and third books of Grotius de Veritate, &c.

“ The Evidences of Christianity are an aggregate of many circumstances, not any one of which would alone be sufficient, and yet altogether convey complete and entire satisfaction. This I mention for the sake of those who are uneasy because they have not some one single proof to turn to, which, like a demonstration in Euclid, makes an end of the question at once.

“ The evidence of Christianity is either external or internal.

“ The internal, that which arises from the contents of the books themselves.

“ The external, that which proves the truth of what they contain, be the contents what they will.

“ The direct external evidence of Christianity is comprised in these three propositions :

“ That the books of the New Testament were written by the authors to whom they are ascribed ;

“ That these authors could not be deceived themselves in what they relate ;

“ That they neither would nor could impose upon others.

“ The books of the New Testament were written by the authors to whom they are ascribed.

“ For this proposition { 1. The general Evidence ;
2. The particular Testimony.

“ The general evidence may be thus explained. No one has any doubt but that the Commentaries were Cæsar’s, the Orations Cicero’s, and the Æneid Virgil’s. There is no more reason to doubt but that the Gospels were Matthew’s, Mark’s, Luke’s, and John’s ; the Epistles St. Paul’s, St. Peter’s, St. James’s, &c.

“ The foundation of our belief being in all these cases the same, *i. e.* the universal reception of them as such so far as we can trace.

“ And this foundation may be depended upon, for it proves that they were acknowledged to belong to those authors, in the age in which they were published, and by the contemporaries of the authors ; a thing which might be known almost to a certainty from their bearing the author’s name—speaking of him in the first person, his publicly owning them, their being ascribed to him by common reputation, and his acquiescing in it ; and that this opinion was delivered by the contemporaries of the author to the generation which immediately followed, and so transmitted through successive generations down to us. I say the universal reception of them proves thus much, this being the only way by which we can account for their being universally received ; so if any one forged a book in the pretended author’s life-time, or near it, he must presently be detected, and after considerable time such a forgery would be almost impossible, as no one would receive a book as the work of another which had never been heard of during his life, or long after his death.

“ There is no doubt at this time but that the book called

Hume's History was written by Hume, nor will there be any doubt one hundred years hence, nor any more one hundred years after that, nor a thousand years hence,—if the book all the while continue to be read and in reputation; for we of this age know it to be his from its bearing his name, being universally ascribed to him, and his acquiescing in the reputation. Those of the next age will know it to be his from us, *i. e.* from finding it so established amongst us as a notorious and undoubted fact, that he was the author, and considering that this is a point in which we could scarce possibly be deceived. Those of the following age will receive it upon the same principle, and with no diminution of evidence from them, and so on to any distance of time.

“ Take it backward.

“ There is no doubt to us his contemporaries, that the book called Hume's History was written by Hume in this age. There is as little doubt but that Paradise Lost was written by Milton in the last age, we being as well satisfied with receiving this opinion from his contemporaries as if we had been his contemporaries ourselves.

“ Neither can we doubt but that the plays were written by Shakespeare in the age before that, though two generations removed from him; nor will it probably be more dubious five hundred years hence than it is now.

“ Nor in like manner ought there to be any doubt but that the *Æneid* was Virgil's, the Orations Cicero's, the Gospel Matthew's for instance, the Epistles St. Paul's.

“ This evidence, from the reception so far as we can trace it, is never in fact disputed; but where it is either contradicted by some opposite testimony, as some ancient writer of credit ascribing it to a different author; by a writer's silence about it, when he might be expected to mention it; or, secondly, something in the book itself inconsistent with those times, or that author.

“ If any one says, I allow the Commentaries to be Cæsar's,

the Orations to be Cicero's, and the *Æneid* Virgil's; but I do not see the same reason for allowing the Gospel to be Matthew's, or the Epistles Paul's, because in religious matters people may be induced to forge books in other persons' names, and the names especially of men of such high authority as the Apostles. Now allowing that such attempts have in some few instances been made, I answer in the first place, that most of them were soon detected, that none of them gained the unanimous reception and authority that the Scriptures had. Adrian forged a book in Cicero's name, *De Consolatione*; no man was ever led by that circumstance to suspect the authenticity of his Orations, *Tusculan Questions*, and other genuine works. Secondly, that this circumstance is more than balanced by two others, that are in favour of the Scriptures above what can be said for the *Æneid*, the Commentaries, or Orations. The first is, that these Scriptures are in the nature of laws, and consequently the people who were then living would take care to be satisfied of their authenticity, before they would think themselves bound to obey them and lay down their lives for the religion they contained.

“ You might forge, perhaps, a speech, but would find it the hardest thing in the world to palm upon the public an act of parliament.

“ No one ever doubted but that the code of Roman law was Justinian's, though there was but one single copy of it found amongst some rubbish.

“ Secondly, that from the very earliest times these Scriptures were publicly read in churches, which effectually secured them from alteration or addition.

“ So much for the general evidence.

“ The particular testimony consists in their being cited as belonging to these authors by other ancient writers.

“ Hume, in his history, cites incidentally a passage from Lord Clarendon's History; this will be a proof to posterity that the book in which this passage was found was written by Lord Cla-

rendon, and a better proof, perhaps, than if Hume had expressly asserted it; it being less liable to suspicion, as it would be very far-fetched, almost impossible, to suppose that Hume should forge and foist into his own work a spurious quotation for the sake of authenticating a book, when he does not appear ever to have had the authenticity of that book in his thoughts or view, or ever made a question of it.

“Of the same nature is the particular testimony I am now going to produce.

“And here arises a cloud of witnesses, which places the authenticity of the Scriptures beyond all controversy—beyond the authenticity of any other book in the world.

“They are quoted, and quoted as genuine records, and as the writings of the persons we ascribe them to, by a succession of authors from near to the very time in which they were first published, through every following age down to the present.

“Here produce the quotations, first exemplifying what is meant by quoting by name.

“Quoting,

“Repeating.

“We have heard a series of testimonies, and conducted it below the year 500; we might have brought it down to our own age; but from this time, and indeed long before, we are absolutely certain that it was out of the power of even the governors of the church to adulterate, add, or to suppress them. I say, we are certain of it; because by this time many of the popish corruptions were maintained in the church, which these Scriptures either expressly condemned, or afforded no support to. If, for instance, they could have stifled it, they never would have left the passage in St. Paul condemning worship in an unknown tongue, the description of the man of sin, the rebuke of Peter by Christ, and opposition by Paul, the reproof of the Virgin Mary; all of which one would think were providentially preserved to guard against the superstitions they fell into. If they could have altered or added to them, they would have

foisted in something to have given a colour at least to Peter's supremacy over the other apostles, under which the pope claims his authority, to monastic life, celibacy of the clergy, invocation of saints, purgatory, prayers for the dead, which at present have not a foot to stand on.

“It was not likely we should have any history or account of Christ, but either from his followers or those who wrote against them, of which last class Celsus, A. D. 150; Porphyry, 240; Julian, 300; Hierocles, 300; have none of them denied the miracles of Christ, but ascribed them to magic. The Jewish Talmud, also composed about 300, allows his miracles, and mentions some instances.”

He then takes the remaining propositions in their course, viz. that these authors could not be deceived in what they relate.

“3. 1st Part. That they *would* not attempt to impose upon others*.

“3. 2d Part. That they *could* not impose upon others.

“1st. To suppose that they would, is to suppose in the first place that a handful of poor illiterate fishermen, labourers, tax-gatherers, &c. should conceive a scheme of reforming the world, of altering all its customs and opinions, should entertain hopes of accomplishing it, should concert among themselves, and carry it on by themselves.

* * * * * *

“2d. To suppose that they would, is to suppose in the second place, that they could do all this without any possible end or advantage in it whatever. What had they to get by preaching Christianity, or publishing the history of it? There was nothing to be got, nor did they attempt to get any thing.

* * * * * *

“3d. To suppose that they would, is to suppose another absurdity greater than all this, viz. that such people should form such a scheme, and face every danger, difficulty, and dis-

* In the first part of this third proposition, we meet with a slight mention of what forms the principal feature in his work on the Evidences.

couragement in carrying it on, should undergo the greatest fatigues, traverse seas and kingdoms without rest, and without weariness, and at last submit to death itself in support of it.

“ Here produce the evidence of these sufferings. Now there is not a single instance where a man has died in defence of his opinions, but the world have done him the justice to allow that he was sincere in it, that he believed what he died for; and what shadow therefore of reason is there contrary to all experience, to our constant way of judging in every other instance, to suspect the veracity of Christ’s witnesses when they laid down their lives in support of it?

“ I take this argument from the sufferings of the first Christians to be decisive.

“ If you say that many have died with a lie in their mouths, and that malefactors do it every day at the place of execution, I answer that it is one thing to die *in* a falsehood, another thing to die *for* it; there is not much dependence, for instance, in a man’s denying a crime, when he must die whether he denies it or not; but if he denied it when he might save his life by confessing it, I imagine there is not a man in the world but would suppose him innocent.”

* * * * *

In the second part of the third proposition, he puts down three principal circumstances which distinguish a true history from a false one, because they make it difficult or next to impossible to palm a false history upon the public; and all three concur in the case of the Christian Scripture and first preaching.

“ 1. The account being published at or near the time and place in which the thing related is said to have happened

* * * * *

“ Were a man at this time of day to publish a history of St. George, few I suppose would regard it, whatever the author’s character was—was the same man to publish a history

of King George the First or Second, perhaps every one would pay credit to it.

* * * * *

“ We learn from Tacitus, that Christianity began in Judea; that it had spread so far as Rome; that there were Christians there in great numbers within thirty years after Christ’s crucifixion; it must therefore have been set up and on foot presently after—

* * * * *

“ A man might impose upon us in England accounts of pretended wonders in the South Seas; of a city being swallowed up in Peru; of men eight feet high at Cape Horn; but I defy a man to pass off, for any continuance however, a story of a city being swallowed up in Yorkshire, or of a race of giants being discovered in Gloucestershire.

* * * * *

“ 2. The subject of the narrative being of importance to the persons to whom it is related.

“ If a thing be of little or no signification whether it be true or false, of no concern to any body, there is an indolence and incredulity in mankind which acquiesces in such stories upon the slenderest testimonies; perhaps, too, there is a turn for the marvellous which inclines people to receive them. I assent as a matter of course; it’s not worth while to inquire, think, or dispute about it; but let the intelligence affect a man’s circumstances, or his prospects, or his conduct, or his profession, it becomes quite a different case; you will see him bestir himself about it in good earnest; be as wary, inquisitive, and suspicious as you will; search into the bottom of the story, tracing things to the fountain head, and fully satisfying himself of his grounds before he take any measure, or make up his mind.

“ Thus the compiler of a newspaper may insert an article, time after time, of ravages committed by a wild beast in a

remote province of France, and the story may pass current, without a syllable of truth in it. A traveller may publish accounts of serpents in Egypt half a mile long, of their stopping the course of rivers, or, like bishop Pontopidon, of sea-eels towards the North Pole, that a man of war might sail under the bend of their bodies; and such accounts may continue long uncontradicted, and in some degree credited; but if an event publicly asserted, which any way affects stock or trade, or public credit, or people's professions, as that America has offered terms of accommodation; that a war has broken out betwixt Spain and Morocco; that the provincials have taken Quebec; or that the king of Prussia is sending an army into Hanover; such events and such narratives, if they be publicly asserted and believed for any length of time, you may swear to be true.

“What then were the miracles of Christianity? Of infinitely more importance to those who read or heard of them than any thing which affects only a man's property or business can be; for upon these facts being true or false depended all their hopes of everlasting happiness; and besides, if these accounts be true, I must give up the opinions and principles I have been born and brought up in, must change the religion in which my fathers lived and died, and which I have all along believed and practised, must take up a quite different course of life, part with my old pleasures and gratifications, and begin a new set of rules and system of behaviour; it is not conceivable I should do this upon every idle report or frivolous account, or indeed without being fully satisfied and convinced of the truth and credibility of the relation.

* * * * *

“3. The third great article which concerns the credit of a history is, whether it coincided with the prevailing opinions and prejudices, or was supported by the authority of the time and place where it was delivered.

“We all know that a story which falls in with our own

sentiments and passions gains an easy admission; the most unlikely and incredible things of the king and his ministers would go down with a party or a faction,—with a club of modern patriots, for instance, upon the slightest foundation, if it confirmed a notion they had taken up about the ministry, or served to humour their resentment against them. Upon the same principle the stories of witchcraft and apparitions, handed down to us from king James's time, find few people to believe them at this time of day; because we know that such stories might be and were propagated and credited upon the slenderest testimony; they had no more doubt of the reality and existence of witches and ghosts than we have of our own, and therefore received any account of them, not as we should, with surprise and caution, or any curiosity to see into the bottom of it, but with open mouths, and swallowed them with more avidity and less distrust than any common transaction or ordinary occurrence whatever. Of a like nature were the stories that were told of Jews eating and crucifying children at a time when the people were enraged at them beforehand, and ready to tear their eyes out;—such stories fell in with the public hatred, and got people to believe them against all reason and probability. The same holds with regard to the popish miracles, that were pretended to have been wrought, in the dark ages of Christianity; they proved nothing but what was already allowed, and had the popular cry and persuasion entirely on their side. Public authority also, by stifling inquiry, or silencing contradiction, may frequently hold up the reputation of a story, that has little else to support it; as was the case in a great measure with those popish miracles, where it was as much as a man's life was worth to question or dispute them.

“On the contrary, therefore, if a story makes its way in opposition to prejudice and passion; if you can get, for instance, the patriots themselves to confess any good quality of the king's, or good action of the minister's, you may depend

upon the truth of it, because nothing but the truth would force them to an acknowledgement so sorely against their wills."

* * * * *

He next enters upon "Prophecy," without any further application either to the sufferings or the miracles of Christ and his Apostles.

"Collateral external proofs of Christianity are, Prophecy and the Propagation of the Religion.

"A prophecy fulfilled is a miracle, and like any other miracle it proves the point it is produced to attest.

"The two principal articles of prophecy are,

"The predictions of Christ in the O. T. and

"His own predictions of the destruction of Jerusalem.

"Now as to the first, there is an absolute certainty that the passages we produce out of the Old Testament were actually there seven hundred years before Christ's appearance, because these books have been all the while in the custody and keeping of the Jews, and determined enemies of Christianity; and who consequently would not forge themselves, nor suffer others to foist in, any thing that made for a religion they had rejected, and were so much set against.

"These passages are all received and acknowledged by the Jews to this day.

"You must compare, therefore, the description with the event; and in proportion as you think it unlikely such descriptions should have been hit off by mere guess-work and random conjecture, in the same proportion do they prove that the prophets received their intimations from Heaven, and consequently that the religion, which is built upon them, comes from God.

"I divide these prophecies into two classes:

"1st. Those which seem plainly and directly to belong to Christ.

"2d. Those which probably, though more obscurely, relate to him.

- “ Here produce the prophecies.
- “ 2. Christ’s own predictions of the destruction of Jerusalem.
- “ Here produce the particular circumstances.
- “ The rapid propagation of Christianity.
- “ For the fact itself produce the papers.
- “ Internal proofs of the truth of Christianity.
- “ The circumstantial concurrence of the Scriptures with other accounts of the same time and country.
- “ Produce the papers.
- “ Facts fairly related, which might seemingly make against them, and which, had they carved out the story for themselves, they would have suppressed.
- “ Produce the papers.
- “ Seeming contradictions, but real arguments, between the different Evangelists.
- “ The Morality of the Gospel.
- “ Produce the papers.
- “ The originality of Christ’s character.
- “ Produce the papers.
- “ Suitableness of the four Gospels to the situation of their reputed Authors.
- “ Produce the papers.
- “ An adequate occasion for the miracles.
- “ Produce the papers.
- “ Genuineness of St. Paul’s Epistles.
- “ Produce the papers.
- “ Objections to Christianity.
- “ Produce the papers.
- “ Hume’s objection
- “ That a miracle can never become credible by any human testimony whatever, as it contradicts experience that the miracle should be true—it does not contradict experience that the testimony should be false.
- “ A simple instance will answer the objection. It absolutely

contradicts the experience of the Emperor of China, for instance, that water should become stiff by cold; yet of twelve men landed in his territories, who all affirmed it to be so in their country, if they were men of innocent and exemplary lives, if there was no possible temptation for them to lie about the matter, if it was not possible for them to make a mistake about it, if they were insulted and ill-treated for maintaining a seeming absurdity, yet still persisted in it, if the Emperor called them before him, and charged them to have done with such nonsense; if they still went on and he imprisoned them, beat them, banished them, and they neither varied nor retracted their story; if he promised them their lives and liberty, if they would confess it to be false; but instead of confessing it they repeated the same assertion, submitted to be put to death, and died: with all the experience the Emperor of China might have had, and all the confidence that the most experienced men upon earth put in his experience, they must be madmen not to believe them.

“Hume’s second objection is, that there are miracles which we allow to be false, and yet are supported by as good testimony as those of the Gospel, and consequently no such testimony can be depended upon; and he produces by way of instance the popish miracles.

“We deny that these miracles are built upon any thing like the evidence that we have for Christ’s

* * * * *

“* The controversy of the Church of England with Dissenters

* * * * *

“Papists

* * * * *

* The reader will observe that here hooks on the part (and it is only a part even of what the lecturer has reserved for this department of his subject) which is given by Meadley in the Appendix, B. 2d edition.

“ Methodists

* * * * *

“ Quakers.

* * * * *

“ Presbyterians.

* * * * *

“ The number of Infidels in the world.

* * * * *

“ Vanity.

* *

“ Rashness.

* *

“ Company and conversation.

* * * * *

“ The tendency of particular studies.

* * *

“ Authority

* * *

“ The cause which has contributed more than all the rest put together to give rise to objections.

“ 1st. The many absurdities which several national Churches have taken into their system, and which have no place nor foundation in the Scriptures; and the universal propensity in mankind to reject a whole system for the folly or falsehood of particular parts of it. This cause alone accounts for the many unbelievers to be found in popish countries. How should you get Voltaire, Rousseau, or people of sense and spirit to believe Christianity, while they regard Transubstantiation, the Infallibility of the Pope, or the Power of absolving sins, as so many parts of it?

“ 2d. Several lucrative tenets in some established systems, which induce the suspicion of craft and design in the whole,—the efficacy of offerings and donations to the church.

“ 3. The placing Christianity upon every foundation.

“ Thus Quakers and Methodists refer you for the proof of

Christianity to the motions or witnessing of the Spirit in your own breast; now a man who hears this, and can feel no such emotions or witnessings, has nothing left for it but to turn infidel.”

He then proceeds to give a short sketch of the prevailing errors of each Christian sect that he has mentioned, and takes the errors of each, in addition to what he has already given of the controversies maintained by each with the Church of England.

Besides this matter extracted from a book fairly and neatly written, and set in a clear and intelligible arrangement, there is what may be considered his rough copy of these lectures, containing many passages from various authors, whom he has consulted, and which are generally confined to what he has called the *papers*, when he made up his lectures, along with much of the same material as has been given. It may be worth noticing that this book commences with directions for studying the Scriptures, written at full length, and much in the same words as Meadley has given in his Appendix B, though not so full. In the next page is written,

“ On the evidence of Christianity.

“ Directions for studying the Acts of the Apostles.

“ Of the Author’s dates, occasion, and design of the Epistles, with an Explanation of some of the more remarkable phrases and arguments that occur in them.

“ Directions for pursuing our inquiries into subjects of doubt or controversy.

“ An abstract of Ecclesiastical History, particularly of the first founding of Christianity and of the Reformation.

“ Of the controversies of the Church of England with Papists, Presbyterians, Quakers, Methodists.

“ Of the composition of Sermons.

“ Of exhorting, expounding, visiting the sick, and other parochial duties.”

Throughout this book there is little alteration in the plan, except transposing two or three heads, and inserting one or two which do not appear elsewhere. Thus, after "the morality of the Gospel" comes "the originality of Christ's character,"—and after that "an adequate occasion for the miracles."

The papers referred to are loose sheets, containing some little material on each of the heads here mentioned, which comes into the Evidences in some shape or other, though so much varied or enlarged, that they are scarcely to be recognised, except as being applicable to the several heads. The most considerable of them in bulk are "Testimonies to the authenticity of the Scriptures," and "Proofs and account of the sufferings of the first Christians," and "Hints on the study of divinity." One of them shall be produced as a specimen of the rest: on a comparison with the chapter in the Evidences, it will be seen how far the resemblance goes.

"The originality of Christ's character, that is the improbability of any one, whether enthusiast or impostor, fancying or pretending himself to be such a person as Christ professed himself to be.

"The Jews understood their prophecies of a temporal prince, and therefore whoever set himself up as the object of these prophecies would most naturally fall upon the sense in which they were generally understood; and this was the fact, for the false Christs or pretended Messiahs, of which there have appeared so many, did assume that character.

"Had he taken upon him the character merely of a prophet, like Isaiah, Jeremiah, &c. it would not have been unnatural, and he had examples before him.

"Had he trod in the steps of the old philosophers, the rabbies, or teachers of wisdom among the Jews, one would not have wondered.

"But here he produces himself as a being of a different

nature with the rest of his species, as immediately and peculiarly connected with God himself, as invested with the government of the world, as the person who is to raise us up at the last day, and the appointed judge of all mankind,—a character which there was no precedent to suggest, no example to imitate, nothing to make him imagine it. Besides, it never would have entered into the head of impostors either to deny the ceremonial institution of Moses, or be for setting mankind upon a level with themselves, as there was not a man of them who did not stickle for the distinction and superiority of their nation.

“ It was about as unlikely as that a Chinese mandarin should imagine himself inspired by the Holy Ghost, or a native of Otaheite, that he was possessed by the devil.”

Some of these papers also contain heads and arguments, which do not at all come into the composition of the Evidences. Such are “ on the sects and opinions of the Jews; on the manners and customs of the Jews; and instances of the disinterestedness of Christ and his Apostles;” which last is not at all connected with his chapter on the “ Candour of the Writers in the New Testament.”

It is obvious that most writers, on whatever subjects, may be as undecided in their plan, and as unconnected in the pursuit of their object as this author seems to have been on first setting out; nor is it pretended that any other work in so imperfect a state would not present the same confusion of material. But this may serve to account for the commencement of such an undertaking as the Evidences of Christianity.

Besides the main design of presenting such a view of these lectures, *viz.* to prove that much labour and thought was expended upon the work, in addition to its being an enlargement of his lectures, there are one or two observations suggested by an inspection into these papers.

His style and manner of illustration seem to have been the

same in 1774, when comparatively young both in age and theological studies. He seems to have been peculiarly fond of taking hold of the passing events of the day, and other familiar matters, as illustrative of the obscurities, or what may be called at least the depths of learning. He seems to have divided the subject of his lectures in his own mind into two parts, on reconsidering it with a view to publication; into the study of divinity, taking under that name a very partial view of it as a science, and into the common clerical duties, in which he could have had little notion at that time of being farther interested than as a college tutor. One part of this division he seems to have taken for his Evidences, and perhaps on finding a certain portion of it swell out into a more bulky form than he had anticipated, confined himself to write on that particular kind of proof, which consists of some less noticed points of external and internal evidence. Original on common-place subjects, and common-place on original subjects, as well as prepared by the peculiar bent of his mind to sift evidence of every kind, he seems to have united these two qualities so as to do more justice to his subject than to himself, and to have consulted rather how he might add his share to the many writings on that subject, than how he might form a close or elaborate detail of the general evidences. It is certain that what forms the chief subject of his Evidences forms only a very small part of his lectures. It may serve also to confirm what has been before related, of his having employed much of his thought on this subject after he left college, if not of his having gradually developed his plan, that many of his early sermons contain several passages similar to those in his lectures, but considerably added to and enlarged.

In the book wherein he seems to have formed the plan of his Evidences, and which is clearly written in a more hurried manner and with fresher ink than his lectures, there is what may give rise to a curious speculation, as to the different form

which his work *might* have assumed, and the reception it might have met with. In the first page stands in many detached sentences,

“An address to a young man on the study of Divinity.

“An address to a young Clergyman entering upon the Evidences of Christianity.

“To distinguish between spurious testimony and various degrees of testimony.

“To begin with Casaubon’s letter.

“Some objections merely negative, as temptations, &c. raising the bodies of saints.

“Substantial trait. Duke of Argyle.

“National character no great objection.

“Miracles, why rejected at one time and received at another.

“How far recognized by the Jewish Scriptures.

“Passages likely to be forged.”

It is not easy, if it were necessary, to determine for what purpose these several heads were put down, except as so many first strayings amid the uncertainties and indecision of arrangement, before he caught the spirit of his work. Some of these heads are introduced into the body of the work as it now stands, and after all, such loose conjecture may be wide of the mark.

In order to come at his own opinion of the merit, as well as sterling value of his work, the following extracts from letters of his own writing may be produced. In one, written about this time to an author who had presented him with one of his valuable works, he says, “I desire you to accept my thanks for your obliging present, which has been to me full of information. As an instance of your condescension I receive it, with the respect with which a soldier would receive a sword from a veteran general. Your life, like that of the excellent Lardner, has been long and honourably employed in raising the materials of Christian erudition out of the mines in which

they were contained, and which few can disclose. To me, and such writers as I am, belongs the far more imperfect praise, but sufficient for us, of presenting these materials to the public, under forms as popular and persuasive as we can."

In another, which may refer to his Evidences, not only because it is placed amongst the rough copies of his correspondence of that date, but because it sufficiently tallies with that work, and not with any other, he says, so far as can be made out, "In a work by its nature comprehending so many points, it is hardly to be expected that there should not be some in which opinions will differ * * * * *

I have seen some short quotations from Tom Paine's works in newspapers, &c. I should not expect from him much close reasoning upon the subject; I agree in thinking his talents for writing are respectable, and his impudence unbounded. If there be any thing in my work which should happen to meet his objections, I shall account it fortunate. I think no good is done by a reply, which would only unavoidably disseminate his poison."

To his bookseller he says, "I have good reason to believe the Evidences will become a standard book for persons entering into orders and for the universities. The bishops of — and — tell me so; if so, it is not unlikely to command a regular sale for some years. I have no wish that it should go into other hands. I will offer you fair terms, and I may be tempted by offers * * *."

To the same, who seems to have demurred, he says in another letter, "I adhere to my proposal of £500 for the Evidences and Horæ Paulinæ. I believe I do not overvalue the Evidences at that price, whether the Horæ Paulinæ be worth any thing or not."

That his valuation of his works, independent of his opinion on their merit, disappointed neither himself nor his bookseller, may be well conjectured by the steady and continued sale of them much longer than the latter could avail himself of the

exclusive copyright; but it may serve further to show the reasonableness of his prospects when it is known, that *either* (for at this distance of time there must necessarily be room for guessing) a demand no less singular than gratifying was made upon him for a second edition, before he or his friends in the north could procure a single published copy of the first; *or*, on his way to the south, having occasion to stop with a friend at Leeds, he first saw a copy of the second edition, before he knew certainly that the first was in general circulation*.

It is almost unnecessary to add much in this place to what he has added in the margin of the volume already so often alluded to†, that a mistake is there made in fancying his work a successor to the late Bishop of London's short but excellent Summary of Evidences for the truth of Divine Revelation. That little work is in some sort an abridgement of Paley's Evidences, as the preface to it acknowledges.

After this protracted view of his public life and writings, it may not be unacceptable to look at him in private. There was but little variation in his mode of life for ten or twelve years of his fixed residence in Carlisle, and even in the comparatively unimportant relations of a private individual, he showed proofs of the same distinguished mind, the same benevolent views, and the same strong and steady principle, which is to be traced throughout his whole course. As a private and domestic character he scarcely varied at all from his 37th to his 60th year; and, not like some who reserve an arm-chair for old age, and are in a constant bustle before that time, he seems to have kept up the even tenor of his way with a due

* In the university of Cambridge a grace lately passed the senate, to confirm proposals for the institution of a previous examination of the candidates for the degree of B.A., when it was determined that there shall be every year a public examination in the senate-house in the last week of Lent term; the subject of examination to be one of the four Gospels or the Acts of the Apostles in the original Greek, Paley's Evidences of Christianity, one of the Greek and one of the Latin classics.

† Public Characters.

mixture of public and private concerns. During this period he became the father of rather a large family.

In the conduct of his domestic life, his aim seems still to have been method and arrangement, but he rather watched and took special care that his family should observe it, than observed it himself. From a habit of sitting up late at night, which he had not left behind him at the university, he was consequently not early in a morning; but his mornings and evenings, when the work of his waking hours began, had not a single moment undisposed of. He was never seen inactive either in body or mind, except in a five minutes' nap after dinner on reading his newspaper, when as a humorous apology he used to quote an observation of Dr. Glynn, a well known physician at Cambridge: "Digestion goes so on well!" He was very rarely absent from his stall at the cathedral on the week days, at least when in residence, or even when at Carlisle; and not to say that these formed his only motives, often from his late rising found himself obliged to prefer his appearance there, and the example of it, to his breakfast. He almost daily took a morning ride to his living of Dalston, at that time a most pleasant rural village, but more on account of his health than the pleasure of the exercise. It was scarcely less painful to see his attitude on horseback, than it was for him to use it. It was not only exercise to him, but a most laborious exertion. He kept constantly a slow and regular pace, mounted on a very safe-footed and sober old hunter, bought and presented to him by the Bishop of Carlisle. He used to be much amused at relating a freak of this animal; which on hearing the cry of a pack of hounds, and forgetting whether it might be equally agreeable to its rider, undertook to carry him a hunting, not at all for his pleasure, though he remarked that it was pleasant enough. He was very much entertained with an old story, which he considered very applicable to himself, of a grave dignitary, who on a friend's expressing some surprise that he had not got to the

visitation before him, replied, "Consider, sir, I ride and you walk." He returned to an early dinner, spent the afternoon in lighter reading, or some domestic concern; and the evening, and even the latest hours of midnight, were his times for study. In the summer he generally removed his family to his vicarage at Dalston, in order to snatch a taste of farming, fishing, and gardening. There the same hours had nearly the same employment, and his mind was busy through all. His study was his place of hard work; but in his amusement or his leisure never lost sight of improvement, in observation or contemplation. Indeed many of his works, and particularly his Natural Theology, and some chapters also in his Moral Philosophy,—that on Happiness, for instance,—show at once the bent of his mind during his most vacant hours. These bespeak a tone of thinking which could at once derive an object of amusement from study, and of study from amusement. Whatever he did, he did upon a plan. He was very fond of society, and mixed in it very freely, though never to the sacrifice of graver studies or more serious duties, or even the lighter pleasures of his family circle. So fond was he of it, that it is not to say little for him, that his amusements in this way were but his second consideration *. On his first coming to Carlisle, he gained a very general introduction through his friend, Dr. Law, who was himself as partial to, as he was eagerly sought after in, the society of that place. On his being made bishop, the ascendancy was easily allowed to his

* It has often been observed that authors describe themselves in their works. It would be easy for any of Dr. P.'s familiar friends to pick out much of his private character from various maxims and sentiments interspersed here and there in his works. So it is obvious how much this natural preference for society was subdued in him by a sense of duty; for he says in a sermon at a Durham visitation—"retiredness is the very characteristic of our calling. It is impossible to be a good clergyman and to be always upon the streets, or to be continually mixing with the diversions, the follies, or even the business or pursuits of the world. Perhaps no moments are passed with so much complacency as those which a scholar passes in his study." *Cella perpetuata dulcescit.*

friend, from his powers of mind, and that feeling of deference to superior talents, which in the long-run always carries its own weight. But so far was he from any obtrusiveness on his part, or any petty assumption which could excite a grudge, that his opinions were rather called for on the passing events of the day, and many of his remarks are much cherished even at this time. Easy, cheerful, rational, accommodating himself to the ordinary views of common sense, and bringing out his own amusing observations on the most striking parts of ordinary life, which every one recognizes, but few think of accounting for, he was able to entertain and amuse without exciting any worse feeling. His conversation was of that commanding kind, and yet so plain and unaffected, that it always seemed to leave more information than it aimed at. He was not more remarkable for a fund of entertaining anecdote, for the flashes of his wit, than for his easy transitions to grave and rational conversation. He was able always to put his hearers into possession of the very pith and substance of an anecdote in much fewer words than usually go to the formation of a good story; but it was never his aim to be celebrated as a jocose, or as an eminently witty man. By his liberality and candour he was enabled to put a stop to many of the current reports of the times, and much of the evils of gossiping. He used to ridicule very forcibly that sacrifice to etiquette which many make; and if ever he was disposed to be severe in mixed company, it was either upon those who pretended to something they had not, or upon those who seemed to labour under the forms and ceremonies and compliments of society. He confined himself, however, rather more to a set of friends, than sought eminence by mixing with strangers or with the public. His spirits were buoyant enough to lead him easily into a more promiscuous society, but he appeared there as one *gaining* information as well as *giving* it; so that he was known but little comparatively as a public character at this time. During the latter part of his

residence, when he became more known as a writer, and one whose opinions were of considerable weight, he was sometimes entrapped into a visit for the sake of drawing him out on particular subjects, or bringing him into collision with other eminent characters for the entertainment of the company. This he never relished. He had not much gullibility, and was least of all accessible by flattery of that sort. He was not at all unlikely to enter into any silent compact to disappoint such expectations. It has been complained that on a very public occasion in Cumberland, he and Dr. Milner, the Dean of Carlisle, a man equally famous for his enlivening conversation, and some others of the clerical body by no means inconsiderable, joined in defeating the expectations of the more grave part of the company, and endangering their own dignity, by talking only on the composition of a plum-pudding. This, if there be any truth in it, is not at all unfit to be made an instance of what is here meant to be conveyed.

His intercourse with literary men during this part of his life, though not to be noticed as peculiarly large, yet was sufficiently active to keep his mind in full force. With his usual fondness for clubbing, he joined two or three of the most literary men resident in Carlisle, in holding a Sunday evening club, that is, in meeting on the Sunday evening alternately at their several houses, for the easy and friendly discussion of subjects of general interest, chiefly applicable to the purposes of religion, if not to points of doctrinal divinity. But it may be a sort of set-off against such a grave mention of this club, to relate that it consisted from first to last of only three members. As each of these had the privilege of introducing any friends, this triumvirate was generally found to consist of seven or eight, who managed to give each other the impression that they were the pleasantest parties they ever enjoyed. Besides those more intimately connected with the chapter of Carlisle, and with the bishop's family, most of whom were occasional guests at some of the three houses, many of the resident inha-

bitants of that city, as well as occasional visitors, joined these meetings. One of these was Dr. Milner, the Dean of Carlisle, with whom there was no very long opportunity of forming a nearer acquaintance, as Dr. Paley left Carlisle in about three years after the dean was installed. So far was he from suspecting, much less being conscious, that Dr. Milner was preferred before himself, as is insinuated in Meadley's Memoirs, that he came into his house one day much delighted with the news being announced of their new dean, as it opened a prospect of their having so eminent a man amongst them. He said, "he could not have been better pleased, *except* it had been himself." In a letter about that date he writes,— "We have got a new dean, a great friend of Mr. Sheepshanks and Mr. Carlyle, and a man of great reputation, so that the appointment gives us great satisfaction." In a letter of a later date he says, "When the Dean of Carlisle preaches, you may walk upon the heads of the people. All the meetings attend to hear him. He is indeed a powerful preacher."

With Professor Carlyle, who having returned from college to his native place, and at that time a young man, held the other living of St. Cuthbert in Carlisle under the dean and chapter, he was much in the habit of close familiarity. With him he used to con over his manuscript works before they appeared. In their evening walks he generally pulled out of his pocket an old scrap of paper, which he had filled with materials, the fruits of his morning rides to Dalston. Indeed, though this exercise of riding was absolutely necessary to his health, yet he chose it partly with a view of ruminating over his subject, and afterwards transcribing his thoughts upon paper, and partly for the purpose of consulting his books, which he kept there. What was the usual subject of their conversation during their evening walks it may not be material to note down, though from the elegant research of the one, and the ardour and energy of the other, displayed at all times and on all subjects, it may be pretty well conjectured. One circum-

stance is worth observing, that Dr. Paley's conversation was generally mixed with such minute and original observations upon the most trifling of the surrounding objects, that scarcely a stick or stone escaped his notice; and from the fact of many of his remarks, which were too notable at the time for their manner as well as acuteness to be easily forgotten, being found in a more finished shape in his *Natural Theology*, it has been generally supposed by many of his friends, that he even at that time contemplated a work of this kind. One day, for instance, when walking with Mr. Carlyle by the edge of the sea, at Allonby, a delightful bathing-place in Cumberland, nearly at the mouth of the Solway Frith, Dr. Paley was observed by his companion to be pondering for some time in silence, as if fixed in admiration of some object, and at length—"Now see," says he to his friend, who expected a burst of some kind, "only look at the goodness of God! how happy those shrimps are!"

It will not perhaps be irrelevant to this part of his life, though it be a digression, to bring forward a letter or two, that passed between these two friends at a later date, when Dr. Paley had left Carlisle, and Mr. Carlyle, then chancellor of that diocese, was on the point of accompanying Lord Elgin on his embassy to Constantinople. They are, to say the least, sufficiently characteristic of the writers to be interesting to many, and if any thing more is wanted to justify the insertion, it may be observed that the first letter is a fair specimen of the writer's ordinary conversation.

*"A little Ale-house upon our Road,
May 22, —99.*

"Our women had received by our correspondence with Carlisle, some flying mention of your expedition.

"It is a dead hit at a crown prebend. They can't do less *ex debito justitiæ*, as much more as they like, but I think it can't fail; so also thinks ——, who is a deep rooter. It will

also be followed by some handsome marks of notice from all or some of the triumvirate of bishops. This consolation awaits you, if you should come home circumcised or should get into the Seven Towers.

“ I will do your visitation for you in case of your absence with the greatest pleasure. It is neither a difficulty nor a favour * * * .

“ *Observanda*.—1. Compare every thing with English and Cumberland scenery; *e.g.* rivers with Eden, groves with Corby, mountains with Skiddaw. Your sensations of buildings, streets, persons, &c. &c.; *e.g.* whether the muft be like Dr.—*, the grand seignior, Mr. —, &c.

“ 2. Give us one day at Constantinople minutely from morning to night; what you do, see, eat, and hear.

“ 3. Let us know what the common people have to dinner; get, if you can, a peasant’s actual dinner and bottle; for instance, if you see a man working in the fields, call to him to bring the dinner he has with him, and describe it minutely.

“ 4. Their little-houses—I reckon much upon them,—‘drawing,’ as Tristram Shandy says, ‘men’s characters from their evacuations;’ and no author has written upon the subject fully enough!

“ 5. The diversions of the common people. Whether they seem to enjoy their amusements, and be happy, and sport and laugh. Farm-houses, or any thing answering to them, and of what kind, same of public houses, roads.

“ 6. Their shops. How you get your breeches mended, or things done for you, and how, *i. e.* well or ill done. Whether you see the tailor, converse with him, &c. &c.

“ 7. Get into the inside of a cottage, describe furniture, utensils, what you find actually doing.

“ All the stipulations I make with you for doing your visita-

* Persons well known in Cumberland.

tion is, that you come over to Wearmouth soon after your return, for you will be very entertaining—between truth and lying. I have a notion you will find books, but in great confusion as to catalogues, classing, &c. &c.

“8. Describe minutely how you pass one day on shipboard. Learn to take and apply lunar or other observations, and how the midshipmen, &c. &c. do it.

“9. What sort of fish your get, and how dressed.

“I should think your business would be to make yourself master of the middle Greek. My compliments to Buonaparte, if you meet with him, which I think is very likely. Pick up little articles of dress, tools, furniture, especially from low life; as an actual smock, &c. &c.

“10. What they talk about—company.

“11. Describe your impression upon first seeing things, upon catching the first view of Constantinople—the novelties of the first day you pass there.

“In all countries and climates, nations and languages, carry with you the best wishes of, dear Carlyle,

“Your affectionate friend,

“W. PALEY.”

The following letters* may be taken either as answers to the foregoing, or in whatever way the reader pleases to connect them.

“MY DEAR SIR,

Pera, Dec. 10, 1799.

“I have now been here almost a month. Our voyage was

* These two letters, though not properly belonging to this place, may be found to contain so easy and so accurate a description of the scenery, that if they convey not their own apology for appearing here, yet it is my business to claim an interest in giving them. I consider myself indebted to Mr. Carlyle's family for the use of them. ED.

rendered longer than we had expected, by the number of places at which we stopped. This, however, I need scarce say, made it upon the whole much more interesting. We spent four or five days at Lisbon, as many at Gibraltar, near a week at Palermo, and about the same time at Messina. I should have been most happy to have prolonged my stay in Sicily. I did get a little into the interior of the country, and to the foot of mount Etna, but I was obliged to tear myself away. As all those places are so well known to you, I shall not enter into any thing relating to them. I have, however, put down every minute object I saw, and in the light in which it first struck me, as much as possible, according to the plan you pointed out,—the only one that can ever convey any notion of a country to a person who has not seen it. I was very much surprised to find the clergy in Sicily so well informed. They could almost all speak Latin with considerable facility, and they seemed by no means deficient in most of the common topics of literature. We were detained by calms and contrary winds in the Archipelago for three weeks; this however gave us an opportunity of seeing from the ship most of the Grecian isles, and of landing upon some of them, particularly Cytheros and Tenedos. I own I was cruelly disappointed with the appearance of these far-famed islands. Cytheros was not half so fertile as Dalston common, and in most places quite as barren as Shep Fells. Tenedos seemed something better, and one of its valleys was covered with vines. Indeed we saw these islands at the worst time of the year for exhibiting their good looks, as the corn was off the ground, and every thing like a vegetable turned brown by the sun. But they never can be very fertile or very beautiful; their soil is scarce a skin to cover their bones, and they have not one tree to enliven the prospect. While we were at the mouth of the Dardanelles, we caught an opportunity of spending a day upon the plains of Troy, and tracing the courses of the Scamander and the

Simois. The plain is a most beautiful one, of a circular figure, the diameter of which is about twelve miles. I was surprised to see the Scamander at the latter end of autumn so considerable a river. In winter it must be very large. When we saw it, it very much resembled, both in size and general appearance, the Eden, a little below Castle-steads. The face of the country too is not very different. The Simois is something like the Pette-rel beside Botcherby Mill; but more so to the little stream which passes by Acorn Bank, particularly in its banks and accompaniments. Indeed we all agreed that we had seen nothing upon the Continent so like England as the borders of the Simois. I am sorry to say, we could not find one trace of ruins; not a stone bigger than one's fist, not a swell in the earth where entrenchments had ever been erected. Could those so completely have perished, if the "alta mœnia Trojæ" had ever existed? I own I begin to be an infidel upon the subject.

"I cannot say the first view of Constantinople struck me so forcibly as I had expected: whether it was that it opened upon us too gradually, or that I had raised my mind too high, I know not, but it certainly did not produce the same effect upon the imagination as either Lisbon or Messina. But in fact the prospect from the sea is by no means the finest point of view. We see the city to ten times more advantage from Pera. The scene from hence is indeed delightful. The sea with all its shipping lies directly before us, and appears like a beautiful lake, bounded on the right by the city of Constantinople, glittering with mosques and minarets. The Seraglio is at the extremity of the city, and almost immediately before us. On the left we have the Bosphorus, fringed with cultivated fields and crowned with the Asiatic mountains. Prince's islands are in front, and beyond them a range of mountains very similar to the Scottish coast as seen from Allonby. Dal-laway's plate, in his History of Constantinople, is a very good

representation of the prospect, though I would not have chosen the spot he has done to make the view from. About a fortnight after our arrival we had an audience with the Grand Signior. As by this means we were admitted into the bosom of the Seraglio, where so few Christians have ever been, you may suppose we looked about us with all our eyes. I fear I can scarce compress the account of our interview into the remaining part of my letter, but I will try.

“ We rose before five o’clock, and set off through Pera by torch-light. The procession opened with 200 janisaries, then the running footmen, interpreters, &c. Then the ambassador and suite, afterwards the marines and sailors of our vessels, and last the gentlemen of the Factory. We arrived by day-break on the other side of the water, mounted horses provided for us, and proceeded to the gate of the city, at which the ambassador alighted, and entered the house of the governor; he was here treated with coffee (which is never given with milk or sugar), and after staying about a quarter of an hour, he again mounted, and we proceeded to the junction of two streets, the one leading down to the Port (public offices) and the other to the Seraglio. At this place we stopped for the arrival of the grand vizier, who was to precede us to the palace. We did not wait many minutes before his train made their appearance, which consisted of a great number of janisaries, ministers of state, &c. Our procession fell into the rear of the grand vizier’s, and in this order we entered into the first court of the Seraglio, an irregular square, with trees planted in different parts; about twice the size of the first court of Trinity College. At the left corner of the court are two marble columns about a yard in height, upon the first of which the heads of the grand viziers, and upon the second those of the other great officers that are cut off by order of the sultan, are exposed for three days,—a pleasant memorandum to the grand vizier and his train! Across the court runs a causeway.

When we had advanced to the middle of this, we were met by a kind of procession, consisting of bostangies, janisaries, &c. the foremost of whom absolutely pushed Lord Elgin off the causeway. Such is their established custom in the reception of Christian ambassadors. When we arrived at the gate of the second court, we alighted, and entered into a small room most conveniently furnished, where coffee was served, and where Lord Elgin waited till word should come that he might be admitted to the divan. This was in about twenty minutes. We then passed through the gate (which was surrounded with old shields, and adorned with Arabic verses), and entered the inner court. This is by no means so large as the other, but has more trees planted in it, so as almost to give it the appearance of a garden. Over the gateway, and nearly all round the court, is a kind of covered gallery, or rather horizontal roof, that stretches seven or eight yards from the wall, and puts one in mind of the Chinese buildings. As soon as we came to the middle of the court, at a signal given, the janisaries, who are stationed under the flat roof on the right, began to run with great velocity towards us. At first this appeared somewhat alarming, but we soon found it was only in order to scramble for their breakfast, which consisted of a cake of bread and some pilau. We now passed through a part of the court where it grew contracted, and entered the divan. This building contains two apartments, both about the same size, and divided from each other by an arch with sofas under it. The outer room is the hall of justice and council chamber. The farther seemed occupied by attendants; both these apartments are about ten yards square, and the same in height. A dome rises from about one half of this height, and supported by arches shaped thus.

The divan, or rather first room, is wainscoted round with pannels of brown marble beautifully polished. When we entered, the vizier, attended by the two cadilashers of Asia and Europe, one standing on each side of



him, continued seemingly immersed in business; another studied insult, I fancy, to poor Christians! After this was finished, the vizier despatched a messenger to the sultan, to know if the ambassador would be received; and *sat down* on the sofa, as did the two cadilashers, in the European manner. He continued in that posture for at least an hour, when the answer arrived. He received it with the utmost reverence, placing it upon his head and breast. He then opened and read it. As the ambassador was now to be admitted, he and his suite were invited to partake of a cold collation. Two tables were spread, one for him and the vizier, and the other for nine of us. A large silver salver was now placed upon the table, and upon this the dishes were laid one by one. Coarse napkins and horn spoons were given to each of us, and the writer of the grand signior's cipher (I do not give the break-tooth Turkish names) presided and carved; *i. e.* pulled the meat to pieces with his fingers, which, as every thing was so much done, was not difficult. But the mode of doing this did not seem very delicate; the carver seizing the fowl or fish with one hand, and tearing off the limbs, &c. with the other. We had twenty-six dishes, of which I contrived to make the following list:—

“ *White soup*, very thick with rice; *fowls*, roasted as dry as a chip; *green soup*, thickened with various herbs, particularly burnia, and sourish; *apples* stewed, and carved on the outsides in waves, very pretty; *calves'-head hash*, not very different from ours, though sourer and less peppered; *turkey* boiled to rags; *fish* stewed with herbs, cold; *meat jelly*, cold; *sponge biscuits*; *mutton* in round pieces, stewed in a strong gravy with herbs; *pigeons* stewed; *dried fritters*, cold; *pheasant*, stewed to rags; *pears* stewed, looked and tasted just like ours; *fish*, fried with herbs; a kind of *flummery*; *partridges* stewed with mutton; an immense *puff*, big as a table, sweetened with honey; *sugar fritters*; *mutton* stewed with almonds; *beans* stewed into a thick mass, the common soup of the country; *open tarts*, sweetened with honey; *a red pudding*,

very sour and bad—I could not guess at its composition; *pilau*, i. e. rice boiled till soft, and smeared with butter, having a basin of sour milk in the centre; *sherbet*, i. e. fresh-made raisin wine, with pomegranate seeds floating in it. I tasted all the dishes, and thought most of them very tolerable.

“ When the entertainment was over, a slave poured some rose water on our hands (a ceremony by no means unnecessary, as we had neither plates nor knives and forks) out of a golden ewer; we were then scented with incense, and requested to receive our pelisses. During all the time, the sultan was stationed at a grate which looked into the divan. We could easily perceive him move from the glittering of his jewels. After our pelisses were presented (which were of the same kind as those we received from the grand vizier, and *said* to be worth £40 or £50 a-piece), a shameful scramble took place amongst the Greek servants for the caftans (*i. e.* the inferior cloaks); this the Turks did not take the smallest pains to put a stop to. After waiting about half an hour, the vizier and his suite passed by us and entered the palace. In a short time we were informed that we might be introduced. We set off accordingly, *i. e.* as many as were permitted, which with the officers of the ship amounted to thirteen. As soon as we came to the door of the palace, a couple of guards seized us by the neck, I do not mean rudely, and kept their hands upon our shoulders till we quitted the palace. We turned to the left as soon as we entered, and came into a rich and spacious hall, where were deposited a quantity of European presents. We approached the interior door, which opened into another saloon, through rows of white eunuchs, dressed in a most splendid manner, and glittering with precious stones. We were at length introduced, with the completest silence, into the presence chamber. Here we saw the sultan *sitting* (for he too sat like a European) in state upon his throne. The apartment was about thirty feet square, covered with gilding both on the top and walls. There were three windows

in the room on different sides, none of which opened into the external air. Thus the apartment was a box, within another room. The space between was formed into an aviary. As the windows had only a mediate communication with the air, the room itself possessed all the sublimity of darkness. The throne was exactly like a four-post bed, from the sides of which, as well as from the top, hung golden balls adorned with long fringe. The throne was absolutely hidden by pearls, and must be of immense value. On the side of the room opposite the entrance, a kind of fire-place was erected, and this was the only furniture of the chamber; which indeed exhibited a most comfortless appearance, always, I believe, the abode of misery, and more frequently, perhaps, than *any* other place on earth, the scene of guilt and horror. The sultan was dressed in a yellow robe with a very rich pelisse. The fur of it crossed over his breast. His face is handsome, and his countenance pleasing. The ambassador now delivered his speech, which was interpreted to the sultan. This was answered by the vizier. During the answer the sultan looked twice or thrice at the ambassador, and nodded with a kind of smile. When the speeches were over, we withdrew in the same manner and form as we had come in. We then proceeded through the courts, &c. &c. and mounted our horses. It was not, however, the etiquette for us to set off upon our return, till after the grand vizier had left the seraglio. In about half an hour he and the members of the divan made their appearance. They were preceded by a large number of janisaries (2000 I should think) running as quick as possible. The great men rode one after another, generally very well mounted. The vizier closed the procession. After the train had passed, we set out upon our return, which was conducted exactly in the same manner as our approach, only that we had a greater number of spectators (particularly women) than we took notice of in the morning.

“ Thus I have tried to give you a sketch of our visit. I

have only to hope you are not more tired with it than we were. I know not whether I shall be able to get into the library of the seraglio. In the mean time I am very busy with my Oriental studies. I talk Arabic for two hours every morning with a master, and afterwards in the course of the day with some families to whom it is vernacular, that I have been introduced to. I have already met with some interesting books in the language; a book of biography, with poetry interspersed; a romance in 30 vols., exactly like *Amadis de Gaul*, but certainly more ancient than the time of Mahommed; a collection of anecdotes and *bon mots* of the court of Haroun Alraschid. I think they will not be considered as unamusing in England."

Another letter is dated,

"MY DEAR SIR,

Cyprus, Feb. 16, 1800.

"You will be surprised to find by the date of this letter, that I have got so far from Constantinople. I am now on my road to Syria, where I hope to arrive in a short time.

"As the plague had broke out in Constantinople, and even in the Seraglio itself, I was prevented from pursuing my investigations in that place for some time; I did not like to remain at Pera unemployed, and as General Koehler was going to Syria to join the grand vizier, I gladly embraced the offer he was so good as to make me of accompanying him. It was no small inducement to my undertaking the journey to find that he travelled through the heart of Asia Minor, and particularly through a part of it which has not for ages been explored by any Europeans. The route through it is now only opened on account of the rebellions which prevail in some of the provinces through which the common road passed. The part I mean is through ancient Lycaonia, Isauria, and Cilicia; from Iconium to Celenchris, where we took shipping for this island. The quantities of Grecian remains are beyond all conception. We literally rode upon sculptures and marble

columns for *miles*. Catacombs and sarcophagi lay scattered in various places, as we passed along, upon the ground. We met with some temples where the pillars were still standing, and with many where they were lying in the place where they had been overturned. We saw some mausolea almost entire, and of the most beautiful architecture. In short, the whole of this unknown region “*scatet ruinis.*” I was very fortunate in having General Kœhler in company, as he is an excellent draftsman. He made sketches of most of the objects we judged worthy of it, and has been so good as to promise me a copy of all the drawings he has taken. I was myself employed, along with another gentleman of our party, in taking the inscriptions and making measures of such buildings as we conveniently could. I have written also a very minute journal of all our transactions, so that I trust I shall be able to give you a pretty tolerable idea of our route. As we examined these splendid remains, it was impossible not to be still more strongly struck with the scenes of desolation around us. All these fertile countries are now almost a desert. We travelled through one plain near two hundred miles long, and from eighteen to twenty miles over, the plain where Lystra and Derbe, and a number of other cities once stood, a plain, I believe, nearly half as big as Yorkshire, and which produces twenty bushels for every one that is sown; and I am very sure that all this tract does not contain 27,000 inhabitants, two-thirds of whom live in the towns of Coniah and Caraman; for there are few villages and no single cottages to be found. The whole country is in a state of anarchy. Every little commandant of a petty district is an independent prince, and every one is at variance with his neighbours. No person, therefore, can think of living in a separate habitation, where he cannot be defended by a sufficient number of friends. It is only, therefore, around these towns that any cultivation is to be found. All the intermediate country is a waste betwixt these small *oases*. You may easily suppose travelling was not

very convenient in such a country. We had seldom a place to sleep in better than the barn or stable of a hedge alehouse; and sometimes we had nothing but the same khan with our horses and camels: often a ruinous building without doors, and almost without roof. We had nothing to eat but cabobs, *i. e.* slices of meat roasted on a skewer, made of tough mutton or goat's flesh, cut off from the animal the moment it was killed. In most of the places through which we passed, we could find no wine to drink, because the people were rigid Mahomeddans. Such was our lodging and fare. But fatigue made our beds soft, and our meals palatable.

“ We met Sir Sydney Smith at this place. He is setting off to-morrow or next day for Alexandria, and has offered to carry me along with him there, and from thence (after getting a peep at Cairo and the Pyramids, which the present treaty will enable him to do) I propose going to Jaffa and Jerusalem, and remaining there a week or two, as I have every recommendation I could desire, to introduce me into the several convents, &c. and can now speak Arabic with tolerable ease. From thence I shall return by the coast to Constantinople; and I think I shall have seen as much in a short time as most persons have ever done.

“ If I have an opportunity, I will certainly write to you from the Holy City.”

We come now to another period of Dr. Paley's life, viz. his removal from Carlisle, which is represented to have taken place in consequence of his publication of the Evidences of Christianity. The manner of it may reflect more credit upon his patrons and himself than has been sometimes allowed. He brought forward opportunely what was likely to add materially to the Christian cause at that time, and the more so, as it was sure to be advanced substantially and with a weight of intelligible and practical reasoning, sufficient to turn the edge of the cunning sophistry of that day; and those of the episcopal

bench, who stepped forward to patronise his exertions, seem to have had no other view. In 1794 he received the first communication from the Bishop of London, Dr. Porteus, in which that prelate states that "a prebend has just become vacant in the church of St. Paul's, of which I desire your acceptance as a small mark of the high estimation in which I hold your character, your talents, and your writings; and more particularly as a public testimony on my part to the merit of your late work on the Evidences of Christianity, which will, I conceive, do essential service to the cause of religion, at a time when it stood much in need of such able defenders. I wish for your sake that the preferment I offer was more valuable, but as it is the first that has become vacant since your last book appeared, I was anxious to seize the earliest opportunity that presented itself of giving you some little proof of regard." Dr. Paley reckoned this about £150. a year, exclusive of the chance of fines, of which it was not wholly unproductive. In Christmas of the same year the Bishop of Lincoln presented him with the subdeanery of Lincoln, "solely," as his lordship writes, "from the great respect I have always entertained for your character, and which has just been confirmed and raised by the very able manner in which you have supported the general evidences of Christianity in your two last publications. As I feel that I could not give this piece of preferment to any other person with so much satisfaction to my own mind, so I am convinced that I could not otherwise dispose of it with so much credit to myself in the opinion of all who have any regard for the interests of religion." In the beginning of the next year he spent a few weeks at Cambridge preparatory to taking his doctor's degree, and on his way to take possession of this unexpected piece of preferment. At that very time came a third letter, from the Bishop of Durham, offering him the rectory of Bishop Wearmouth; at the same time saying, that "the respect his lordship felt for his distinguished abilities,

and the benefit the cause of Christianity had derived from his *Horæ Paulinæ* and the *Evidences of Christianity*, did not allow him to hesitate a moment in offering this preferment as a public testimony of his esteem." Thus in the short space of six or eight months flowed in such a tide of substantial and disinterested patronage from different quarters upon the same public grounds, that it cannot but be a matter of surprise to find any insinuations thrown out, either that such preferment was inadequate, or that he was dissatisfied with his reward, or unworthily courted it. By one he is publicly represented as having been long an object which those in the higher orders in the church had observed in dignified silence. By others, this accidental and sudden rise of preferment is made the ground on which a mere attack and defence of political and party zeal is so conspicuous, that his own character is lost in the struggle. It is said by one party, that "any large forbearance was scarcely now possible," when this *forbearance* never seems to have been thought of under that name. By an opposite partisan it is implied, that if it was so, it was in consequence of his opinion hitherto maintained; while a third represents the episcopal bench as being roused from their apparent insensibility to our author's merits. He has even obtained, though not, it is to be hoped, generally, the reputation of having satisfied the scruples of the Bishop of London by a long letter of explanation, to which has been attached an epithet not very creditable to the clear and direct independence which he is known to have maintained. But though such rumours seem unworthy of serious notice, as they do not go for much, it may yet be fit to state a circumstance which probably may account for such a report, and which, as it stands in a rough state among the copies of his letters, might have given rise to a little speculation on the part of Dr. Paley's friends. The copy of a letter is there to be found addressed, "My lord;" but unfortunately written so illegibly that it cannot supply a satisfactory contradiction to such as are willing to believe in

such relations. It begins thus:—"It is my duty and very much my wish to offer you any explanation in my power. Whether there be indeed a future state at all, is so much the first question in religion, and lies so at the root of every other, that I confess it has all my life appeared to me to surpass all other considerations besides. Add to this, that it is precisely the question which alone almost dwells in the minds of those who are induced to read and reflect upon them * * * properly to deliver that evidence, first let it be distinctly stated * * * it is but to reduce them to order. 1st. Rules of life do not stand, I think, upon the same grounds * * * 2d. I come to the very point your lordship suggests. I laid it down as a rule to myself to propose * * * no doctrine of utility which was controverted * * * If I have erred in this, I have erred deliberately."

There is much more of this, written in his usual scrawling abbreviations, so that it seems a vain attempt to make any more out of the latter part (where hurry naturally enough may be expected to add to scribbling), when only so much has been made out from the beginning by repeated application. Inquiries have been made in various quarters for the original, as being both one of the most important of his letters, and also as being less conspicuous than usual for his characteristic and weighty brevity. His correspondence indeed was not at all remarkable for its interest or importance. It was rather made to serve the purposes of his most ordinary conversation, than of his more serious writing. But this, however written, or to whomsoever addressed, may serve to prove that there had at least been some previous application to him; that there had been no backwardness in explaining; nor, if it was written without any previous communication, does it appear that there was any sort of intention expressed or implied, of shackling either side by any unworthy conditions. But the truth is—even if his own testimony, given in the dedication of his last

work to the Bishop of Durham, may not have its weight in showing how unlikely he was to have courted preferment, viz. "that it was an expression of gratitude for a great, *unsolicited* and *unexpected* favour"—that by the various letters here inserted from his patrons, it appears nothing but the most public considerations procured patronage for such a writer, and the writer himself was not called upon for concessions or explanations of any kind, as the grounds on which he might obtain such patronage. But if it may serve any further purpose, it shall here be stated unequivocally, that he has often been heard to say, during the latter part of his life, without any more boast of his forbearance than accidental conversation might be expected to bring out, that he never applied, either directly or indirectly, for any piece of preferment, except for a small prebendal stall in Lincoln minster, which would have been given at any rate, to enable him to take the subdeanery; nor is it easy to imagine how a man who, if he ridiculed any thing, had certainly a most contemptible opinion of *rooters*, could set about the work. He knew both how to be a patron, and how to receive patronage by showing himself grateful for it; but he did not possess any arts, nor even qualifications, which were likely to draw it upon himself, besides the most plain and simple qualification of merit. After this serious notice of a tale, after all but frivolous, let it be seen how, in his usual style, he thought and expressed himself on this accession of preferment. "The Bishop of Durham," he writes to the Bishop of Elphin, "has given me a most substantial proof of patronage."

He writes to his sister, to whom every secret of his condition in life was imparted immediately, in a letter from London, dated March 7, 1794, containing exactly the following and no more:

"I really think, Betty, the bishops are bewitched! Here's another letter from the Bishop of Durham. The same post brought a letter from Carlisle, desiring me to come down;

that —— was in extreme danger. Both letters I received at Cambridge; set off in half an hour to Town, and having now seen the bishop, shall make the best of my way to Carlisle. Lord help us in this changeable world! I don't dislike moderate bustle, but this is immoderate.

“Write to Carlisle; hope to hear all are well. The bishop says it is one of the best parsonage-houses in the kingdom.

“Yours ever, W. P.”

He writes afterwards to Dr. Milner, the dean of Carlisle:

“I saw the Bishop of Durham the day after I saw you. The reception was this: ‘Now don't speech me, but hear my speech. I have the same pleasure in giving as you have in receiving. This said, we will speak no more upon the subject; now to business.’ I told him I could answer for my patron; that the Bishop of Carlisle had most handsomely given me a general liberty, and as to the Dean and Chapter, I had received your consent at Cambridge, and should receive the rest in the course of post.”

The latter part of the letter is here inserted for the sake of observing (what is blown up into something like notice, as if preferment was generally fated to be clogged with conditions), that the Bishops of Durham and Lincoln had requested that they might have the presentation to what he might vacate, and that the Bishop of Carlisle, by whose patronage he always professed himself most substantially served, had given him the power of taking advantage of any favourable change of preferment, with the observation, “that as he thought it impossible for him to do more, he thought it was the only effectual way of doing him service.” But however gratified he was with the burst of patronage thus coming to him entirely upon the score of his public character, he was no less satisfied with the result of what was to him, or perhaps to any one acquainted with the change of preferment, scarcely more than a private and general occurrence. This condition annexed to the offer of his patron produced rather a singular coincidence. By

having the presentation of his Cumberland living transferred to the Bishop of Durham, he had the opportunity of seeing a most worthy and deserving old clergyman from the country, for whom he had a great esteem, restored to his only friend at Carlisle, and also the nearest and closest friend he had considerably raised both in wealth and dignity. To this friend, whom he had met at Mr. Law's (afterwards Lord Ellenborough), in London, he was speaking of his fortunate rise, but lamenting that he had left his young family in such a condition that they might be turned out of house and home, at a moment's notice, before he could get home to protect them; as he had just put his prebendal stall in the way of flying from under him. The first greeting he received was, "Then make yourself easy, they shall not be turned out; for I am your successor."

His own expressions about this time were just such as might have been expected from him; for they speak for his expectations being answered, for his being not only unanxious but unprepared for any change, and for his thinking himself well rewarded for his labours in his profession; in short, for his not seeking any thing that might not seek him; and for his not considering himself entitled to receive without all due return of gratitude. "He had now, he said, fallen on his feet at last; though he was obliged to break into a train of domestic habits and professional pursuits, into which he had drawn his life for the last ten years; he wanted much, he owned, to get his chickens round him, and wished only that they would let him be quiet." In writing to one of his friends, "I must," says he, "give you an account of the munificent present which the Bishop of Durham has made me. The living is not overstated in the bishop's letter. The tithes and glebe are now let to good tenants for £1021, the tithes for £600; and one of the partners in the bargain being just dead, I have found from his books that they have made for the three last years £750 by the tithes, by letting them to the farmer; so that I think it

probable I may get £700 for them. The glebe also is likely to be improved by a bridge which is just finished over the Wear; and such a house! I was told at Durham, that it is one of the best parsonages in England; and that there are not more than three bishops that have better. There is not a shilling to be laid out upon it, and you might have rubbed it from top to bottom with a white handkerchief without soiling it. With the house, which, if it had been half as good, would have contented me as well, the garden and grounds are of a piece. There is nearly a mile I think of wall planted with fruit-trees; *i. e.* a rich field of ten acres, surrounded with a well-gravelled walk, garden and shrubbery grounds, commanding some pretty views of the banks of the Wear, two or three hot-houses and a green-house; coals five shillings a cart-full. We stand at the end of Sunderland, which is three or four times the size of Carlisle, but made into a separate parish. My house is about a mile from the sea; fish plentiful; market rather dearer than Carlisle; fine country and good roads; a clever old woman in the house. The Bishop of Durham advised me to get back to town as fast as I could. Believe me, &c. &c.”

Of the subdeanery he says, “I hear nothing of it but good, except that I shall not receive a dividend, which is the chief thing, till Michaelmas twelvemonth. It will be received after residence, but not till then. The patronage is considerable; the chance of lives over and above. The last man got £2000 for one fine. I have settled for the furniture upon easy terms. I must be a deal of money out of pocket before I can be any in. But I console myself with the thought, that if any thing should happen to me so that I should be a loser by the change, it might be made up in some way to my family. If I live, it will answer, I hope, to them. The chief fault of my house is that it is too large. It is, in fact, two houses joined by a large lofty gaping hall, with a broad staircase at each end; and to prevent coming down one pair of stairs and going up another,

a gallery is made lately along the whole length of the hall. Nothing can equal the beauty of the cathedral, and the fine order in which it is kept. It stands at the top of a very steep hill, surrounded by a spacious close consisting of gentlemen's houses. This is the chief of what is called the upper town. What I have seen of the people I like much. They seem to be a well-bred, choice society."

In the Easter of the same year (1795) he removed his residence from Carlisle to Bishop Wearmouth, and soon after proceeded to Cambridge, for the purpose of taking his doctor's degree. The university offered him a mandate degree, but he chose to take it in the ordinary way.

With his *Concio ad Clerum*, which he preached on the occasion, he appears to have taken considerable pains; but does not seem to have attracted any great degree of attention, nor from the choice of his subject, and manner of treating it, was it likely to be famous. Indeed neither the one nor the other is extraordinary, though the former savours a little of his taste for originality. It is not easy now to discover what train of reading or thinking led him to make choice of his subject. Another particular is also to be found in this sermon, which is of no unusual occurrence with him as a sermon-writer; viz. that the matter of his sermon seems, if not a perversion, yet certainly a curious adaptation of his text. This is taken from Hebrews xii.; and from verse 18 he deduces the parity of miraculous interference by the Shechinah of the old and new dispensations, scarcely noticing the superiority of the one over the other, which that text is more generally understood to signify. It should nevertheless be remembered, that his usual way of dealing with an argument was not to build half so much upon it as it would bear, in order to make sure at least of the groundwork. It was easy for him afterwards, as he does in the conclusion, to bring it with other proofs to bear down the Jewish dispensation. "*Cæteris itaque multis quæ quidem hujusmodi sermonis ratio haudquaquam discutienda reci-*

peret, consulto prætermissis, ad unum statuendum, exemplisque e libris sanctis et nostris et Judæorum desumptis confirmandum me sumo, per duas nempe quas Deus præcipuas generi humano tribuere dignatus est revelationes, quod ignea, ceu flammea vis divinam præsentiam comitata sit, significarit, ostenderit. Haud vero dicerem hoc adeo fuisse solemne, ut alia Dei manifestatio prorsus esset nulla; cum potius propositi sit nostri probare, idem illud et Mosaicæ et Christianæ legis promulgationi fuisse concessum, pariterque fere usu venisse."

The instances of this "igneæ vis," or Shechinah, which he produces from the Old Testament, are, the burning bush presented to the eyes of Moses on his first receiving his commission; the pillar of fire that gave light to the Israelites on their deliverance from Egypt; the descent of God's glory in fire on Mount Sinai at the giving of the law, and at the repetition of that miraculous presence; the cloud or smoke veiling the appearance of splendour as it rested on the tabernacle; the descent of God's glory at the consecration of Solomon's temple. "Eadem porro referenda esse censeo alia quædam non dicam minoris momenti (quâ enim trutinâ hoc genus ponderemus?) sed minus aliquanto aut diuturnitate aut splendore insignita," *e. g.* the splendour of Moses' face, the descent of fire upon sacrifices, both before and after the flood, the miraculous effulgence of the Shechinah, peculiarly so called, on the wings of the cherubim, on which he observes, in his usual fair though unsparing manner, "nonnulli pro more suo in hac ipsâ re hallucinantur; res ipsa tamen nec pondere caret nec verisimilitudine. Sic enim apud priscos Judæorum scriptores opinio famaue hujus spectaculi percubuerint ut eorum votis precibusque Deus solenniter audiat, 'Deus qui inter cherubos habitat.'" Of the Urim and Thummim, "multa quanquam commenti sint Rabbinî, multaue de luce inde prodeunte nunc micante nunc vero obscuratâ tradiderunt, non habeo quod in medio proferre ausim. Quidni enim cum apostolo fateamur, in hac re, quemadmodum in cæteris Leviticis plerisque, proprie

singulatimve nunc temporis dici non posse?" He then passes to the Christian age. "Videte igitur, sexcentis annis elapsis, specie signoque quàm veterum simillimis in terras (ni audacius loquor) Deo redire visum est." He takes with Whitby, Mac-knight, Mann, and other commentators, for instances of the same effusion, the luminous appearance to the shepherds at the Nativity, and the descent of the Holy Spirit at our Lord's baptism, but on neither of these does he build much as decided proofs of a "vis ignea;" because the effulgence in one case might be supposed rather to attend the presence of the angels, and the descent of the dove is at least capable of two constructions, though he leans to the actual bodily appearance of that bird: "Nec id prorsus negligendum, quod Evangelium Hebræorum dictum referat lumen splendidum, ut primum Jesus ex aquâ esset egressus, flumen Jordanis ibi locorum cooperuisse. At vero non est quod incertis immovemur, cum tria ad minimum in promptu sint exempla, quæ in sacris litteris quoniã fusius sint exposita, huic sententiæ clariùs apertiùsque suffragentur." These three are, the miraculous appearance at the Transfiguration, the descent of the Holy Ghost at Pentecost, and the divine interposition on the conversion of St. Paul. Of the first he says, "si nubem requiratis, quæ olim Mare ad Rubrum montemque Sinai sancto populo fuerat conspicienda, quæ tabernaculo insederat, quæ tandem, uti est verisimile, templi primi penetralia perpetuo adimpleret, Lucam adite;" and he brings the words of St. Peter, 2d ep. i. 17, to prove that that apostle had this in view. "Quocirca Petrus, cujus ex memoriâ hujusmodi non potuit excidere spectaculum, nomen ipsum, quod veteris divinæ præsentia signi esset proprium, consulto usurpare videtur, voce ad eum delatâ a magnificâ gloriâ." His conclusion is brief, and as usual only to the point. "Huc denique res recidit. Cum epistola ad Hebræos ostenderit nec templum, nec aram, nec sanctuarium, nec sacerdotem, nec sacrificium, de quibus præsertim gloriarentur veteris disciplina cultores, novæ quidem abfuisse, huic

quoque adjiciamus, quod gloria Jehovæ, qua Mosis legationem toties illustrârat, Christi etiam munus comitaretur. Hic enim tanto majore quam Mosis gloriâ affectus est, quanto majorem habet, quam domus, is qui eum condidit.”

With the Latinity of this he seems, by the numerous corrections in his rough copy, to have been very careful, and for him even critically nice; and considering that it was written at a time and under circumstances of life, when any thing was more likely to be fresh in his memory than an acquaintance with the Latin idiom, it may be brought forward as no bad specimen of his former familiarity with that language. By the way in which he appears to have renewed his acquaintance with the idiom, he shows the value he set upon it. There are inserted in his common-place book, about this time, more than twenty pieces of Latin themes on indifferent subjects corrected “ad unguem.”

After preaching the commencement sermon at Cambridge in the same year, he fixed himself at Bishop Wearmouth, between which place and Lincoln he was afterwards to divide his residence; he only was to remain the greater part of the year at Bishop Wearmouth. In consequence perhaps of this divided residence, as well as of the shortness of the interval between this and his death, he was not much known beyond his official capacity in either of these places. He assumed no habits but those to which he had been used before his removal, nor did he come more forward to court public notoriety, than as his station led him to departments and intercourse rather more elevated. But his *home* was at Bishop Wearmouth; and here he tried as soon as possible to fix some sort of domestic plan for himself and his family, which was necessarily much scattered, and just at a time when it called for his peculiar attention. His time was necessarily much occupied with the various new duties to which he was called, and some little domestic afflictions which happened about this time seem to have harassed him much more than might serve to counter-

balance any feeling of pleasure at his new public situation. "I bear up," he writes from Lincoln, "as well as I can; but I assure you I have enough to do with the various people I have been obliged to see, and the things I had to do, with poor —— never out of my mind." In short, the quiet enjoyment of his life was past, and he seems never to have regained an opportunity for the same sort of peaceful and retired amusements, which appeared to have formed the chief ingredients in his domestic happiness. This year was wholly given away to bustle and hurry, which by natural disposition he was well enough prepared to enjoy, so long as he was obliged to undergo it; but it may be supposed that he did not feel himself in his proper character, except in a certain regularity of private life, which allowed him to have his own time for his own thoughts. He was very glad to gather round him as soon as he could a set of regular family habits, from which during the remainder of his life he never turned aside. "Both experience and reflection," he observed, "had convinced him that his own happiness and that of his family would be greatly promoted by a union with a sensible and deserving woman." What he proposed to himself on this occasion is best seen by his own words, and they are very characteristic of his usual proceedings in the common concerns of life. He says, "he could not do his family so essential a service as to give them the example and society of an accomplished woman, and that there was not an individual in his family that would not be happy to make her so; and that he would have nothing to do with her money." In the winter of the same year he married Miss Dobinson, of Carlisle, kept his first residence at Lincoln in the winter and spring, and in the following summer returned home, to take upon him the duties of his living at Bishop Wearmouth. His rectory-house had during this interval been filled with the families of commanding officers, and his barn had been fitted up as barracks for soldiers, who about that time fortunately returned from that disastrous ex-

pedition to Holland. With his parishioners he was always on the best and easiest terms, but not so intimately acquainted with their habits and manners as to feel closely interested, any farther than might concern a conscientious and steady discharge of public duty. His first business was to settle his tithes to the satisfaction of himself and, as he hoped (for they are his own words), of the whole parish. "I have let them altogether to six gentlemen for £700 for my time. They will parcel them out to the respective townships, and pay me my money to a day, and in a lump, so that I have advanced them you see £100 a year. I believe I have the value of them at present, but I think they will improve, nay, they certainly will. However, I judged it best to set the parish and myself at rest; half of them arise from potatoes. I have also advanced my lime-kilns to 100 guineas, and the tenant, who has laid £300 upon them since the time when the late rector's life was not worth half a year's purchase, thanked me for my moderation. My glebe, which is a fine one, and lets for about £200 a year, I have not yet touched. So that I get on swimmingly." These are particulars which are worth notice only as they have been made into an affair of some note by an unlucky witticism which he was in the habit of throwing out, and which is given by Meadley with perhaps less taste than seriousness. This, however, might have passed very well, had it not been by a sort of captious perverseness magnified into a grave rebuke by his opponent Chalmers, who says, "that it is difficult to suppose Dr. P. capable of saying any thing so unfeeling." It was on the contrary quite according to Dr. P.'s usual manner to make such blunt speeches on all occasions, where he was conscious that feeling was not at all concerned; nor was it on any other occasion that such sentiments were heard to fall from him, nor in any other manner were they usually received than as the good-humoured benevolent sportiveness of one who could find amusement in any thing. So much satisfaction did this contract give to the

lessees, and so liberally was the matter conducted, that he had great pleasure in knowing that they not only took no unfair advantage of it in their dealings with the tithe-holders, but on one or two occasions actually applied to him to know what he would have them do with the overplus. On Dr. Paley's family leaving the neighbourhood, these gentlemen wished to pay a tribute to his memory by presenting a piece of plate to his representative.

He still continued to be fond of general society, and visited and was visited by most of the surrounding families. With the parishioners in general, without any exclusion, he observed a cheerful and ready intercourse at all times, and seemed to feel as much interest in the company and conversation of an old Quaker gentleman, whom he used often to invite to his house, as of those whom his station in the place obliged him to entertain as sumptuously and ceremoniously as he could. His wit, and talent, and pleasantry, made him a welcome guest any where; and his apparent desire for information, and the keenness with which he entered upon any subject, made him a visiter worth attention, whether to a party of commercial men, or to a meeting on the most important public occasions. In a place of such mixed concerns as Sunderland, he had food enough for amusement and instruction, and he did not neglect it. At a certain hour every day, and on certain days in the week, he took his regular walks, rides, and stands, sometimes at his own garden-wall, which overhung the river, in order to observe the progress of the cast-iron bridge, which was at that time building; and for this purpose he became acquainted with the architect, who took a pleasure in introducing him at all times into the workshops, where he carefully examined every pin and screw with which it was put together. At the end of the pier, in a stormy day, he would be found conversing with sea-faring men upon their way of life, and acquainting himself with their feelings and sensations in a storm, though they scarcely knew that they had given, or could give, any

information. So for ship-carpenters, rope-makers, sail-makers, coal-heavers, fishermen, he had a train of inquiries, and an interest which was easily shown and improved to his own purposes by a little intercourse; and on this account his conversation was always rather sought after than rejected as not being in character. Though he was thought, by such whimsical amusements, to be *odd*, yet the dignity of his order was never likely to suffer in his hands, not because he never allowed (for that was out of his calculation), but because he never gave an opportunity for the least reflection. It was never likely to be suspected that he lost his self-respect. During his residence there he was not once either injured or offended in any way by any class of men, and considered the relation in which he stood with his parishioners as altogether on a larger scale than was likely to produce mutual acrimony or vexation with any party. Such were *his* duties in *their* consideration, that they were never interfered with; and such were *their* employments and occupations in *his* consideration, that he was rather glad to lighten them by telling them how useful they were. So that, though little known in private among the people of his parish, he was yet much respected. He became more generally known, and more of a public character about this time than he was before, because he was in the way of a more extended society, and had more opportunity of enlarging his acquaintance with all descriptions of both public and private characters. He was visited, he used to say latterly, *as one of the curiosities*, and after his strangers had seen the bridge from a point below his garden (where from an opening of a deep and confined glen the spectator burst at once upon the expanse of an iron arch hanging as it were in the air above him), the pier, the port, the barracks, the Low-street, a sort of Wapping in Sunderland, all of which were among his lions, he desired them to return and see *him*. Though he never paid much attention to the little courtesies and ceremonies of politer life, he was by no means deficient in any etiquette

belonging to his station, and being neither inclined to affect any singularity, or assume any eccentricity, which might draw forth partial admiration, he readily found himself at ease, and wished to set others at ease, in order to come to free conversation as soon as he could. In a place of so much traffic and trade, there were not many whom he found at leisure for desultory conversation, or much inclined to literature. Mr. Meadley was one of his most constant companions, both because he was much disengaged from the pursuits of the place, and being a man devoted to literary pursuits, possessed of much information, and of great independence and correctness of character, laid himself out to be useful to the place in many ways. He always professed a great regard for Mr. Meadley's company and conversation. No man would have been better able to give a correct detail of Dr. Paley's sentiments and opinions on any great matter of importance which might arise about this time, had they possessed any great interest, or had he laid himself out at all to be the oracle of his neighbourhood. But nothing was farther from him. No man delivered his opinions with more soundness and substance, on any question of importance which might arise in his conversation with persons of any condition or station, but it was quite as if drawn forth at the moment. Though he was not unprepared by previous deliberation, he was seldom induced by the design of showing his superior penetration to utter such and such sentiments, as if they were to be made public. This at once speaks for the irrelevancy of bringing forward any chance sentiments on politics or religion as tests of his being an adherent to party in either, and shows with still greater force the absurdity of prejudging a man's sentiments from the accidental intercourse with any party. One circumstance which suggests this observation is rather curious, viz. that of his more distinguished visitors at this place,—that is, of those more eminent and public characters who seemed to be attracted towards him rather from his reputation than any

previous acquaintance,—most of them were well known to range themselves with the *Opposition*. Whether this arose from their finding many of his sentiments in unison with their own, as no doubt *he* did many of theirs, or it arose from their fearless admiration of an independent and animated writer, with many of whose sentiments they did, though with some they did not agree, it would be idle to conjecture. They certainly in vain looked for a mind warped by any party prejudices; and it might not indeed be disagreeable to them to find their political opponents as much praised as blamed, if they were ready to admire sincerity and consistency of habitual sentiment. But it may be rather supposed that there is a certain boldness, amounting almost to rashness, in many of his bursts of expression, which might suit well with the principle of some, who would go farther than he ever pretended to see; and at the same time such a fearless *παρησια* and love of bare and unceremonious truths as even those would admire who wish to attack only the abuse of authority, and can without any timidity or reserve admire honesty, uprightness, and integrity, wherever they find them, especially when no arts or language of flattery are used to conceal them. He did not at this time profess an exclusive attachment for any of the sects or parties that divided public attention. He was still too fond of his own *snugness* of life to be interested in any matter of public agitation. His contemplations were larger than might be distracted by the novel objects of a few years. But from the eagerness with which he entered upon any subject, it was not unlikely that he might appear as much interested in any public, political, or religious question, or subject of debate, on an incidental mention of it, as if he had been a warm partisan all his life. It was rather his eagerness and his warmth, and his regardlessness of any thing but the truth, that seemed to convey an impression of zeal for any party which he thought right, than an admiration for, or attention to, either of the two great public characters of that day. As to his being inclined

to follow or defend any of the political innovations, or any of the long-established prejudices for fear of innovating, it was quite beyond the object of his life, as he seemed to pursue it. He who can write at the very time of great political agitation*, "I will not say that no case of public provocation can happen which can move (a good man); but it must be a case clear and strong. It must be a species of necessity. He will not stir till he see a great and good end to be attained, and not indeed a *certain*, because nothing in life is so, but a *rational* and practicable way of attaining it. Nothing extravagant, nothing chimerical, nothing in any degree doubtful, will be deemed a sufficient reason with him for hazarding the loss of tranquillity, in which he earnestly, at least for himself, desires to pass the days of his sojourn on earth." No man who writes thus, and writes as he did honestly from his own convictions, can well be thought an advocate of much weight on either side. "A good subject having been accustomed to fix his eyes and hopes upon another world, a future state of existence, a more abiding city, a tabernacle not of this building, his first care concerning the present state of things is to pass quietly, and peaceably, and innocently through it; for although the name and pretence of religion have at divers times been made the name and pretence for sedition and unjustifiable insurrection against established authority, religion itself never was. Disputes may and have been carried on both with good and evil intentions about forms and constitutions of government; but one thing in the controversy appears clear, that no constitution can suit *bad* men; men without virtue and without religion; because let such men live under what government they may, the case with them must ever be this," &c. &c. This seems, indeed, to have been the test with him of all religious and political creeds, viz. their utility to the purpose of morality. He was a very hero of morality, but in no other

* Fast Sermon.

wise did he seek renown. "It is virtue and virtue alone which can make either nations happy, or governments secure."

He has been indeed throughout this account of his life represented as shining more in his private character than in any other. If he was eminent in any public capacity, it was accompanied by the best possible feeling, a perfect unconsciousness of it. But he was not in truth so much of a public character as to be worth notice under such a term. The sentiment that seems to have governed his private feelings and his habits of thinking was consistent with his cheerful and benevolent views of life. "It is a happy world after all." His domestic habits during this period were much of the same kind as have been before spoken of. It may be mentioned here again, that as the plan of them seemed to embrace most of his comforts, and in his views gave him the best opportunities of usefulness, by allowing him time for thought and contemplation, so it was carefully fixed and adhered to. Yet he seemed rather to strive at rendering his life as peaceable and serene as he could, than really to have attained any great enjoyment by this change. Very soon after his first coming to Wearmouth, he began to arrange the employment of his time so, that when he was not necessarily absent from home every hour should have its employment. As on every occasion he attempted to bring himself to a plan, so he acted in this most methodically. Thus the history of every day became the history of the remainder of his life. His favourite maxim was, "Learn to husband your pleasures;" and so remarkably regular was he in his recreations, as well as in his study, that his own family, knowing him at all times to be fond of society, often indulging in it, and not unfrequently amusing himself with the more trifling pleasures of an easy life, have often been surprised how and in what manner those works were produced which procured him such attention and respect. At this stage of his life he had disposed his time as carefully as if he had but a month to live:—in his garden he limited him-

self to one hour at a time, twice a day; in reading books of amusement, one hour at breakfast, and another in the evening; one for dinner and his newspaper:—and the consequence of this was, that such pleasures remained undiminished to the last. His public duties had their own time, as far as it was possible to accommodate circumstances to such a method; at least they seldom made any alteration in his domestic life, as far as was apparent to those about him. His professional pursuits rarely interfered with the time devoted to his family, or to society; neither were these last suffered to interrupt his studies. His health was at no time in his life sufficiently strong to do without management; and though he was utterly regardless of his own personal convenience, and so far from using any unnecessary caution, was, partly from inclination and partly from pretended conviction, negligent of the common means of guarding against the disorders of his constitution by diet or medicine; yet he was not less sensible of its craziness, than patient and resigned under the most painful attacks. This constant liability to violent disorder led him to a certain method in his rides and walks, in order that he might also have certain hours in his study. “I seldom,” he writes to a friend, two years after his arrival, “go out of the gates but to justice-meetings, and upon public business, except when we visit. The field and garden is my ride and my walk, my exercise and my amusement. The wind blew my hat to the top of the house the other day, where it stuck in a gutter, or it might have been in Holland by this time,” &c. &c. His taste for the objects and works of nature, rather than any skill in natural philosophy, led him still to be fond of gardening, though it now rather became a more gentlemanly work of superintendence. For an hour after breakfast and dinner he had his regular walks of musing and recollection, with which he let nothing interfere, nor any one share, except his youngest daughter, who, with a basket under her arm, to pick up any thing that he chose to put into it, followed him, “haud

æquis passibus." At such times he seldom spoke a single word; but now and then he used to surprise his little companion by bursting out into the most immoderate laughter, or mouthing out scraps of poetry, or sentences of prose,—quite enough to show that these were seasonable exercises both for his mind and body. With the handle of his stick in his mouth, now moving in a short hurried step, now stopping at a butterfly, a flower, a snail, &c. ; at one instant pausing to consider the subject of his next sermon, at the next carrying the whole weight and intent of his mind to the arranging some pots in his greenhouse, or preparing with the greatest gravity to remove some stick or stand that offended his eye, he presented the most prominent feature of his mind very obviously, but made it perhaps happy for his public character that he chose to be alone. In the evening he seldom conversed much with his family, though he would not but have them round him, and left them quite at liberty to employ such times in their own way. He contrived, along with some whimsical and capricious habits, to keep up their relish for such domestic scenes with so little appearance of singularity, and if not with good-humoured playfulness, yet with such obvious pain if they were not relished, that there was no member of his family who would not have thought it equally unfeeling and undutiful to have suffered either themselves, or any other, to have noticed his singularities.

In his study and his church he was ever intent upon the great object of his life, upon rendering himself useful in his station. The population of his parish was about nine or ten thousand; and, as in other large places, the more active discharge of ordinary parochial duties devolved upon a curate, whom he found on the spot. He seemed no less willing to take upon himself the responsibility of management than to pay the utmost deference and respect for any assistance or superintendence of his curate. He was remarkably attentive to and fond of preaching, so long as his health permitted him,

which was but for a short time; but the interest he took in those duties was not by any means confined to a sermon. In estimating the performance of public duties of his church, the *character* and *matter* of his sermons are to be regarded, rather than any inference drawn that this duty was confined to little more than a weekly sermon. His great aim and interest seemed to be to rouse the indifference, and excite the attention of his congregation to think and feel for themselves. After all he gave the most satisfactory proof of the weight and solidity of his instructions, by never expressing a sentiment that he did not conscientiously endeavour to adopt into his own practice. Out of his church, it is scarcely necessary to observe, that he did what could reasonably be expected of any incumbent in so large a parish; and not only so, but what any incumbent might be thought to satisfy himself with doing, allowing only for the ordinary dissatisfaction which is incidental to the most exact discharge of public duties. But his mode of conducting these public duties of his station was in all respects the same as at any other stage of his life. He seemed to prefer, and to think himself called upon to prefer, the observance of an established order, and the silent and even tenor of proceeding, both in great and small matters, to any trifling addition or alteration of his own recommending. He set himself to encourage the charity and Sunday schools of his parish and his neighbourhood, as established institutions; and, finding them rather in a slumbering condition for want of proper support, gave weight and significance to an old and common subject, by taking it upon more general grounds. "Many," he observed, in a sermon preached on the occasion, "are apt to fall into a disposition of this kind;—that if they cannot do by their charity some great good, something at least considerable enough to make the whole country feel the benefit of it, they will not attempt, or join in attempting, any thing. Could an opportunity be presented to them of serving the public at large, and that essentially and effectually, by their

contribution or assistance, they would assist and contribute; but those small portions of public good which can be effected by the limited purposes, and upon the few objects, of a private institution, are not enough it seems to merit their attention, or to provoke good works. Now that disposition is always to be lamented, because it always ends in doing nothing at all; and it is founded upon a mistaken principle, the want of knowing or considering the actual lot and condition of human life. The common happiness is sustained, not by great exertions, which are in the power of a few, and happen rarely even to them, but by great numbers doing every one a little, every one something in his particular province, to his particular neighbourhood. This is the way in which Providence intended society to be carried on, and beneficence to be exercised. Yet, according to this plan, it must happen, that, if we extend our views to the public at large, the separate effects of any single institution, and still more of any single person's assistance, will appear to be very minute; nevertheless it may be our proper share in the great work of public felicity. It may be, however contracted or small, the circle and province which we are appointed to fill. Charitable and public-spirited endeavours are to be carried on at the same time under a great variety of forms, and by a great number of individuals; the result of the whole will be the public benefit, and of a great magnitude. We are contributing to the general result, and in the way and proportion we ought to contribute, when we promote and support, according to the manner of our faculties, and of what we can spare, any useful and rational establishment which is set up in the neighbourhood to which we belong."

This appears to have been a very favourite subject with him, both here and elsewhere; and was usually handled in a way that showed him attentive not only to the particular objects proposed by the institution, but to the general interests of morality. He did not call much upon the feelings of his

congregation, or endeavour to rouse any enthusiasm which might afterwards be found less satisfactory, though more profitable at the time.

With dissenters, and particularly the dissenters of his own parish, the majority of whom were either Wesleyan Methodists, or Independents, he did not think himself concerned either to contend or unite in any struggle for or against that liberty of conscience, which, in his construction, constituted toleration. What is said of his decided hostility to any species of persecution, and of his general persuasion of the great benefit, rather than the practicability, of complete toleration, is not only to be confirmed, but is proved from the whole tenor of his writings, and sentiments, and practice. But that this proceeded from any want of vigilance on his charge; from his having no objection against them as dissenters; from an ignorance or regardlessness of the proselyting spirit of particular sects; from a vacillation in his own sentiments on the points of dissent, as far as that may arise from a peculiar view on some points of doctrine; from a sort of smoothing liberality which is gendered by a wish to conciliate dissenters rather than by any real conviction of the necessity of a large and comprehensive plan of toleration;—that his conduct towards them proceeded from any of these would be quite an unworthy surmise. From various parts of his writings, both published and unpublished, may be brought at least as many arguments against, as in favour of, a departure from established institutions, if his authority be consulted by those of either orthodox or heterodox sentiments. Thus, he states in a manuscript note, found in his Greek Testament, on Matt. xiii. 14: “You insinuate yourselves into people’s houses, into the houses of women, and widows especially, as Methodists do, by your apparent sanctity and long prayers, and make a property of them by presents and substance you get out of them.” He knew that they were not free from the arts of proselytism, though he would have readily acknowledged that this charge

was not confined to them. He has expressed himself, in his Charges and Sermons already published, as unfavourable to their "want of judgement and love of power." He was conscious that there was a great difference in the calmness and solemnity, and good sense and strength, and authority of the discourses of Christ in the Gospel, and the manner in which most of their devotion and preaching were conducted; "that it is one thing to edify (the common people) in Christian knowledge, and another to gratify their taste for vehement, impassioned oratory;" that "he, not only whose success, but whose subsistence depends upon collecting and pleasing a crowd, must resort to other arts than the acquirement and communication of solid and profitable instruction;"—that "our sermons are in general more informing and chastised, both in matter and composition, than those of any denomination of dissenting teachers." He was not disposed to "cut off the decent offices which the temperate piety of our church has enjoined as aids of devotion, calls to repentance, or instruments of improvement; much less to contemn and neglect, under the names of forms and ceremonies, even those rites, which, forasmuch as they were ordained by the divine founder of our religion, or by his inspired messengers, and ordained with a view of their continuing in force through future generations, are entitled to become parts of Christianity itself. "*He* makes a bad choice of his subject who discourses upon the futility of rites and ordinances; upon their insignificancy, when taken by themselves, or even insists too frequently, and in terms too strong, upon their inferiority to moral precepts. We are rather called upon to sustain their authority." He has observed, "that some of the most judicious and moderate of the presbyterian clergy have been known to lament that there is no gradation of order in their constitution." He noticed often, both in his sermons and lectures, those "who believe or imagined to themselves certain perceptible impulses of the Holy Ghost, by which in an instant, and in a

manner no doubt sufficiently extraordinary, they are regenerate and born of the Spirit, they become new creatures, they are made the sons of God who were before the children of wrath, they are freed from sin and from death, they are chosen, that is, and sealed without a possibility of fall, into final salvation." He places amongst the corruptions of Christianity, amongst the causes which have contributed to the progress of infidelity; 1st. the absurdities which many national churches have taken into their system; 2d. the several lucrative tenets which induce the suspicion of craft and design in the whole, such as purgatory, prayers for the dead, the efficacy of offerings and donations to the church; 3d. the placing of Christianity on wrong foundations. Thus, the Quakers and Moravians refer you for the *proof* of Christianity to the motion and witness of the Spirit in your own breast. Now a man who hears this, and can feel no such motion, has nothing left for it but to turn infidel." Such passages are quoted, and more might be easily produced by a very cursory view of his own writings, to show the tone of his sentiments on this subject; and with him this was the most fixed rule of his conduct that can be brought forward; though it may be easy to produce in any writer, and more especially one so full of reasoning and argument, some more trifling acts or random sentiments, which may seem at variance with some of his grave and well-weighed expressions as an author. The same writings will also supply abundant motives for the forbearance and moderation which he showed in practice. His conduct as a clergyman was certainly formed upon a more true and enlarged principle of toleration than is often found, or at least than is often placed to the credit of the established clergy, in their intercourse with those of opposite sentiments. From his college lectures we may collect what his sentiments were in earlier life, though expressed in the same strong and substantial manner. "If you should have dissenters in your parish, make it your business by your behaviour, conversation,

and preaching, to possess both them and your own congregation with a sense of the *unimportance* of those points which divide you; and of the convenience, and consequently the duty, of giving up such points to one another for the sake of one common public worship. Above all things, abstain from ridicule or reflection upon their persons and teachers; from reproaching them with the conduct of their ancestors or predecessors of the same sect; from idle reports of their absurdities or immoralities; from groundless suspicions of their insincerity; and particularly from charging them with opinions which they disown, or consequences they do not deduce." In his defence of the consideration of the propriety of requiring subscription to the articles, he answers the obvious but harshly-expressed plea of his opponent, Randolph, "that such disturbances and divisions were not owing to the governors of the church, but to the perverse disputings of heretics and schismatics," by a consideration that is equally obvious, "that there is such a thing as oppression as well as resentment, abuse of power as well as opposition to it; and it is too much to take it for granted without a syllable of proof, that those in power have always been in the right, and those who withstood them in the wrong." In after life he showed a delicacy and a courteous deference to any thing like substantial seriousness, or objections on principle, even though frivolous. He was even impatient at any petulant or unprovoked insinuations against dissenters. He was cautious and grave upon the weakest and most ridiculous display of religious sentiment made by the very meanest of them, if that sentiment had the appearance of honesty and sincerity; if it had not, he was content with less exposure than he would have made of any of his own weaknesses, and what he would have called his absurdities. "None but religious and pious people have these *scruples and whimsicalities*, and therefore the utmost tenderness and indulgence are due to them, even where there is less foundation for them than there may at first appear to be." On his first arrival at Bishop Wearmouth,

the great overflow of dissenters was noticed to him with alarm, and something like preparation for measures against them, and with the expectation that he would at least sympathise with the complaining tone in which the application was made. "I am heartily glad of it," answered he; "for, looking at the population of this parish, and the smallness of your congregation at church, which, if it was filled, would not hold one-third of the parish, what must become of them if they were not in some way connected with religious persons and religious concerns?" The maxims on which hang his reasonings in favour of toleration, and which are used against a vast weight of argument on the opposite side, viz. "that any form of Christianity is better than no religion at all; that of different systems of faith, *that* is best which is the truest; that if different religions be professed in the same country, and the minds of men remain unfettered and unawed by intimidation of law, *that* religion which is founded in maxims of reason and credibility will gradually gain over the others to it; that this is superior to any other quality which a religion can possess;"—these formed sufficient reasons why he should be expected, and why he was found, to carry his views of men's professions at once to a more important point than would allow of any indulgence of natural feeling, or a mere temporising policy. There is a very obvious resemblance which may here be noticed, between such a pervading principle and that which is said to have distinguished his first and revered patron, the Bishop of Carlisle. It is recorded on an elegant mural monument in the cathedral of that city, in a well-turned epitaph written by his son, the Bishop of Elphin: "Quo autem studio et effectu Veritatem, eodem et Libertatem Christianam coluit; religionem simplicem et incorruptam nisi salvâ libertate, stare non posse arbitratus."

His communication with Dr. Coke, which is mentioned by his biographer as taking place at Bishop Wearmouth, was purely accidental, nor was it marked by any thing but a civil

apology, in answer to a request that he would countenance the cause of missions, for not being able to assist a fellow-labourer with any thing but his good wishes, as his health was then very precarious. In the same note he invited him to his house to spend the evenings, and expressed himself well pleased with his general information, as well as benevolence; and was rather satisfied than convinced with Dr. Coke's argument for the expediency of those ranting exhibitions, in preference to his own opinion in favour of a calm and rational propagation of the Gospel. "It cannot be, sir," said Dr. Coke;—"without ardour and enthusiasm you could not either excite the attention of a set of creatures like savages, nor persevere in the arduous task."

Amongst other notices of public events that called forth any characteristic trait of his mind and feeling, if not partly connected with his general principles of conduct, as well as his liberal treatment to those of a differing creed, may be mentioned his attention to the emigrant clergy of France. A great number of these had been received and maintained by voluntary contributions, before they were or could be provided for by any parliamentary grant. A temporary asylum had been formed for some of them, under government, in the barracks at Monk Wearmouth. Dr. Paley was particularly struck with the condition and deportment of many of them, with whom he was in the habit of conversing in his walks and near his garden. He used to lament much the want of his acquaintance with the French language, as he lost much valuable information by it, and what little he did obtain he collected with difficulty from their, and his own, imperfect knowledge of colloquial Latin. He was glad, however, to offer them the use of his grounds, and his garden supplied many a cart-load of vegetables for their soup. In 1792, on their first emigration, he had advocated their cause with his accustomed brevity and pithiness, when their case was recommended in the regular way to the notice of the clergy. He preached a sermon for their benefit in all

the churches in which he had any concern, in which he compared their case with that of the stranger in the parable of the good Samaritan. "The case of the French clergy driven into these kingdoms is now by public authority introduced to your notice. I will not take upon me to assert, that since our Saviour's time to the present, there has not been any case of individuals, or of any large body of men, which bore a nearer resemblance to the case here described; but none certainly has come more truly either within the scope and purport of the parable, or of what our Saviour meant to teach by it, than this does. To trace out the similitude, it is by no means necessary to enter into any political speculation whatsoever. It is enough to advance, concerning them, that their sufferings prove their sincerity, and that their condition is most helpless. Whether they are right or wrong in dissenting from the new order of things that had taken place in their country, I again repeat that their sufferings proved their sincerity, and that their condition is most helpless. When they were violently despoiled of what they had been taught to call their own, and to reckon upon for their subsistence and support, they submitted as well as they could to their misfortunes, and remained in the bosom of their country. It was only when *oaths* were proposed to them, which shocked the principles in which they had been brought up, that they refused to comply, and by this refusal, which ought to have been venerated even by enemies, brought upon themselves such outrages as compelled them to fly for their lives. They were driven therefore from their country, entirely stripped, not of wealth, which they had long parted from, not of the slender supply which in the wreck and robbery of their revenues had been reserved to some of them, but of the very necessaries of human subsistence, food and raiment. When accident and nearness of situation, more, possibly, than plan or intention, (for what plan could be formed amidst such confusion and surprise?) had cast them upon our shores, the public compassion was moved by a new spectacle of human

misery—many thousand clergymen without means of subsisting for a single week. It was impossible to send them home to be butchered by their enemies. It was equally impossible to see them perish here. Application therefore was speedily made to the bounty of the rich and great of the English clergy, and made with such effect, that £26,000 was raised for their relief by about 4000 benefactors. This fund has been husbanded with rigid economy, for no more has been allowed for the weekly support of each clergyman, than what friendly societies allow to their disabled members. The poor objects of this bounty have done every thing in their power to make it go far by extreme sparingness, and when any one of them have accidentally obtained succours from their friends or fortune abroad, by conscientiously returning what they had received here. They appear to have deserved by their behaviour the humanity they have experienced, if peaceableness of demeanor, frugality, thankfulness, piety, and contentment can be found deserving. These were all the virtues in their power, and these they appear to have exercised. It was soon, however, found that the unavoidable wants of such a number of men formed a demand which could only be supported by general contribution, by every one contributing a little. And I believe the experience of many ages has proved that there is no other way, by which any large exigency can be supplied. This, therefore, is the ground of the present application, not made general, till rendered necessary by the occasion, and not made till very large and liberal sums subscribed by particular persons had been expended; and it is made in a form, in my opinion, perfectly unexceptionable, for every shilling of it is given without any deduction whatever, or any expense attending the collection.

“ Under these circumstances a more Christian charity can hardly be proposed. It is like that of the Samaritan for the wounded stranger; it is for exiles, persecuted and suffering under something more than the ordinary instability of worldly affairs, the ordinary changes and accidents of life, or even the

ordinary visitation of human calamity. It is for men who have seen better days, who are fallen by no fault of their own, wanderers in a foreign land, without home, without friends, without families to apply to, ignorant of our language, incapable of labour, many of them aged, weak, and infirm, all of them completely destitute and helpless.”

Being called into so large and populous a neighbourhood, he was constrained to become an acting magistrate; and as in other duties, so in this, he found it necessary to give some time and attention to prepare for it. This, as has been already observed, was more in his way and according to his own taste, than any other use of his natural powers. His conduct as a magistrate, Meadley says, has been reflected upon, and it perhaps might be imprudent to notice it here, as it is only mentioned by that writer very slightly, as a charge from which he may easily be defended. But there is nothing to be apprehended from looking at characters like this too closely. His irascibility and unmanageable decision of view which is hinted at, was not at all unlikely to be attached to him in a place and neighbourhood where science and skill and penetration were confined very much to the trade and commerce of a sea-port, and where shrewdness of mind, and at the same time largeness of intellect, was little exercised on general subjects. Here he undoubtedly felt his superiority, and was allowed to bear a good deal of sway at the weekly session, where his decisions were usually attended to, though given with often a harsh quickness,—the result of views which might not be obvious to any one but himself. “Accusations,” his biographer Meadley very well observes, “are frequently preferred against men of clear and comprehensive intellect, when engaged in the examination of petty causes, which the folly, ignorance, or knavery of the parties or their witnesses alone render difficult or complex. For the warmth which men of genius or principle may sometimes betray in such situations great allowance should be made for superiority in talent and virtue, usually accompanied with

an energy of feeling which common characters neither possess nor appreciate*." This warmth, however, if it was displayed in any thing any more than manner, which is a supposition more obvious to those acquainted with the habits of Dr. Paley, was not known nor suspected to have betrayed him into any decision, which he might have thought erroneous, had he used more self-possession. He had seldom occasion either for obstinacy to maintain his point, for petulance to check, or for the arts of authority which showed him jealous of, investigation. He was firm and resolute, but it was a firmness principally concerned in promoting rather general good than trifling amendments; so far as that wish might not interfere with any established order of things in itself unobjectionable. In his exertions, noticed by Meadley as directed in a more public way than was usual with him against the indiscriminate and heedless granting of licences to publicans, he went upon grounds much more likely to be known to him than to the rest of the magistrates, who were in the habit of attending the quarter sessions. He had observed, that the most of the cases which called for his interference, as an individual magistrate, arose from squabbles which were fomented at the retail gin-shops and low public-houses in the town and neighbourhood of Sunderland, and he did not think the danger and unpopularity of what was termed *innovation* carried weight enough against the injury to morality in his own parish. He was not likely to admit, that if morality was in any degree endangered by an abuse of what was even in itself not immoral, any consideration was to be had as to the degree of danger or dislike which might be incurred by the removal of it; though such inferences are not unlikely to be drawn by those who look at the doctrine of expediency, as unfavourable to direct morality. He made

* Apud quosdam acerbior in conviciis narrabatur, ut bonis comis, ita adversus malos injucundus. Cæterum ex iracundia nihil supererat. Secretum et silentium ejus non timeres. Honestius putabat offendere, quam odisse. *Tac. Vit. Agr.*

an appeal to the grand jury of the county of Durham on this point, rather from feeling that such indifference, or, to say more, such designed forbearance, would be attended with farther consequences than seemed to be foreseen by the local magistrates, and therefore that it called for public notoriety and public countenance, before the evil could be removed. It was not so much any obtrusion of himself into notice (for in truth the attempt was not attended by any conspicuous display) which made him thus advocate a cause in which after all he was unsuccessful, as a desire to discharge a public duty, which came under his own immediate concern, and which was almost naturally the subject of his thoughts from the very direction of his character and writings.

In common with other parts of England, during the scarcity of 1799, resolutions were entered into by most of the opulent and respectable inhabitants of the county and city of Durham, to relieve the difficulties with which the poor and labouring classes seemed threatened, by stinting themselves in the use of bread corn, and their horses and cattle in the use of other grain. On a meeting being held in July of that year, for the purpose of devising means of lightening the general consumption, as well as providing soup and other substitutes for the poor, Dr. Paley drew up five or six resolutions, the object of which was more easily and familiarly stated than is usual in county meetings, "to refrain from the use of puddings, pastry, and any sort of bread, except ordinary wheaten bread; to discontinue the giving of oats, beans, or peas to horses; to procure oatmeal, rye meal, beans, peas, and rice, to sell at a cheap rate; and to recommend to gentlemen to apply the leavings of their tables to soup shops, to be provided and supported by the townships and parishes." This, like the projected plan of lessening the consumption of sugar in order to check the slave trade, was attended with at first indifferent success, though rigidly followed up in his own family and elsewhere. He used to remark the jealousy of the lower classes on any interference, and

the perverse eagerness with which they availed themselves of any thing like prohibited articles. Though in many instances the pressure of hunger was felt in no inconsiderable degree amongst the large population of that parish, and assuredly relieved in a great majority of cases, both with the most prompt assistance and with the gratitude of the persons so relieved; yet here, as in other places, the soup was occasionally despised before it was made more savoury by a little better humour, and a more general understanding amongst the lower orders. Oatmeal, an article of food in many parts of England preferred before any other, was offered and refused; or when it was taken, was sometimes thrown on the ground before his gates; and he used to observe that during all that time of scarcity, when he was weighing out his own brown bread to his family, he had the mortification of seeing the poor people passing to and from the ovens in his parish with fine white cakes, dressed in all the pride of butter and currants.

His residence at Lincoln was marked by no event which called forth any peculiar characteristics of his mind. He entered with his usual ardour and vivacity into the interests of the place, as far as was consistent with his situation in the church, took his part in the public embellishments, and entered into a very general society, by no means otherwise than sufficiently enlightened, agreeable, and social. As subdean of Lincoln he was governor of Trinity hospital, in West Retford, which is endowed with an estate in that neighbourhood of more than five hundred acres of land, for the support of sixteen brethren. When he succeeded to the subdeanery in 1795, he found the bailiff to the estate, who had just then been elected by the brethren themselves without any concurrence or consultation with the governors, occupying one of the hospital farms, and therefore, by a consequence common enough in charitable institutions, he foresaw that the charity was liable to abuse. This double capacity of agent and tenant met his decided disapprobation; he strongly protested against

the measure, and refused to sanction their appointment of a bailiff under such circumstances. On finding, however, that the majority of the brethren had the power of appointing, and that there was no provision directed for the disposal of any surplus funds, Dr. Paley laid the case before the court of chancery, which pretty readily decided, that the same man could not be agent and tenant at the same time. To this concern he gave himself up very closely and diligently, during almost the whole time of his retaining an interest in the sub-deanery, and managed the whole business of his trust not only like a master but in an active business-like manner. Here might be given a specimen of his talents for business, and of his general correspondence, as well on that as on the most indifferent matters, by a selection from some letters which have been returned for this purpose; but beyond local interest, they seem to possess no claim upon public attention. The only observation worth making at the present time is, that he seems to have been heedless altogether about the outward dress of his correspondence, as well as the language in which his sentiments were conveyed. Provided that the right impressions were given, he cared not whether few or more words were committed to the most comely gilt-edged paper or the first bit of torn sheet that came to hand. Thus on a very shabby piece of paper, much like the wrapping paper from a grocer's shop, is to be found the following and no more.

“ I send you ——'s nomination, which you will please to deliver him.

“ Your account of the difference of the admeasurement is very probable; but you perceive that it will depend entirely upon the proposal which may come in whether any question arise upon it or not.

I am, dear sir,

Yours very truly,

W. PALEY.

“ P. S. I take it for granted that —— is unmarried; if he be married, hold your hand with the nomination, and let me know.”

On another fair sheet.

“ Dear sir,—I have just time by the post to say, that I think it would be advisable to join Mr. ——, and how much I am obliged to you.

Yours faithfully,

W. P.

“ — Never offered to give up either the farm or bailiff’s place.

Yours, &c.”

This was not the only concern he took in their welfare. He was more especially anxious to make these poor men peaceable, good-humoured, and content with one another, and more particularly cautioned them in a set charge, and in one or two personal conversations with them, “against wasting the remainder of their days, which were so well and so beneficently provided for, in the indulgence of sloth, quarrelling, drunkenness, idleness, or whatever might pervert the benevolence of the founders.”

Dr. Paley appears to have been considered, in the general society of Lincoln, eminent for the union of great talents and plain common sense, joined to a most agreeable and cheerful view of every thing and every body about him. “It was impossible” (says his friend and physician, in a letter to the present writer of this), “for any one not to be amused and instructed by his conversation. His anecdotes were rendered the more entertaining from the manner in which they were delivered, by a peculiarly animated countenance, and a characteristic curling of the nose. He had nothing of those forbidding and overbearing manners which are too frequent

attendants upon superior talents and abilities. On the contrary, whilst he never failed to entertain his hearers, he was courteously attentive to any observation or remark made in return. He had a knack of eliciting information from men of all descriptions. He himself once said, that he never met with but one man, whose brains he could not suck. This was a French refugee, now no more, whom accident had fixed at Lincoln, as a teacher of the French language. That general philanthropy and liberality of sentiment for which Dr. Paley was particularly distinguished, afforded the Catholic priest a frequent seat at his table; and it was owing more to Dr. Paley's ingenious defence of him, than to commiseration, that he remained so quietly at Lincoln; for he had been accused of tampering with the religious tenets and opinions of its inhabitants; and one day in particular it was alleged that he had converted one of our divines, upon which Dr. Paley, who had hitherto remained silent upon the subject, seeing the serious turn such an accusation was taking, exclaimed, '*He* convert any one! he never converted any thing in his life, except a neck of mutton into chops!' Never was the old adage, '*Ridiculum acri fortius,*' more clearly demonstrated than upon this occasion, as from that moment a general acquittal was pronounced. The time of Dr. Paley's residence as subdean of Lincoln commenced at Christmas, when his arrival was always anticipated by his friends with the most lively pleasure. He kept a hospitable table, which his friends never left without being highly entertained and delighted. Dr. Paley became a member of a literary society, which had been carried on at Lincoln for upwards of half a century, consisting of the residentiaries, and other literary gentlemen. These meetings were held once a fortnight at a principal inn, where, after taking coffee, choosing books, and a little chit-chat, the evening was closed with a barrel of oysters and a rubber of whist, which Dr. Paley highly enjoyed. I have known him come to those meetings after having experienced one of those paroxysms of

pain to which he was very subject, when, with spirits unsubdued, he kept the table in a roar. Indeed it was impossible for any one to bear excessive pain with greater resignation and magnanimity than he did. The society, customs, and habits of the inhabitants of Lincoln were so agreeable to Dr. Paley, that he always looked forward with pleasure to the time of his return, and did not quit it without regret. And though the term of his residence expired at Lady-day, he did not leave Lincoln till the beginning of May. It was to him a great delight to see Lincoln, as he used to say, in all its glory, and to view its numerous gardens and orchards in full bloom and blossom. As a preacher, no one expressed himself more strongly, or in words better adapted to his subject. His language was forcible, his reasoning strong, and his doctrine sound; and when it was made known that Dr. Paley was to ascend the pulpit, the cathedral was always well filled."

But this part of his public and private character cannot be very much an object of concern in comparison with what was clearly in his view during the greatest part of this time. His health did not long suffer him to continue his task of preaching from the pulpit, or indeed any of the active duties of a resident clergyman, which might call for great personal exertion. His constitutional ailments increased so much upon him, that about the year 1800 he was obliged to discontinue public speaking or reading altogether, and to avoid, as much as he could be prevailed on to avoid, even any exercise that was likely to produce bodily fatigue. His attention, therefore, was immediately drawn towards usefulness of another kind; and the Bishop of Durham having, as he hints in the Dedication to the Natural Theology, suggested to him, that his study might enable him to satisfy those public duties which his church could no longer expect, he did not hesitate at all about the choice of his subject. But it is a fact that could not well be made known by himself, if he was conscious of it, that this, which was his last work, was of all others the most

suitable, as well to the prevailing bent of his mind towards contemplation and accurate observation, as to the habits of thought in which he had freely and fully indulged during the whole of his life. It was not only the result of an easy plan of study during his ill health, or the employment of his leisure, when he could ill bear more difficult engagements, but may be said to be the very propension of his mind if left to itself. It has been indeed already hinted that he was more inclined, when at rest, to silent, steady contemplation on the works of nature, than to any other kind of exercise. All his amusements were of this sort. In his walks, his rides, and garden, when his hands were busy, his mind also was at work to some purpose. He had from the natural strength of reason much too strong a conviction of the responsibility of rational creatures, as well as of the importance of time and opportunity, to allow even his amusements to be useless, if any good could be gained from them to any body besides himself. In this view his *Natural Theology* may be looked upon as at once the most original and most entertaining of his works. In this, the author is at last quite himself. It never was doubted by those of his literary friends who were best acquainted with his mind after he left college, but that it was strongly impressed with a talent for observing, and collecting, and storing up illustrations on this subject. During the time he spent at Carlisle, it was generally conjectured that such a work would appear at some time in some shape, as his more private and confidential conversation generally took that turn, and even the remarks and observations which he was most fond of showed the same line of inquiry. His early friends were at no loss in supposing that his *Evidences* would not be his last production; and when the *Natural Theology* appeared, they were much amused in discovering not only his own manner in conversation, but many of his most familiar observations embodied there. He certainly had nothing like lectures to go upon, though something of that kind has been partially

noticed, from a distant resemblance of his concluding chapter to Clarke, on the Being and Attributes of God. He seems nevertheless to have used nearly the same mode of collecting from his early sermons some hints that he pressed into his service on this occasion. Independent of various passages which are scattered about in his other works, and which speak for the tendency of his thoughts, it appears from some of the sermons now published, and composed so early as 1779, that the goodness of the Deity had been prepared, very much in the way in which the chapter on that head now stands. Another sermon also with the date in 1785, contains the *Statement of his Argument* in the Natural Theology. In one of his Chancellor's Charges to the Clergy of the Diocese of Carlisle, he recommends natural history as a study proper for clergymen. "A way and habit of remarking and contemplating the works and mysteries of nature," he says elsewhere, "is a delightful, and reasonable, and pious exercise of our thoughts, and is often the very first thing that leads to a religious disposition." In one of his visitation sermons preached at Durham, amongst other employments of study he observes upon natural philosophy,—“nor let it be said that this is foreign to Christianity; for the presence in the universe of a Supreme mind being once established upon these principles, (geometry and astronomy, &c.) the business of religion is half done. Of such a Being we can never cease to think. We shall receive with readiness the history of his dispensations with deeper submission on any intimation of his will. Of the several branches of natural history the application is more obvious. They all tend to the discovery or confirmation of a just theology. They inspire those sentiments which Christianity wishes to find in her disciples.” Allowing, however, for much previous inclination, and it may be added some previous preparation for a work of this kind, he was induced by the circumstance of being necessarily debarred from the active duties of his profession to put into execution what had hitherto perhaps been only half

formed; and he had employment enough, or made enough, to satisfy him that he was using his time and talents to the furtherance of useful and rational piety. As soon as he began to fix upon this work as an object, nothing escaped his observation which could in any way supply him with a hint or observation. He used to take from his own table to his study the back-bone of a hare, or the pinion of a fowl, or some little bone in the head of a fish; and on coming home from a walk would pull out of his pocket a stone or a plant to illustrate what he had himself found, or seen advanced by others without sufficient minuteness. He collected facts and observations from almost all the common treatises, large or small, upon his favourite subject. He seems not to have been at all scrupulous about the weight and respectability of the authors from whom he took many of his remarks and observations. Provided the authority was such as satisfied himself, it was of little consequence to him where he got it. Indeed it was not very necessary for his subject, and least of all for his mode of treating it, either to stuff himself with much learning, or to treat of it in any other way than what might be adapted to the most obvious and every-day observation. There is such a compression of thought, and concentration of view, as might lead to the notion that he entered upon his subject with even more scientific views than he laid claim to; and it has surprised many who did not allow for the deep penetration and keenness of view which marked his mind, to find him so well acquainted particularly with the anatomy of the human frame, which, though differing in some immaterial points, such as terms and descriptions, from the modern anatomical writings, is not, it is said, materially affected in the accuracy of its detail. He, however, had little previous acquaintance with what may be called the scientific parts of his subject before the period now spoken of, and his knowledge seems to have been derived from many sources; if we may judge from what remains of his rough draft. There are three or four large manuscript books filled with observations

and short substantial hints taken from various authors, and in the order in which they are here set down: Boyle, Gregory, Cheselden, Derham, Ray, Monro, Derham's *Astro Theology*, Adams, Wilkes's *Principles of Natural Religion*, Search, Sturm, Goldsmith, Lesser, Smellie, *Religious Philosopher*, Spectator, Seed, *Nature Displayed*, Hervey, Addison, Keill, Watson, St. Pierre, *Cappe's Causes of Atheism*, *Memoirs of Natural History*, by the Royal Academy of Paris, 1701, Priestley, Maclaurin; and these are headed by the following memorandums made for himself: "N. B. In this collection all marked \times are inserted; those marked \square have not got a place found for them; those marked $\ddot{=}$ are supposed to be of more value; so with other books of collection." It is clear, therefore, how far he might be said to make choice of a subject, or to have given his thoughts a determinate direction, when, during two or three years, he was giving his particular attention to a course he had already pursued, and to the systematizing of his labours. But if such, almost necessary, preparations for his undertaking led him to take advantage of these second-hand sentiments, and, indeed, if his subject did not require more of research than observation, it is still not easy to detract from his merit as an original writer. The treatment of his subject is entirely his own throughout. He gives to it so much entertainment and interest by striking out a new application of the matter he had collected, by much acute and minute observation, by the clearness and familiarity of his comparisons and illustrations, by clearing his subject from the difficulties of technical terms, by reducing the discoveries of science to a level with ordinary understandings without injury to the credit of the science itself, that more originality might not have served his purpose so well. Thus, if the book be opened promiscuously, we find, in following him on the circulation of the blood, such bursts as these—"What enters at the mouth, finds its way to the fingers." When giving a description from Keill's *Anatomy*,—"Con-

sider what an affair this is; the aorta of a whale is larger in the bore than the main pipe of the water-works at London-bridge, and how well does it execute its office! An anatomist, who understood the structure of the heart, might say beforehand that it would play, but he would expect that it would always be liable to derangement, or soon work itself out. Yet shall this wonderful machine go night and day for eighty years together, at the rate of one hundred thousand strokes every twenty-four hours." Again, in describing the transfusion of aliment, "here it meets the river, there it discharges itself into a larger vein, the whole route can be exhibited to the eye, nothing is left to be supplied by the imagination, or conjectured. Simply for a passage, these voluminous bowels, this prolixity of gut seems in no wise necessary." Again, "for the preparatory grinding the gizzard lends its mill, and as all mill-work should be strong, its structure is so, beyond that of any other muscle belonging to the animal." Of the larynx, "such," says he, "is its structure, and we may here remark the almost complete success of the expedient, viz. how seldom it fails of its purpose, compared with the number of instances in which it fulfils it. Reflect how frequently we swallow, how constantly we breathe. In a city feast, for example, what deglutition, what anhelations! yet does this little cartilage, the epiglottis, so effectually interpose its office, so securely guard the entrance of the windpipe, that whilst morsel after morsel, draught after draught, are coursing one another over it, an accident of a crum, or a drop slipping into this passage excites in the whole company not only alarm by its danger, but surprise by its novelty. Not two guests are choked in a century."

He seems not to have thought of any addition to his works beyond a few amendments or alterations in future editions. Such as an Index now prefixed to his Natural Theology; which, if not drawn up for his own use, as a sketch of his plan, was probably prepared after the publication of the first edition,

at the suggestion of the Bishop of Elphin. And here it may be proper, on the introduction of a name so intimately and affectionately connected with the life of Dr. Paley, to notice a fact rather curious in itself, and much to the present purpose, because it is one which he would himself have been much more inclined to avow than to conceal, had it not seemed immaterial to him as an author and a friend, viz. that on this his favourite work, and on this the plaything as it were of his mind, and more calculated to appear as his own proper production than any other of his works, he availed himself of some assistance from the Bishop of Elphin. He acknowledges, indeed, his obligation to Mr. Brinkley, Andrews' professor of astronomy in the university of Dublin, who was intimate with the Bishop of Elphin, and described by him, in one of his letters to Dr. Paley, "as one of the mildest of men, and at the same time an excellent mathematician and astronomer." His communications, indeed, seem to have been more full than were inserted in the body of the work. Neither is it very clear how far Dr. Paley made use of his friend's talents for observation and originality, which appear to have been by no means inconsiderable.

It can probably add little to the fame of this learned and unostentatious, and single-minded prelate to bring forward what was never intended to attract any reputation; but as the public have had little opportunity of catching the complexion of his talents, the insertion of some of this auxiliary matter may contribute to the interest as well as amusement of the reader *. At any rate it may serve as a specimen by which he may judge how far this assistance went, although from the hints here given, it seems to have been scarcely embodied in the work.

The first letter on the subject will rather confirm what has

* What is here offered collectedly might perhaps have better stood in their original form of letters as an appendix, had it not been more according to the plan proposed in the beginning to give a connected account of the writings of Dr. Paley.

been surmised of the commencement of the work. It is dated 1797, and forms the first part of what follows.

“ MY DEAR FRIEND,

“ I have bought a book, and began to make collections illustrative of the arguments for final causes, viz. the evident contrivance and fitness of things for one another in many points of natural history.

“ Here’s an instance of my own :

“ In the largest feather of the wings of an eagle I have counted above 500 little laminæ or rays on each side of the shaft of the feather; and in each ray, as I have found by means of a good double microscope, there are at least 1000 little hooks or teeth, which must all fall exactly into the interstices made to receive them, or the feather will appear ruffled. If you separate the ray, you will readily perceive by their motion the teeth I mean, and if you smooth the feather with your finger, they will immediately fall into their places. Here then we have $2 \times 500 \times 1000$, or a million of intentions actually executed in the construction of one feather. Consider the number of feathers of a bird, and the number of views and intentions will be astonishingly great. How they are fastened at the extremity of the teeth, I know not, as my microscope does not sufficiently show.

“ On this subject may be added, that it is remarked by Maclaurin and others, who have speculated on the most advantageous form of the sails of windmills, that they should be fixed on the axis at the angle of $54^{\circ} 44'$, and that this angle should increase from the axis, and the ribs of the sail should have a *twist*, so as to lie in different planes. Both the twist and the shortening of the ribs are found in the wings of birds, so that he who contrived them understood maxima and minima. As to mechanism, look at the wings, wing-cases, and the feet and claws of insects. Every earwig has wings, and those most curiously folded up under two short shells or wing-

cases. The hinges of the wings and joints of the antennæ which are about it are very neat.

“ The hand of man is a masterpiece of mechanism. One general method of discovering design and contrivance in the present constitution of things is by making any change in them, ‘*cæteris manentibus*,’ and we shall find it will always be for the worse. Make a change in any part of the human body, *e. g.* take a finger-nail, and instead of having it at the back of the finger, suppose it fixed on the fore-part; how inconvenient for handling, and in many other respects would such a change be! In your chapter on divine contrivance, you must have an article on the solar system, which no one can describe more forcibly or eloquently. It is ‘*opus sapientissimi*,’ and you should have a cut of it, as likewise of many other things which cannot well be made intelligible without plates.

“ It is best that water should be the universal fluid, though many would imagine it better that the rivers should run with wine or oil. But if they did, the first consequence would be the destruction of all fish; the second, the destruction of all vegetables, and of course of all animals. Did rivers run with wine or oil, the *rains* would be oily or vinous, which would kill all plants. A curious circumstance relative to the waters with which the earth is replenished, is the constant round they are travelling without ceasing. The rivers discharge themselves into the sea; from the sea are exhaled those vapours which form the clouds. These clouds descend in showers, which penetrating into the crevices of the hills, supply springs, which springs flow in little streams into the valleys, and these uniting and augmenting become rivers, which in return feed the ocean. So there is an incessant circulation of the same water, not one drop probably more or less now than at the creation of the world.

“ Again, air is the medium by which vapours are raised from the sea, and there is a circumstance in the process of

evaporation which is a striking proof of Providence. The circumstance is this—that at the same time that air attracts the *aqueous* particles, it repels the *saline*. Hence all rains, even those that fall at sea, are always fresh and sweet. If salt had been as soluble in air as water is, we should have had no such thing as fresh water. The rains would have been salt, and would have destroyed all plants and herbage.

“ Near three parts out of four of the earth are covered by the sea, and there is wisdom in this proportion perhaps. If the surface of the sea were less, the evaporations which are made from that surface would be less, and the rivers smaller and fewer, and the earth would not be sufficiently moistened and watered. Lessen the sea, and you increase no doubt the land, but that land for want of moisture would be a barren sand and desert, in which neither men nor beasts could live. What would become of commerce and the communication between different parts of the world, if the sea did not exist in the extent it does at present?

“ There is wisdom in making the heart an involuntary muscle. Had the action of the heart been subject to the command of the will, and we could have stopped its motion at pleasure, there would have been no end of suicides, and as there would have been no external marks, they would have been undiscoverable.

“ What an immense workman is God! in miniature as well as in the great. With his right hand, perhaps, he is now making a ring of a hundred thousand miles diameter to revolve round a planet like Saturn, and with his left is forming a tooth in the ray of the feather of a humming bird, or a point in the claw of the foot of a microscopic insect. When he works in *miniature* every thing is gilded, polished, and perfect; but whatever is made by human art, as a needle, &c. &c. when viewed by a microscope, appears rough and coarse and bungling. The works of nature differ materially from the works

of art in superiority of workmanship and wisdom. It requires art and skill to make a statue, but much more to make a man, the joints and nerves, to lubricate the joints, and facilitate their motions, &c. *Our* mechanism is confined to the adjustment of the parts of dead matter to one another, but God kneads heat up with his mechanism, mixes chemistry with his composition, and unites spirit with matter. That parents are not properly the makers of their children is evident, because they know neither what they are making nor have made. A woman with child knows not whether the foetus be a boy or a girl, or whether she carries twins or not. God is the greatest of all electricians, chemists, &c. &c. He had employed electricity in the atmosphere, and given it to the torpedo and electrical eel, long before Franklin knew any thing about it. He has given *phosphorus* to the glow-worm and the fire-fly, and he has not been inattentive to so minute a thing as the comforts of the smallest order of birds, having universally given them a bed of black down next their bodies, let the external colour of their feathers be what it will; so he knew that black was the warmest colour. As the surface of a little bird is much greater in proportion to its bulk than that of a large one, it was necessary that they should be warmer clad than large ones, and in this shocking cold weather they feel the utility of this black down and basis of their feathers. The cold is in proportion to the surface, and if a turkey were divided into a number of wrens, the surface of all the wrens would exceed the surface of the turkey in proportion to the diameter (any homologous line) of the turkey to that of a wren. If a globe be divided into a number of little spherules, and the diameter of the globe be to the diameter of a spherule as n . 1, the sum of the surfaces of the spherules will exceed the surface of the globe in the same ratio of n . 1, and so of any similar bodies. This observation of the black down being constantly next the skins of little birds struck me lately, and I

think there must be design in it, as it is not the case with large birds. *Valeat quantum valere potest.*

“Of all the small birds which winter with us (from the snipe downwards) if you clip off the tip of the feathers which form the colours of the birds, you will find the remainder of the feathers uniformly black. When I first observed this, I took it for granted, that the final cause of it was to keep the bird warm, by keeping in the heat arising from the heart, and circulation of the blood. The use of clothes is not to imbibe heat from the sun, but to keep it, and retain what is evolved from the circulation of the blood. Black is always said to be the warmest colour, and Dr. Franklin, in some of his philosophical works, asserts that liquor will heat sooner, and keep hot longer, in a black mug than a white one. Heat is supposed to have an affinity to black, and it has been observed that most, if not all bodies, turn black before they burst into a flame. Dr. Priestley, in his Lectures on Experimental Philosophy, p. 147, says, that the organs of respiration are larger in birds than other animals, and that they contribute to keep them warm. What he writes there will be well worth reading, and will be a good instance to show, that *He* who invented the lungs (which Hunter did not know any use of) understood their nature perfectly, and was an excellent chemist. Their absorbing vital air and rejecting azote, is a very curious contrivance for heating the frame.

“The wings of many insects (the libellula, or dragon-fly, the musca chamelion, the ephemeron, &c. &c.) are prepared in water to be in the air. In their grub state they could no more live in air than a fish; and in their fly state they would perish in water, though a little while before it supplied them with food and life and motion. The larva of the libellula lives two years under water before it undergoes its transformation. The larvæ of the libellula are very active, and furnished with very strong jaws. Linnæus calls them, *crudeles insectarum aquatiliūm crocodili.* In all larvæ the wings of the

future fly may be discovered by a careful dissection. In the common cabbage caterpillar the future butterfly is enclosed in the body of the caterpillar, and in the chrysalis state, you may see the wings under their covering with the naked eye very plainly. The fly is always enclosed in the grub, and the transformation of insects is only the casting off of temporary coats, cases, and coverings, or a kind of masquerade dresses. The oddity is, that they should change in many instances the element they live in, as water for air. Might not the title of your work be ‘*Natural and Philosophical Theology?*’ With respect to a motto, I submit one of the following to your consideration. In the sixteenth Essay of Bacon, De Atheismo, there is a good motto, I think, for your work,—‘*Minus durum est credere portentosissimis fabulis Alcorani Talmudi aut Legendæ, quam credere huic universitatis rerum fabricæ mentem non adesse;*’ and he immediately adds, ‘*Itaque Deus nunquam edidit miraculum ad atheismum convincendum, quoniam opera ejus ordinaria huic rei sufficient,*’ or this—‘*Qui Deum in naturâ non vident, non solum ratione carent, sed etiam sensu, Avicenna. Natura nihil est aliud quam Deus, Labienus. Cæcum esse oportet, qui ex optimis et sapientissimis rerum structuris non statui vident fabricatoris omnipotentis infinitam sapientiam et bonitatem; insanum, qui profiteri nolit.*’ Or, for aught I see, ‘*The fool hath said in his heart there is no God,*’ might make a proper motto. Infidelity is always the disease of the heart and not of the head, and the grand cause of it is vice, and an unwillingness to submit to the restraints which religion imposes, which the infidel terms shackles. The old heathen was a character totally different from the modern infidel. He had the greatest reverence for an oath, was generous, and had a number of good qualities often in his composition; whereas the modern infidel is an unprincipled selfish mortal, who pays not the least regard to an oath, and is ready to commit a crime from which he expects either pleasure or profit, when he has a chance of doing so

with impunity. If he comes in your way, treat him with hard arguments and hard knocks. When Bentley and Warburton gave them such flagellation as they deserved, there were very few of that vermin; but from the time divines fell to flattering and complimenting them, as too many have done, they have multiplied exceedingly, and swarm in all quarters. You will observe that the *infidel*, by the by, is the most *credulous* of all creatures, for he believes that feathers, and flowers, and worlds can make themselves; that at a certain period of time all mankind were infatuated, and valued neither pleasure nor property, nor even life itself, but threw all away for nothing but a senseless story about a resurrection. He charges us with credulity at the time that he believes all manner of nonsense.

“How goes on your excellent work? I intended to have read Dr. J. Young’s Bakerian Lecture, and sent you some extracts from it on the mechanism of the eye; but have been too idle to do any thing. Dr. Derham, whose chapter on the eye is well worth your perusal, observes with respect to the eyelids, that the hairs of them grow but to a certain commodious length, and need no cutting, as many other hairs of the body do: also that their points stand out of the way, and in the upper lid bend upwards, as they do downwards in the lower, whereby they are well adapted to their use. Here we may learn how critical and nice the great Author of Nature hath been even in the least and most trivial convenience. You will have readers whom such observations will suit. Though your forte is recapitulation, and the conclusion of your work excellent, yet I would not have you think of writing your last chapter now; defer it to the second edition.

“‘*Non regredi est progredi.*’ Maintain your ground and stick to regular gentle exercise (especially riding round your field), and Nature will set herself to rights, and restore you to your usual health. If you had strength to throw out gout, it would relieve all the wrong sensations in your stomach.

You have wrote and done more to improve the age you live in than any of your contemporaries; and when you have finished your present work, which is infinitely wanting for the confutation of French and English atheism, you should take out a writ of ease, write no more, and study only your health. As to Buffon's silly hypothesis, there cannot be a better refutation of it than your own. None but Frenchmen (and those very weak ones) ever give the least credit to it."

Most of this was written previous to the publication of the *Natural Theology*. The same writer says, in a letter dated Oct. 1802,

"I am reperusing your excellent work, and, *decies repetita placabit*. I do not perceive a particle of laudanum in it from beginning to end, and there is as much spirit in the conclusion as in any part. It will supersede Ray, Derham, and Nieuwentyt, will give a check to prevailing scepticism and atheism, and is calculated to do an infinite deal of good. It is exactly such a work as the world wanted; the arguments are proportioned to every understanding; many of the observations entirely new, and all treated in a new way, and expressed in your very best manner. It will certainly be the most popular of all your works; and now you have completed your system so well, you should rest from your labour. Your arguments for the divine goodness are so strong, that not only our reason is convinced, but as Barrow would say, 'we even touch and feel it with our senses.' The following lines from Cardinal de Polignac's *Anti-Lucretius*, an excellent poem, and worth your reading, if you have never read it, might possibly serve for a motto to your book.

'Nam quæ non machina clamat
Auctorem: attentas si quis modo præbeat aures,
Cuncta Deum produnt, atque alta impressa sigilla.

Lib. 5, sub fine.'

The cardinal was a violent Anti-Newtonian; his attacks on Newton are the only weak things in his poem.

“ In page 406 you say, ‘ Light passes from the sun to the earth in eleven minutes.’ It comes in eight minutes, or 8' 7" more exactly. Now the mean distance of the sun is about ninety-six millions of English miles. Light therefore travels at the rate of twelve millions of miles in a minute, which gives one, I think, a better idea of its tremendous velocity, than the old comparison of the cannon ball. You have robbed the moon of its atmosphere, which is a trifle.—It certainly has one.—At least so later astronomers say. I will scribble in the margin of my copy any little remarks that may occur in reading, as you desire, and send them to you. In so interesting a volume there should be as few inaccuracies as possible. In p. 545, if you intend Sallust's words, they should be, ‘ in maximâ fortunâ minima licentia;’ which is better, I think, than the ‘ quaque’ (which is an addition) and ‘ minimum licere.’ Seneca, I believe, has this expression, ‘ Magna fortuna, magna servitus.’ Have you seen the advertisement of Dr. Priestley? His heart, I am told, is set upon the work; but it does not meet with the encouragement he had a right to expect. Considering his domestic misfortunes, how he is to be pitied! Let me hear how you do. By all means use exercise; if possible, on horseback, if not, in your carriage. I should have been dead before this time if I had not kept the machine a-going by gentle exercise.

“ I am most affectionately yours,

“ J. ELPHIN.”

What follows is chiefly made up of corrections suggested by this much valued friend, along with many from Mr. Brinkley, on some of his astronomical observations at Chap. 22.

The circumstances under which he finished, and indeed it may very fairly be said, begun this work, add a great interest to it for those who, like this correspondent, were anxious for

his health. He had been long struggling with those attacks which are the usual symptoms of diseased viscera, and this constitutional disorder had gained so much upon him, that his intervals of ease became few and far between. It was quite admirable at such times to observe, not only how lively were his animal spirits, but how his mind, quite unsubdued, seemed to labour to show itself as happy as could be with the very privilege of existence; but even this was not equal to the calmness and patience and composure which he showed under the severest bodily sufferings, even at the very time when he was busily engaged in contemplating and describing the benevolence of the Deity, and the provisions for man's enjoyment. That this indeed was known in the neighbourhood, appears by an extract given by Meadley from Fenwick's Life of Dr. Clarke*. During the short period which elapsed between this publication and his death, his time was spent much in the way already described. It does not appear that he was sensible of so much decay in his mental or bodily strength as might make a total cessation from study desirable, or lead him to think that by humouring his disorder with ease and indulgence, he had any chance of mitigating it. It gave him frequent warnings of its serious inroads on his constitution; but so gradual were its approaches, that he scarcely seemed to alter any of his plans, either public or private, so long as it was possible for him to enjoy the hopes of communicating or receiving any good.

About this time a report became prevalent, that he was

* Dr. Clarke was, in Dr. Paley's opinion, one of the ablest, and at the same time the least ostentatious, physicians of his time. He died shortly after Dr. Paley had first begun to find the benefit of his prescriptions. If after this date he be said to have enjoyed any tolerable renovation of his constitution, it may be attributed to the forcible and prompt means used by this physician in arresting the progress of his disease. So much indeed was he disposed to rely upon his advice that he found a difficulty in relying upon any other.

fixed upon to fill the vacant bishopric of Gloucester. Though this may be thought a ground to be trodden only with the greatest caution and delicacy, at least by any member of his own family, yet so little was heard at the time from himself, so little did his state of health and constitution, if not the tone of his natural character, lead him to feel any thing like disappointment, and so much have the public, who in this case certainly were more sanguine in the anticipation than he was, been called upon for their attention by stories and anecdotes that seem in part certainly, and perhaps altogether, groundless, that it may be mentioned rather as a mark of his usual abhorrence of any self-consideration, than as a prominent part of his life. In this view what is known may be related, because what is related may be substantiated, and may be sufficient to correct much misunderstanding, as well as set his name free from that unseasonable attention which is even at this day drawn to it in very different ways. Indeed, as a matter of political question on which to catch at any praise for his independence, or charge against his superiors for any fancied neglect, none can feel less disposed to notice this point of his life than his own immediate friends, because none had a fairer opportunity of estimating his perfect indifference on the subject, either when it was casually mentioned to him as an elevation not unlikely to take place at some time, or when it was hinted that it had been expected to take place before. Yet as a matter necessarily connected with the politics of his day, it was curious to observe the mixture of truth and exaggeration in the publication of the fact itself, and his own entire unconsciousness of having given any cause for public notoriety in any way attributed to him.

What may be collected as the substance of such reports (for it is most to the present purpose so to describe them) is, in plain language, that Mr. Pitt was long led to overlook his services, and to be backward in recommending him to the notice of the court; that when so recommended, objections

were made in a quarter no less powerful from a fancied suspicion of political or religious bias; and lastly, that the late king was supposed to dislike his principles, as well as his language in the passage concerning pigeons. The very reply of his late majesty to the minister's recommendation is brought forward; on being told that Dr. Paley had explained or altered many of his opinions, "I had rather have a man who never had occasion to change them;" and on another occasion, "I can have no notion of the man who, in the direction of his conduct, would look for what is expedient, if he knows what is right." It is not altogether unlikely, that what has been, and is even now, advanced with the most apparent authority, may have taken its rise from facts unimportant in themselves; but whether such inferences were ever drawn, or have been merely intended to serve the purposes of those who first related them, is of as little consequence to the memory of Dr. Paley, as it was to himself, when these little incidents took place.

So early then as 1785, when the Bishop of Elphin and he were discussing the publication of his first work at the house of a friend, "Paley," said the former, "that passage about the pigeons will not go down; it may prevent your being a bishop." "Well," said Paley, in his usual characteristic manner, "bishop or no bishop, it shall stand." It is probable that this was said without much attention in either of the parties to the consequences, even if that consequence be confined only to the raising a report that this did in any way impede his promotion; but he who will venture to conjecture that such a random sentiment would not have been uttered, had he even been able to foresee such consequences as are attributed to it, knows little of the character here spoken of. On his removal, indeed, from Carlisle to Bishop Wearmouth, the same kind and anxious friend, the Bishop of Elphin, had expressed, with great appearance of having reasonable ground for the expression, that he was glad his preferment was good at last, for he might depend upon its being his last, and had

even persuaded Dr. Paley to think so, without at all seeking the grounds of that opinion. In the latter part of his life, however, there was an understanding in his own family, though almost too indistinct to be now traced to any authority, that some overtures were made to him by a friend (whether with or without any grounds to support them may be a matter of question), tending to persuade him to an explanation, or softening, or perhaps recantation of some expression which might make against him in a higher quarter, and recommending another edition, or a note explanatory of the true extent of such expressions. He declared himself perfectly ready to give any explanation of the views with which either particular expressions or general sentiments were advanced, but felt no disposition to recant or explain away any thing that had been misconstrued, as this was obviously to subject an author to his reader's views. If he was misunderstood, he could not help that. But that this went no farther, or at least was not at all connected with Mr. Pitt, may nearly be taken for granted, for there is now good ground for supposing that Mr. Pitt never did mention his name at all to the king for a bishopric. So far, indeed, was Dr. Paley from considering himself the object of any jealousy or suspicion by persons in authority, that he always considered his preferment at Lincoln chiefly owing to Mr. Pitt's interference; and though this is more than the truth, as now appears from the first authority, it is true that Mr. Pitt was made acquainted with the views of his patron on that occasion, and readily expressed his concurrence. Neither had he any reason to think that he was peculiarly the object of any dislike either to the king or any of his subjects. On the contrary, from the report of the Bishop of Elphin of some conversation addressed to that prelate when at court, and from the wish expressed in consequence that he would allow himself to be introduced there, with which he could never be brought to comply, it was pretty clear that he was regarded rather favourably. The following letter too, from a friend of his

constantly about the court at Windsor, shows that his works at least were much in request with his majesty. The familiar chit-chat style of the letter seems a voucher for the authority of what is related.

“ *Windsor Castle, Jan. 11, 1797.*

“ It is a proof of great friendship, my dear sir, that you call my last letter an interesting one, as it was filled wholly with the concerns of me and mine. I have now somewhat to say that respects you, and I will begin that first. On the very Sunday on which I received your letter, this little dialogue took place in the Chapter-room of St. George’s.

“ ‘ K. Majendie, do you know who has taken my Paley out of my library?’

“ ‘ M. No, sir;—I have not.’

“ ‘ K. Do you think Fisher has? Cannot you in his absence see that? It is bound in dark calf, and has blue letters on the back. I value the book highly, and would not be without it on any account. Pray, where does Dr. Paley reside now?’

“ ‘ M. At Weymouth, sir, I believe principally; but Mr. Wilson knows best.’

“ ‘ K. Does he never come into this part of the world. Mr. Wilson?’

“ ‘ W. I hope, sir, to have the satisfaction of seeing him with me next summer.’

“ And there for the present ended the conversazione. The Sunday fortnight after, Mr. Wilson told me, the king had not yet found his Paley. ‘ Neither Majendie nor Fisher have it. He has now but one chance of finding it again. He thinks he must have left it at Weymouth. He knows he took it with him in his pocket, and it is probable that he has left it behind him. On this supposition, he will send for another set immediately, and he is rather pleased with the circumstance, as he shall have one there to recur to as well as here, for he thinks

it a very useful, valuable publication.' Now, my dear sir, if you are above royal praise, I have done wrong in taking up your time and mine; but I confess I felt so proud of it, I could not resist the impulse of telling it you."

The truth then may perhaps be, if any objections were really made to the language or tone in which many of his sentiments are conveyed, that he did not listen to the advice of his cautious friends, from a conviction that such considerations ought not to influence, and would not influence, any of those who undertook a charge of such grave importance as the concerns of a national religion; and who might be supposed anxious, if from no better principle, at least from respect to their taste, to avoid the display of such ultraism, as could take hold of mere "uncourtly language*." It is true that, in publishing his *Moral Philosophy* in 1785, he fell in with times when uncourtly language became the fashion in politics; and when it was almost the only property of a great political leader that was imitated by bold and designing men, who, under the guise of wholesome truths, laid bare the very scaffolding of all established authorities. It might be, that the anger of the court was roused soon after by the inveterate and persevering spirit with which almost a too curious insight was gained into the real strength of royalty and prerogative; but this, he might take liberty to conclude, had time to pass off, with the usual changes of political and moral feeling, long before it came to his turn to be thought of for any such advancement. At any rate it was very improbable, that he whose characteristic was such a freedom of thinking and speaking, as might at once show that he preferred integrity to courtesy, and the free use of his reason and common sense—nay the almost too unlimited indulgence of wit and drollery, to the cautious and trim propriety of studied phrase, would either have changed

* Chalmers, from *Quarterly Review*.

these habits, or thought it worth while to change them, in hopes of meeting the wishes of any court in Christendom. He was by no means deficient in proper courtesy, nor unwilling to pay all outward and hearty deference to his superiors, nor was even conscious, much less proud, of the feeling of independence. His blunt manner of expressing himself seemed to be no affectation, but an off-set of his character; as may be readily perceived by comparing his whimsical and laconic habit of letter-writing on matters quite unconnected with politics, his mode of striking out illustrations in his works, and the style of his common conversation. It certainly is amusing enough to find it remarked or insinuated that, "neither as to the principles of his faith nor the tenor of his politics was Dr. Paley's creed avowed;" and the opinions of those who have been usually called Socinians have been suspected in the protegé of Bishop Law, and the friend of Dr. Jebb, though it is well observed by the same author*, that the charge of heterodoxy is supported rather by omission than any assertion to be found in his works. It might indeed seem strange, that any express avowal of religious or political sentiments should be required from a writer who was called upon by his subject to study the general theory of politics, and one who is so eminently serviceable in the cause of his religion, as well as so singularly devoted to his professional studies; and much more may it be a matter of wonder, that it should be necessary to require such avowal; but this wonder ceases, when it is recollected how great is the propensity in the public to class every man of any reputation under some party. In Dr. Paley, there was that peculiar candour and openness which refused to be nailed to party, and which, therefore, might appear to lean to any way of thinking. That an avowal of his opinions should be unnecessary, or that he was not obliged to think himself of any party, seems to have been the very esti-

* Meadley.

mation he most coveted; since even the charges of vacillation or inconsistency to which he must have subjected himself, because he must have known others of the same spirit of independence liable to such charge, seem to have had no weight with him in comparison with his own satisfaction in admiring what was right, and allowing for what was wrong, on all sides. It is no mean test of a man's principles, that, with a spirit naturally active and bustling, with enlightened powers of mind, and with a strong pervading principle of honesty and integrity, he should fill an important place in society, quietly and conscientiously discharging its duties, and employing his abilities and writings chiefly in advancing the spiritual interest of his fellow-creatures, and that in a way much more important and enlarged than was likely to be diverted by any party prejudices.

After this time he seems to have employed his intervals of study, which were now necessarily shorter from his having more occasion to attend to his health, in a question much connected with the church, and at that time a good deal brought forward, viz. the residence of the clergy. He has left drawn up into a regular form, in his own hand-writing, probably not without the suggestions of some one more nearly concerned than he was with the ecclesiastical arrangements, the plan of a bill for imposing a tax upon non-residence, to be applied to the augmentation of small livings, under the form and title of "An Act for the better promoting the Residence of the Parochial Clergy." Whether any or what use was made of this, or why it was drawn up, it is not an object worth inquiry at present; neither can the plan itself be any fair object of criticism, and therefore there seems less objection to presenting at least the outlines of it. The part of the proposed plan which seems to be most in the usual manner of the framer, and is therefore the most worthy of notice to any one interested in taking hold of the sentiments of the writer, and the character of his writings, is to be found in the mixing up of reasons and motives for the

several enactments with the clauses themselves. Whether this be the usual way of drawing up bills, or only a curious mode of drawing his mind on paper for the use of those to whom it is addressed, it is obviously the best and readiest way of coming at the spirit of an act of parliament, and may offer no bad pattern for enactments.

“ I propose to put the tax, or as I would rather call it the *contribution*, into the receipt and management of the governors of queen Anne’s bounty, to be paid nearly at the same time, and at the same place as the tenths, and payment, if neglected, to be enforced by the same process and under the same penalties; and I am persuaded that, with the addition of two clerks, or of a comptroller, or accountant, or treasurer, to the present establishment of that office, the business might be transacted at a moderate expense; certainly not exceeding one shilling in the pound.

“ I begin therefore my bill thus :

“ ‘ Whereas it is expedient to promote the residence of the parochial clergy, and to provide for the better maintenance of the resident incumbents of such livings, by raising a fund out of benefices having cure of souls, holden by clergymen who do not reside upon the same, to be applied and disposed of towards increasing the incomes of such of the poorer clergy as reside upon small benefices. Be it enacted, that from ——— there be annually paid by any incumbents of a benefice with cure of souls, into the receipt of the office of tenths, the sum of five shillings in the pound of the value of their respective benefices, &c.’

“ After this paragraph the first thing to be done is to ascertain the value of each benefice. This I propose to do by the *oath* of the incumbent, guarded, however, by a check, which I think will prevent any violent mistatement, viz. that of the affidavit being read during morning service, and afterwards signed by one of the churchwardens, and affixed for the inspection of the parishioners upon the church door. But in

order to *force* from the incumbent a declaration of the value upon oath, it is necessary to cast about for some *measure* of the value which may be proceeded upon in case no such declaration be delivered; and in this measure all that is necessary is, that whilst it bear some proportion to the real value of the living, it *always exceed it*; so that the incumbent may never be a gainer by suppressing or withholding such declaration. In most livings there are terriers, in which is usually expressed the value of the living, and this value, though seldom, I believe, equal to the actual present value, is always more than half of it. I would fix, therefore, upon double the value, or, where such terriers are not to be found, the king's books may be had recourse to. The sum at which livings are estimated in the king's books I have heard computed, upon a rude average, to be about a thirteenth part of the modern extended value; but, to make sure of our purpose, which, it must be remembered, is nothing more than to procure a *discovery* from the incumbent, I would take twenty times that sum as the second measure. I proceed, therefore, with a second and third paragraph of the bills, &c.

“ I think it reasonable that the curate's salary should be deducted from the value for which the incumbent pays, *provided the CURATE resides*. And this, though a subordinate, may be another good effect of this act, to increase the number of resident curates, whose residence is the next good thing to the residence of their principals. But it is in this part, of all others, that I am the most afraid of collusion and fraud. It has been my lot to see so much of it in the giving of titles, producing receipts for the salary assigned by the bishop, where not a shilling had been paid, or where half of it had been returned to the incumbent, or perhaps to his wife, and other contrivances of that kind, that it is necessary to guard studiously against similar attempts in the present case.

“ I therefore proceed, &c.

“ The residence I would require, for the purpose of protecting an incumbent from the operation of this act, should be such a residence as would make his living *his home*. This point being secured, I would not wish to tie him up too tightly, or to refuse him liberty sufficient for the avocations which are incidental to the life of a regular man. I would fix upon eight months, or, because months are ambiguous, thirty-two weeks, in the year. No man can reside conveniently eight months in the year in any place without making that place his home, and four, or near five, months in the year will allow space enough for any engagements that can ordinarily take a clergyman from home. The residence must be ascertained by the oath of the incumbent, guarded as before by publicity. I proceed, therefore, &c.

“ Pluralities I leave to the general operation of the act; that is, if a man have two livings and reside upon neither, he shall pay for both; if he reside upon one, he shall pay for the other. (Here some cases are considered of partial residence, after which is added:)—Thus is given so much of the plan as relates to the imposing of the tax on penalties or contributions.

“ I should wish to be as sparing as possible of exceptions. Like drawbacks in a duty, they always open a door to evasion. In the case of dispensations we see how entirely the privileges granted to chaplains and degrees have departed from their principle, if in truth they were ever founded on any. The cases, however, which seem to me to require or to be best entitled to indulgence, I will now proceed to mention.

“ First, whenever a living is by law annexed to another preferment, which preferment has a local duty, and residence required from or belonging to it elsewhere, I think an exception ought to be allowed. There are other cases of annexation, but which have no local duty elsewhere. This, among many other instances, is my own case. I hold a living as the corps of my archdeaconry, and have the cure of souls therein; but as the living is situated within the archdeaconry, the arch-

deacon may exercise his functions whilst resident there, as well as in any other place. The excuse, therefore, does not hold in this case.

“The class which may next in order plead for exceptions is that of the clergy who occupy stations of expense and of public importance, and whose maintenance in part is derived from livings which they hold along with those stations. In favour of cathedral livings, I would rather not legislate, because I am rather against the principle of favouring them.” Here he gives some reasons for that exception which he allows may be deemed valid enough; only should this plan of exception be adopted, care should be taken, he observes, to enumerate the several cases almost as it were “*nominatim* ;” and not to state them by any general description, which might be employed to cover other cases that would endeavour to creep under its shelter.

“I think it probable, also, that the king’s chaplains may put in their claim for privilege and exemption. If I conceded any thing to this claim, it would be rather to preserve the respect and distinction which ought, upon all occasions, to be paid to the regal character, than from any opinion of the merit or justice of the claim. At any rate I would go no further than to allow the time of their actual attendance upon the king’s service to be deducted from the required residence. Chaplains of all other descriptions I should be against, because to allow an exemption to them would be to exonerate the prosperous part of the clergy, and leave the burthen upon the neck of the friendless and neglected.

“Commendams perhaps ought to be exempted, for the same reason that they are allowed. But as the distinction would be very invidious, I should be willing to hope it might for that reason be waved.”

Here he considers whether the act should attach to the present race of incumbents, or only to livings acquired after the time of its passing into a law; with the observation—“I

revere highly that tenderness of the legislature, which, in most cases, refrains as much as possible from breaking in upon men's actual incomes, to which it may be presumed they have adapted their habits and expenses; but the principal consideration which distinguishes them from other imposts is, that the payment in most cases is optional to the incumbent, and may be avoided by so easy an expedient as that of doing his duty. Where this option, therefore, remains, I do not see but the present incumbent may fairly be subject. Where it does not, as in masters of colleges, &c. an exemption might be made.

“The only other exception which I should be willing to admit is in favour of old age. Old men cannot easily change their habitations; and as such lives would drop fast, the produce of the tax would be little diminished by this relaxation.

“ ‘Be it therefore provided,’ &c. &c.

“I had forgot to provide for the year on which a change of incumbency takes place. If caused by death, I would allow for that year entirely. I would give up one portion to the family of the last incumbent, as a bonus often much wanted; and by reason of the expenses of induction, &c. the new incumbent will want the rest.

“Having now raised the fund, we proceed to its distribution. And what I should now wish is, that the distribution should be left to the discretion of the bishops in their respective dioceses—subject, however, to some regulations. I can, however, very well imagine, that a plan which proposed to add power to the governors of the church may be received with great jealousy. If for that reason, or because the bishops themselves should think the office too troublesome and invidious (for both it would no doubt be), a fixed rule of distribution should be preferred, I would offer the following—shares,” &c. &c. And after much more than is necessary to produce, he fixes the penalty for “any person knowingly and wilfully swearing falsely in taking any oath appointed by this act to be taken, shall and may be prosecuted for the same, and

upon conviction thereof, shall be subject to such punishments and disqualifications as any person or persons would be subject to for wilful and corrupt perjury by any of the laws or statutes of this, realm; and shall also be for ever incapable of holding or receiving any ecclesiastical preferment whatever.

“ Having thus brought my paragraphs to a conclusion, what is necessary to be added by way of argument will lie in a small compass.

“ The recommendations of the plan are, first, that whichever way it operates, it will do good. If it produce a more general residence of the parochial clergy, it cannot be doubted but that it will produce a beneficial effect: if it leave the state of non-residence as it is, it will alleviate the evil by providing a more decent maintenance for the clergy who do reside, and whose circumstances require assistance.

“ Secondly, that it will execute itself. Penal laws fall to the ground for want of prosecutors; and when prosecutions are instituted, they generally proceed from motives of so much private enmity, that the public, and courts of justice themselves, are rejoiced at their disappointment. Hence the statute of Henry the Eighth, commonly called the Statute of Non-residence, has very little other effect, as far as I have observed, than to enable parishes to extort from the absentee somewhat easier terms for their tithes than they would otherwise obtain. Whereas a tax, it is very well known, never sleeps. In the present instance it would be collected without public odium, and for any thing that I can see, with as much ease and regularity as the tenths or first-fruits.

“ The objections which I have been able to form to myself are such as these:

“ 1. Private patrons, who are apt to consider themselves as having a property not only in the advowson but in the living, may call this alienation of a portion of the profits of the benefice, an invasion of their right. I apprehend the same objection applies to the statute of Henry the Eighth, which

subjects the non-resident to a penalty of £10 a month, or to the law which compels the payment of a salary to the curate, and authorises the bishop to assign the quantum of that salary, or to any regulation which enforced upon the incumbent the performance of his duty by pecuniary sanctions.

“ 2. It may be regarded by incumbents themselves as a legislative dispensation from the duty of residence, considered as a moral tie upon the conscience. I think there is something in this objection: I mean that it may possibly be so regarded, though without any just reason. But upon whom can this consideration operate so as to do any harm? Only upon those who at present reside upon their livings from a sense of duty, in opposition to their own conveniency and inclination. I apprehend the number of these at present is not great, and after the passing of this act would be smaller. The opinion itself is founded in no good reason; for the moral obligation, whatever it be, remains as it was. Add to this, that all other legal ways of enjoining or enforcing residence, as the power of the ordinary, the vicar’s oath, the statute of Henry the Eighth, the power of granting licences, continue unaltered by this act.

“ 3. Some may think that the tax, like the window-tax, the house-tax, the servants’ tax, and some others, ought to ascend in a higher proportion than the simple ratio of the value. I do not think that this would be any improvement of the plan. Non-residence, which this plan is intended to remedy, is as great an evil in a moderate living as in a large one. Beside that, advancing the proportion tends too much to equality, which is a bad constitution.

“ 4. Some allowance, it may be thought, should have been made for absence occasioned by sickness or urgent business. Hard cases I do allow may possibly arise under both these heads; but I am afraid it will be difficult to provide for their relief without giving up the efficiency of the plan in a great measure. Physicians’ certificates could always be obtained.

Men would find out that they or their families could not have their health at their livings, or any where but in the town or neighbourhood in which they wished to live; and as to business, the urgency of it can only be known to a man's self. I can only say, that, as the bill allows every incumbent twenty weeks to stir in, very hard cases would not, I hope, be frequent.

“ 5. Upon reading the act there may appear to be confusion in the plan. Now I apprehend that the plan possesses all the simplicity which is attainable in measures of extensive operation, viz. that every person concerned will easily understand and execute his own particular part in it. The absent incumbent has only to fill up the certificate (printed forms of which I take for granted will soon be to be had in every market town), swear to it before the next justice, send it down to his curate to be read, affixed and delivered to the receiver, and to pay into the office in London his quota of the value to which he has sworn. I once thought of making the money payable in the country, but money, I believe, is more easily paid in London than any where else; and as those who are to pay are absent from their livings, it would be no relief to them to allow payment to be made in the district in which the living is situated. To the resident incumbent, the business would, as it ought to be, be very easy. He transacts it all at home. He has only to fill up his certificate, swear to it, read and affix it, and then carry or send it to the receiver of his deanery. The audit of the account in the office will be extremely simple. After the 25th of March there will be in the office for every living either the money, or the certificate, or a process to issue. The division of the fund into shares, after the petitions are allowed, is a mere arithmetical operation, which any careful clerk would go through in a day.

“ 6. To that indefinite dread of change with which the minds of many, and those very good men, are strongly impressed, especially in church affairs, I can only reply, that the

true way, perhaps, after all, of securing the perpetuity of any establishment is to make it answer its purpose. In the present instance I can very well conceive that the alteration may eventually protect the revenues of the church. I have often thought that non-residence was not the unlikeliest object of taxation to the state, that in times of public difficulty might happen to be fallen upon. Now the finding it to be already taxed, and that pretty amply, would hinder a second application of the paring tool; and I am persuaded that no minister would divert the fund raised by this plan from the purpose to which it is applied by the plan itself.

“ 6. A question, though not strictly in the nature of an objection, may be suggested, why is not the tax extended to sinecure preferments? I do not mean, in answer to this question, to state such preferments as objects of indulgence or exemption; I only contend that the principle of the present bill does not apply to them. The principle of the bill, as a bill of regulation, is to enforce a local duty; now the duty of members of cathedral and collegiate churches, as far as it is local, may be left to their local statutes. It is conceived that much good would be done by inducing the parochial clergy to reside eight months in the year upon their livings. No good whatever would be done by procuring an eight months' residence upon deaneries and prebends. If, therefore, it should be thought proper to make these contribute to the relief of their indigent brethren, it should be the subject of a separate bill, as it is in truth a separate measure; so far, however, capable of being joined to the present plan as that the produce of the contribution might be made payable into the same receipt, distributable in the same proportions, and by the same hands: in other words, might be added to the same fund*.”

* This abstract (if it may be so called) is given interruptedly, in order that it may not appear to solicit attention to what had missed publicity, but as sufficient to show the tone of the writer's sentiments on a subject which both before and since has often engaged public attention.

He appears to have been still fond of study as an object, and latterly to have given much attention to scripture and scripture language, as there was found upon his table a new book bearing that title, and containing, among other miscellaneous observations, "Some original Remarks on incidental and apparently inconsiderable Passages." It seems to have been his custom also to put down, under the head of *Hints*, any sentiment which struck him during his employment or leisure, and which might be of service to him in the composition of his sermons. The writing of Sermons seems indeed to have been his favourite employment, if any judgment can be formed from its being continued to the last; and as towards the end of his life he had little hopes of being again able to use them in his church, it seems to have been pursued, if not as an amusement, with an intention of making them profitable in some other way. On the publication of his Evidences, his booksellers had applied to him to know whether it would be agreeable to him to publish any sermons; and he writes, "I have no sermons ready for the press *at present*, and can give no answer to that part of your proposal." It is, therefore, not improbable, that this would have been the next work he would have undertaken. Had he lived, there is room for supposing that it would have been more accurately performed, from much of his time being employed in revising his Sermons, or remodelling them, or composing new ones*. As to the volume left for publication, he had drawn together a bundle of sermons, out of which he was to have made a selection; and in tran-

* Amongst those so prepared, there were a few evidently written and composed for a set, never having been preached at all. Of these, two sermons on Faith and Works, which appear to have been intended as the 2d and 3d parts of some that appeared in the posthumous volume, but which were unaccountably omitted, are now restored. If this conjecture be right, the reader may also judge how far the author appears to have *changed* his sentiments, by comparing some expressions in these with his Charges on the Use of Scripture Language. ED.

scribing some that were almost obliterated, and adapting others to his purpose and his wishes, he found employment sufficient for his weak and painful state of health. He seems not to have considered himself as writing *against* or in favour of any *particular* views. It is observed by his biographer, who was one of his parishioners and admirers, and who was himself a dissenter, that "the posthumous volume might have been more free from objection had he abstained from some questions of a controversial cast, on which his opinion would, no doubt, recommend him to the adherents of the established church." On the other hand it has been represented by some of the most orthodox of our church, that in particular sermons he seems to have been inattentive to some of the peculiar tenets of our established faith. These contrary, if they be not contradictory observations, together with the singular want of agreement amongst different individuals of his friends, some understanding one objection to be generally made, and some another, show at least that there is no prevailing bias towards any particular views, nor that he was limited in his views by any prevailing bent of mind. His skill as a theologian did not perhaps extend beyond the plain common sense reception of the Christian faith. He was naturally disposed towards controversy by the shrewdness and research, and many other peculiar qualities, of his mind; but he was so little inclined to indulge it, from the candour and benevolence of his feelings, and had acquired, perhaps, so much insight into the power of temper over reason, that on this very account he never applied much to the peculiar doctrines either of his own or any other church. By many of his natural qualities indeed he may be thought to have been more disposed to a liberal construction of Scripture on all points where different views seem to arise from different propensities of mind; and his theological knowledge, as far as it went, seems to have been drawn chiefly from those authors who are generally ranged on the liberal side; but it was quite consistent with his usual candour to correct any liberality that

might, without this check, have amounted to latitude, by such general inquiries into the opposite ways of thinking, as might tend to satisfy him where truth was to be found. This seems to have been the very point at which he aimed with all his mind, and this was not enough to give him any merit as a skilful theologian; as, though it might interest himself, it could only entitle him to rank his power amongst those of a great many writers, and not at all give him a right to affect any pre-eminence. This may account for what is striking enough to those who knew his powers of mind, that a man of his celebrity should have thought himself so little eminent as a divine of the church of England, as not to have applied himself more to the peculiars of his profession. What he might have done, had his life been longer, it is impossible to say; but from his employment almost up to the very time of his death, as well as from the preparation of his papers and letters which he had made for an event, in his own opinion perhaps at no great distance, it is reasonable to conclude that he would have adhered to the same general plan of contributing rather to the common stock of doctrinal and practical divinity, by the powers of strong sense and discerning judgment, than of considering himself called upon to stand forth as signally or eminently a member of the church of England.

By the papers found on his study table at the time of his death, it may be seen how far his mind was at the same work. There are two or three unfinished sermons, which seem to have been left in that state chiefly because the current of his thoughts had been interrupted by his last illness. One is a kind of essay, headed—"The virtues of the poor."

"The virtuous poor."

But whether for any other purpose, or for a sermon according to his usual manner of choosing his text when his sermon was finished, may be left for conjecture.

It may be worth while to give it (as it is unlikely to have appeared before), both as one among many specimens of the

writer's way of methodizing his subject, from first entertaining it in his mind; and also to show that his mode of reasoning continued unimpaired and unaltered to the very last.

“ It may be true that doing good is the business of virtue, and yet it may not be true that men's virtue is in proportion to the good which they do; because the quantity and amount and degree of the good that is done must depend upon means, upon abilities and opportunities, upon power and fortune and station; none of which men command or choose for themselves. Hence it comes to pass, that two persons may be of equal virtue, and yet be so differently situated in the world, that the virtues of the one shall produce a thousand times more or less benefit to mankind than the virtue of the other. So that if the question be in what degree any one is to be accounted a good man, it cannot be judged of merely by the good he does; for this, both in those who do little, and in those who do much, must be estimated not absolutely in itself, but with a reference to what the person in question was capable of doing. It follows from this observation, that a poor man, notwithstanding he have little good in his power, estimated absolutely, little that he can do even with his best endeavours, may nevertheless be as good a man, as virtuous, as religious, as acceptable, as well pleasing to his Maker, as he who having a great deal more in his power, does a great deal more. It cannot be helped that in the sight of the world there will be a wide difference in the two cases. The one will be praised and thanked and applauded by a whole country (and it is fit he should be so), the other will be quite unnoticed and unobserved, except by a very few; but to the eye of an all-seeing and all-judging God, both appear, as what they are, upon a level in true virtue and goodness, though separated by a great distance in the effects of their virtue, and as to the good which is actually done.

“ If, then, we are not to judge of men's goodness by the degree of good they actually do, because this must always de-

pend upon means and circumstances, which men cannot make for themselves, by what rule or rules are we to judge of it? I shall now mention these rules: and I think the mention of them will show that a poor man is as capable of being a good man as a person in any station whatever; that there are virtues and proofs of virtue within his power, as real, as substantial, and, he may hope, as well pleasing to his Creator, as those are which lie only within the reach of the highest and the richest, and even of those amongst them who use wealth and power as they ought to do.

“ 1. Now one fair rule for judging of men’s characters is, to see whether they knowingly do harm. It is not in every man’s power to do much good, but it is in every man’s power to do ill. And this is the first point to be taken care of. The fear of God is the beginning of religion; and that fear will indicate itself by keeping us from doing any thing which we know to be evil. Now this is in the poor man’s power quite as much as it is in the rich man’s; namely, to be harmless. I own I count but little of those, whether rich or poor, who, at times, and by fits and starts, do good and generous actions, but at other times, and perhaps in the general course of their conduct, seem to care very little what mischief they do; who never let the harm they may do stand in the way of their inclination, or their gratification, or their end, or the compassing of their end; because I do not see in such characters the foundation of virtue, the fear of God. Were *it* within them, the contradictory conduct which we describe could not exist. The not doing harm or mischief knowingly in any shape whatever, or to any person or persons whatever, whether they be many, or few, or one; whether they be able to bear the loss or unable to bear it; whether they be our acquaintance or strangers to us, and persons whom we have no cause to have any particular regard for—the abstaining, I say, from doing harm of any kind is a proof of goodness which every man must give, before he can be accounted sincere in his moral and

religious principles; and it is a proof which the poor of all others are the most bound to give, because it is often in point of conduct towards their neighbours, the chief proof which falls within their power.” * * *

The last, and probably *the very last* piece of writing with which he was concerned, as it seemed to have been before him at his last sitting, is the following piece of a sermon.

“*Lead us not into temptation.*”

“I interpret this petition to be as though we should say, so dispose by thy providence and order the things of life towards us as to be favourable to our virtues.

“The final view of the petition relates to another life, because it relates to our salvation; but the subject and matter of the petition lies on this side the grave, because it respects that conduct upon which our future happiness or misery depends. The present life is the scene of our temptations; the stage and state of our trials. When we pray, therefore, not to be led into temptation, our prayer regards the present disposition of things; the order and dispensation of Providence towards us as it takes place in the world in which we now live.

“Now all prayer which respects what passes in this life supposes two things: first, that the affairs of life are within the ordination of God’s providence, either ordinary or extraordinary. Secondly, prayer likewise supposes us to have some object at heart, to the attainment of which we request that the dispensations and ordering of events may bring us. These two things are implied in every prayer which we offer.

“When we say that the things of this life are within the ordination of God’s providence, we do not presume to say or to hope that the affairs of the world are to be conducted and directed exclusively to our advantage and benefit. God is the parent of the universe. His wisdom, his benevolence, his counsels, his providence points to the good of the whole.

Every thing, therefore, which relates to us as individuals must be in submission to that large and comprehensive view upon which the divine mind rests. There may be a thousand cases which we can imagine, wherein our particular good, or at least what we should account and reckon our particular good, would obstruct the good of the whole; and there may be ten thousand and ten thousand times ten thousand cases of the same kind which we cannot imagine. Indeed, it must be so, if we consider the immensity and variety of interests which worlds of sentient and rational beings may or rather must comprehend, and how small a portion of these we ever have any knowledge of, or can form any judgment about. Still there is room and range for Providence to act. This consideration does not prove that Providence is confined in its operations, for Providence may act and order things very variously. Still having the same great and ultimate end in its view, the consideration only proves that by reason of the influence, if we may so speak, which this end must have upon the measures and councils of a good and gracious God, it becomes impossible in most cases for us to judge of the propriety of particular events or situations which are permitted to take place.

“ We have spoken of providence being ordinary and extraordinary. This may require some explanation. The first idea of extraordinary providence is that of a sensible providence, that is to say, when its interposition is distinguishable. This is the case with miracles undoubtedly. It may also be the case, though not directly and properly miraculous. There may be deliverances so critical, mercies so timed and circumstanced, warnings so seasonable, nay judgments may so overtake an offender and his offence, as to induce the most reasonable judgment to think of them as extraordinary providences. But there is also another signification under which providence, though not sensible by any certain marks distinguishable, may be deemed extraordinary. The general course of

God Almighty's providence is towards the general good ; and that may be called his general providence. Whenever out of the various, perhaps infinitely various ways by which the general good may be pursued, he is induced by prayer or otherwise to adopt that which brings a particular good to one or to certain individuals, then his providence with respect to them may be said to be extraordinary. It is so in fact, and as to all the benefit of it, though neither miraculous nor sensible." * * * *

His last illness was occasioned by an attack so little different from those to which he had before been subject, that it caused no apprehension of immediate danger, even in his medical attendant. He had been labouring during the last year under the consequences of one of his painful attacks, and for some time before he had been so much longer in regaining his strength, that it became obvious his constitution was impaired ; but he had so often escaped the common consequence of severe inflammation, that though his bodily sufferings were most severe, not much was apprehended on that ground. He appears to have thought of and prepared for this, as being his last, or at least his most severe illness, though without any observable alteration in his usual style of conversation or mode of life, such as might alarm those about him, or induce them to suspect he was sensible of the approach of death. A few days before that event took place, he had made an assortment of his letters and papers, and ordered some of them to be destroyed. Some short time before that, he had been employed in his study in tying up two or three bundles of sermons, and expressed himself satisfied, " that now he had left that ready." These proved to be the sermons for publication, the directions for which he left in a codicil to his will. He was confined to his bed for a very short time. He had written to one of his family but a few days before his death, " that he had been very ill, but was then better;" when a fresh return of his disorder, after much suffering, produced a rapid and fatal mortification.

His bodily powers were so little weakened, that a few hours before his death he lifted a large pitcher of water to his mouth. That his mind was unshaken from its habitual confidence and self-possession, there is every reason to think; for on his desiring to have his posture changed, and being told by his surgeon that he was in danger of dying under the attempt, he with great calmness and resignation said, "well—try—never mind"—and, after some severe convulsions, expired. His death-bed cannot be a subject for the public; nor from his almost constitutional habit of thinking and feeling in silence, was it likely to afford matter for general interest. He died at Bishop Wearmouth in May, 1805, and was buried in the north aisle of the cathedral at Carlisle.

NOTE.

A fac-simile of a passage extracted at random from Dr. Paley's manuscript books will present a fair view of his pages when he wrote for his own use, either in the pulpit or on any other occasion. It was but little improved when he wrote for the use of others.

ADVERTISEMENT.

THE following pages contain Extracts from College Lectures. These Lectures are still extant in the Author's Manuscript, but in a confused heap of loose papers. Some part of them, to which reference has been made in the foregoing account, are taken, in a form indeed much enlarged, into the Evidences of Christianity. Those now offered to the public seem sufficiently new to claim attention; and if any of them may contain only a repetition of what has already been made public under different forms, they will at least enable the reader to judge how far the plan adopted in such Lectures has been changed, before it was made serviceable for any published work of the Author.

APPENDIX.

INTERNAL MARKS OF TRUTH AND AUTHENTICITY IN THE SCRIPTURES.

I. THAT air of simplicity and undesignedness which runs through the Gospels, especially of Matthew and Mark, not natural to a fabulist or impostor, nor easily imitated. You have in the Gospels a plain story without ornament or embellishment; without any observations of their own, either on one side or the other; without a single encomium upon Christ or his Apostles, from beginning to end; without any direct defence or recommendation, any excuse or apology, for him whatever. They relate the fact straight forward, without any attempt to procure credit to it, or any concern whether it would tell for or against them; that is, they write as men convinced themselves, and who suppose nothing more necessary to convince others than the bare narration of the fact.

II. Add to this the extreme naturalness of some of the things they mention; as, for instance, Mark ix. 24. Of the same nature is the eagerness of the people to introduce Christ into Jerusalem, Matt. xxi. 8, 9, and their rage against him when he did not turn out what they expected, Matt. xxvii. 17—26. The Rulers and Pharisees generally rejecting Christ, whilst many of the common people received him, and their reflection upon it, John vii. 48. The women in particular following Paul, Acts xvi. 13. xvii. 12. The winds and the waves subsiding together, Matt. viii. 26. The blind man, upon being restored to sight, seeing men as trees walking, Mark viii. 24. The behaviour of the blind man, John ix. The conduct of Gallio, Acts xviii. 12—17. Of Festus, xxv. 18, 19. Paul's violence and zeal, first against, then for Christianity. The woman of Samaria crying out to her fellow-citizens, "come, see a man which told me all things that ever I did," John iv. 29. The lawyer's quibble, "who is my neighbour?" Luke x. 29. The twelve baskets, i. e. of the twelve Apostles*.

III. The discourses of Christ alluding always to particular incidents, the most unlikely way in the world for a forger or fabulist to attempt,

* Law's Life of Christ.

and the most difficult to keep up, if he had to supply all the materials, both the incidents and observations upon them, out of his own head. They would have made for him discourses exhorting to virtue and dissuading from vice in general terms: it would never have entered their thoughts to have crowded together such a number of objections to time, place, and other little circumstances as occur, for instance, in the sermon on the mount, and which nothing but the actual presence of the objects could suggest.

LIFE AND CHARACTER OF CHRIST.

In the first place, Christ was absolutely innocent: we do not find a single vice to which he was addicted, either from the accounts of his own followers, or as charged upon him by his enemies: we hear nothing like what is told of Mahomet, of his wives and concubines; nothing of his falling, like Socrates and Plato, into the fashionable vices of his country. In the next place, his whole life, that part of it at least which we are acquainted with, was employed in doing good, in substantial acts of kindness and compassion to all those who fell in his way, i. e. in solid virtue. In his youth he set an example of subjection and obedience to his parents, Luke ii. 51. By his presence of mind and judicious replies, whenever ensnaring questions were proposed to him, he testified the coolness and soundness of his understanding, Matt. xxi. 24. xxii. 16. xxx. 37. By avoiding all danger when he could do it consistently with his duty, and resolutely encountering the greatest, when his hour was come, i. e. when his own office or the destination of Providence made it necessary, he proved the sedateness of his courage in opposition to that which is produced by passion and enthusiasm, Matt. xii. 14, 15. xiv. 12, 13. John iv. 1—3. Compared with Matt. xv. 17—19.—by his patience and forbearance, when he had the means of revenge in his power, he taught us the proper treatment of our enemies, Luke ix. 54. Matt. xxvi. 53. compared with Luke xxiii. 34.—by his withdrawing himself from the populace and repelling their attempts to make him a king, he showed us the sense we ought to entertain of popular clamour and applause, John vi. 15.—by his laying hold of every opportunity to instruct his followers, and taking so much pains to inculcate his precepts, he left us a pattern of industry and zeal in our profession;—by the liberty he took with the Pharisees and Sadducees, the Lawyers and Scribes, in exposing their hypocrisy, their errors and corruptions, he taught us fortitude in the discharge of our duty, Matt. xxiii. Luke xi. 54.—he spared neither the faults of his friends, nor the vices of his enemies;—by his indifference and unconcern about his own accommodation and appearance, the in-

terests of his family and fortune, he condemned all worldly-mindedness, Matt. viii. 20. xii. 46—50. John iv. 34. He was perfectly sober and rational in his devotions, as witness the Lord's Prayer compared with any of the compositions of modern enthusiasts. His admirable discourses before his death are specimens of inimitable tenderness and affection towards his followers, John xiv. xv. xvi. xvii. His quiet submission to death, though even the prospect of it was terrible to him, exhibits a complete pattern of resignation and acquiescence in the divine will, John xxii. 41—44.

And to crown all, his example was practicable, and suited to the condition of human life. He did not like Rousseau call upon mankind to return back into a state of nature, or calculate his precepts for such a state. He did not with the monk and the hermit run into caves and cloisters, or suppose men could make themselves more acceptable to God by keeping out of the way of one another. He did not, with some of the most eminent of the Stoics, command his followers to throw their wealth into the sea, nor with the eastern Faquirs, to inflict upon themselves any tedious gloomy penances, or extravagant mortifications. He did not, what is the sure companion of enthusiasm, affect singularity in his behaviour; he dressed, he ate, he conversed like other people; he accepted their invitations, was a guest at their feasts, frequented their synagogues, and went up to Jerusalem at their great festival. He supposed his disciples to follow some professions, to be soldiers, tax-gatherers, fishermen; to marry wives, pay taxes, submit to magistrates, to carry on their usual business; and when they could be spared from his service, to return again to their respective callings*. Upon the whole, if the account which is given of Christ in Scripture be a just one,—if there was really such a person, how could he be an impostor?—if there was no such person, how came the illiterate Evangelists to hit off such a character, and that without any visible design of drawing any character at all?

THE ORIGINALITY OF CHRIST'S CHARACTER.

That is, the improbability of any one, whether enthusiast or impostor, fancying or pretending himself to be such a person as Christ professed himself to be.

* The like did his forerunner, John the Baptist. When the publicans and soldiers, people of the two most obnoxious professions in that age and country, asked John what they were to do, John does not require them to quit their occupations, but to beware of the vices, and perform the duties of them; which also is to be understood as the Baptist's own explanation of that *μετανοια εις αφεσιν αμαρτιων* to which he called his countrymen.

The Jews understood their prophecies of a temporal prince, and therefore whoever set himself up as the object of these prophecies would most naturally fall upon the sense in which they were generally understood; and this was the fact, for the false Christs, or pretended Messiahs, of which there have appeared so many, did assume that character.

Had he taken upon him the character merely of a prophet, like Isaiah, Jeremiah, &c. it would not have been unnatural, and he had examples before him.

Had he trod in the steps of the old philosophers, the rabbis or teachers of wisdom among the Jews, one would not have wondered.

But here he produces himself as a being of a different and superior nature to the rest of the species, as immediately and peculiarly connected with God himself, as invested with the government of the world, as the person who is to raise us up at the last day, and the appointed judge of all mankind, a character which there was no precedent to suggest, no example to hint, nothing to make him imagine it; besides it never would have entered into the head of a Jew either to decry the ceremonial institution of Moses, or be for setting mankind on a level with themselves, as there was not a man of them who did not stickle for the distinction and superiority of their nation.

It was about as unlikely as that a Chinese mandarin should imagine himself inspired by the Holy Ghost, or a native of Otaheite that he was possessed by the devil.

INSTANCES OF DISINTERESTEDNESS IN CHRIST AND HIS APOSTLES.

Christ's refusing to be made a king, John vi. 15. or to interfere in their civil concerns, Luke xii. 13, 14. forbidding his disciples to make a profit of their miracles, Matt. x. 8. Peter and John accordingly refusing money with the utmost indignation, Acts viii. 20. Christ's authorizing them only to require a subsistence from their converts, Luke x. 3—7. Some of them declining even this, 2 Cor. xii. 14. 1 Thess. ii. 9. 2 Cor. xvii. 18.; but especially, Acts xx. 33, 34. their invectives against those who made a gain of their converts, 2 Pet. ii. 3. The apostles declining the receipt and management of their public funds and collections, Acts vi. 2, 3.

Instances of their humility and freedom from vanity.

Peter and John disowning any power in themselves to work miracles, Acts iii. 12. Paul and Barnabas repelling the adoration of the Lycaonians, Acts xiv. 14. Paul's account of himself and apostles, 1 Cor. iii. 4, 5, 6.

Needless difficulties, Matt. xxiii. 39.

References to circumstances omitted by themselves mentioned by the other evangelists, Matt. xxvi. 61.

THE MORALITY OF THE GOSPEL.

Not beyond what might be discovered by reason, nor possibly could be, because all morality being founded in relations and consequences, which we experience and are acquainted with, must depend upon reasons intelligible to our understanding, and discoverable by us.

N. B. The case is different in points of faith, especially what relates to distant orders of beings.

Nor perhaps, except in a few instances, beyond what may be collected from the scattered precepts of different philosophers.

To put together all the wise and good precepts of all the different philosophers, to separate and lay aside all the error, immorality and superstition that is mixed with them, would have been a great work; but that a single person without any assistance from those philosophers, or any human learning whatever, in direct opposition also to the established practice and maxims of his own country, should hit off a system so unblamable on the one hand, and so perfect on the other, is extraordinary beyond belief, and yet must be believed by those who hold Christ to have been an impostor or enthusiast.

I. The forgiveness of injuries and enemies,—absolutely original.

“Ye have heard that it hath been said, thou shalt love thy neighbour and hate thine enemy; but I say unto you, love your enemies, bless them that curse you, do good to them that hate you, and pray for them which despitefully use you and persecute you, that ye may be the children of your Father which is in heaven; for he maketh his sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust.”
Matt. v. 43—45.

“If ye forgive men their trespasses, your heavenly Father will also forgive you; but if ye forgive not men their trespasses, neither will your heavenly Father forgive you.” Matt. vi. 14, 15.

“Then came Peter unto him, and said, Lord, how oft shall my brother sin against me, and I forgive him? till seven times? Jesus saith unto him, I say not unto thee until seven times, but until seventy times seven: therefore (i. e. in this respect) is the kingdom of heaven likened unto a certain king which would take account of his servants; and when he had begun to reckon, one was brought unto him which owed him ten thousand talents; but, for as much as he had not to pay, his lord commanded him to be sold, and his wife and children and all that he had, and payment to be made: the servant therefore fell down, and worshipped him, saying, lord, have patience with me, and I will pay thee all. Then the lord of that servant was moved with compassion, and loosed him, and forgave him the debt. But the same servant went out, and found one of his fellow-servants, which owed him an hundred pence; and he laid hands on him, and took him by the throat, saying, pay me that thou

owest; and his fellow-servant fell down at his feet, and besought him, saying, have patience with me, and I will pay thee all; and he would not, but went and cast him into prison, till he should pay the debt. So when his fellow-servants saw what was done, they were very sorry, and came and told unto their lord all that was done. Then his lord, after that he had called him, said unto him, O thou wicked servant, I forgave thee all that debt, because thou desiredst me: shouldst not thou also have had compassion on thy fellow-servant, even as I had pity on thee? And his lord was wroth, and delivered him to the tormentors, till he should pay all that was due unto him; so likewise shall my heavenly Father do also unto you, if ye from your hearts forgive not every one his brother their trespasses." Matt. xviii. 15—21, *ad fin.*

"And when ye stand praying, forgive, if ye have ought against any; that your Father also, which is in heaven, may forgive you your trespasses." Mark xi. 25, 26.

"Love ye your enemies, and do good, and lend, hoping for nothing again, and your reward shall be great, and ye shall be the children of the Highest, for he is kind unto the unthankful and to the evil." Luke vi. 27—35.

"And when they were come to the place, which is called Calvary, there they crucified him, and the malefactors, one on the right hand, and the other on the left: then said Jesus, Father forgive them, for they know not what they do." Luke xxiii. 33, 34.

II. The universality of benevolence without distinction of country or religion.

"They went, and entered into a village of the Samaritans to make ready for him, and they did not receive him, because his face was as though he would go to Jerusalem; and when his disciples James and John saw this, they said, Lord, wilt thou, that we command fire to come down from heaven and consume them, even as Elias did? But he turned and rebuked them, and said, ye know not what manner of spirit ye are of." Luke ix. 51—56.

"The Jewish lawyer, willing to justify himself, said unto Jesus, and who is my neighbour? And Jesus answering said, A certain man went down from Jerusalem to Jericho, and fell among thieves, which stripped him of his raiment, and wounded him, and departed leaving him half dead; and by chance there came down a certain priest that way, and when he saw him, he passed by on the other side; and likewise a Levite, when he was at the place, came and looked on him, and passed by on the other side; but a certain Samaritan, as he journeyed, came where he was, and when he saw him he had compassion on him, and went to him, and bound up his wounds, pouring in oil and wine, and set him on his own beast, and brought him to an inn, and took care of him; and on the morrow, when he departed, he took out two pence, and gave them to the host, and said, take care of him, and whatsoever thou spendest more, when I come again,

I will repay thee: which now, of these three, thinkest thou, was neighbour to him that fell among the thieves? And he said, he that shewed mercy on him. Then said Jesus unto him, go and do thou likewise." Luke x. 29—37. xvii. 3, 4.

III. The inferiority and subordination of the ceremonial to the moral law.

"Leave thy gift before the altar; and go thy way, first be reconciled to thy brother, and then come and offer thy gift." Matt. v. 23.

"If ye had known what this meaneth, I will have mercy and not sacrifice, ye would not have condemned the guiltless." Matt. xii. 7—12.

"And behold there was a man which had his hand withered; and they asked him, saying, is it lawful to heal on the sabbath days? that they might accuse him. And he said unto them, what man shall there be among you, that shall have one sheep, and if it fall into a pit on the sabbath day, will he not lay hold on it and lift it out? How much then is a man better than a sheep? Wherefore it is lawful to do well on the sabbath days." Matt. xii. 11.

"Not that which goeth into the mouth defileth the man; but that which cometh out of the mouth, this defileth a man—those things which proceed out of the mouth come forth from the heart, and they defile the man; for out of the heart proceed evil thoughts, murders, adulteries, fornications, thefts, false witnesses, blasphemies: these are the things which defile a man; but to eat with unwashen hands defileth not a man." Matt. xv. 11, 18—20.

"Woe unto you Scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites, for ye pay tithes of mint and anise and cummin, and have omitted the weightier matters of the law, justice, mercy, and faith (fidelity): these ought ye to have done, and not to leave the others undone."

"Ye make clean the outside of the cup and of the platter, but within they are full of extortion and excess. Thou blind Pharisee, cleanse first that which is within the cup and platter, that the outside of them may be clean also." Matt. xxiii. 23, 25, 26. Mark vii. 2—13.

"And the Scribes said unto him, well, master, thou hast said the truth, for there is one God, and there is none other but he, and to love him with all the heart, and with all the understanding, and with all the soul, and with all the strength, and to love his neighbour as himself, is more than whole burnt offerings and sacrifices: and when Jesus saw that he answered discreetly, he said unto him, thou art not far from the kingdom of God." Mark xii. 32—34. Luke vi. 9. Matt. ix. 11.

IV. The condemning of spiritual pride and ostentation.

"Take heed that ye do not your alms before men, to be seen of them; otherwise ye shall have no reward of your Father which is in heaven: therefore when thou doest thine alms, do not sound a trumpet before thee, as the hypocrites do, in the synagogues and in the streets, that they may have glory of men; verily I say unto you, they have their reward. But when thou doest alms, let not thy left hand know what thy right hand

doeth, that thine alms may be in secret ; and thy Father, which seeth in secret, himself shall reward thee openly. And when thou prayest, thou shalt not be as the hypocrites are ; for they love to pray, standing in the synagogues and in the corners of the streets, that they may be seen of men ; verily I say unto you, they have their reward. But thou, when thou prayest, enter into thy closet, and, when thou hast shut thy door, pray to thy Father which is in secret ; and thy Father which seeth in secret shall reward thee openly. Moreover when ye fast, be not as the hypocrites, of a sad countenance ; for they disfigure their faces, that they may appear unto men to fast ; verily I say unto you, they have their reward : but thou, when thou fastest, anoint thine head and wash thy face, that thou appear not unto men to fast, but unto thy Father which is in secret ; and thy Father, which seeth in secret, shall reward thee openly." Matt. vi. 1—6. 16—18.

" All their works they do for to be seen of men : they make broad their phylacteries, and enlarge the borders of their garments, and love the uppermost rooms at feasts, and the chief seats in the synagogues, and greetings in the markets, and to be called of men Rabbi, rabbi." Matt. xxiii. 5—7.

" And he spake this parable unto certain which trusted in themselves that they were righteous, and despised others. Two men went up into the temple to pray, the one a pharisee, and the other a publican ; the pharisee stood and prayed thus with himself, God, I thank thee, that I am not as other men are, extortioners, unjust, adulterers, or even as this publican ; I fast twice in the week, I give tithes of all that I possess. And the publican standing afar off, would not lift up so much as his eyes unto heaven, but smote upon his breast, saying, God be merciful to me a sinner. I tell you this man went down to his house justified rather than the other ; for every one that exalteth himself shall be abased ; and he that humbleth himself shall be exalted." Luke xviii. 9—14.

V. Restraining the licentiousness of divorces.

" The pharisees came unto him tempting him, and saying unto him, is it lawful for a man to put away his wife for every cause ? And he answered and said unto them, Have ye not read, that he which made them at the beginning, made them male and female ; and said, for this cause shall a man leave father and mother, and shall cleave to his wife, and they twain shall be one flesh ? wherefore they are no more twain but one flesh ; what therefore God hath joined together, let not man put asunder. They say unto him, why did Moses then command to give a writing of divorcement, and to put her away ? He saith unto them, Moses, because of the hardness of your hearts, suffered you to put away your wives, but from the beginning it was not so ; and I say unto you, whosoever shall put away his wife, except it be for fornication, and shall marry another, committeth adultery ; and whoso marrieth her which is put away doth commit adultery." Matt. xix. 3—9.

N. B. These four last articles were in direct opposition to the established practice and opinions of our Saviour's own country.

VI. The separation of civil authority from authority in matters of conscience and religion—perfectly new.

“ Then saith he unto them, Render unto Cæsar the things which are Cæsar's, and unto God the things that are God's.” Matt. xxii. 21.

“ And one of the company said unto him, Master, speak to my brother, that he divide the inheritance with me. And he said unto him, Man, who made me a judge or a divider over you?” Luke xii. 13, 14.

He said unto the woman (caught in adultery), “ Where are those thine accusers? hath no man condemned thee? (*i. e.* judicially; for the woman's answer was not true in any other sense), she said, No man, Lord: and Jesus said unto her, neither do I condemn thee (*i. e.* in the same sense, or as a judge).” John viii. 11—33—36.

VII. The purity and simplicity of the worship which he prescribes.

“ When ye pray, use not vain repetitions as the heathen do; for they think that they shall be heard for their much speaking. Be not ye therefore like unto them; for your Father knoweth what things ye have need of before you ask him: after this manner therefore pray ye, Our Father,” &c. Matt. vi. 7—9.

“ The hour cometh, and now is, when the true worshippers shall worship the Father in spirit and in truth, for the Father seeketh such to worship him. God is a spirit, and they that worship him must worship him in spirit and in truth.” John iv. 23, 24.

VIII. The acceptance of the intention.

“ And Jesus sat over against the treasury (*i. e.* for pious uses), and beheld how the people cast money into the treasury; and many that were rich cast in much; and there came a certain poor widow, and she threw in two mites, which make a farthing; and he called unto him his disciples, and saith unto them, Verily I say unto you, that this poor widow hath cast more in than all they which have cast into the treasury, for all they did cast in of their abundance; but she of her want did cast in all that she had, even all her living.” Mark xii. 41—44.

IX. The extension of morality to the regulation of the thoughts.

“ I say unto you, that whosoever looketh on a woman to lust after her, hath committed adultery with her already in his heart.” Matt. v. 28.

“ Out of the heart proceed evil thoughts, &c.—these are the things which defile a man.” Matt. xv. 18, 19.

X. The demand of duty from mankind being in proportion to their ability and opportunities.

“ That servant which knew his lord's will, and prepared not himself, neither did according to his will, shall be beaten with many stripes; but he that knew not, and did commit things worthy of stripes, shall be beaten with few stripes: for unto whomsoever much is given, of him

shall be much required; and (*i. e.* as) to whom men have committed much, of him they will ask the more." Luke xii. 47, 48.

XI. The invitations to repentance.

"Then drew near unto him all the publicans and sinners for to hear him; and the pharisees and scribes murmured, saying, this man receiveth sinners and eateth with them; and he spake this parable unto them, saying, what man of you, having an hundred sheep, if he lose one of them, doth not leave the ninety and nine in the wilderness, and go after that which is lost till he find it? and when he hath found it, he layeth it on his shoulders rejoicing; and when he cometh home, he calleth together his friends and neighbours, saying unto them, rejoice with me, for I have found my sheep which was lost. I say unto you, that likewise joy shall be in heaven over one sinner that repenteth, more than over ninety and nine just persons which need no repentance." Luke xv. 1—7.

"And he said, (*i. e.* upon the same occasion), A certain man had two sons; and the younger of them said to his father, father, give me the portion of goods that falleth to me; and he divided unto them his living: and not many days after the younger son gathered all together, and took his journey into a far country, and there wasted his substance with riotous living; and when he had spent all, there arose a mighty famine in that land, and he began to be in want; and he went and joined himself to a citizen of that country, and he sent him into his fields to feed swine, and he would fain have filled his belly with the husks that the swine did eat, and no man gave unto him; and when he came unto himself, he said, how many hired servants of my father have bread enough and to spare, and I perish with hunger? I will arise and go to my father, and say unto him, father, I have sinned against heaven, and before thee, and am no more worthy to be called thy son; make me as one of thy hired servants. And he arose and came to his father; but when he was yet a great way off, his father saw him, and had compassion, and ran and fell on his neck and kissed him: and the son said unto him, father, I have sinned against heaven, and in thy sight, and am no more worthy to be called thy son: but the father said to his servants, bring forth the best robe, and put it on him, and put a ring on his hand, and shoes on his feet; and bring hither the fatted calf and kill it, and let us eat and be merry, for this my son was dead, and is alive again; he was lost, and is found." Luke xv. 1—24.

The Gospel maxims of "loving our neighbour as ourselves," and "doing as we would be done by," are much superior rules of life to the *το πραειον* of the Greek, or *honestum* of the Latin moralists, in forming ideas of which people put in or left out just what they pleased: and better also than the *utile* or general expediency of the moderns, which few can estimate. As motives also, or principles of action, they are much safer than either the *love of our country*, which has oftentimes been destructive to

the rest of the world ; or *friendship*, the almost constant source of partiality and injustice.

His manner also of teaching was infinitely more affecting than theirs ; as may be known, by comparing what we feel, when we rise up from reading the parables of the good Samaritan, Luke x. ; the Pharisee and Publican, Luke xviii. ; the servant who when he was forgiven by his master, would not forgive his fellow-servant, Matt. xviii. ; the Prodigal Son, Luke xv. ; the rich man who laid up his stores, Luke xii. ; by comparing, I say, these with any thing excited in us on reading Tully's Offices, Aristotle's Ethics, or Seneca's Moral Dissertations.

No heathen moralist ever opposed himself as Christ did to the prevailing vices and corruption of his own time and country. Matt. v. vi. vii. xxii. Luke xix. xx. xxi. The sports of the gladiators, unnatural lust, and licentiousness of divorce, the exposing of infants and slaves, procuring abortions, public establishments of stews, all subsisted at Rome, and not one of them condemned or hinted at in Tully's Offices. The most indecent revellings, drunkenness, and lewdness practised at the feasts of Bacchus, Ceres, and Cybele, and their greatest philosophers never remonstrated against it.

The heathen philosophers, though they have advanced fine sayings and sublime precepts in some points of morality, have grossly failed in others ; such as the toleration and encouragement of revenge, slavery, unnatural lust, fornication, suicide, &c. &c. *E. g.*

Plato expressly allowed of excessive drinking at the festival of Bacchus. Maximus Tyrius forbid to pray.

Socrates directs his hearers to consider the Greeks as brethren, but barbarians as natural enemies.

Aristotle maintained that nature intended barbarians to be slaves.

The Stoics held that all crimes were equal.

Plato, Cicero, Epictetus, all allow and advise men to continue the idolatry of their ancestors.

Aristotle and Cicero both speak of the forgiveness of injuries as meanness and pusillanimity.

These are trifles to what follows.

Aristotle* and Plato both direct that means *should be used* to prevent weak children being brought up.

Cato commends a young man for frequenting the stews.

Cicero speaks expressly of fornication as a thing never found fault with.

Plato recommends a community of women ; also advises that soldiers should not be restrained from sensual indulgences, even the most unnatural species of it.

Xenophon relates, without any marks of reprobation, that unnatural lust was encouraged by the laws of several Grecian states.

* See Dr. Priestley's Institutes of Natural and Revealed Religion.

Solon, their great lawgiver, forbad it only to slaves.

Diogenes inculcated and openly practised the most brutal lust.

Zeno the founder, and Cato the ornament, of the stoic philosophy, both killed themselves.

Lastly, the idea which the Christian Scriptures exhibit of the Deity is, in many respects, different from the notion that was then entertained of him, but perfectly consonant to the best information we have of his nature and attributes, from reason and the appearance of the universe. The Scripture describes him as one, wise, powerful, spiritual, and omnipresent; as placable and impartial; as abounding in affection towards his creatures, overruling by his providence the concerns of mankind in this world, and designing to compensate their sufferings, reward their merit, and punish their crimes in another. The foregoing instructions, both with regard to God and to morality, appear also without any traces of either learning or study. No set proofs, no formal arguments, no regular deduction or investigation by which such conclusion could be derived—the very different state likewise of learning and inquiry in Judea and other countries, and the vast superiority of this to any other system of religion,—all these circumstances show that the authors of it must have had some sources of information which the others had not.

SUITABLENESS OF THE FOUR GOSPELS TO THE SITUATIONS OF THEIR REPUTED AUTHORS.

Matthew and John, both apostles and companions of Christ, St. Luke only a proselyte of Antioch, who gathered his account from different eye-witnesses: accordingly we find St. Matthew and St. John's history nearly exact in the order of time, St. Luke's not.—St. Matthew, only converted after Christ came into Galilee, Matt. iv. 12, John iv. 1, and therefore has omitted what passed as to Christ's preaching before St. John, who was with him all the while, has supplied this omission in his first three chapters.

Now this suitableness is a circumstance of so subtle and recluse a nature, that the author of a fiction or forgery would never have thought of providing against it.

THE SEEMING CONTRADICTIONS AND VARIATIONS, BUT REAL AGREEMENT, BETWEEN THE DIFFERENT GOSPELS.

These contradictions and variations, whether seeming or real, prove thus much, that they did not concert their story with one another, or lay their heads together to make it up—their agreement in the main, and in

the substance of the history, proves that they must have had some foundation of truth and fact to go upon ; it being morally impossible that four people should feign or forge these stories independent of one another, and their fictions concur and harmonize so well together. Suppose you and I, one living at Paris the other at London, and without any communication betwixt us, should take it into our heads to frame out of our own imagination the history of a reformer, is it possible that our stories when they came out should be of a piece or hang together as the Gospels do ?

The truth is, the account given of our Saviour's life by the different Evangelists is just such an account as different witnesses in courts of justice, if they are honest and independent of one another, generally give of the same transaction ; some real variation in their story, many seeming ones, but an agreement in the substance and principal facts of the narrative.

Add to this, that if there be any seeming differences, which upon farther examination are reconcileable and turn out real agreements, it goes still farther to the credit of the evidence. These seeming differences prove that they had no scheme or combination to deceive, and it is the merest chance in the world that these differences should be cleared up and made consistent, if they had no foundation of truth to proceed upon.

Instances of such seeming Contradictions, which upon a nearer view admit of being reconciled.

1. The hour of Christ's crucifixion, Matt. xxvii. 45, compared with John, xix. 14.—Matthew computed his hours in the Jewish fashion from six o'clock in the morning, John in the Roman way, from twelve o'clock at night.

2. The manner of Judas's death, Matt. xxvii. 5, compared with Acts, i. 18.—Judas might hang himself, as Matthew relates, and the body fall down and burst, according to the Acts.

3. The thieves rebuking Christ, Matt. xxvii. 44, when it could only be one of them, Luke, xxiii. 43.—Matthew says, the thieves cast the same in his teeth, when only one of them did so, just as we say a man is insulted by the mob, though perhaps only one or two of them speak to him.

4. The call of Andrew, Matt. iv. 19, compared with John, i. 40.—The call or invitation in John previous to that in Matthew, which also accounts for their so readily following him in Matthew.

5. Christ bearing his own cross, John, xix. 17 ; laid upon Simon, a Cyrenian, Matt. xxvii. 32.—Laid upon Christ first, as John relates, probably upon his fainting on the way, or being unable to bear it, they seized upon Simon.

6. John called Elias, Matt. xi. 14, not Elias John, i. 21.—Was Elias

in Christ's sense, *i. e.* the person whom Malachi foretold by that name—not Elias in the sense of the question, *i. e.* not the old prophet restored to life.

7. The different order of time in which Matthew and Luke relate several events.

N. B. This argument holds equally of St. Paul's Epistles compared with the Acts of the Apostles, and with one another.

Another singular agreement is the similarity of style, *i. e.* the speaking "*pro re natâ*," preserved in our Saviour's discourses throughout all the Evangelists,—nothing of it in the speeches recorded in the Acts or in any of St. Paul's epistles: when different profane historians, as Tacitus, Livy, Sallust, &c. have put speeches into the mouth of the same person; there is no sameness or similarity of style whatever, the several speeches follow the style of the several historians that record them.

Sects and Opinions.

Pharisees and Sadducees, the existence and opposition of these sects, Acts, xxiii. 6—10; the supernumerary traditions of the Pharisees, Mark, viii. 34; their influence with the people; Pharisees holding, Sadducees denying a resurrection, Acts, xxiii. 6; both sharing in public offices, Acts, v. 17; all these particulars to be found in Josephus; Samaritans; the sanctity of mount Gerizim, John, iv. 20; the hatred betwixt them and the Jews, John, viii. 48; road from Galilee to Jerusalem leads through Samaria, John, iv. 3, 4; an instance also of the ill-treatment of the Jews similar to that of Christ, Luke, ix. 51; all mentioned by Josephus; as also the general expectation among the Jews of a temporal prince, Luke, iii. 15, Matt. xxi. 8, 9.

Customs and Manners.

Oratorios and prayers by the sea or river side, Jos. Tertullian, Philo; libertines, *i. e.* Jews, freedmen of Rome, Acts, vi. 9, Tacitus; zeal in making proselytes, Matt. xxiii. 15, Hor.

—Ac veluti te

Judæi cogimus in hanc concedere turbam.

The corruption of manners in Judæa, Matt. xii. 39, John, v. 44; "nor was there ever," says Josephus, "from the beginning of the world a time more fruitful of wickedness than that was."—The custom of rending their clothes, Matt. xxvi. 65, 1 Macc. xi. 71; also Maimonides says, that "when witnesses speak out the blasphemy which they have heard, all who hear the blasphemy are bound to rend their clothes,"—*αρχιερειου* in the plural, Mark, xiv. 53, Jos.; the Roman governor present at the passover, Matt. xxvii. 2, Jos.; titles set up over the malefactor, 1 John, xix. 19, 20,

customary with the Romans, Suet. Dio.; scourging before they put them to death, Matt. xxvii. 26, Livy, Jos.; compelling the malefactor to carry his cross, John, xix. 16, 17, Plutarch; crucified without the city, Num. xv. 35; burial of malefactors generally allowed by the Roman governors upon petition, Matt. xxvii. 58; Paulus, a Roman lawyer; customary among the Jews to bury malefactors, Jos.; use of spices in burial, and in large quantities, John, xix. 19—40; Josephus' account of Herod's funeral; the fury of the Jews against the Christians in different places and times, and the moderation and lenity of the Roman governors at Thessalonica, Corinth, Ephesus, Judæa, Rome under Tiberius, Claudius, Nero, Acts, xvii. 59; xviii. 11—15; xix. 24—40; xxviii. 16; xxv. 13—27; the fury of the Jews attested by Justin Martyr, Dio.; the Roman custom of protecting men in the exercise of their religion, Livy, Cicero, Philo, Josephus; prodigious resort to Jerusalem at the feasts, and from all parts of the world, John, iv. 45; xii. 12, 13; Acts, ii. 5; Josephus mentions 270,000; also proselytes, *ibid.*; custom of frequenting the temple at the third and ninth hour, Acts, ii. 46, Jos.; their zeal for the temple, Acts, vi. 13; xvi. 28, 29, Philo, Jos.; synagogue worship, the reading of the law and discourses to the people, Luke, iv. 17—20; Acts, xv. 21; xiv. 1, Jos.; the Nazarite's vow, Acts, xviii. 18; xxi. 23, 24, Jos.; being a charge upon them as an act of devotion, that they might *shave their heads*, both the custom and expression of the Jews, Jos.; number of stripes, 2 Cor. xi. 24; Deut. xxv. 3, Jos.; private zeal, *i. e.* attempts of private persons to avenge their laws, Acts, xx. 3; xxiii. 10—15, Philo; Josephus relates a conspiracy of the like kind against Herod; tribute odious, Matt. xxii. 17, Jos.; and publicans, Luke, xix. 7, Cicero; yet Jews, Jos.; the custom of using torture, Acts, xxii. 24, 25, Suet., Tacitus; by scourging, *ibid. ibid.*; yet unlawful to scourge a Roman, Acts, xxii. 25—30, Cicero; the military commander which Lysias was, *ibid.*; had this authority, Jos.; that a Jew might be a Roman citizen, Acts, xxii. 26—29, Philo, Jos.; custom of purchasing this freedom, *ibid.*, Dio.; that it was the Roman custom to confront the offender with his accusers, Acts, xxv. 14—16, Cic. in Verrem; the manner of confining prisoners by chaining them to a soldier, Acts, xii. 6; xxviii. 16, Seneca; in the prisoner's own house, Acts, xxviii. 30, 31, Jos.; history of the imprisonment of Herod; appeals to Rome from the provinces, Acts, xxv. 10, Suetonius; especially from Judæa, Jos.; the præfect of the prætorian band, or captain of the guard, had the custody of prisoners, Acts, xxviii. 16, Pliny; the building of the temple, John, xviii. 20; continued from the 18th year of Herod's reign to A. D. 65; famine in Judæa in the time of Claudius, Acts, xi. 29, Jos.; the altar at Athens inscribed *αγνωστον θεω*, Acts, xvii. 23; Pausanias, Philostratus, Philopatris; Jews banished from Rome by Claudius, Acts, xviii. 2, Suetonius; whitened sepulchres, Matt. xxiii. 29, Shaw's Travels, p. 285.

Expressions in use among the Jews.

Titles of their Messiah, consolation of Israel, L. ii. 25; Chaldee paraphrase, E. 2, C. ii. 1; *διερχόμενος*, Matt. xi. 3, Jewish prayers; horn; branch, *αναπολη*, Luke, i. 69—78; Germen Davidis servi tui germinare fac cito et cornu ejus, *i. e.* Davidis exalta in salute, Jewish prayers; gates of hell, *i. e.* councils of hell, Matt. xvi. 18; metaphor from the Jewish custom of holding councils in the gates of their cities; having maids *ministras* at their meetings, Acts, xii. 13, Pliny; *αγαπαι*, love-feasts among the first Christians, 2 Pet. ii. 13; Jude v. 12, Pliny; Gamaliel, Acts, xxii. 3, mentioned in the Mishna (an ancient Jewish writing) as of great eminence in the law.

N. B. These agreements, many of them in *minute* circumstances; and it is in such chiefly that a forger or fabulist would have been caught tripping.

This exact concurrence of the Scripture with other histories, and in such numerous and minute circumstances, proves at least thus much, that the writers of Scripture were well acquainted with the history, policy, laws, religious opinions, manners, customs, geography, and dates of that age and country, and consequently must have lived in them or near them; and then it comes to this, whether it is likely that any person should publish a history of facts in the very age in which they are said to have happened, and many of them in the most public places and noted cities of the world, and call upon mankind to change their principles and practice upon the credit of these facts, when nothing of the kind had ever actually happened; and whether such a publication would not have been treated at the time as a manifest and ridiculous forgery.

It proves more, it proves that the history could not have been forged even by persons at the time, as no man's memory or knowledge is sufficient for such an adaptation of forged circumstances, especially where the mention of them is so incidental and apparently undesigned.

PROPHECIES WHICH SEEM MANIFESTLY AND DIRECTLY TO
RELATE TO CHRIST.

No. I.

Vid. Bishop Newton on Prophecy, vol. i. p. 85.

Gen. xlix. 10.

Here it is implied—1. that the sceptre should depart from the other tribes sooner.

2. that it should remain with the tribe of Judah till Shiloh came.

3. that it should then also depart from them.

- And the event was—1. that the ten tribes were extinguished in Assyria 500 years before Christ.
2. that the tribe of Judah continued a people and in possession of their country till Christ came.
 3. that they also were then destroyed, *i. e.* their nation and government demolished by the Romans.

No. II.

Vid. Kennicott's Sermon, 1765, Dodsley.

Isaiah vii. 10—16.

Which translate thus: "Hear ye now, O house of David, is it a small thing with you to weary men, but will ye weary my God also? nevertheless, Jehovah himself will give you a sign (or miracle).—Behold! a *virgin* shall conceive and bear a son, and call his name Immanuel: milk and honey shall he eat, till he shall know to refuse the evil and choose the good."

"But before this child," (pointing to his own son, who was with him, v. 3.) "shall know to refuse the evil and choose the good, the land that thou abhorrest shall be forsaken of both her kings."

No. III.

Vid. Bishop Chandler's Defence of Christianity.

Isaiah lii. 13. liii. 12.

N. B. This prophecy converted Lord Rochester: vid. Burnet's Life of him.

Note—v. 14, 15. in like manner, as many wonder at his obscure appearance, so shall they afterwards be astonished with his glory.

V. 8. "he was taken from prison and from judgment," render it, "he was taken off by authority and a judicial sentence," *i. e.* executed as a malefactor.

No. IV.

Vid. Chandler, p. 124.

Micah, v. 2.

Note—v. 4. instead of "therefore," render it, "nevertheless."

V. 5, 6. the future enemies of the Jews, described under the names of the Assyrian and Babylonian, their known enemies in Micah's time; just as Jerusalem is called Sodom and Gomorrah, Isa. i. 10.

No. V.

Vid. Chandler, p. 52.

Malachi, iii. 1—3.

Note—v. 1. two persons spoke of, 1st. “the messenger who shall prepare the way before me,” *i. e.* John the Baptist; 2dly. “the Lord whom ye seek, the messenger of the covenant whom ye delight in,” *i. e.* the Messiah himself, whom they so earnestly expected, and from whom they promised themselves so much.

Ibid. “shall *suddenly* come;” *i. e.* *suddenly* after the messenger that was to prepare his way.

No. VI.

Vid. Chandler, p. 71.

Haggai, ii. 6—9.

Note—v. 6. describes the civil commotions which should precede the coming of the Messiah, and which in fact took place and shook the Roman empire, from the death of Julius Cæsar to the birth of Christ.

V. 8. I value not the splendor of silver and gold.

No. VII.

Vid. Chandler, p. 109, or I. Newton on Daniel.

Daniel, ix. 24—27.

Here among other things it is foretold:

1. That after a certain period the most holy, the Messiah, the prince should appear.
2. That he should be cut off, *i. e.* put to death.
3. That some future and foreign nation should then destroy the city and the sanctuary.

All which was punctually fulfilled.

As to the periods here assigned it is on all hands, by Jews and Christians, agreed that the weeks are to be reckoned weeks, not of days but of years, *i. e.* seven years to a week, vid. Lev. xxv. 8; Dan. x. 3, instead of “*whole weeks*,” it is in the original weeks of days.

Now according to this way of reckoning, it was just 70 weeks, or 490 years, from the Jews being reincorporated into a people and a holy city, and the death of Christ, “whereby transgression should be finished and sins ended, iniquity be expiated, and everlasting righteousness brought in, and this vision be accomplished, and the prophecy consummated,” v. 24, Sir I. Newton’s Translation.

V. 27, "yet shall he confirm the covenant with many for one week," *i. e.* shall preach the covenant to the Jews for one week or seven years, which was the exact time from the death of Christ to the first calling of the Gentiles and rejection of the Jews.

V. 27, render it, "in half a week he shall cause the sacrifice and oblation to cease," *i. e.* by the Roman army, who in three years and a half, *i. e.* in half a week from the first invasion, destroyed the city and temple.

N. B. The Jews themselves applied all these prophecies, except No. II., to their Messiah.

PROPHECIES WHICH PROBABLY, THOUGH MORE OBSCURELY,
BELONG TO CHRIST.

Gen. xxii. 18. Deut. xviii. 15. compared with Deut. xxxiv. 9. Psalm ii.—cx. Isaiah ii. 1—5. ix. 1—7. xi. 1—10. xxix. 18—24. xxxv. 4—6. xl. 1—11. xlii. 1—9. xlix. 1—13. Jeremiah xxxi. 31—34. Daniel ii. 31—45. vii. 13, 14. Joel ii. 28. Zechariah ii. 10—13. ix. 9—11.

Commentariola upon some of the Prophecies above.

Psalm cx. translate "Jehovah said unto my Lord, sit thou on my right hand until I make thine enemies thy footstool.

"Jehovah shall send the rod of thy strength from Sion, that thou mayest rule in the midst of thy enemies.

"Thy people shall be zealous in the day of thy army, (shall shine) in the beauties of holiness: more than from the womb of the morning to thee shall be the dew of thy progeny.

"Jehovah hath sworn and will not repent, thou art a priest for ever after the order of Melchizedech.

"The Lord on thy right hand shall shake kings in the day of his indignation: he shall execute judgement in the nations with a great army: he shall shake the chief over the great land.

"He shall drink of the torrent (*i. e.* of afflictions) in the way; therefore shall his head be exalted." Vid. Sharpe's Defence of Christianity, p. 308.

Zechariah ix. 10, "and I will cut off the chariot from Ephraim," &c. *i. e.* I will establish his kingdom by other means than those of war.

Isaiah ix. 1, translate, "though he lightly afflicted the land of Zabulon and the land of Naphtali, he shall greatly honour her," &c. Mede.

Joel ii. 30, 31, relate to the destruction of Jerusalem.

CIRCUMSTANCES FORETOLD AND FULFILLED IN THE DESTRUCTION OF JERUSALEM.

Vid. Newton on Prophecy, vol. ii. p. 220.—Jortin on Ecclesiastical History, vol. i. p. 33.

Of the temple, "there shall not be left here one stone upon another that shall not be thrown down." Of the city, "they shall lay thee even with the ground, and shall not leave in thee one stone upon another." Luke xix. 44. The temple burnt to the ground against the inclination of Titus by the obstinacy of the Jews, who had fortified themselves in it. Titus, after it was burnt, ordered his soldiers to dig or plough up the foundations of the city and temple, which was executed, as to the temple, by Terentius Rufus. Vid. Josephus, Jewish Talmud.

"Many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ, and shall deceive many," mentioned as a sign of and consequently to precede the destruction of Jerusalem. Matt. xxiv. 4, 5; accordingly we find that before this destruction arose Dositheus a Samaritan, and Theudas; and in the reign of Nero, these impostors, *i. e.* pretended Messiahs, were so frequent, that many of them were apprehended and killed every day. Josephus.

"Wherefore if they shall say, behold he is in the *desert*, go not forth." Matt. xxiv. 26. Josephus informs us that many impostors and cheats persuaded men to follow them into the *desert*, where they promised to show wonders and signs. The Egyptian false prophet, mentioned by Josephus and the Acts, led into the desert 4000 that were murderers. Two others also of the same kind spoken of by Josephus.

As another sign, and consequently what was to precede this destruction, "ye shall hear of wars and rumours of wars, for nation shall rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom." Matt. xxiv. 6, 7. Christ most probably would refer to those wars and tumults in which the Jews, to whom he spoke, would be concerned, and we find, before the Romans entered Judæa, a dispute at Cæsarea between the Jews and Syrians, about the right of the city, in which 20,000 Jews were slain, upon which a general war broke out between them; so that every city, says Josephus, was divided into two armies; upon which occasion bloody contests broke out between the Jews and inhabitants at Scythopolis, in which fell 13,000 at Askelon, 25,000 at Tyre, at Gadara many, at Alexandria 50,000, at Damascus 10,000. About this time also the Jews of Peræa rose up against the inhabitants of Philadelphia, Jews and Galilæans against the Samaritans, and the whole nations of the Jews against Agrippa; and not only throughout Judæa there were sedition and civil wars, but in Italy also, where Otho and Vitellius were contending for the empire. Josephus.

"There shall be famines in divers places." Matt. xxiv. 7. Suetonius mentions a famine in the days of Claudius, alluded to Acts xi. 28; and

was so severe at Jerusalem, that Josephus says many perished for want of victuals.

And “pestilences,” *λιμον τε και λοιμον*, (the very words of Christ) imprecated upon the Jews, according to Josephus, by Niger, which, says he, God brought to pass upon the ungodly.

And “earthquakes in divers places.” An earthquake at Smyrna, Miletus, Chios, Samos, in Crete, mentioned by Philostratus; one at Laodicea in the reign of Nero, by Tacitus; in Campania, by Seneca; in Judæa, by Josephus.

“There shall be fearful sights and great signs from heaven.” Luke xxi. 11. Josephus relates that a comet hung over the city for a year, and that at the ninth hour of the night there shone a sudden light about the altar and the temple: several other prodigies mentioned by Josephus. Tacitus also adds, “*visæ per cœlum concurrere acies, rutilantia arma, et subito nubium igne collucere templum.*”

“This generation shall not pass till all these things be fulfilled.” Matt. xxiv. 34. The destruction of Jerusalem happened forty years after.

“Let them which be in Judæa flee to the mountains.” Matt. xxiv. 15. Many, says Josephus, when the Roman army advanced against Jerusalem, fled *εις την ορεινην*. Eusebius tells us that all who believed in Christ left Judæa, and removed to Pella.

CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH RECOMMEND TO OUR BELIEF THE
MIRACLES OF CHRIST AND HIS APOSTLES.

When they relate the miracle they mention the name, time, place, occasion, circumstances, the diseases that were cured, the persons healed or raised, the witnesses present, proceedings also and sentences of courts of justice in consequence of them, in cities of the first rank and of the greatest resort, and before persons of the highest distinction.

A forger or relater of falsehood could scarce have furnished out such a list of particulars, and would avoid it, as it is putting into his reader's hands the means of detecting him; thus you observe a great want of the particulars of time, place, and persons in Manetho's account of the Egyptian dynasties, Ctesias's of the Assyrian kings, and those which the chronologists give of the ancient kingdoms of Greece, and accordingly those accounts contain much fiction and falsehood; whereas Thucydides's History of the Peloponnesian War, and Cæsar's of the War in Gaul, in both which, like the Scriptures, these particulars abound, are universally allowed to be true and exact.

They were wrought, or professed to be wrought, before the public, Acts xxvi. 26, 1 Cor. xv. 6; in the synagogues and temple, before enemies and unbelievers, John ix. Acts iii. 9, 10.

In a learned age and civilised country, polite and best inhabited parts of the world.

Acknowledged by adversaries, who attributed them to evil spirits or magic.

At a time when men wanted neither power nor inclination to expose them if they were impostors, and were in no danger of being called atheists and heretics, of being insulted by the populace, hunted down by the clergy, or persecuted by the civil magistrate for ridiculing and deriding them.

Various and numerous.

Of a permanent nature, and might be reviewed and examined.

Had nothing in them fantastical and cruel, but were acts of kindness and beneficence.

Miracles had ceased long before Christ appeared, and therefore these pretensions would the more excite the attention of mankind.

Did in fact convert multitudes.

Were attested by proper witnesses.

For any men to publish such a history of such things as lately done, if not true, could have been only to expose themselves to an easy confutation and certain infamy. Suppose three or four books should now appear amongst us in the language most generally understood, giving an account of many remarkable and extraordinary events which had happened in some kingdom of Europe, and in the most noted cities of the country next adjoining to it, some betwixt sixty and seventy, others between twenty or thirty, others again within a very few years, and should call upon mankind to change their principles and practice upon the credit of these events, when nothing of the kind had ever actually happened—would they not be treated as a manifest and ridiculous forgery? Is it likely a design so wild and extravagant should enter into the head of any sober serious person in the world?

AN ADEQUATE OCCASION FOR THE MIRACLES.

Whether God did or did not interpose in establishing the Christian religion, is another question; but most certain it is, that it was worthy of him: it was agreeable to all the notions we entertain of his attributes, to show himself, to put forth his power, in behalf of a religion which was to set mankind right in a matter they had almost universally mistaken, and of the utmost consequence,—the character and unity of the being who governed them, which was to supersede paganism, and that looseness of manners which it produced or tolerated; and above all, was to give men surer and clearer hopes of a future state, than they either had or

could have from any faculties God had furnished them with, and upon which hopes the happiness and virtue of mankind so much depend—and a religion too, which, from the insuperable difficulties that stood in its way, could not have established itself without such assistance.

Infidels lay much stress on the natural improbability of the thing itself. I see little in that: if you once allow that there is a God who made and takes care of the world, it is the likeliest thing imaginable that he should give his creatures, who were capable of it, a rule of life, and establish it by suitable sanctions—and one does not see how this could be done otherwise than by miracle.

HUME'S OBJECTIONS.

Obj. 1st. That a miracle can never be made credible by any human testimony whatever, as it contradicts all our experience; that the miracle should be true, does not contradict experience that human testimony should be false.

A single instance will answer the objection.

It absolutely contradicts the experience of the Emperor of China, that water should become hard by cold; yet if twelve men should solemnly affirm they had seen it so; if they were men of good characters and exemplary lives,—if there was no discoverable temptation for them to tell a lie about the matter,—if it was impossible they could be mistaken,—if they were insulted and ill-treated for maintaining such a seeming absurdity, yet still persisted in it,—if the emperor called them before him, and charged them to have done with such nonsense, and they went on affirming it,—if he imprisoned, beat, banished them, and they neither varied nor retracted their story,—if he offered them their lives and liberty if they would confess it to be false, and, instead of confessing it, they insisted upon their assertions to the last,—the Emperor of China, I say, notwithstanding all his experience, and all the confidence that the most conceited man upon earth ever placed in his experience, must be a madman not to believe them.

Obj. 2d. That there are miracles supported by as good testimony as those of the Gospel, which yet we allow to be false—some of the miracles of popery, for instance; and consequently such testimony cannot be depended upon.

We deny that these miracles are built upon the like evidence that we have for those of Christ.

Vid. Campbell on Miracles

Douglas's Criterion.

There are two principal circumstances which extend to them all.

1st. That they taught men nothing different from what they believed before—called upon them to do nothing but what they had all along done—made no converts from one religion to another—and consequently men had neither the same reluctance to receive, nor the same reason to examine them, as those of Christ.

2dly. That they were supported by authority, or had on their side the established opinions and prejudice of those among whom they were wrought; insomuch that it was highly dangerous, not only to inquire, but hint a suspicion about them; the direct contrary of which was the case with the miracles of Christ. Hence we hear nothing of those miracles where they should be most wanting,—in protestant countries. No examples of miracles, properly attested, few indeed ever pretended to, by persons in circumstances at all similar to those of Christ; that is to say, among enemies, unbelievers—to make converts—in contradiction to the power and prejudices of the country; none, for instance, by any of the old reformers, the founders of the sects of the Waldenses and Albigenses; Wickliffe in England; Huss or Jerome in Bohemia; Luther in Germany; Zuinglius in Switzerland; Calvin in France; or the Anabaptists of Munster, though the most outrageous fanatics that ever appeared; nor even by Mahomet, with still greater opportunities.

3dly. No man ever gave up his time, traversed sea and land, quitted his profession, parted with his family and fortune, to publish them to the world—no man ever laid down his life, or even suffered persecution and distress in attestation of them.

Obj. 1. The Propagation of false Religions, especially the Success of Paganism and Mahometanism.

As to paganism, scarce deserving the name of religion—not the same, even as to the history or object of worship, hardly in any two countries of the world—no public, authentic, or generally received history of facts—no system of laws and precepts built upon them; that is to say, no miracles produced as connected with a system of doctrines, or as express vouchers for the truth of it—their mythology ridiculed and rejected by almost every man of learning and reflection among them—contained only in the writings of poets and fabulists, whose very profession was fiction, or in vague oral traditions. If their stories found a place in any serious history, it was generally some hundred years after the fact, evidently borrowed from one country to another, with additions and modifications of their own.

Their magic rites contemptible.

Nero was extravagantly fond of the art of magic, and sent for the most eminent professors of it from all parts of the world. The issue was, his own and the general conviction of the folly of their pretences.

As to Mahometanism,
Vid. Reland de Religione Mohammed.

Mahomet never pretended to miracles, and, consequently, whatever proof the Mahometans may have of their religion, it cannot be of the same nature as ours.

Again, Mahomet acknowledged Christ to be a prophet—contended that he was the *παράκλητος* whom Christ promised; so that Mahometanism at the most is only a heresy; it is still a species of Christianity.

Mahomet would find an easier reception in the world, as he did not call either upon Jews or Christians to deny or own the falsehood of their former religion—only made additions to it.

Mahomet was a soldier and a conqueror. Multitudes were invited to follow him by his successes, many forced into obedience, and more still dazzled by his victories—a very different case and character from that of Christ.

Mahomet's religion in some respects flattered the passions of the East, particularly in the allowance of polygamy, divorce, and the sensual pleasures of his paradise: and a cause which contributed as much as any to the success of Mahometanism was the corrupt state of Christianity when it made its appearance, *i. e.* A. D. 622. Most of the absurdities of the Romish church were by this time creeping into the Christian religion—absurdities, some of them which shocked and disgusted the common reason of mankind, and drove them to a religion which, though little else can be said for it, is simple and intelligible.

Mahometanism prevailed also in the darkest ages and countries in the world.

Obj. 2. The Rejection of Christ by Jews and Gentiles.

If we can account for this rejection consistently with the reality of the miracles, we answer the objection.

The Jews of Christ's time attributed his miracles to evil spirits, Matt. xii. 24, in which they were followed by the authors of the Talmud.

Exasperated against him by his reproofs, and the disappointment of their expectations. The character he assumed was directly contrary to what they had for ages believed their Messiah would be—contrary, as they thought, to the express declaration of many of their prophecies.

The Heathens ascribed his miracles to magic, as the Jews did to evil spirits, as appears from Porphyry, Julian, Celsus, Hierocles; too idle and unconcerned in general to inquire about it, regarding it as one of the many thousand various religions which subsisted in the world, and which the wiser sort treated as so many ridiculous superstitions.

The best of them acquiesced in the general persuasion that all they had to do was to practise the duties of morality, and to worship the Deity *more*

patrio—a persuasion which at once shut out all arguments for any new religion.

What a contempt do the great and rich men of rank, and fortune, and wit, and abilities, the generality of them, however, entertain for the Methodists! How difficult would a poor Methodist find it to gain access to such persons, to persuade them into his opinions, or even to obtain an equitable or impartial hearing, let him have what he would to offer! An infinitely greater contempt was there at that time of the teachers of Christianity, and a greater reluctance to be taught by them. They passed with the great men and philosophers of that time as so many madmen, babblers; their religion, as a superstition of their own concerning one Jesus, who was put to death, and whom they affirmed to be alive; and what much increased their contempt of Christianity was, that they reckoned it a species or sect of Judaism.

Obj. 5. The Silence of Josephus about Christ or his Religion.

This objection, if it proves any thing, proves too much; for it proves that there was no such person as Christ, no such religion as Christianity preached in the world, which is impossible, as well as contrary to the express testimony of all antiquity both sacred and profane.

This silence, therefore, of Josephus's, can only be accounted for by supposing it designed, *ex consulto*, from his resolving to say nothing upon a subject, which, perhaps, he doubted about, and did not know how to represent—from its reflecting too much upon the honour of his country, which he was extremely jealous of—or, lastly, for fear of giving offence or alarm to the Roman rulers, under whom he lived and wrote.

Obj. 6. The Number of Infidels in the World.

Vid. Le Clerc on Incredulity.

Now if we can account for the existence of so much infidelity, without supposing any want of reasonable evidence for religion, we answer the objection.

Causes, therefore, of unbelief are, first,

Vice.

Many infidels are, at the same time, men of loose and profligate lives, who begin at the wrong end; with the practice first, and then take up the principles. No argument in the world will ever persuade such men to part with their mistresses, their gaming, their revels; to give up their diversions, habit, company, conversation. It may look like scurrility to charge men in the lump with immorality, because they do not believe as we do; but if one considers the manners of high life; how repugnant

they in general are to the rules of the Gospel ; what a revolution of conduct, what a stripping-off of pleasure there must be, if you put them under the discipline of religion ; what ways and means they are put to to get or keep their places and honours ; and, consequently, what it would cost them to turn virtuous : and besides, what little leisure high life affords for reflection,—what few opportunities of information,—what slender inducements and extreme aversion they have to turn their thoughts upon a subject so melancholy and gloomy as religion appears to them to be ;—I say, if one lays all these considerations together, one may see that it is no small number of unbelievers who come under this class.

Over and above the invincible bias which vicious pleasures create against religion, it is also a certain though unaccountable effect of them to confuse and debilitate the understanding, so as to leave a man a proper judge scarce of any thing. Besides, a man, who, living up to the rules of Christianity, finds the good effects of them upon himself, has a species of evidence which those others want. John vii. 17.

Vanity.

Every man of science or distinction has a passion for lifting himself above the vulgar. Nothing so flattering as to fancy one's self placed upon an eminence, and looking down upon the errors and absurdities, and follies and foibles, and tricks and contrivances, of the rest of mankind. Now to believe religion is to believe and know no more than what the lowest person in the street knows in the main, and believes as well as we do : it is setting ourselves upon a level with carpenters, and tailors, and farmers, and mechanics ; with methodists, old women, and country parsons : whereas to see into it, and through it—to get as it were behind the scenes, and see mankind paying one another off, is infinitely gratifying to the conceit and ambition of the human mind.

Rashness.

A large tribe of infidels are your giddy, hasty young fellows, who, without information or inquiry about the matter, take up infidelity all of a sudden, upon the first difficulty they meet with, upon a single objection or two which they happen to hear (a ridiculous story, perhaps, of a forged miracle, without at all attending to the distinguishing circumstances), and when once they have avowed their disbelief of Christianity, it becomes a point of honour, as well as of obstinacy, to persist in it.

There are many such, whom, if you were to examine, you would find extremely quick and ready with their objections, but with very little knowledge either of the facts, or reasons, or answers on the other side of the question. A trifling objection, by being frequently urged, and advanced, and maintained, makes so great an impression upon the person

himself, that though at first he did not believe it, yet afterwards he will not be able to dispossess himself of it.

Company and Conversation.

Whatever arguments there may be on one side of a question, if it is a man's luck to mix with company and conversation which is for the most part on the other, it is great odds but he falls in with them. In our American disputes, there are surely arguments for the authority of Great Britain, which might, at least, suspend a person's judgement; yet in America itself, where all is said on one side, and nothing on the other, they are in no suspense about it. Perhaps there is as little to be said for jacobitism as for any one thing in the world; yet if a man be brought up in a jacobite neighbourhood, or associate much with jacobite acquaintance, ten out of twenty will be drawn into their principles. Now, with regard to religion, in the high and gay scenes of life especially, a man may go through the world, and never hear religion mentioned in company or conversation, but for the sake of a joke, or a gibe, or a scoff, or a sneer. It is rude and unfashionable to introduce religion, in order to defend, or even talk seriously about it; whereas nothing goes down better than strokes of raillery or ridicule against it, which is unfair. Of the same cast is the cry against the clergy, their hypocrisy, their desire to lead mankind in a string; their selfishness and slyness—charges which, whether just or unjust, have little to do with the truth of Christianity; yet when a man has taken them into his head, or hears them bandied about in almost every company he comes into, the religion itself, which comes to him through their hands as it were, is instantly turned out of doors as a juggle, a state trick, a piece of priestcraft.

The Tendency of particular Studies.

1. When a man has been long accustomed to absolute certainty and demonstration, moral and probable proofs make less impression upon him.

2. When a man has been long accustomed to rely upon one single argument for each proposition, he feels himself at a loss, and unsatisfied, for the want of such an argument, and is not so sensible of the force of united proofs.

Authority.

However infidels may pretend to be freethinkers, there are no people under the sun greater slaves to the opinions of others: not one half, nor a third of them, disbelieve Christianity for any reason they can give themselves, but because some acquaintance of theirs, that they have an opinion of, or some noted *fort d'esprit*, Voltaire, or Hume, or Lord Bolingbroke, disbelieved it.

Now, although it be the weakest and wildest way in the world to trust to other men's judgement in a matter, especially where so many better reasons and solid proofs may be had on one side, and so many prejudices and obstacles subsist on the other; yet to argue with unbelievers in their own way, we can confront them with names and authorities vastly superior to any they can produce.

To say nothing of the bulk of the community, both high and low, rich and poor, learned and simple, which for so many ages, and in so many countries, have believed Christianity;

To say nothing of the many great divines in our own church, dissenting communions, and protestant churches abroad, who have spent whole lives in the study of Christianity, and manifested as much acuteness and freedom in their researches as are to be found in any science whatever;

Not to mention these, what shall we say to such people as Newton, Locke, and Addison, laymen, under no temptation to dissemble, and who did not take their religion upon trust; but spent, each of them, many years in inquiring into it, and rose up from the inquiry fully and firmly persuaded of its truth?

The Corruption of Christianity

is the cause which has contributed, more than all the rest put together, to the making of infidels.

1. The many absurdities which several national churches have taken into their system, and which have no place nor foundation in the Scriptures; and the universal propensity in mankind to reject a whole system for the folly or falsehood of particular parts of it. This cause alone accounts for the many unbelievers to be found in popish countries. How should you get Voltaire or Rousseau, or people of sense and spirit, to believe Christianity, whilst they regard transubstantiation, the infallibility of the Pope, or the power of absolving sins, as so many parts of it?

2. Several lucrative tenets in some established systems, which induce the suspicion of craft and design in the whole; such as purgatory, prayers for the dead, the efficacy of offerings and donations to the church.

3. The placing Christianity upon wrong foundations. Thus Quakers and Methodists refer you for the proof of Christianity to the motion and witnessing of the Spirit in your own breast. Now a man who hears this, and can feel no such motions or witnessing, has nothing left for it but to turn infidel.

No sect of protestants is to be put upon a footing, as to the number or importance of their errors, with popery, which, first, denies to mankind the right of private judgement, thereby making religion no longer what it really is, a personal thing, but political; secondly, all whose institutions tend to place religion in mechanical performances instead of substantial virtues.

The contest with the presbyterians relates chiefly to church government, and the use of a liturgy.

The dispute concerning church government, *i. e.* whether it should be with or without bishops; formerly carried on with great heat, as each party would have it that his form was contained in Scripture; that therefore it was a matter of conscience and duty to stick to it.

But *now*, I believe, both sides are convinced that neither Christ nor his apostles enjoined any particular form of church government, as of universal obligation; but left each church in each country to regulate its government as it found expedient.

This being allowed, it will follow,

1. That that is the best form of church government which is most convenient, *i. e.* which conduces most to the edification of the people, which pleases them best, and suits with the circumstances and civil constitution of the country.

Thus episcopacy agrees better with monarchies, as it keeps up that subordination in the ecclesiastical, which subsists in the civil part of the constitution; and casts the clergy more into the hands of the prince, who, without some influence of that kind, would hardly be safe, or able to maintain his authority. On the other, presbytery, perhaps, is more eligible in a republic, as it favours and falls in with that spirit of equality and dislike of distinction, upon which spirit and dislike the very existence of a republic depends.

2. That a man may join with a church, though he be dissatisfied with and disapprove their form of government; just as a man may live under a state, though its civil constitution he thinks might be altered greatly for the better.

3. That, consequently, this alone is not a sufficient or justifiable cause of separation from any established church.

Methodism.

The two leading tenets of Methodism, and the most serious points of difference betwixt us, are—

I. That faith alone saves us.

II. The perceptible operations of the Holy Spirit.

The first is founded upon those passages of St. Paul, especially in the third chapter of the Romans, where he declares expressly, “that by the deeds of the law no flesh shall be justified,” v. 20; and “that a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the law,” v. 28.

To which we give this answer, namely, that the *justification* here spoken of does not mean final salvation, but only what passed at their conversion; *i. e.* their deliverance from the desperate condition they were in before.

When, instead of being destroyed for their sins, as the old world was, people upon their conversion to Christianity had their former sins all forgiven, were put upon easy and gracious terms for the future, and furnished with new means, lights, and assistances, for the government of their conduct,—this was such a favour, such a change for the better in their spiritual condition, that they might properly be said to be delivered or *justified*, in the same sense that Rahab was justified when she was saved from the destruction of Jericho; that Noah was justified when he was saved from the flood; David when delivered from his enemies; Phinehas when the priesthood was given him; Abraham when his idolatry was pardoned, and he was taken into the covenant: and that, notwithstanding their being thus justified at their conversion, if they relapsed into, or continued in sin (which St. Paul supposes to be possible, by warning them against it), they would finally perish.

To the proofs of this interpretation, which we gave in explaining the Epistle to the Romans, we add,

1. That Christ himself always studiously insisted upon works accompanying their belief; and took, as it were, pains to have them understood, that hearing, believing, or calling upon him, would not do any good without keeping his commandments. Matt. vii. 21—29. John v. 29.

2. That the apostles, in all their letters and speeches (and no one more than St. Paul), exhort to virtue and sanctity of life.

3. That St. James has expressly combated the notion that faith without works was sufficient. James ii. 14—26.

4. That, above all, St. Paul himself tells the very people whom he had before pronounced “justified by faith,” that “if they lived after the flesh, they should die.” Rom. viii. 13.

5. That all these strong expressions which have created the doubt, and this great stress which is laid upon faith, would, probably, have never been heard of, had it not been for the dispute that arose with the Jews, and the engrossing temper of that people, who would not suffer the Gentiles (unless they would first become Jews) to be admitted to an equality, or be set upon a footing with themselves. To beat down this it became necessary to contend that the being admitted to a share of favour at all, or to stand upon any particular footing as God’s people, was not on account of any prior merit which the Jews would have laid hold of as peculiar to themselves, but simply and singly by faith, *i. e.* by believing and receiving the Christian religion.

Thus much ought to be granted to the Methodists—that after all it is a dispute, perhaps, about words rather than things, certainly about an abstract doctrine, and not any practical question; for they do not pretend that a man, who continues all his life a rogue, or a cheat, or a whoremaster, or a drunkard, or in any habitual vice, will go to heaven at last by his faith: they either say it is not a true faith—that it is only in the mouth, not in the heart—that he does not really believe, or have con-

stantly some way of getting off the conclusion. Vide Halifax's Three Sermons on Justification.

II. As to the perceptible operations of the Holy Spirit, we agree with them in believing that the Spirit of God may and does act upon men's minds ; but we deny what they pretend, that these operations can be distinguished from the natural course of our own thoughts. A Methodist will have it that he can perceive the Spirit moving within him, know every impulse, be sure that such or such thoughts are not the workings of his own mind, but come from God—can tell, for instance, the time and place, the very hour and minute when he was illuminated, converted, born again, regenerated, elected, born of God, which is always with them instantaneous—is assured by the Spirit of his final salvation—knows when God accepts him, or hears his prayers—when he has communion or communication with God—when he struggles and wrestles with him.

We, on the contrary, say, that we perceive no such thing ; that without some sure sign or token, either external as a miracle, or internal as that which accompanied inspiration, and which we allow the prophets and apostles had, we neither can nor ought to pronounce with confidence what is the acting of the Holy Spirit, and what is not.

That, at any rate, people telling us their feelings, their impulses, and communications, without giving us any proof besides their bare word, can be no ground of assurance to us, whatever evidence of it they may have themselves.

That Christ did not call upon mankind to believe him, because he felt or thought himself inspired—because he was conscious of communication and intercourse with God, but for his "*work's sake*," on account of the outward, visible, and public proofs he gave, the signs and wonders that he wrought before their eyes. Vide Rotherham on Faith.

That it is enough, and all we have to do, to pray for the assistance of God's holy Spirit, to encourage and avail ourselves of good resolutions and desires when we feel them ; that we be extremely afraid and cautious of counteracting or putting them off, lest they should proceed, as they certainly may do, and frequently in fact do, from God's Spirit ; and so we be found fighting against God, and quenching and stifling and grieving his holy Spirit.

Quakers

we find fault with principally for

- I. Laying aside the Sacrament ;
- II. Misunderstanding the agency of the Spirit ;
- III. Having no clergy, or order of men set apart for the service of religion.

- I. It is inconceivable how men, who believe the Scriptures, and profess

obedience to Christ, should think the Sacrament may be dispensed with ; for,

1. It appears that Christ instituted this rite, and commanded it to be repeated. Luke xxii. 19.

2. That the apostles and first Christians, in pursuance of this command, did repeat it ; which shows how they understood it. Acts ii. 42 ; xx. 7. Still more expressly, 1 Cor. 11.

3. Lest it should be thought to be a temporary institution, intended only for the first ages of Christianity, St. Paul adds, 1 Cor. 11—26, *i. e.* to the end of the world.

This being so, it is no longer a matter of discretion but of duty, of propriety but of obligation, to observe it ; nor are we at liberty to lay it aside because we think we can do as well without it, or that it is of no use, or has been misunderstood or misapplied, or abused to foolish and superstitious purposes, or has outlived the reason of the institution.

II. Misunderstanding the agency of the Spirit. The Quakers contend, with the Methodists, for the perceptible operation of the Spirit, and therefore the same answer and observation will, in a good measure, serve for both. In two respects, however, they go beyond the Methodists, as—

1. That the proof and evidence of our religion consists in the witnessing of the Spirit within us, and that religious faith is produced, not as conviction in other matters, by argument, reason, or probability, but is shed through the heart by the gift of the Holy Ghost.

Which is contrary, as well to common sense and experience, as to the whole tenor of Scripture, and the constant conduct of Christ and his apostles ; who did not rest the faith of their converts in any inward illumination, but addressed themselves to the understanding, used arguments, produced proofs, appealed continually to the evidence of prophecy or miracles. John xiii. 37, 38.

2. That the Holy Ghost inspires their speakers in their meetings.

Now though we allow that this might oftentimes have been the case in the apostolical ages, 1 Cor. xiv. 29—33, yet it was miraculous, and ceased with other miracles ; and at this day it gives occasion to great wildness and indecency, as it can no longer be distinguished whether it be the Spirit of God that moves them or the spirit of folly.

III. Their having no clergy.

To say nothing of the odds there are against a person who has never been used or prepared to speak in public acquitting himself with tolerable propriety ;

To say nothing of the practice of every age and sect of Christianity besides the Quakers ;

To lay these considerations out of the question, now that the Scriptures are written in a dead language, remote age, and distant country, it requires the aid of human learning to understand and explain them ;—the very

evidence moreover of Christianity being historical, depending upon records and researches, it is absolutely necessary for the keeping up a knowledge of those Scriptures in the world, for collecting, preserving, and perpetuating the proofs of the religion, that a number of people should be set apart, with leisure and opportunity, for the purpose; and whose only office and business it should be to cultivate those studies.

The Quakers may do well enough where they are but a few, and while they subsist in countries where such an order is established, of whose labours they have in the main the benefit; but it is a very different question what would have become of Christianity if no such order had ever been founded or continued in the church—possibly the very language in which the Scriptures are written might have been lost; the helps we have for interpreting them by contemporary authors, travels into the country, knowledge of customs, manners, &c. would have been wanting; and above all the very evidence upon which it stands, for want of a succession of writers and people to consult and preserve these writings, might have decayed to nothing.

The Quaker meetings and discipline may possibly enough resemble the meetings of the first Christians, where many were under the immediate and extraordinary guidance of the Holy Spirit, and yet be very unsuitable for these times, when that extraordinary inspiration is withdrawn.

As to their other fancies, their affectation of singularity in dress, speech, and behaviour, their allowing women to speak in their churches, in opposition to 1 Cor. xiv. 34, their not going into mourning for the dead, their refusing to pay tithes, take up arms, or take an oath, they have either been considered elsewhere, or do not deserve consideration.

Only as to paying tithes, if they would consider it not as a divine right, which we no longer pretend to, but a civil institution, they would soon see that the law and parliament had as good a right to lay on that tax as any other, and that there is the same reason for paying it.

FOR THE STUDY OF THE GOSPELS,

Read, by way of introduction, Collier's Sacred Interpreter, especially the former part of the 2d vol.: Harwood's Introduction, vol. i.: the Dissertations prefixed to Macknight's Harmony: Goodwin's Jewish Antiquities, or rather, Jennings's Lectures upon it: and Law's Life of Christ.

By way of commentators furnish yourself with Hammond, per Le Clerc: Lightfoot's work upon the respective Gospels, especially his *Horaæ Hebraicæ*: Bowyer's Conjectures on the New Testament: Jebb's, or any other Harmony: the Wetstein edition of the New Testament, duodecimo,

1711: Parkhurst's Lexicon, or if Latin be familiar to you, Schoettgenii Lexicon, per Krebs: and Clarke's Paraphrase.

Your method of studying the Gospels for the first time of going over them may be this: read for instance a passage of St. Matthew in the English, with as little attention as may be to the divisions of chapter and verse, and break it with your pen as you go on into sections or paragraphs, where you observe *transitions* in the history or discourse. Next read over again one of these paragraphs, and mark the words, expressions, connexions, or reasonings that appear to have any difficulty, and at the same time note down the best sense or explanation you can give them, however dubious or unsatisfactory; when you have thus gone through the paragraph, then set about the clearing up these difficulties one by one in their order; and first have recourse to the original Greek, with the assistance, if you want it, of Parkhurst's Lexicon, or Schoettgenius, which will oftentimes clear up the doubt without more to do: if not, consult the parallel passages in the other Gospels, which your Harmony points out; if there be none, or they afford no light, turn to the texts which your Wetstein Greek Testament refers to; if you are still at a loss for the interpretation, apply to Lightfoot's and Le Clerc's notes, Bowyer's Conjectures, and last of all Clarke's Paraphrase. In this train of inquiry when you are once satisfied stop, and by this means furnish an interleaved Greek Testament with notes.

In the Acts of the Apostles, besides the before-mentioned books (except the Harmony and Clarke's Paraphrase), read as you go along Benson's History of the Christian Religion.

When you undertake the Epistles pursue the same method with them, with the addition only of writing down the argument or subject of each section: read them in the following order, and let your commentators be—

Upon James, Benson.—1, 2 Timothy, Benson.—Titus, Benson.—Ephesians, Locke.—Colossians, Pierce.—Philippians, Pierce.—Galatians, Locke.—Romans, Taylor.—1, 2 Corinthians, Locke.—1, 2 Thessalonians, Benson.—Hebrews, Pierce.—The last three chapters, Hallet.—The rest of the general Epistles, Benson.

If you should afterwards desire a more exact and critical knowledge of the New Testament, or of any particular passage, it is best attained by comparing the senses in which a dubious word or expression occurs in different places of Scripture; for which purpose Schmidius's Concordance for the New Testament, and Trommius's for the old Septuagint, are complete. The folio edition of Wetstein supplies quotations from profane authors. The English Concordance of Cruden is wanting for a thousand purposes.

In examining any point of controversy (which by the by may be deferred till you have completed or made a considerable progress in the above prescribed course), I would advise before you take up a book on either side of the question to read the New Testament from beginning to end, with a view solely to that one subject; and collect all the texts as you

go along, which appear to have any the most distant relation to it. Afterwards reduce the number of these texts by striking out such as are found, upon a second examination, to have no *real* connexion with the subject, and then carefully peruse the remainder with the notes, comments, and assistances I suppose you now possessed of. Thus you will be enabled either to form a judgement of the question from what you have before you, or at least to read the books that are written upon it with edification and pleasure.

As to *preaching*, if your situation requires a sermon every Sunday, make one and steal five; for which latter purpose I recommend Conant's Sermons, which are easily abridged by selecting the most important out of the many heads into which he divides them;—the few of Scougal's bound up with his "Life of God in the Soul of Man;" Ostervald on the Causes of the Corruptions of Christians: which you may readily break into discourses for the pulpit. Accustom yourself to insert additions and alterations of your own, and make it a point of conscience to reject what you disbelieve or disapprove.

In order to *compose* sermons, furnish yourself in the first place with Limborch de Religione Christianâ; get also Enfield's Preacher's Directory, which I would wish you to interleave, and make from time to time additions to it of your own; and unless you are possessed of copious notes of our lectures, have by you Rutherford's Institutes of Natural Law.

The first rule I give you in the composition of sermons is, "to confine your discourse to *one single specific* subject," a vice, for instance, or error which *actually* prevails; an excuse or evasion which is *in fact* made use of; or a duty which you *observe* to be unnoticed, mistaken, or transgressed. When your own thoughts and observation fail to furnish you with such subjects, then but not till then have recourse for them to Enfield's Directory, or the scheme of the work, p. 1—8, or to the index at the beginning of Limborch.

In treating such a subject, first or early in your discourse state distinctly and clearly the point or proposition you mean to discuss, and then describe with all the particularity and minuteness which decency admits of what you observe or suppose to be the thoughts and conduct of mankind, especially of those you have to deal with in respect of it. If you hit upon a train of thought which has actually passed in the minds of your audience, or a description which exactly reaches their case, you will probably be of service. Next set forth in order, and with the good old fashion of firstly, secondly, &c. what may be said upon the subject from the law and light of nature. This you must draw principally out of your own head; but you will frequently receive the most excellent hints from Limborch, and may oftentimes find a full and just account of the matter in Rutherford. In the last place, produce and explain the several texts and declarations of Scripture, always reserving the strongest for the last. Enfield generally will supply the texts, but Limborch always, together with some useful ob-

servations upon them ; and when your Greek Testament is stocked with notes, the interpretation is at hand.

When these sort of subjects are exhausted, another species of preaching is, to give abstracts of select portions of sacred history in a familiar narrative, interspersed with a few reflections. For a collection of such subjects, see Enfield, p. 6 ; and for suitable observations upon them, the 1st vol. of Collier.

Likewise paraphrases on particular portions of the New Testament, a parable for instance, a single head of Christ's sermon on the Mount, the history of some noted miracle, a speech in the Acts, or a detached section of an epistle: only take care not to *improve* too much upon the text, that is, do not in order to make your discourse, as you may think, more useful, put meanings into it, or make applications of it, which were never intended. In this way Doddridge's Family Expositor is very valuable.

The attributes of God, as discovered in the works of the creation, and described in Scripture, such as his power, wisdom, goodness, compassion, placability, omnipresence, particular providence, &c. are excellent and easy topics. The descriptions of the attributes in the 5th vol. of Search's Light of Nature are inimitable, and the texts relating to them are well connected in Enfield.

The evidences of Christianity, particularly those which arise from the morality of the Gospel, the seeming or minute differences, but real and substantial agreements of the several historians, the candour and simplicity of their narratives, the inimitable zeal, affection, and earnestness, and therefore the authenticity of St. Paul's letters, the sufferings, disinterestedness, and low stations of the apostles and first teachers of Christianity, the success of their ministry, and good effects it produced ; the originality of Christ's character and pretensions, the value, end, and importance of his mission, the explicit prophecies fulfilled in him, his own predictions of the persecutions of his followers, and the destruction of Jerusalem, may all be moulded into useful and popular sermons.

For your *manner* of writing sermons take the following directions.

Let your text relate to your subject without doing violence to the words, and choose one if you can which requires and admits an explanation ; in which case (and not as a general rule whether it wants it or no) begin your sermon with an exposition of the text: and if you make use of the context or connexion of the words, repeat entire the whole passage to which they belong, otherwise you will not be understood.

When you produce a text of Scripture to *prove* any thing, repeat the chapter and verse, if it be only by way of *accommodation*; that is, to express your own meaning: in Scripture words you need not.

The best way of writing upon any subject is to put down, or settle at least, your own thoughts first, and consult books upon it afterwards.

In every discourse let your first care be *truth* and *information*, your last ornament and exactness of language.

The good old way of expounding the Scriptures in the place of a sermon, or on that part of the day when you have no sermon, especially if you can persuade your congregation to follow you with their Bibles in their hands, is the very best service you can do them, because it will be a means of making them read the Scriptures to themselves and in their families.—Vide Abp. Hort's Charge.

If you have dissenters in your parish, make it your business by your behaviour, conversation, and preaching, to possess both them and your own congregation with a sense of the unimportance of those points which divide you, of the conveniency and consequently the duty of giving up such points to one another for the sake of one common public worship. Above all things abstain from ridicule or reflections upon their persons and teachers, from reproaching them with the conduct of their ancestors, or predecessors of the same sect, from idle reports of their absurdities or immoralities, from groundless suspicions of their sincerity, and particularly from charging them with opinions which they disown, or consequences they do not deduce.

When you are called upon to visit the sick, collect as many of the family or others into the sick person's room as is convenient, and make what you say a vehicle of admonition to them.

Distribute books in your parish, especially the tracts of the Society for the Propagation of Christian Knowledge, or such as you may select from their catalogue. It is one of the best modes of instruction, and in every one's power.

EVIDENCES
OF
CHRISTIANITY.

TO THE
HONOURABLE AND RIGHT REVEREND

JAMES YORK, D. D.

LORD BISHOP OF ELY.

MY LORD,

WHEN, five years ago, an important station in the University of Cambridge awaited your Lordship's disposal, you were pleased to offer it to me. The circumstances under which this offer was made demand a public acknowledgement. I had never seen your Lordship; I possessed no connexion which could possibly recommend me to your favour; I was known to you only by my endeavours, in common with many others, to discharge my duty as a tutor in the University; and by some very imperfect, but certainly well-intended, and, as you thought, useful publications since. In an age by no means wanting in examples of honourable patronage, although this deserve not to be mentioned in respect of the object of your Lordship's choice, it is inferior to none in the purity and disinterestedness of the motives which suggested it.

How the following work may be received, I pretend not to foretell. My first prayer concerning it is, that it may do good to any: my second hope, that it may assist, what it hath always been my earnest wish to promote, the religious part of an academical education.

If in this latter view it might seem, in any degree, to excuse your Lordship's judgement of its author, I shall be gratified by the reflection, that, to a kindness flowing from public principles, I have made the best public return in my power.

In the mean time, and in every event, I rejoice in the opportunity here afforded me, of testifying the sense I entertain of your Lordship's conduct, and of a notice which I regard as the most flattering distinction of my life.

I am, MY LORD,

With sentiments of gratitude and respect,

Your Lordship's faithful

And most obliged servant,

WILLIAM PALEY.

PREPARATORY CONSIDERATIONS.

I DEEM it unnecessary to prove that mankind stood in need of a revelation, because I have met with no serious person who thinks that, even under the Christian revelation, we have too much light, or any degree of assurance which is superfluous. I desire moreover, that, in judging of Christianity, it may be remembered, that the question lies between this religion and none: for, if the Christian religion be not credible, no one, with whom we have to do, will support the pretensions of any other.

Suppose, then, the world we live in to have had a Creator; suppose it to appear, from the predominant aim and tendency of the provisions and contrivances observable in the universe, that the Deity, when he formed it, consulted for the happiness of his sensitive creation; suppose the disposition which dictated this counsel to continue; suppose a part of the creation to have received faculties from their Maker, by which they are capable of rendering a moral obedience to his will, and of voluntarily pursuing any end for which he has designed them; suppose the Creator to intend for these, his rational and accountable agents, a second state of

existence, in which their situation will be regulated by their behaviour in the first state, by which supposition (and by no other) the objection to the divine government in not putting a difference between the good and the bad, and the inconsistency of this confusion with the care and benevolence discoverable in the works of the Deity is done away; suppose it to be of the utmost importance to the subjects of this dispensation to know what is intended for them, that is, suppose the knowledge of it to be highly conducive to the happiness of the species, a purpose which so many provisions of nature are calculated to promote: Suppose, nevertheless, almost the whole race, either by the imperfection of their faculties, the misfortune of their situation, or by the loss of some prior revelation, to want this knowledge, and not to be likely, without the aid of a new revelation, to attain it: Under these circumstances, is it improbable that a revelation should be made? is it incredible that God should interpose for such a purpose? Suppose him to design for mankind a future state; is it unlikely that he should acquaint him with it?

Now in what way can a revelation be made, but by miracles? In none which we are able to conceive. Consequently, in whatever degree it is probable, or not very improbable, that a revelation should be communicated to mankind at all; in the same degree is it probable, or not very improbable, that miracles should be wrought. Therefore, when miracles are related to have been wrought in the promulgating of a revelation manifestly wanted, and, if true, of inestimable value, the improbability which arises from the miraculous nature of the things related is not greater than the original improbability that such a revelation should be imparted by God. I wish it, however, to be correctly understood, in

what manner, and to what extent, this argument is alleged. We do not assume the attributes of the Deity, or the existence of a future state, in order to *prove* the reality of miracles. That reality always must be proved by evidence. We assert only, that in miracles adduced in support of revelation there is not any such antecedent improbability as no testimony can surmount. And for the purpose of maintaining this assertion, we contend, that the incredibility of miracles related to have been wrought in attestation of a message from God, conveying intelligence of a future state of rewards and punishments, and teaching mankind how to prepare themselves for that state, is not in itself greater than the event, call it either probable or improbable, of the two following propositions being true: namely, first, that a future state of existence should be destined by God for his human creation; and, secondly, that, being so destined, he should acquaint them with it. It is not necessary for our purpose, that these propositions be capable of proof, or even that, by arguments drawn from the light of nature, they can be made out to be probable; it is enough that we are able to say concerning them, that they are not so violently improbable, so contradictory to what we already believe of the divine power and character, that either the propositions themselves, or facts strictly connected with the propositions (and therefore no further improbable than they are improbable), ought to be rejected at first sight, and to be rejected by whatever strength or complication of evidence they be attested.

This is the prejudication we would resist. For to this length does a modern objection to miracles go, viz. that no human testimony can in any case render them credible. I think the reflection above stated, that, if

there be a revelation, there must be miracles, and that, under the circumstances in which the human species are placed, a revelation is not improbable, or not improbable in any great degree, to be a fair answer to the whole objection.

But since it is an objection which stands in the very threshold of our argument, and, if admitted, is a bar to every proof, and to all future reasoning upon the subject, it may be necessary, before we proceed further, to examine the principle upon which it professes to be founded; which principle is concisely this, That it is contrary to experience that a miracle should be true, but not contrary to experience that testimony should be false.

Now there appears a small ambiguity in the term "experience," and in the phrases, "contrary to experience," or "contradicting experience," which it may be necessary to remove in the first place. Strictly speaking, the narrative of a fact is *then* only contrary to experience, when the fact is related to have existed at a time and place, at which time and place we being present did not perceive it to exist; as if it should be asserted, that in a particular room, and at a particular hour of a certain day, a man was raised from the dead, in which room, and at the time specified, we, being present and looking on, perceived no such event to have taken place. Here the assertion is contrary to experience properly so called: and this is a contrariety which no evidence can surmount. It matters nothing, whether the fact be of a miraculous nature, or not. But although this be the experience, and the contrariety, which archbishop Tillotson alleged in the quotation with which Mr. Hume opens his Essay, it is certainly not that experience, nor that contrariety, which Mr. Hume him-

self intended to object. And, short of this, I know no intelligible signification which can be affixed to the term "contrary to experience," but one, viz. that of not having ourselves experienced any thing similar to the thing related, or such things not being generally experienced by others. I say "not generally:" for to state concerning the fact in question, that no such thing was *ever* experienced, or that *universal* experience is against it, is to assume the subject of the controversy.

Now the improbability which arises from the want (for this properly is a want, not a contradiction) of experience, is only equal to the probability there is, that, if the thing were true, we should experience things similar to it, or that such things would be generally experienced. Suppose it then to be true that miracles were wrought on the first promulgation of Christianity, when nothing but miracles could decide its authority, is it certain that such miracles would be repeated so often, and in so many places, as to become objects of general experience? Is it a probability approaching to certainty? is it a probability of any great strength or force? is it such as no evidence can encounter? And yet this probability is the exact *converse*, and therefore the exact measure, of the improbability which arises from the want of experience, and which Mr. Hume represents as invincible by human testimony.

It is not like alleging a new law of nature, or a new experiment in natural philosophy; because, when these are related, it is expected that, under the same circumstances, the same effect will follow universally; and in proportion as this expectation is justly entertained, the want of a corresponding experience negatives the history. But to expect concerning a miracle, that it should suc-

ceed upon a repetition, is to expect that which would make it cease to be a miracle, which is contrary to its nature as such, and would totally destroy the use and purpose for which it was wrought.

The force of experience as an objection to miracles, is founded in the presumption, either that the course of nature is invariable, or that, if it be ever varied, variations will be frequent and general. Has the necessity of this alternative been demonstrated? Permit us to call the course of nature the agency of an intelligent Being; and is there any good reason for judging this state of the case to be probable? Ought we not rather to expect that such a Being, on occasions of peculiar importance, may interrupt the order which he had appointed, yet, that such occasions should return seldom; that these interruptions consequently should be confined to the experience of a few; that the want of it, therefore, in many, should be matter neither of surprise nor objection?

But as a continuation of the argument from experience, it is said that, when we advance accounts of miracles, we assign effects without causes, or we attribute effects to causes inadequate to the purpose, or to causes, of the operation of which we have no experience. Of what causes, we may ask, and of what effects does the objection speak? If it be answered that, when we ascribe the cure of the palsy to a touch, of blindness to the anointing of the eyes with clay, or the raising of the dead to a word, we lay ourselves open to this imputation; we reply, that we ascribe no such effects to such causes. We perceive no virtue or energy in these things more than in other things of the same kind. They are merely signs to connect the miracle with its end. The effect we ascribe simply to the volition of

the Deity; of whose existence and power, not to say of whose presence and agency, we have previous and independent proof. We have, therefore, all we seek for in the works of rational agents,—a sufficient power and an adequate motive. In a word, once believe that there is a God, and miracles are not incredible.

Mr. Hume states the case of miracles to be a contest of opposite improbabilities, that is to say, a question whether it be more improbable that the miracle should be true, or the testimony false: and this I think a fair account of the controversy. But herein I remark a want of argumentative justice, that, in describing the improbability of miracles, he suppresses all those circumstances of extenuation, which result from our knowledge of the existence, power, and disposition of the Deity; his concern in the creation, the end answered by the miracle, the importance of that end, and its subserviency to the plan pursued in the work of nature. As Mr. Hume has represented the question, miracles are alike incredible to him who is previously assured of the constant agency of a Divine Being, and to him who believes that no such Being exists in the universe. They are equally incredible, whether related to have been wrought upon occasions the most deserving, and for purposes the most beneficial, or for no assignable end whatever, or for an end confessedly trifling or pernicious. This surely cannot be a correct statement. In adjusting also the other side of the balance, the strength and weight of testimony, this author has provided an answer to every possible accumulation of historical proof by telling us, that we are not obliged to explain how the story of the evidence arose. Now I think that we *are* obliged; not, perhaps, to show by positive accounts how it did, but by a probable hypo-

thesis how it might so happen. The existence of the testimony is a phenomenon; the truth of the fact solves the phenomenon. If we reject this solution, we ought to have some other to rest in; and none, even by our adversaries, can be admitted, which is not inconsistent with the principles that regulate human affairs and human conduct at present, or which makes men *then* to have been a different kind of beings from what they are now.

But the short consideration which, independently of every other, convinces me that there is no solid foundation in Mr. Hume's conclusion, is the following. When a theorem is proposed to a mathematician, the first thing he does with it is to try it upon a simple case, and if it produce a false result, he is sure that there must be some mistake in the demonstration. Now to proceed in this way with what may be called Mr. Hume's theorem. If twelve men, whose probity and good sense I had long known, should seriously and circumstantially relate to me an account of a miracle wrought before their eyes, and in which it was impossible that they should be deceived; if the governor of the country, hearing a rumour of this account, should call these men into his presence, and offer them a short proposal, either to confess the imposture, or submit to be tied up to a gibbet; if they should refuse with one voice to acknowledge that there existed any falsehood or imposture in the case; if this threat were communicated to them separately, yet with no different effect; if it was at last executed; if I myself saw them, one after another, consenting to be racked, burnt, or strangled, rather than give up the truth of their account;—still, if Mr. Hume's rule be my guide, I am not to believe them. Now I undertake to say that there exists

not a sceptic in the world who would not believe them, or who would defend such incredulity.

Instances of spurious miracles supported by strong apparent testimony, undoubtedly demand examination; Mr. Hume has endeavoured to fortify his argument by some examples of this kind. I hope in a proper place to show that none of them reach the strength or circumstances of the Christian evidence. In these, however, consist the weight of his objection: in the principle itself, I am persuaded, there is none.

PART I.

OF THE DIRECT HISTORICAL EVIDENCE OF CHRISTIANITY,
AND WHEREIN IT IS DISTINGUISHED FROM THE EVIDENCE
ALLEGED FOR OTHER MIRACLES.

THE two propositions which I shall endeavour to establish are these :

I. That there is satisfactory evidence that many professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

II. That there is *not* satisfactory evidence, that persons professing to be original witnesses of other miracles, in their nature as certain as these are, have ever acted in the same manner, in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and properly in consequence of their belief of those accounts.

The first of these propositions, as it forms the argument, will stand at the head of the following *nine* chapters.

CHAPTER I.

There is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

To support this proposition, two points are necessary to be made out: first, that the Founder of the institution, his associates and immediate followers, acted the part which the proposition imputes to them: secondly, that they did so in attestation of the miraculous history recorded in our Scriptures, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of this history.

Before we produce any particular testimony to the activity and sufferings which compose the subject of our first assertion, it will be proper to consider the degree of probability which the assertion derives from the *nature of the case*, that is, by inferences from those parts of the case which, in point of fact, are on all hands acknowledged.

First, then, the Christian Religion exists, and therefore by some means or other was established. Now it either owes the principle of its establishment, *i. e.* its first publication, to the activity of the Person who was the founder of the institution, and of

those who were joined with him in the undertaking, or we are driven upon the strange supposition, that, although they might lie by, others would take it up; although they were quiet and silent, other persons busied themselves in the success and propagation of their story. This is perfectly incredible. To me it appears little less than certain, that, if the first announcing of the religion by the Founder had not been followed up by the zeal and industry of his immediate disciples, the attempt must have expired in its birth. Then as to the kind and degree of exertion which was employed, and the mode of life to which these persons submitted, we reasonably suppose it to be like that which we observe in all others who voluntarily become missionaries of a new faith. Frequent, earnest, and laborious preaching, constantly conversing with religious persons upon religion, a sequestration from the common pleasures, engagements, and varieties of life, and an addiction to one serious object, compose the habits of such men. I do not say that this mode of life is without enjoyment, but I say that the enjoyment springs from sincerity. With a consciousness at the bottom of hollowness and falsehood, the fatigue and restraint would become insupportable. I am apt to believe that very few hypocrites engage in these undertakings; or, however, persist in them long, Ordinarily speaking, nothing can overcome the indolence of mankind, the love which is natural to most tempers of cheerful society and cheerful scenes, or the desire, which is common to all, of personal ease and freedom, but conviction.

Secondly, it is also highly probable, from the nature of the case, that the propagation of the new religion was attended with difficulty and danger. As addressed to the Jews, it was a system adverse not only to their

habitual opinions, but to those opinions upon which their hopes, their partialities, their pride, their consolation, was founded. This people, with or without reason, had worked themselves into a persuasion, that some signal and greatly advantageous change was to be effected in the condition of their country, by the agency of a long-promised messenger from heaven*. The rulers of the Jews, their leading sect, their priesthood, had been the authors of this persuasion to the common people. So that it was not merely the conjecture of theoretical divines, or the secret expectation of a few recluse devotees, but it was become the popular hope and passion, and like all popular opinions, undoubting, and impatient of contradiction. They clung to this hope under every misfortune of their country, and with more tenacity as their dangers or calamities increased. To find, therefore, that expectations so gratifying were to be worse than disappointed; that they were to end in the diffusion of a mild unambitious religion, which, instead of victories and triumphs, instead of exalting their nation and institution above the rest of the world, was to advance those whom they despised to an equality with themselves, in those very points of comparison in which they most valued their own distinction, could be no very pleasing discovery to a Jewish mind; nor could the messengers of such intelligence expect to be well received or easily credited. The doctrine was equally harsh and novel. The ex-

* "Percrebuerat oriente toto vetus et constans opinio, esse in fatis, ut eo tempore Judæâ profecti rerum potirentur." Sueton. *Vespasian.* cap. 4—8.

"Pluribus persuasio inerat, antiquis sacerdotum literis contineri, eo ipso tempore fore, ut valesceret oriens, profectique Judæâ rerum potirentur." Tacit. *Hist. lib. v. cap. 9—13.*

tending of the kingdom of God to those who did not conform to the law of Moses, was a notion that had never before entered into the thoughts of a Jew.

The character of the new institution was, in other respects also, ungrateful to Jewish habits and principles. Their own religion was in a high degree technical. Even the enlightened Jew placed a great deal of stress upon the ceremonies of his law, saw in them a great deal of virtue and efficacy; the gross and vulgar had scarcely any thing else; and the hypocritical and ostentatious magnified them above measure, as being the instruments of their own reputation and influence. The Christian scheme, without formally repealing the Levitical code, lowered its estimation extremely. In the place of strictness and zeal in performing the observances which that code prescribed, or which tradition had added to it, the new sect preached up faith, well-regulated affections, inward purity, and moral rectitude of disposition, as the true ground, on the part of the worshipper, of merit and acceptance with God. This, however rational it may appear, or recommending to us at present, did not by any means facilitate the plan then. On the contrary, to disparage those qualities which the highest characters in the country valued themselves most upon, was a sure way of making powerful enemies. As if the frustration of the national hope was not enough, the long-esteemed merit of ritual zeal and punctuality was to be decried, and that by Jews preaching to Jews.

The ruling party at Jerusalem had just before crucified the Founder of the religion. That is a fact which will not be disputed. They, therefore, who stood forth to preach the religion must necessarily reproach these rulers with an execution, which they could not

but represent as an unjust and cruel murder. This would not render their office more easy, or their situation more safe.

With regard to the interference of the Roman government which was then established in Judea, I should not expect, that, despising as it did the religion of the country, it would, if left to itself, animadvert, either with much vigilance or much severity, upon the schisms and controversies which arose within it. Yet there was that in Christianity which might easily afford a handle of accusation with a jealous government. The Christians avowed an unqualified obedience to a new master. They avowed also that he was the person who had been foretold to the Jews under the suspected title of King. The spiritual nature of this kingdom, the consistency of this obedience with civil subjection, were distinctions too refined to be entertained by a Roman president, who viewed the business at a great distance, or through the medium of very hostile representations. Our histories accordingly inform us, that this was the turn which the enemies of Jesus gave to his character and pretensions in their remonstrances with Pontius Pilate. And Justin Martyr, about a hundred years afterwards, complains that the same mistake prevailed in his time: "Ye, having heard that we are waiting for a kingdom, suppose, without distinguishing, that we mean a human kingdom, when in truth we speak of that which is with God*." And it was undoubtedly a natural source of calumny and misconstruction.

The preachers of Christianity had, therefore, to contend with prejudice backed by power. They had to come forward to a disappointed people, to a priesthood

* Ap. I^{ma}, p. 16. Ed. Thirl.

possessing a considerable share of municipal authority, and actuated by strong motives of opposition and resentment; and they had to do this under a foreign government, to whose favour they made no pretensions, and which was constantly surrounded by their enemies. The well-known, because the experienced fate of reformers, whenever the reformation subverts some reigning opinion, and does not proceed upon a change that has already taken place in the sentiments of a country, will not allow, much less lead us to suppose, that the first propagators of Christianity at Jerusalem and in Judea, under the difficulties and the enemies they had to contend with, and entirely destitute as they were of force, authority, or protection, could execute their mission with personal ease and safety.

Let us next inquire, what might reasonably be expected by the preachers of Christianity when they turned themselves to the heathen public. Now the first thing that strikes us is, that the religion they carried with them was *exclusive*. It denied without reserve the truth of every article of heathen mythology, the existence of every object of their worship. It accepted no compromise; it admitted no comprehension. It must prevail, if it prevailed at all, by the overthrow of every statue, altar, and temple, in the world. It will not easily be credited, that a design, so bold as this was, could in any age be attempted to be carried into execution with impunity.

For it ought to be considered, that this was not setting forth, or magnifying the character and worship of some new competitor for a place in the Pantheon, whose pretensions might be discussed or asserted without questioning the reality of any others; it was pronouncing all other gods to be false, and all other wor-

ship vain. From the facility with which the polytheism of ancient nations admitted new objects of worship into the number of their acknowledged divinities, or the patience with which they might entertain proposals of this kind, we can argue nothing as to their toleration of a system, or of the publishers and active propagators of a system, which swept away the very foundation of the existing establishment. The one was nothing more than what it would be, in popish countries, to add a saint to the calendar; the other was to abolish and tread under foot the calendar itself.

Secondly, it ought also to be considered, that this was not the case of philosophers propounding in their books, or in their schools, doubts concerning the truth of the popular creed, or even avowing their disbelief of it. These philosophers did not go about from place to place to collect proselytes from amongst the common people; to form in the heart of the country societies professing their tenets; to provide for the order, instruction, and permanency of these societies; nor did they enjoin their followers to withdraw themselves from the public worship of the temples, or refuse a compliance with rites instituted by the laws*. These things are what the Christians did, and what the philosophers did not; and in these consisted the activity and danger of the enterprise.

Thirdly, it ought also to be considered, that this danger proceeded not merely from solemn acts and

* The best of the ancient philosophers, Plato, Cicero, and Epictetus, allowed, or rather enjoined, men to worship the gods of the country, and in the established form. See passages to this purpose collected from their works by Dr. Clarke, *Nat. and Rev. Rel.* p. 180. ed. v.—Except Socrates, they all thought it wiser to comply with the laws than to contend.

public resolutions of the state, but from sudden bursts of violence at particular places, from the licence of the populace, the rashness of some magistrates and negligence of others ; from the influence and instigation of interested adversaries, and, in general, from the variety and warmth of opinion which an errand so novel and extraordinary could not fail of exciting. I can conceive that the teachers of Christianity might both fear and suffer much from these causes, without any general persecution being denounced against them by imperial authority. Some length of time, I should suppose, might pass, before the vast machine of the Roman empire would be put in motion, or its attention be obtained to religious controversy : but, during that time, a great deal of ill usage might be endured, by a set of friendless, unprotected travellers, telling men, wherever they came, that the religion of their ancestors, the religion in which they had been brought up, the religion of the state, and of the magistrate, the rites which they frequented, the pomp which they admired, was throughout a system of folly and delusion.

Nor do I think that the teachers of Christianity would find protection in that general disbelief of the popular theology, which is supposed to have prevailed amongst the intelligent part of the heathen public. It is by no means true that unbelievers are usually tolerant. They are not disposed (and why should they?) to endanger the present state of things, by suffering a religion of which they believe nothing to be disturbed by another of which they believe as little. They are ready themselves to conform to any thing ; and are, oftentimes, amongst the foremost to procure conformity from others, by any method which they think likely to be efficacious. When was ever a change of religion patronized by in-

fidels? How little, notwithstanding the reigning scepticism, and the magnified liberality of that age, the true principles of toleration were understood by the wisest men amongst them, may be gathered from two eminent and uncontested examples. The younger Pliny, polished as he was by all the literature of that soft and elegant period, could gravely pronounce this monstrous judgement:—"Those who persisted in declaring themselves Christians, I ordered to be led away to punishment (*i. e.* to execution), for I DID NOT DOUBT, *whatever it was that they confessed, that contumacy and inflexible obstinacy ought to be punished.*" His master, Trajan, a mild and accomplished prince, went, nevertheless, no further in his sentiments of moderation and equity than what appears in the following rescript: "The Christians are not to be sought for; but if any are brought before you, and convicted, they are to be punished." And this direction he gives, after it had been reported to him by his own president, that, by the most strict examination, nothing could be discovered in the principles of these persons, but "a bad and excessive superstition," accompanied, it seems, with an oath or mutual federation, "to allow themselves in no crime or immoral conduct whatever." The truth is, the ancient heathens considered religion entirely as an affair of state, as much under the tuition of the magistrate, as any other part of the police. The religion of that age was not merely allied to the state; it was incorporated into it. Many of its offices were administered by the magistrate. Its titles of pontiffs, augurs, and flamens, were borne by senators, consuls, and generals. Without discussing, therefore, the truth of the theology, they resented every affront put upon the established worship, as a direct opposition to the authority of government.

Add to which, that the religious systems of those times, however ill supported by evidence, had been long established. The *ancient* religion of a country has always many votaries, and sometimes not the fewer, because its origin is hidden in remoteness and obscurity. Men have a natural veneration for antiquity, especially in matters of religion. What Tacitus says of the Jewish, was more applicable to the heathen establishment: "Hirritus, quoquo modo inducti, antiquitate defenduntur." It was also a splendid and sumptuous worship. It had its priesthood, its endowments, its temples. Statuary, painting, architecture, and music, contributed their effect to its ornament and magnificence. It abounded in festival shows and solemnities, to which the common people are greatly addicted, and which were of a nature to engage them much more than any thing of that sort among us. These things would retain great numbers on its side by the fascination of spectacle and pomp, as well as interest many in its preservation by the advantage which they drew from it. "It was moreover interwoven," as Mr. Gibbon rightly represents it, "with every circumstance of business or pleasure, of public or private life, with all the offices and amusements of society." On the due celebration also of its rites, the people were taught to believe, and did believe, that the prosperity of their country in a great measure depended.

I am willing to accept the account of the matter which is given by Mr. Gibbon: "The various modes of worship which prevailed in the Roman world were all considered by the people as equally true, by the philosopher as equally false, and by the magistrate as equally useful:" and I would ask from which of these three classes of men were the Christian missionaries to

look for protection or impunity? Could they expect it from the people, "whose acknowledged confidence in the public religion" they subverted from its foundation? From the philosopher, who, "considering all religions as equally false," would of course rank theirs among the number, with the addition of regarding them as busy and troublesome zealots? Or from the magistrate, who, satisfied with the "utility" of the subsisting religion, would not be likely to countenance a spirit of proselytism and innovation;—a system which declared war against every other, and which, if it prevailed, must end in a total rupture of public opinion; an upstart religion, in a word, which was not content with its own authority, but must disgrace all the settled religions of the world? It was not to be imagined that he would endure with patience, that the religion of the emperor and of the state should be calumniated and borne down by a company of superstitious and despicable Jews.

Lastly; the *nature of the case* affords a strong proof, that the original teachers of Christianity, in consequence of their new profession, entered upon a new and singular course of life. We may be allowed to presume, that the institution which they preached to others, they conformed to in their own persons; because this is no more than what every teacher of a new religion both does, and must do, in order to obtain either proselytes or hearers. The change which this would produce was very considerable. It is a change which we do not easily estimate, because, ourselves and all about us being habituated to the institutions from our infancy, it is what we neither experience nor observe. After men became Christians, much of their time was spent in prayer and devotion, in religious meetings, in celebrating the eucharist, in conferences, in exhortations, in preaching,

in an affectionate intercourse with one another, and correspondence with other societies. Perhaps their mode of life, in its form and habit, was not very unlike the *Unitas Fratrum*, or the modern Methodists. Think then what it was to become *such* at Corinth, at Ephesus, at Antioch, or even at Jerusalem. How new! how alien from all their former habits and ideas, and from those of every body about them! What a revolution there must have been of opinions and prejudices to bring the matter to this!

We know what the precepts of the religion are; how pure, how benevolent, how disinterested a conduct they enjoin; and that this purity and benevolence are extended to the very thoughts and affections. We are not, perhaps, at liberty to take for granted that the lives of the preachers of Christianity were as perfect as their lessons; but we are entitled to contend, that the observable part of their behaviour must have agreed in a great measure with the duties which they taught. There was, therefore (which is all that we assert), a course of life pursued by them, different from that which they before led. And this is of great importance. Men are brought to any thing almost sooner than to change their habit of life, especially when the change is either inconvenient, or made against the force of natural inclination, or with the loss of accustomed indulgences. "It is the most difficult of all things to convert men from vicious habits to virtuous ones, as every one may judge from what he feels in himself, as well as from what he sees in others*." It is almost like making men over again.

Left then to myself, and without any more information than a knowledge of the existence of the religion, of the general story upon which it is founded, and that

* Hartley's *Essays on Man*, p. 190.

no act of power, force, and authority, was concerned in its first success, I should conclude, from the very nature and exigency of the case, that the Author of the religion, during his life, and his immediate disciples after his death, *exerted* themselves in spreading and publishing the institution throughout the country in which it began, and into which it was first carried; that, in the prosecution of this purpose, they underwent the labours and troubles which we observe the propagators of new sects to undergo; that the attempt must necessarily have also been in a high degree dangerous; that, from the subject of the mission, compared with the fixed opinions and prejudices of those to whom the missionaries were to address themselves, they could hardly fail of encountering strong and frequent opposition; that, by the hand of government, as well as from the sudden fury and unbridled licence of the people, they would oftentimes experience injurious and cruel treatment; that, at any rate, they must have always had so much to fear for their personal safety, as to have passed their lives in a state of constant peril and anxiety; and lastly, that their mode of life and conduct, visibly at least, corresponded with the institution which they delivered, and, so far, was both new, and required continual self-denial.

CHAPTER II.

There is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

AFTER thus considering what was *likely* to happen, we are next to inquire how the transaction is represented in the several accounts that have come down to us. And this inquiry is properly preceded by the other, forasmuch as the reception of these accounts may depend in part on the credibility of what they contain.

The obscure and distant view of Christianity, which some of the heathen writers of that age had gained, and which a few passages in their remaining works incidentally discover to us, offers itself to our notice in the first place: because, so far as this evidence goes, it is the concession of adversaries; the source from which it is drawn is unsuspected. Under this head, a quotation from Tacitus, well known to every scholar, must be inserted, as deserving particular attention. The reader will bear in mind that this passage was written about seventy years after Christ's death, and that it relates to transactions which took place about thirty years after that event.—Speaking of the fire which happened at

Rome in the time of Nero, and of the suspicions which were entertained that the emperor himself was concerned in causing it, the historian proceeds in his narrative and observations thus :

“ But neither these exertions, nor his largesses to the people, nor his offerings to the gods, did away the infamous imputation under which Nero lay, of having ordered the city to be set on fire. To put an end, therefore, to this report, he laid the guilt, and inflicted the most cruel punishments, upon a set of people, who were holden in abhorrence for their crimes, and called by the vulgar, *Christians*. The founder of that name was Christ, who suffered death in the reign of Tiberius, under his procurator, Pontius Pilate.—This pernicious superstition, thus checked for a while, broke out again ; and spread not only over Judea, where the evil originated, but through Rome also, whither every thing bad upon the earth finds its way and is practised. Some who confessed their sect were first seized, and afterwards, by their information, a vast multitude were apprehended, who were convicted, not so much of the crime of burning Rome, as of hatred to mankind. Their sufferings at their execution were aggravated by insult and mockery ; for some were disguised in the skins of wild beasts, and worried to death by dogs ; some were crucified ; and others were wrapped in pitched shirts*, and set on fire when the day closed, that they might serve as lights to illuminate the night. Nero lent his own gardens for these executions, and exhibited at the same time a mock Circensian entertainment ;

* This is rather a paraphrase, but is justified by what the Scholiast upon Juvenal says ; “ Nero maleficos homines tædâ et papyro et cerâ supervestiebat, et sic ad ignem admoveri jubebat.” Lard. Jewish and Heath. Test. vol. i. p. 359.

being a spectator of the whole, in the dress of a charioteer, sometimes mingling with the crowd on foot, and sometimes viewing the spectacle from his car. This conduct made the sufferers pitied; and though they were criminals, and deserving the severest punishments, yet they were considered as sacrificed, not so much out of a regard to the public good, as to gratify the cruelty of one man."

Our concern with this passage at present is only so far as it affords a presumption in support of the proposition which we maintain, concerning the activity and sufferings of the first teachers of Christianity. Now considered in this view, it proves three things: 1st, that the Founder of the institution was put to death; 2dly, that in the same country in which he was put to death, the religion, after a short check, broke out again and spread; 3dly, that it so spread, as that, within thirty-four years from the author's death, a very great number of Christians (*ingens eorum multitudo*) were found at Rome. From which fact, the two following inferences may be fairly drawn: first, that if, in the space of thirty-four years from its commencement, the religion had spread throughout Judea, had extended itself to Rome, and there had numbered a great multitude of converts, the original teachers and missionaries of the institution could not have been *idle*; secondly, that when the Author of the undertaking was put to death as a malefactor for his attempt, the endeavours of his followers to establish his religion in the same country, amongst the same people, and in the same age, could not but be attended with danger.

Suetonius, a writer contemporary with Tacitus, describing the transactions of the same reign, uses these words: "Affecti suppliciiis Christiani genus hominum

superstitionis novæ et maleficæ*.” “The Christians, a set of men of a new and mischievous (or magical) superstition, were punished.”

Since it is not mentioned *here* that the burning of the city was the pretence of the punishment of the Christians, or that they were the Christians of Rome who alone suffered, it is probable that Suetonius refers to some more general persecution than the short and occasional one which Tacitus describes.

Juvenal, a writer of the same age with the two former, and intending, it should seem, to commemorate the cruelties exercised under Nero's government, has the following lines †:

“Pone Tigellinum, tædâ lucebis in illâ,
Quâ stantes ardent, qui fixo guttore fumant,
Et latum mediâ sulcum deducit † arenâ.”

“Describe Tigellinus (a creature of Nero), and you shall suffer the same punishment with those who stand burning in their own flame and smoke, their head being held up by a stake fixed to their chin, till they make a long stream of blood and melted sulphur on the ground.”

If this passage were considered by itself, the subject of allusion might be doubtful; but, when connected with the testimony of Suetonius, as to the actual punishment of the Christians by Nero, and with the account given by Tacitus of the *species* of punishment which they were made to undergo, I think it sufficiently probable, that these were the executions to which the poet refers.

These things, as has already been observed, took place within thirty-one years after Christ's death, that

* Suet. Nero. cap. 16.

† Sat. i. ver. 155.

‡ Forsan “deducis.”

is, according to the course of nature, in the lifetime, probably, of some of the apostles, and certainly in the lifetime of those who were converted by the apostles, or who were converted in their time. If then the Founder of the religion was put to death in the execution of his design; if the first race of converts to the religion, many of them, suffered the greatest extremities for their profession; it is hardly credible, that those who came *between* the two, who were companions of the Author of the institution during his life, and the teachers and propagators of the institution after his death, could go about their undertaking with ease and safety.

The testimony of the younger Pliny belongs to a later period; for although he was contemporary with Tacitus and Suetonius, yet his account does not, like theirs, go back to the transactions of Nero's reign, but is confined to the affairs of his own time. His celebrated letter to Trajan was written about seventy years after Christ's death; and the information to be drawn from it, so far as it is connected with our argument, relates principally to two points: first, to the *number* of Christians in Bithynia and Pontus, which was so considerable as to induce the governor of these provinces to speak of them in the following terms: "Multi, omnis ætatis, utriusque sextûs etiam;—neque enim civitates tantùm, sed vicos etiam et agros, superstitionis istius contagio pervagata est." "There are many of every age and of both sexes;—nor has the contagion of this superstition seized cities only, but smaller towns also, and the open country." Great exertions must have been used by the preachers of Christianity to produce this state of things within this time. Secondly, to a point which has been already noticed, and which I think

of importance to be observed, namely, the sufferings to which Christians were exposed, *without* any public persecution being denounced against them by sovereign authority. For, from Pliny's doubt how he was to act, his silence concerning any subsisting law on the subject, his requesting the emperor's rescript, and the emperor, agreeably to his request, propounding a rule for his direction, without reference to any prior rule, it may be inferred, that there was, at that time, no public edict in force against the Christians. Yet from this same epistle of Pliny it appears, "that accusations, trials, and examinations, were, and had been, going on against them in the provinces over which he presided; that schedules were delivered by anonymous informers, containing the names of persons who were suspected of holding or of favouring the religion; that, in consequence of these informations, many had been apprehended, of whom some boldly avowed their profession, and died in the cause; others denied that they were Christians; others, acknowledging that they had once been Christians, declared that they had long ceased to be such." All which demonstrates, that the profession of Christianity was at that time (in that country at least) attended with fear and danger: and yet this took place without any edict from the Roman sovereign, commanding or authorizing the persecution of Christians. This observation is further confirmed by a rescript of Adrian to Minucius Fundanus, the proconsul of Asia*: from which rescript it appears that the custom of the people of Asia was to proceed against the Christians with tumult and uproar. This disorderly practice, I say, is recognised in the edict, because the emperor enjoins,

* Lard. Heath. Test. vol. ii. p. 110.

that, for the future, if the Christians were guilty, they should be legally brought to trial, and not be pursued by importunity and clamour.

Martial wrote a few years before the younger Pliny : and, as his manner was, made the suffering of the Christians the subject of his ridicule*. Nothing, however, could show the notoriety of the fact with more certainty than this does. Martial's testimony, as well indeed as Pliny's, goes also to another point, viz. that the deaths of these men were martyrdoms in the strictest sense, that is to say, were so voluntary, that it was in their power, at the time of pronouncing the sentence, to have averted the execution by consenting to join in heathen sacrifices.

The constancy, and by consequence the sufferings of the Christians of this period, is also referred to by Epictetus, who imputes their intrepidity to madness, or to a kind of fashion or habit ; and about fifty years afterwards, by Marcus Aurelius, who ascribes it to obstinacy. “ Is it possible (Epictetus asks) that a man may arrive at this temper, and become indifferent to those things, from madness or from habit, *as the Galileans*†?” “ Let this preparation of the mind (to die) arise from its own judgement, and not from obstinacy *like the Christians*‡.”

* In matutinâ nuper spectatus arenâ
Mucius, imposuit qui sua membra focis,

Si patiens fortisque tibi durusque videtur,
Abderitanæ pectora plebis habes ;

Nam cum dicatur, tunicâ præsentē molestâ,
Ure § manum : plus est dicere, Non facio.

† Epict. 1. iv. c. 7.

‡ Marc. Aur. Med. 1. xi. c. 3.

§ Forsau “ thure manum.”

CHAPTER III.

There is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

OF the primitive condition of Christianity, a distant only and general view can be acquired from heathen writers. It is in our own books that the detail and interior of the transaction must be sought for. And this is nothing different from what might be expected. Who would write a history of Christianity, but a Christian? Who was likely to record the travels, sufferings, labours, or successes of the apostles, but one of their own number, or of their followers? Now these books come up in their accounts to the full extent of the proposition which we maintain. We have four histories of Jesus Christ. We have a history taking up the narrative from his death, and carrying on an account of the propagation of the religion, and of some of the most eminent persons engaged in it, for a space of nearly thirty years. We have, what some may think still more original, a collection of letters, written by certain principal agents in the business, upon the business, and in the midst of their concern and connexion with it. And we have these writings severally

attesting the point which we contend for, viz. the sufferings of the witnesses of the history, and attesting it in every variety of form in which it can be conceived to appear: directly and indirectly, expressly and incidentally, by assertion, recital, and illusion, by narratives of facts, and by arguments and discourses built upon these facts, either referring to them, or necessarily presupposing them.

I remark this variety, because, in examining ancient records, or indeed any species of testimony, it is, in my opinion, of the greatest importance to attend to the information or grounds of argument which are *casually* and *undesignedly* disclosed; forasmuch as this species of proof is, of all others, the least liable to be corrupted by fraud or misrepresentation.

I may be allowed therefore, in the inquiry which is now before us, to suggest some conclusions of this sort, as preparatory to more direct testimony.

1. Our books relate, that Jesus Christ, the founder of the religion, was, in consequence of his undertaking, put to death, as a malefactor, at Jerusalem. This point at least will be granted, because it is no more than what Tacitus has recorded. They then proceed to tell us, that the religion was, *notwithstanding*, set forth at this same city of Jerusalem, propagated thence throughout Judea, and afterwards preached in other parts of the Roman empire. These points also are fully confirmed by Tacitus, who informs us, that the religion, after a short check, broke out again in the country where it took its rise; that it not only spread throughout Judea, but had reached Rome, and that it had there great multitudes of converts: and all this within thirty years after its commencement. Now these facts afford a strong inference in behalf of the proposition which we

maintain. What could the disciples of Christ expect for themselves when they saw their Master put to death? Could they hope to escape the dangers in which he had perished? If they had persecuted me, they will also persecute you, was the warning of common sense. With this example before their eyes, they could not be without a full sense of the peril of their future enterprise.

2. Secondly, all the histories agree in representing Christ as foretelling the persecution of his followers:—

“ Then shall they deliver you up to be afflicted, and shall kill you, and ye shall be hated of all nations for my name’s sake*.”

“ When affliction or persecution ariseth for the word’s sake, immediately they are offended†.”

“ They shall lay hands on you, and persecute you, delivering you up to the synagogues, and into prisons, being brought before kings and rulers for my name’s sake:—and ye shall be betrayed both by parents and brethren, and kinsfolks and friends, and some of you shall they cause to be put to death‡.”

“ The time cometh, that he that killeth you, will think that he doeth God service. And these things will they do unto you, because they have not known the Father, nor me. But these things have I told you, that when the time shall come, ye may remember that I told you of them§.”

I am not entitled to argue from these passages, that Christ actually did foretell these events, and that they did accordingly come to pass; because that would be at once to assume the truth of the religion: but I am

* Mat. xxiv. 9. † Mark, iv. 17. See also chap. x. 30. ‡ Luke, xxi. 12—16. See also chap. xi. 49. § John, xvi. 4. See also chap. xv. 20; xvi. 33.

entitled to contend, that one side or other of the following disjunction is true; either that the Evangelists have delivered what Christ really spoke, and that the event corresponded with the prediction; or that they put the prediction into Christ's mouth, because, at the time of writing the history, the event had turned out so to be: for, the only two remaining suppositions appear in the highest degree incredible; which are, either that Christ filled the minds of his followers with fears and apprehensions, without any reason or authority for what he said, and contrary to the truth of the case; or that, although Christ had never foretold any such thing, and the event would have contradicted him if he had, yet historians who lived in the age when the event was known falsely, as well as officiously, ascribed these words to him.

3. Thirdly, these books abound with exhortations to patience, and with topics of comfort under distress.

“ Who shall separate us from the love of Christ? Shall tribulation, or distress, or persecution, or famine, or nakedness, or peril, or sword? Nay, in all these things we are more than conquerors through Him that loved us*.”

“ We are troubled on every side, yet not distressed; we are perplexed, but not in despair; persecuted, but not forsaken; cast down, but not destroyed; always bearing about in the body the dying of the Lord Jesus, that the life also of Jesus might be made manifest in our body;—knowing that he which raised up the Lord Jesus shall raise us up also by Jesus, and shall present us with you.—For which cause we faint not; but, though our outward man perish, yet the inward man is renewed day by day. For our light affliction, which is but for

* Rom. viii. 35—37.

a moment, worketh for us a far more exceeding and eternal weight of glory*.”

“ Take, my brethren, the prophets, who have spoken in the name of the Lord, for an example of suffering affliction, and of patience. Behold, we count them happy which endure. Ye have heard of the patience of Job, and have seen the end of the Lord; that the Lord is very pitiful, and of tender mercy†.”

“ Call to remembrance the former days, in which, after ye were illuminated, ye endured a great fight of afflictions, partly whilst ye were made a gazing-stock both by reproaches and afflictions, and partly whilst ye became companions of them that were so used; for ye had compassion of me in my bonds, and took joyfully the spoiling of your goods, knowing in yourselves that ye have in heaven a better and an enduring substance. Cast not away, therefore, your confidence, which hath great recompense of reward; for ye have need of patience, that, after ye have done the will of God, ye might receive the promise‡.”

“ So that we ourselves glory in you in the churches of God, for your patience and faith in all your persecutions and tribulations that ye endure. Which is a manifest token of the righteous judgement of God, that ye may be counted worthy of the kingdom for which ye also suffer§.”

“ We rejoice in hope of the glory of God; and not only so, but we glory in tribulations also; knowing that tribulation worketh patience, and patience experience, and experience hope¶.”

“ Beloved, think it not strange concerning the fiery trial which is to try you, as though some strange

* 2 Cor. iv. 8, 9, 10, 14, 16, 17. † James, v. 10, 11. ‡ Heb. x. 32—36. § 2 Thess. i. 4, 5. ¶ Rom. v. 3, 4.

thing happened unto you; but rejoice, inasmuch as ye are partakers of Christ's sufferings.—Wherefore let them that suffer according to the will of God, commit the keeping of their souls to him in well doing, as unto a faithful Creator*.”

What could all these texts mean, if there was nothing in the circumstances of the times which required patience,—which called for the exercise of constancy and resolution? Or will it be pretended, that these exhortations (which, let it be observed, come not from one author, but from many) were put in, merely to induce a belief in after-ages, that the Christians were exposed to dangers which they were not exposed to, or underwent sufferings which they did not undergo? If these books belong to the age to which they lay claim, and in which age, whether genuine or spurious, they certainly did appear, this supposition cannot be maintained for a moment; because I think it impossible to believe, that passages, which must be deemed not only unintelligible, but false, by the persons into whose hands the books upon their publication were to come, should nevertheless be inserted, for the purpose of producing an effect upon remote generations. In forgeries which do not appear till many ages after that to which they pretend to belong, it is possible that some contrivance of that sort may take place; but in no others can it be attempted.

* 1 Pet. iv. 12, 13, 19.

CHAPTER IV.

There is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

THE account of the treatment of the religion, and of the exertions of its first preachers, as stated in our Scriptures (not in a professed history of persecutions, or in the connected manner in which I am about to recite it, but dispersedly and occasionally, in the course of a mixed general history, which circumstance alone negatives the supposition of any fraudulent design), is the following: "That the Founder of Christianity, from the commencement of his ministry to the time of his violent death, employed himself wholly in publishing the institution in Judea and Galilee; that, in order to assist him in this purpose, he made choice out of the number of his followers, of twelve persons, who might accompany him as he travelled from place to place; that, except a short absence upon a journey in which he sent them, two by two, to announce his mission, and one of a few days, when they went before him to Jerusalem, these persons were steadily and constantly attending upon him; that they were with him at Jerusalem when he was apprehended and put to

death; and that they were commissioned by him, when his own ministry was concluded, to publish his Gospel, and collect disciples to it from all countries of the world." The account then proceeds to state, "that a few days after his departure, these persons, with some of his relations, and some who had regularly frequented their society, assembled at Jerusalem; that, considering the office of preaching the religion as now devolved upon them, and one of their number having deserted the cause, and, repenting of his perfidy, having destroyed himself, they proceeded to elect another into his place, and that they were careful to make their election out of the number of those who had accompanied their Master from the first to the last, in order, as they alleged, that he might be a witness, together with themselves, of the principal facts which they were about to produce and relate concerning him*; that they began their work at Jerusalem by publicly asserting that this Jesus, whom the rulers and inhabitants of that place had so lately crucified, was, in truth, the person in whom all their prophecies and long expectations terminated; that he had been sent amongst them by God; and that he was appointed by God the future judge of the human species; that all who were solicitous to secure to themselves happiness after death, ought to receive him as such, and to make profession of their belief, by being baptized in his name†." The history goes on to relate, "that considerable numbers accepted this proposal, and that they who did so, formed amongst themselves a strict union and society‡; that the attention of the Jewish government being soon drawn upon them, two of the principal persons of the

* Acts, i. 12, 22.

† Acts, xi.

‡ Acts, iv. 32.

twelve, and who also had lived most intimately and constantly with the Founder of the religion, were seized as they were discoursing to the people in the temple; that, after being kept all night in prison, they were brought the next day before an assembly composed of the chief persons of the Jewish magistracy and priesthood; that this assembly, after some consultation, found nothing, at that time, better to be done towards suppressing the growth of the sect, than to threaten their prisoners with punishment if they persisted; that these men, after expressing, in decent but firm language, the obligation under which they considered themselves to be, to declare what they knew, "to speak the things which they had seen and heard," returned from the council, and reported what had passed to their companions; that this report, whilst it apprised them of the danger of their situation and undertaking, had no other effect upon their conduct than to produce in them a general resolution to persevere, and an earnest prayer to God to furnish them with assistance, and to inspire them with fortitude, proportioned to the increasing exigency of the service*." A very short time after this, we read "that all the twelve apostles were seized and cast into prison †; that being brought a second time before the Jewish Sanhedrim, they were upbraided with their disobedience to the injunction which had been laid upon them, and beaten for their contumacy; that, being charged once more to desist, they were suffered to depart; that however they neither quitted Jerusalem, nor ceased from preaching, both daily in the temple, and from house to house ‡; and that the twelve considered themselves as

* Acts, iv.

† Acts, v. 18.

‡ Acts, v. 42.

so entirely and exclusively devoted to this office, that they now transferred what may be called the temporal affairs of the society to other hands*.”

Hitherto the preachers of the new religion seem to have had the common people on their side; which is assigned as the reason why the Jewish rulers did not, at this time, think it prudent to proceed to greater extremities. It was not long, however, before the enemies of the institution found means to represent it to the people as tending to subvert their law, degrade their law-giver, and dishonour their temple†. And these insinuations were dispersed with so much success, as to induce the people to join with their superiors in the stoning of a very active member of the new community.

* I do not know that it has ever been insinuated, that the Christian mission, in the hands of the apostles, was a scheme for making a fortune, or for getting money. But it may nevertheless be fit to remark upon this passage of their history, how perfectly free they appear to have been from any pecuniary or interested views whatever. The most tempting opportunity which occurred, of making a gain of their converts, was by the custody and management of the public funds, when some of the richer members, intending to contribute their fortunes to the common support of the society, sold their possessions, and laid down the prices at the apostles' feet. Yet, so insensible, or undesirous, were they of the advantage which that confidence afforded, that we find, they very soon disposed of the trust, by putting it into the hands, not of nominees of their own, but of stewards formally elected for the purpose by the society at large.

We may add also, that this excess of generosity, which cast private property into the public stock, was so far from being required by the apostles, or imposed as a law of Christianity, that Peter reminds Ananias that he had been guilty, in his behaviour, of an officious and voluntary prevarication; “for whilst,” says he, “thy estate remained unsold, was it not thine own? and after it was sold, was it not in thine own power?”

† Acts, vi. 12.

The death of this man was the signal of a general persecution, the activity of which may be judged of from one anecdote of the time:—"As for Saul, he made havock of the church, entering into every house, and haling men and women, committed them to prison*." This persecution raged at Jerusalem with so much fury, as to drive† most of the new converts out of the place, except the twelve apostles. The converts, thus "scattered abroad," preached the religion wherever they came; and their preaching was, in effect, the preaching of the *twelve*; for it was so far carried on in concert and correspondence with *them*, that when they heard of the success of their emissaries in a particular country, they sent two of their number to the place, to complete and confirm the mission.

An event now took place, of great importance in the future history of the religion. The persecution ‡ which had begun at Jerusalem followed the Christians to other cities, in which the authority of the Jewish Sanhedrim over those of their own nation was allowed to be exercised. A young man, who had signalized himself by his hostility to the profession, and had procured a commission from the council at Jerusalem to seize any converted Jews whom he might find at Damascus, suddenly became a proselyte to the religion which he was going about to extirpate. The new convert not only shared, on this extraordinary change, the fate of his companions, but brought upon himself a double measure of enmity

* Acts, viii. 3.

† Acts, viii. 1. "And they were *all* scattered abroad:" but the term "all" is not, I think, to be taken strictly, as denoting more than the *generality*; in like manner as in Acts, ix. 35. "And *all* that dwelt at Lydda and Saron, saw him, and turned to the Lord."

‡ Acts, ix.

from the party which he had left. The Jews at Damascus, on his return to that city, watched the gates night and day, with so much diligence, that he escaped from their hands only by being let down in a basket by the wall. Nor did he find himself in greater safety at Jerusalem, whither he immediately repaired. Attempts were there also soon set on foot to destroy him; from the danger of which he was preserved by being sent away to Cilicia, his native country.

For some reason, not mentioned, perhaps not known, but probably connected with the civil history of the Jews, or with some danger * which engrossed the public attention, an intermission about this time took place in the sufferings of the Christians. This happened, at the most, only seven or eight, perhaps only three or four years after Christ's death. Within which period, and notwithstanding that the late persecution occupied part of it, churches, or societies of believers, had been formed in all Judea, Galilee, and Samaria; for we read that the churches in these countries "had *now rest*, and were edified, and, walking in the fear of the Lord, and in the comfort of the Holy Ghost, were multiplied †." The original preachers of the religion did not remit their labours or activity during this season of quietness; for we find one, and he a very principal person among them, passing throughout all quarters. We find also those who had been before expelled from Jerusalem by the

* Dr. Lardner (in which he is followed also by Dr. Benson) ascribes this cessation of the persecution of the Christians to the attempt of Caligula to set up his own statue in the temple of Jerusalem, and to the consternation thereby excited in the minds of the Jewish people; which consternation for a season suspended every other contest.

† Acts, ix. 31.

persecution which raged there, travelling as far as Phœnice, Cyprus, and Antioch*; and lastly, we find Jerusalem again in the centre of the mission, the place whither the preachers returned from their several excursions, where they reported the conduct and effects of their ministry, where questions of public concern were canvassed and settled, whence directions were sought, and teachers sent forth.

The time of this tranquillity did not, however, continue long. Herod Agrippa, who had lately acceded to the government of Judea, “stretched forth his hand to vex certain of the church †.” He began his cruelty by beheading one of the twelve original apostles, a kinsman and constant companion of the Founder of the religion. Perceiving that this execution gratified the Jews, he proceeded to seize, in order to put to death, another of the number,—and him, like the former, associated with Christ during his life, and eminently active in the service since his death. This man was, however, delivered from prison, as the account states ‡, miraculously, and made his escape from Jerusalem.

These things are related, not in the general terms under which, in giving the outlines of the history, we have here mentioned them, but with the utmost particularity of names, persons, places, and circumstances; and, what is deserving of notice, without the smallest discoverable propensity in the historian to magnify the fortitude, or exaggerate the sufferings, of his party. When they fled for their lives, he tells us. When the churches had rest, he remarks it. When the people took their part, he does not leave it without notice. When the apostles were carried a second time before the

* Acts, xi. 19. † Acts, xii. 1. ‡ Acts, xii. 3—17.

Sanhedrim, he is careful to observe that they were brought without violence. When milder counsels were suggested, he gives us the author of the advice, and the speech which contained it. When, in consequence of this advice, the rulers contented themselves with threatening the apostles, and commanding them to be beaten with stripes, without urging at that time the persecution further, the historian candidly and distinctly records their forbearance. When, therefore, in other instances, he states heavier persecutions, or actual martyrdoms, it is reasonable to believe that he states them because they were true, and not from any wish to aggravate, in his account, the sufferings which Christians sustained, or to extol, more than it deserved, their patience under them.

Our history now pursues a narrower path. Leaving the rest of the apostles, and the original associates of Christ, engaged in the propagation of the new faith (and who there is not the least reason to believe abated in their diligence or courage), the narrative proceeds with the separate memoirs of that eminent teacher, whose extraordinary and sudden conversion to the religion, and corresponding change of conduct, had before been circumstantially described. This person, in conjunction with another, who appeared among the earlier members of the society at Jerusalem, and amongst the immediate adherents* of the twelve apostles, set out from Antioch upon the express business of carrying the new religion through the various provinces of the Lesser Asia †. During this expedition, we find that, in almost every place to which they came, their persons were insulted, and their lives endangered. After being ex-

* Acts, iv. 36.

† Acts, xiii. 2.

pelled from Antioch in Pisidia, they repaired to Iconium*. At Iconium, an attempt was made to stone them; at Lystra, whither they fled from Iconium, one of them actually was stoned and drawn out of the city for dead†. These two men, though not themselves original apostles, were acting in connexion and conjunction with the original apostles; for, after the completion of their journey, being sent on a particular commission to Jerusalem, they there related to the apostles‡ and elders the events and success of their ministry, and were, in return, recommended by them to the churches, “as men who had hazarded their lives in the cause.”

The treatment which they had experienced in the first progress did not deter them from preparing for a second. Upon a dispute, however, arising between them, but not connected with the common subject of their labours, they acted as wise and sincere men would act; they did not retire in disgust from the service in which they were engaged, but, each devoting his endeavours to the advancement of the religion, they parted from one another, and set forwards upon separate routes. The history goes along with one of them; and the second enterprise to him was attended with the same dangers and persecutions as both had met with in the first. The apostle's travels hitherto had been confined to Asia. He now crosses, for the first time, the Ægean sea, and carries with him, amongst others, the person whose accounts supply the information we are stating§. The first place in Greece at which he appears to have stopped, was Philippi in Macedonia. Here himself and one of his companions were cruelly whipped, cast into prison,

* Acts, xiii. 51. † Acts, xiv. 19. ‡ Acts, xv. 12—26.

§ Acts, xvi. 11.

and kept there under the most rigorous custody, being thrust, whilst yet smarting with their wounds, into the inner dungeon, and their feet made fast in the stocks*. Notwithstanding this unequivocal specimen of the usage which they had to look for in that country, they went forward in the execution of their errand. After passing through Amphipolis and Apollonia, they came to Thessalonica; in which city, the house in which they lodged was assailed by a party of their enemies, in order to bring them out to the populace. And when, fortunately for their preservation, they were not found at home, the master of the house was dragged before the magistrate for admitting them within his doors†. Their reception at the next city was something better: but neither had they continued long before their turbulent adversaries, the Jews, excited against them such commotions amongst the inhabitants, as obliged the apostle to make his escape by a private journey to Athens‡. The extremity of the progress was Corinth. His abode in this city, for some time, seems to have been without molestation. At length, however, the Jews found means to stir up an insurrection against him, and to bring him before the tribunal of the Roman president §. It was to the contempt which that magistrate entertained for the Jews and their controversies, of which he accounted Christianity to be one, that our apostle owed his deliverance ||.

This indefatigable teacher, after leaving Corinth, returned by Ephesus into Syria; and again visited Jerusalem, and the society of Christians in that city, which, as hath been repeatedly observed, still continued the

* Acts, xvi. 23, 24, 33. † Acts, xvii. 1—5. ‡ Acts, xvii. 13.

§ Acts, xviii. 12.

|| Acts, xviii. 15.

centre of the mission*. It suited not, however, with the activity of his zeal to remain long at Jerusalem. We find him going thence to Antioch, and, after some stay there, traversing once more the northern provinces of Asia Minor†. This progress ended at Ephesus; in which city, the apostle continued in the daily exercise of his ministry two years, and until his success, at length, excited the apprehensions of those who were interested in the support of the national worship. Their clamour produced a tumult, in which he had nearly lost his life‡. Undismayed, however, by the dangers to which he saw himself exposed, he was driven from Ephesus only to renew his labours in Greece. After passing over Macedonia, he thence proceeded to his former station at Corinth§. When he had formed his design of returning by a direct course from Corinth into Syria, he was compelled by a conspiracy of the Jews, who were prepared to intercept him on his way, to trace back his steps through Macedonia to Philippi, and thence to take shipping into Asia. Along the coast of Asia, he pursued his voyage with all the expedition he could command, in order to reach Jerusalem against the feast of Pentecost||. His reception at Jerusalem was of a piece with the usage he had experienced from the Jews in other places. He had been only a few days in that city, when the populace, instigated by some of his old opponents in Asia, who attended this feast, seized him in the temple, forced him out of it, and were ready immediately to have destroyed him, had not the sudden presence of the Roman guard rescued him out of their hands¶. The officer, however, who had thus seasonably interposed, acted from his care of the public peace, with the

* Acts, xviii. 22. † Acts, xviii. 23. ‡ Acts, xix. 1, 9, 10.

§ Acts, xx. 1, 2. || Acts, xx. 16. ¶ Acts, xxi. 27—33.

preservation of which he was charged, and not from any favour to the apostle, or indeed any disposition to exercise either justice or humanity towards him; for he had no sooner secured his person in the fortress, than he was proceeding to examine him by torture*.

From this time to the conclusion of the history, the apostle remains in public custody of the Roman government. After escaping assassination by a fortunate discovery of the plot, and delivering himself from the influence of his enemies by an appeal to the audience of the emperor †, he was sent, but not until he had suffered two years' imprisonment, to Rome ‡. He reached Italy, after a tedious voyage, and after encountering in his passage the perils of a desperate shipwreck §. But although still a prisoner, and his fate still depending, neither the various and long continued sufferings which he had undergone, nor the danger of his present situation, deterred him from persisting in preaching the religion; for the historian closes the account by telling us, that, for two years, he received all that came unto him in his own hired house, where he was permitted to dwell with a soldier that guarded him, "preaching the kingdom of God, and teaching those things which concern the Lord Jesus Christ, with all confidence."

Now the historian, from whom we have drawn this account, in the part of his narrative which relates to Saint Paul, is supported by the strongest corroborating testimony that a history can receive. We are in possession of letters written by Saint Paul himself upon the subject of his ministry, and either written during the period which the history comprises, or if written afterwards, reciting and referring to the transactions of that

* Acts, xxii. 24.

† Acts, xxv. 9, 11.

‡ Acts, xxiv. 27.

§ Acts, xxvii.

period. These letters, without borrowing from the history, or the history from them, unintentionally confirm the account which the history delivers, in a great variety of particulars. What belongs to our present purpose is the description exhibited of the apostle's sufferings: and the representation, given in the history, of the dangers and distresses which he underwent, not only agrees, in general, with the language which he himself uses whenever he speaks of his life or ministry, but is also, in many instances, attested by a specific correspondency of time, place, and order of events. If the historian put down in his narrative, that at Philippi the apostle "was beaten with many stripes, cast into prison, and there treated with rigour and indignity*;" we find him, in a letter† to a neighbouring church, reminding his converts, that, "after he had suffered before, and was shamefully entreated at Philippi, he was bold, nevertheless, to speak unto them (to whose city he next came) the Gospel of God." If the history relate‡, that, at Thessalonica, the house in which the apostle was lodged, when he first came to that place, was assaulted by the populace, and the master of it dragged before the magistrate for admitting such a guest within his doors; the apostle, in his letter to the Christians of Thessalonica, calls to their remembrance "how they had received the Gospel in much affliction§." If the history deliver an account of an insurrection at Ephesus, which had nearly cost the apostle his life; we have the apostle himself, in a letter written a short time after his departure from that city, describing his despair, and returning thanks for his deliverance||. If the history inform

* Acts, xvi. 23, 24.

† 1 Thess. ii. 2.

‡ Acts, xvii. 5.

§ 1 Thess. i. 6.

|| Acts, xix. 2 Cor. i. 8—10.

us, that the apostle was expelled from Antioch in Pisidia, attempted to be stoned at Iconium, and actually stoned at Lystra; there is preserved a letter from him to a favourite convert, whom, as the same history tells us, he first met with in these parts; in which letter he appeals to that disciple's knowledge "of the persecutions which befell him at Antioch, at Iconium, at Lystra*." If the history make the apostle, in his speech to the Ephesian elders, remind them, as one proof of the disinterestedness of his views, that, to their knowledge, he had supplied his own and the necessities of his companions by personal labour †; we find the same apostle, in a letter written during his residence at Ephesus, asserting of himself, "that even to that hour he laboured, working with his own hands ‡."

These coincidences, together with many relative to other parts of the apostle's history, and *all* drawn from independent sources, not only confirm the truth of the account, in the particular points as to which they are observed, but add much to the credit of the narrative in all its parts; and support the author's profession of being a contemporary of the person whose history he writes, and, throughout a material portion of his narrative, a companion.

What the epistles of the apostles declare of the suffering state of Christianity, the writings which remain of their companions and immediate followers expressly confirm.

Clement, who is honourably mentioned by Saint Paul in his epistle to the Philippians §, hath left us his attestation to this point, in the following words: "Let us

* Acts, xiii. 50; xiv. 5. 19. 2 Tim. iii. 10, 11.

† Acts, xx. 34. ‡ 1 Cor. iv. 11, 12. § Philipp. iv. 3.

take (says he) the examples of our own age. Through zeal and envy, the most faithful and righteous pillars of the church have been persecuted even to the most grievous deaths. Let us set before our eyes *the holy apostles*. Peter, by unjust envy, underwent, not one or two, but many sufferings; till at last, being martyred, he went to the place of glory that was due unto him. For the same cause did Paul, in like manner, receive the reward of his patience. Seven times he was in bonds; he was whipped, was stoned; he preached both in the East and in the West, leaving behind him the glorious report of his faith; and so having taught the whole world righteousness, and for that end travelled even unto the utmost bounds of the West, he at last suffered martyrdom by the command of the governors, and departed out of the world, and went unto his holy place, being become a most eminent pattern of patience unto all ages. To these holy apostles were joined a very great number of others, who, having through envy undergone, in like manner, many pains and torments, have left a glorious example to us. For this, not only men, but women, have been persecuted; and, having suffered very grievous and cruel punishments, have finished the course of their faith with firmness*.”

Hermas, saluted by Saint Paul in his epistle to the Romans, in a piece very little connected with historical recitals, thus speaks: “Such as have believed and suffered death for the name of Christ, and have endured with a ready mind, and have given up their lives with all their hearts†.”

Polycarp, the disciple of John (though all that re-

* Clem. ad Cor. c. v. vi. Abp. Wake's Trans.

† Shepherd of Hermas, c. xxviii.

mains of his works be a very short epistle), has not left this subject unnoticed. “ I exhort (says he) all of you, that ye obey the word of righteousness, and exercise all patience, which ye have seen set forth before your eyes, not only in the blessed Ignatius, and Lorimus, and Rufus, but in others among yourselves, and in *Paul himself and the rest of the apostles*; being confident in this, that all these have not run in vain, but in faith and righteousness; and are gone to the place that was due to them from the Lord, with whom also they suffered. For they loved not this present world, but Him who died, and was raised again by God for us*.”

Ignatius, the contemporary of Polycarp, recognises the same topic, briefly indeed, but positively and precisely. “ For this cause (*i. e.* having felt and handled Christ’s body after his resurrection, and being convinced, as Ignatius expresses it, both by his flesh and spirit), they (*i. e.* Peter, and those who were present with Peter at Christ’s appearance) despised death, and were found to be above it †.”

Would the reader know what a persecution in these days was, I would refer him to a circular letter, written by the church of Smyrna soon after the death of Polycarp, who, it will be remembered, had lived with Saint John; and which letter is entitled a relation of that bishop’s martyrdom. “ The sufferings (say they) of all the other martyrs were blessed and generous, which they underwent according to the will of God. For so it becomes us, who are more religious than others, to ascribe the power and ordering of all things unto him. And indeed who can choose but admire the greatness of their minds, and that admirable patience and love of their Master, which then appeared in them? Who,

* Pol. ad Phil. c. ix. † 19 Ep. Smyr. c. iii.

when they were so flayed with whipping, that the frame and structure of their bodies were laid open to their very inward veins and arteries, nevertheless endured it. In like manner, those who were condemned to the beasts, and kept a long time in prison, underwent many cruel torments, being forced to lie upon sharp spikes laid under their bodies, and tormented with divers other sorts of punishments; that so, if it were possible, the tyrant, by the length of their sufferings, might have brought them to deny Christ*.”

CHAPTER V.

There is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

ON the history, of which the last chapter contains an abstract, there are a few observations which it may be proper to make, by way of applying its testimony to the particular propositions for which we contend.

I. Although our Scripture history leaves the general account of the apostles in an early part of the narrative, and proceeds with the separate account of one particular apostle, yet the information which it delivers so far ex-

* Rel. Mor. Pol. c. ii.

tends to the rest, as it shows *the nature of the service*. When we see one apostle suffering persecution in the discharge of this commission, we shall not believe, without evidence, that the same office could, at the same time, be attended with ease and safety to others. And this fair and reasonable inference is confirmed by the direct attestation of the letters, to which we have so often referred. The writer of these letters not only alludes, in numerous passages, to his own sufferings, but speaks of the rest of the apostles as enduring like sufferings with himself. “I think that God hath set forth *us the apostles* last, as it were, appointed to death; for we are made a spectacle unto the world, and to angels, and to men;—even unto this present hour, we both hunger and thirst, and are naked, and are buffeted, and have no certain dwelling-place; and labour, working with our own hands: being reviled, we bless; being persecuted, we suffer it; being defamed, we entreat: we are made as the filth of the world, and as the off-scouring of all things unto this day*.” Add to which, that in the short account that is given of the other apostles in the former part of the history, and within the short period which that account comprises, we find, first, two of them seized, imprisoned, brought before the Sanhedrim, and threatened with further punishment†; then, the whole number imprisoned and beaten‡; soon afterwards, one of their adherents stoned to death, and so hot a persecution raised against the sect, as to drive most of them out of the place; a short time only succeeding, before one of the twelve was beheaded, and another sentenced to the same fate; and all this passing in the single city of Jerusalem, and within ten years

* 1 Cor. iv. 9, et seq. † Acts, iv. 3. 21. ‡ Acts, v. 18. 40.

after the Founder's death, and the commencement of the institution.

II. We take no credit at present for the miraculous part of the narrative, nor do we insist upon the correctness of single passages of it. If the whole story be not a novel, a romance; the whole action a dream; if Peter, and James, and Paul, and the rest of the apostles mentioned in the account, be not all imaginary persons; if their letters be not all forgeries, and, what is more, forgeries of names and characters which never existed; then is there evidence in our hands sufficient to support the only fact we contend for (and which, I repeat again, is, in itself, highly probable), that the original followers of Jesus Christ exerted great endeavours to propagate his religion, and underwent great labours, dangers, and sufferings, in consequence of their undertaking.

III. The general reality of the apostolic history is strongly confirmed by the consideration, that it, in truth, does no more than assign adequate causes for effects which certainly were produced, and describe consequences naturally resulting from situations which certainly existed. The *effects* were certainly there, of which this history sets forth the cause, and origin, and progress. It is acknowledged on all hands, because it is recorded by other testimony than that of the Christians themselves, that the religion began to prevail at that time, and in that country. It is very difficult to conceive how it could begin, or prevail at all, without the exertions of the Founder and his followers, in propagating the new persuasion. The history now in our hands describes these exertions, the persons employed, the means and endeavours made use of, and the labours undertaken in the prosecution of this purpose. Again, the treatment which the history represents the first

propagators of the religion to have experienced was no other than what naturally resulted from the situation in which they were confessedly placed. It is admitted that the religion was adverse, in a great degree, to the reigning opinions, and to the hopes and wishes of the nation to which it was first introduced; and that it overthrew, so far as it was received, the established theology and worship of every other country. We cannot feel much reluctance in believing that, when the messengers of such a system went about not only publishing their opinions, but collecting proselytes, and forming regular societies of proselytes, they should meet with opposition in their attempts, or that this opposition should sometimes proceed to fatal extremities. Our history details examples of this opposition, and of the sufferings and dangers which the emissaries of the religion underwent, perfectly agreeable to what might reasonably be expected, from the nature of their undertaking, compared with the character of the age and country in which it was carried on.

IV. The records before us supply evidence of what formed another member of our general proposition, and what, as hath already been observed, is highly probable, and almost a necessary consequence of their new profession, viz. that, together with activity and courage in propagating the religion, the primitive followers of Jesus assumed, upon their conversion, a new and peculiar course of private life. Immediately after their Master was withdrawn from them, we hear of their “continuing with one accord in prayer and supplication*,” of their “continuing daily with one accord in the temple†,” of “many being gathered together praying‡.” We

* Acts, i. 14.

† Acts, ii. 46.

‡ Acts, xii. 12.

know what strict injunctions were laid upon the converts by their teachers. Wherever they came, the first word of their preaching was, "Repent!" We know that these injunctions obliged them to refrain from many species of licentiousness, which were not, at that time, reputed criminal. We know the rules of purity, and the maxims of benevolence, which Christians read in their books; concerning which rules, it is enough to observe, that, if they were, I will not say completely obeyed, but in any degree regarded, they would produce a system of conduct, and, what is more difficult to preserve, a disposition of mind, and a regulation of affections, different from any thing to which they had hitherto been accustomed, and different from what they would see in others. The change and distinction of manners, which resulted from their new character, is perpetually referred to in the letters of their teachers. "And you hath he quickened, who *were* dead in trespasses and sins, wherein in *times past* ye walked, according to the course of this world, according to the prince of the power of the air, the spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience; among whom also we had our conversation in times past, in the lusts of our flesh, fulfilling the desires of the flesh, and of the mind, and were by nature the children of wrath, even as others*."—"For the *time past of our life* may suffice us to have wrought the will of the Gentiles, when we walked in lasciviousness, lusts, excess of wine, revellings, banquetings, and abominable idolatries; *wherein they think it strange that ye run not with them to the same excess of riot*†." Saint Paul, in his first letter to the Corinthians, after enumerating, as his manner was, a catalogue of vicious characters, adds,

* Eph. ii. 1—3. See also Tit. iii. 3. † 1 Pet. iv. 3, 4.

“ Such *were* some of you ; but ye are washed, but ye are sanctified *.” In like manner, and alluding to the same change of practices and sentiments, he asks the Roman Christians, “ what fruit they had in those things, whereof they are *now* ashamed † ?” The phrases which the same writer employs to describe the moral condition of Christians, compared with their condition before they became Christians, such as “ newness of life,” being “ freed from sin,” being “ dead to sin ;” “ the destruction of the body of sin, that, *for the future*, they should not serve sin ;” “ children of light and of the day,” as opposed to “ children of darkness and of the night ;” “ not sleeping as others ;” imply, at least, a new system of obligation, and, probably, a new series of conduct, commencing with their conversion.

The testimony which Pliny bears to the behaviour of the new sect in his time, and which testimony comes not more than fifty years after that of Saint Paul, is very applicable to the subject under consideration. The character which this writer gives of the Christians of that age, and which was drawn from a pretty accurate inquiry, because he considered their moral principles as the point in which the magistrate was interested, is as follows:—He tells the emperor, “ that some of those who had relinquished the society, or who, to save themselves, pretended that they had relinquished it, affirmed that they were wont to meet together, on a stated day, before it was light, and sang among themselves alternately a hymn to Christ as a god ; and to bind themselves by an oath, not to the commission of any wickedness ; but that they would not be guilty of theft, or robbery, or adultery ; that they would never

* 1 Cor. vi. 11.

† Rom. vi. 21.

falsify their word, or deny a pledge committed to them, when called upon to return it." This proves that a morality, more pure and strict than was ordinary, prevailed at that time in Christian societies. And to me it appears, that we are authorized to carry this testimony back to the age of the apostles; because it is not probable that the immediate hearers and disciples of Christ were more relaxed than their successors in Pliny's time, or the missionaries of the religion, than those whom they taught.

CHAPTER VI.

There is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

WHEN we consider, first, the prevalency of the religion at this hour; secondly, the only credible account which can be given of its origin, viz. the activity of the Founder and his associates; thirdly, the opposition which that activity must naturally have excited; fourthly, the fate of the Founder of the religion, attested by heathen writers as well as our own; fifthly, the testi-

mony of the same writers to the sufferings of Christians, either contemporary with, or immediately succeeding, the original settlers of the institution; sixthly, predictions of the sufferings of his followers ascribed to the Founder of the religion, which ascription alone proves, either that such predictions were delivered and fulfilled, or that the writers of Christ's life were induced by the event to attribute such predictions to him; seventhly, letters now in our possession, written by some of the principal agents in the transaction, referring expressly to extreme labours, dangers, and sufferings, sustained by themselves and their companions; lastly, a history purporting to be written by a fellow-traveller of one of the new teachers, and, by its unsophisticated correspondency with letters of that person still extant, proving itself to be written by some one well acquainted with the subject of the narrative, which history contains accounts of travels, persecutions, and martyrdoms, answering to what the former reasons lead us to expect: when we lay together these considerations, which, taken separately, are, I think, correctly, such as I have stated them in the preceding chapters, there cannot much doubt remain upon our minds, but that a number of persons at that time appeared in the world, publicly advancing an extraordinary story, and, for the sake of propagating the belief of that story, voluntarily incurring great personal dangers, traversing seas and kingdoms, exerting great industry, and sustaining great extremities of ill usage and persecution. It is also proved, that the same persons, in consequence of their persuasion, or pretended persuasion, of the truth of what they asserted, entered upon a course of life in many respects new and singular.

From the clear and acknowledged parts of the case, I think it to be likewise in the highest degree probable, that the story, for which these persons voluntarily exposed themselves to the fatigues and hardships which they endured, was a *miraculous* story; I mean, that they pretended to miraculous evidence of some kind or other. They had nothing else to stand upon. The designation of the person, that is to say, that Jesus of Nazareth, rather than any other person, was the Messiah, and as such the subject of their ministry, could only be founded upon supernatural tokens attributed to him. Here were no victories, no conquests, no revolutions, no surprising elevation of fortune, no achievements of valour, of strength, or of policy, to appeal to; no discoveries in any art or science, no great efforts of genius or learning to produce. A Galilean peasant was announced to the world as a divine lawgiver. A young man of mean condition, of a private and simple life, and who had wrought no deliverance for the Jewish nation, was declared to be their Messiah. This, without ascribing to him at the same time some proofs of his mission, (and what other but supernatural proofs could there be?) was too absurd a claim to be either imagined, or attempted, or credited. In whatever degree, or in whatever part, the religion was *argumentative*, when it came to the question, “Is the carpenter’s son of Nazareth the person whom we are to receive and obey?” there was nothing but the miracles attributed to him, by which his pretensions could be maintained for a moment. Every controversy and every question must presuppose these: for, however such controversies, when they did arise, might, and naturally would, be discussed upon their own grounds of argumentation, without citing the miraculous evidence which had been

asserted to attend the Founder of the religion (which would have been to enter upon another, and a more general question), yet we are to bear in mind, that without previously supposing the existence or the presence of such evidence, there could have been no place for the discussion of the argument at all. Thus, for example, whether the prophecies, which the Jews interpreted to belong to the Messiah, were, or were not applicable to the history of Jesus of Nazareth, was a natural subject of debate in those times; and the debate would proceed, without recurring at every turn to his miracles, because it set out with supposing these; inasmuch as without miraculous marks and tokens (real or pretended), or without some such great change effected by his means in the public condition of the country, as might have satisfied the then received interpretation of these prophecies, I do not see how the question could ever have been entertained. Apollos, we read, “mightily convinced the Jews, showing by the Scriptures that Jesus was Christ* ;” but unless Jesus had exhibited some distinction of his person, some proof of supernatural power, the argument from the old Scriptures could have had no place. It had nothing to attach upon. A young man calling himself the Son of God, gathering a crowd about him, and delivering to them lectures of morality, could not have excited so much as a doubt among the Jews, whether he was the object in whom a long series of ancient prophecies terminated, from the completion of which they had formed such magnificent expectations, and expectations of a nature so opposite to what appeared; I mean, no such doubt could exist when they had the whole case before them, when they saw him

* Acts, xviii. 28.

put to death for his officiousness, and when by his death the evidence concerning him was closed. Again, the *effect* of the Messiah's coming, supposing Jesus to have been he, upon Jews, upon Gentiles, upon their relation to each other, upon their acceptance with God, upon their duties and their expectations; his nature, authority, office, and agency; were likely to become subjects of much consideration with the early votaries of the religion, and to occupy their attention and writings. I should not however expect, that in these disquisitions, whether preserved in the form of letters, speeches, or set treatises, frequent or very direct mention of his miracles would occur. Still, miraculous evidence lay at the bottom of the argument. In the *primary* question, miraculous pretensions, and miraculous pretensions alone, were what they had to rely upon.

That the original story was miraculous, is very fairly also inferred from the miraculous powers which were laid claim to by the Christians of succeeding ages. If the accounts of these miracles be true, it was a continuation of the same powers; if they be false, it was an *imitation*, I will not say, of what had been wrought, but of what had been reported to have been wrought, by those who preceded them. That imitation should follow reality, fiction should be grafted upon truth; that, if miracles were performed at first, miracles should be pretended afterwards; agrees so well with the ordinary course of human affairs, that we can have no great difficulty in believing it. The contrary supposition is very improbable, namely, that miracles should be pretended to by the followers of the apostles and first emissaries of the religion, when none were pretended to, either in their own persons or that of their Master, by these apostles and emissaries themselves.

CHAPTER VII.

There is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

IT being then once proved, that the first propagators of the Christian institution did exert activity, and subject themselves to great dangers and sufferings, in consequence and for the sake of an extraordinary, and, I think, we may say, of a miraculous story of some kind or other; the next great question is, Whether the account, which our Scriptures contain, be that story; that which these men delivered, and for which they acted and suffered as they did? This question is, in effect, no other than whether the story which Christians have *now*, be the story which Christians had *then*? And of this the following proofs may be deduced from general considerations, and from considerations prior to any inquiry into the particular reasons and testimonies by which the authority of our histories is supported.

In the first place, there exists no trace or vestige of any other story. It is not, like the death of Cyrus the Great, a competition between opposite accounts, or between the credit of different historians. There is

not a document, or scrap of account, either contemporary with the commencement of Christianity, or extant within many ages after that commencement, which assigns a history substantially different from ours. The remote, brief, and incidental notices of the affair, which are found in heathen writers, so far as they do go, go along with us. They bear testimony to these facts:— that the institution originated from Jesus; that the Founder was put to death, as a malefactor, at Jerusalem, by the authority of the Roman governor, Pontius Pilate; that the religion nevertheless spread in that city, and throughout Judea; and that it was propagated thence to distant countries; that the converts were numerous; that they suffered great hardships and injuries for their profession; and that all this took place in the age of the world which our books have assigned. They go on further, to describe the *manners* of Christians, in terms perfectly conformable to the accounts extant in our books; that they were wont to assemble on a certain day; that they sang hymns to Christ as to a god; that they bound themselves by an oath not to commit any crime, but to abstain from theft and adultery, to adhere strictly to their promises, and not to deny money deposited in their hands*; that they worshipped him who was crucified in Palestine; that this their first lawgiver had taught them that they were all brethren; that they had a great contempt for the things of this world, and looked upon them as common; that

* See Pliny's Letter.—Bonnet, in his lively way of expressing himself, says,—“Comparing Pliny's Letter with the account of the Acts, it seems to me that I had not taken up another author, but that I was still reading the historian of that extraordinary society.” This is strong; but there is undoubtedly an affinity, and all the affinity that could be expected.

they flew to one another's relief; that they cherished strong hopes of immortality; that they despised death, and surrendered themselves to sufferings*. This is the account of writers who viewed the subject at a great distance; who were uninformed and uninterested about it. It bears the characters of such an account upon the face of it, because it describes effects, namely, the appearance in the world of a new religion, and the conversion of great multitudes to it, without descending, in the smallest degree, to the detail of the transaction upon which it was founded, the interior of the institution, the evidence or arguments offered by those who drew over others to it. Yet still here is no contradiction of our story; no other or different story set up against it: but so far a confirmation of it, as that, in the general points on which the heathen account touches, it agrees with that which we find in our own books.

The same may be observed of the very few Jewish writers, of that and the adjoining period, which have come down to us. Whatever they omit, or whatever difficulties we may find in explaining the omission, they advance no other history of the transaction than that which we acknowledge. Josephus, who wrote his An-

* "It is incredible what expedition they use when any of their friends are known to be in trouble. In a word, they spare nothing upon such an occasion;—for these miserable men have no doubt they shall be immortal and live for ever; therefore they contemn death, and many surrender themselves to sufferings. Moreover, their first lawgiver has taught them that they are all brethren, when once they have turned and renounced the gods of the Greeks, and worship this Master of theirs who was crucified, and engage to live according to his laws. They have also a sovereign contempt for all the things of this world, and look upon them as common." Lucian. de Morte Peregrini, t. i. p. 565. ed. Græv.

tiquities, or History of the Jews, about sixty years after the commencement of Christianity, in a passage generally admitted as genuine, makes mention of John under the name of John the Baptist; that he was a preacher of virtue; that he baptized his proselytes; that he was well received by the people; that he was imprisoned and put to death by Herod; and that Herod lived in a criminal cohabitation with Herodias, his brother's wife*. In another passage allowed by many, although not without considerable question being moved about it, we hear of "James, the brother of him who was called Jesus, and of his being put to death†." In a third passage, extant in every copy that remains of Josephus's History, but the authenticity of which has nevertheless been long disputed, we have an explicit testimony to the substance of our history in these words:—"At that time lived Jesus, a wise man, if he may be called a man, for he performed many wonderful works. He was a teacher of such men as received the truth with pleasure. He drew over to him many Jews and Gentiles. This was the Christ; and when Pilate, at the instigation of the chief men among us, had condemned him to the cross, they who before had conceived an affection for him, did not cease to adhere to him; for, on the third day, he appeared to them alive again, the divine prophets having foretold these and many wonderful things concerning him. And the sect of the Christians, so called from him, subsists to this time‡." Whatever become of the controversy concerning the genuineness of this passage; whether Josephus go the whole length of our history, which, if the passage be sincere, he does;

* Antiq. l. xviii. cap. v. sect. 1, 2.
sect. 1.

† Antiq. l. xx. cap. ix.

‡ Antiq. l. xviii. cap. iii. sect. 3.

or whether he proceed only a very little way with us, which, if the passage be rejected, we confess to be the case; still what we asserted is true, that he gives no other or different history of the subject from ours, no other or different account of the origin of the institution. And I think also that it may with great reason be contended, either that the passage is genuine, or that the silence of Josephus was *designed*. For, although we should lay aside the authority of our own books entirely, yet when Tacitus, who wrote not twenty, perhaps not ten, years after Josephus, in his account of a period in which Josephus was nearly thirty years of age, tells us, that a vast multitude of Christians were condemned at Rome; that they derived their denomination from Christ, who, in the reign of Tiberius, was put to death, as a criminal, by the procurator, Pontius Pilate; that the superstition had spread not only over Judea, the source of the evil, but had reached Rome also:—when Suetonius, an historian contemporary with Tacitus, relates that, in the time of Claudius, the Jews were making disturbances at Rome, Christus being their leader; and that, during the reign of Nero, the Christians were punished; under both which emperors, Josephus lived: when Pliny, who wrote his celebrated epistle not more than thirty years after the publication of Josephus's history, found the Christians in such numbers in the province of Bithynia, as to draw from him a complaint, that the contagion had seized cities, towns, and villages, and had so seized them as to produce a general desertion of the public rites; and when, as has already been observed, there is no reason for imagining that the Christians were more numerous in Bithynia than in many other parts of the Roman empire: it cannot, I should suppose, after this, be believed, that the religion, and

the transaction upon which it was founded, were too obscure to engage the attention of Josephus, or to obtain a place in his history. Perhaps he did not know how to represent the business, and disposed of his difficulties by passing it over in silence. Eusebius wrote the life of Constantine, yet omits entirely the most remarkable circumstance in that life, the death of his son Crispus; undoubtedly for the reason here given. The reserve of Josephus upon the subject of Christianity appears also in his passing over the banishment of the Jews by Claudius, which Suetonius, we have seen, has recorded with an express reference to Christ. This is at least as remarkable as his silence about the infants of Bethlehem*. Be, however, the fact, or the cause of the omission in Josephus†, what it may, no other or different history on the subject has been given by him, or is pretended to have been given.

But further; the whole series of Christian writers, from the first age of the institution down to the present, in their discussions, apologies, arguments, and con-

* Michaelis has computed, and, as it should seem, fairly enough, that probably not more than twenty children perished by this cruel precaution. Michaelis's Introduction to the New Testament, translated by Marsh; vol. i. c. ii. sect. 11.

† There is no notice taken of Christianity in the Mishna, a collection of Jewish traditions compiled about the year 180; although it contains a Tract "De cultu peregrino," of strange or idolatrous worship; yet it cannot be disputed but that Christianity was perfectly well known in the world at this time. There is extremely little notice of the subject in the Jerusalem Talmud, compiled about the year 300, and not much more in the Babylonish Talmud, of the year 500; although both these works are of a religious nature, and although, when the first was compiled, Christianity was on the point of becoming the religion of the state, and, when the latter was published, had been so for 200 years.

troversies, proceed upon the general story which our Scriptures contain, and upon no other. The main facts, the principal agents, are alike in all. This argument will appear to be of great force, when it is known that we are able to trace back the series of writers to a contact with the historical books of the New Testament, and to the age of the first emissaries of the religion, and to deduce it, by an unbroken continuation, from that end of the train to the present.

The remaining letters of the apostles, (and what more original than *their* letters can we have?) though written without the remotest design of transmitting the history of Christ, or of Christianity, to future ages, or even of making it known to their contemporaries, incidentally disclose to us the following circumstances:—Christ's descent and family; his innocence; the meekness and gentleness of his character (a recognition which goes to the whole Gospel history); his exalted nature; his circumcision; his transfiguration; his life of opposition and suffering; his patience and resignation; the appointment of the eucharist, and the manner of it; his agony; his confession before Pontius Pilate; his stripes, crucifixion, and burial; his resurrection; his appearance after it, first to Peter, then to the rest of the apostles; his ascension into heaven; and his designation to be the future judge of mankind;—the stated residence of the apostles at Jerusalem; the working of miracles by the first preachers of the Gospel, who were also the hearers of Christ*;—the successful propagation of the religion;

* Heb. ii. 3. "How shall we escape, if we neglect so great salvation, which, at the first, began to be spoken by the Lord, and was confirmed unto us *by them that heard him*, God also bearing them witness, both *with signs and wonders*, and with *divers miracles*, and gifts of the Holy Ghost?" I allege this epistle without hesitation;

the persecution of its followers; the miraculous conversion of Paul; miracles wrought by himself, and alleged in his controversies with his adversaries, and in letters to the persons amongst whom they were wrought; finally, that MIRACLES *were the signs of an apostle**.

In an epistle, bearing the name of Barnabas, the companion of Paul, probably genuine, certainly belonging to that age, we have the sufferings of Christ, his choice of apostles and their number, his passion, the scarlet robe, the vinegar and gall, the mocking and piercing, the casting lots for his coat †, his resurrection on the eighth (*i. e.* the first day of the week ‡), and the commemorative distinction of that day, his manifestation after his resurrection, and, lastly, his ascension. We have also his miracles generally but positively referred to in the following words:—"Finally, teaching the people of Israel, and *doing many wonders and signs among them*, he preached to them, and showed the exceeding great love which he bare towards them §."

In an epistle of Clement, a hearer of St. Paul, although written for a purpose remotely connected with the Christian history, we have the resurrection of Christ,

for, whatever doubts may have been raised about its author, there can be none concerning the age in which it was written. No epistle in the collection carries about it more indubitable marks of antiquity than this does. It speaks, for instance, throughout, of the temple as then standing, and of the worship of the temple as then subsisting.—Heb. viii. 4: "For, if he were on earth, he should not be a priest, seeing there *are* priests that offer according to the law."—Again, Heb. xiii. 10: "We have an altar whereof they have no right to eat which *serve* the tabernacle."

* "Truly *the signs of an apostle* were wrought among you in all patience, in signs, and wonders, and mighty deeds." 2 Cor. xii. 12.

† Ep. Bar. c. vii.

‡ Ibid. c. vi.

§ Ibid. c. v.

and the subsequent mission of the apostles, recorded in these satisfactory terms: “The apostles have preached to us from our Lord Jesus Christ from God:—For, having received their command, and being *thoroughly assured by the resurrection of our Lord Jesus Christ*, they went abroad, publishing that the kingdom of God was at hand*.” We find noticed also, the humility, yet the power of Christ †, his descent from Abraham, his crucifixion. We have Peter and Paul represented as faithful and righteous pillars of the church; the numerous sufferings of Peter; the bonds, stripes, and stoning of Paul, and more particularly his extensive and unwearied travels.

In an epistle of Polycarp, a disciple of St. John, though only a brief hortatory letter, we have the humility, patience, sufferings, resurrection, and ascension of Christ, together with the apostolic character of St. Paul, distinctly recognised ‡. Of this same father we are also assured by Irenæus, that he (Irenæus) had heard him relate, “what he had received from eye-witnesses concerning the Lord, both *concerning his miracles* and his doctrine §.”

In the remaining works of Ignatius, the contemporary of Polycarp, larger than those of Polycarp (yet, like those of Polycarp, treating of subjects in nowise leading to any recital of the Christian history), the occasional allusions are proportionably more numerous. The descent of Christ from David, his mother Mary, his miraculous conception, the star at his birth, his baptism by John, the reason assigned for it, his appeal to the prophets, the ointment poured on his head, his sufferings

* Ep. Clem. Rom. c. xlii. † Ep. Clem. Rom. c. xvi. ‡ Pol. Ep. ad Phil. c. v. viii. ii. iii. § Ir. ad Flor. ap. Euseb. l. v. c. 20.

under Pontius Pilate and Herod the tetrarch, his resurrection, the Lord's day called and kept in commemoration of it, and the eucharist, in both its parts,—are unequivocally referred to. Upon the resurrection, this writer is even circumstantial. He mentions the apostles' eating and drinking with Christ after he had risen, their feeling and their handling him; from which last circumstance Ignatius raises this just reflection;—“ They believed, being convinced both by his flesh and spirit; for this cause, they despised death, and were found to be above it*.”

Quadratus, of the same age with Ignatius, has left us the following noble testimony:—“ The works of our Saviour were always conspicuous, for they were real; both those that were healed, and those that were raised from the dead; who were seen not only when they were healed or raised, but for a long time afterwards; not only whilst he dwelled on this earth, but also after his departure, and for a good while after it, insomuch that some of them have reached to our times†.”

Justin Martyr came little more than thirty years after Quadratus. From Justin's works, which are still extant, might be collected a tolerably complete account of Christ's life, in all points agreeing with that which is delivered in our Scriptures; taken indeed, in a great measure, from those Scriptures, but still proving that this account, and no other, was the account known and extant in that age. The miracles in particular, which form the part of Christ's history most material to be traced, stand fully and distinctly recognised in the following passage:—“ He healed those who had been blind, and deaf, and lame from their birth; causing,

* Ad Smyr. c. iii.

† Ap. Euseb. H. E. lib. 4. c. 3.

by his word, one to leap, another to hear, and a third to see: and, by raising the dead, and making them to live, he induced, by his works, the men of that age to know him*.”

It is unnecessary to carry these citations lower, because the history, after this time, occurs in ancient Christian writings as familiarly as it is wont to do in modern sermons;—occurs always the same in substance, and always that which our evangelists represent.

This is not only true of those writings of Christians, which are genuine, and of acknowledged authority; but it is, in a great measure, true of *all* their ancient writings which remain; although some of these may have been erroneously ascribed to authors to whom they did not belong, or may contain false accounts, or may appear to be undeserving of credit, or never indeed to have obtained any. Whatever fables they have mixed with the narrative, they preserve the material parts, the leading facts, as we have them; and, so far as they do this, although they be evidence of nothing else, they are evidence that these points were *fixed*, were received and acknowledged by all Christians in the ages in which the books were written. At least, it may be asserted, that, in the places where we were most likely to meet with such things, if such things had existed, no reliques appear of any story substantially different from the present, as the cause, or as the pretence, of the institution.

Now that the original story, the story delivered by the first preachers of the institution, should have died away so entirely as to have left no record or memorial of its existence, although so many records and me-

* Just. Dial. cum Tryph. p. 288. ed. Thirl.

morials of the time and transaction remain; and that another story should have stepped into its place, and gained exclusive possession of the belief of all who professed themselves disciples of the institution, is beyond any example of the corruption of even oral tradition, and still less consistent with the experience of written history: and this improbability, which is very great, is rendered still greater by the reflection, that no such *change* as the oblivion of one story, and the substitution of another, took place in any future period of the Christian æra. Christianity hath travelled through dark and turbulent ages; nevertheless it came out of the cloud and the storm, such, in substance, as it entered in. Many additions were made to the primitive history, and these entitled to different degrees of credit; many doctrinal errors also were from time to time grafted into the public creed; but still the original story remained, and remained the same. In all its principal parts, it has been fixed from the beginning.

Thirdly: The religious rites and usages that prevailed amongst the early disciples of Christianity were such as belonged to, and sprung out of, the narrative now in our hands; which accordancy shows, that it was the narrative upon which these persons acted, and which they had received from their teachers. Our account makes the Founder of the religion direct that his disciples should be baptized: we know that the first Christians were baptized. Our account makes him direct that they should hold religious assemblies: we find that they did hold religious assemblies. Our accounts make the apostles assemble upon a stated day of the week: we find, and that from information perfectly independent of our accounts, that the Christians of the first century did observe stated days of assembling. Our histories

record the institution of the rite which we call the Lord's Supper, and a command to repeat it in perpetual succession: we find, amongst the early Christians, the celebration of this rite universal. And, indeed, we find concurring in all the above-mentioned observances, Christian societies of many different nations and languages, removed from one another by a great distance of place and dissimilitude of situation. It is also extremely material to remark, that there is no room for insinuating that our books were fabricated with a studious accommodation to the usages which obtained at the time they were written; that the authors of the books found the usages established, and framed the story to account for their original. The Scripture accounts, especially of the Lord's Supper, are too short and cursory, not to say too obscure, and, in this view, deficient, to allow a place for any such suspicion*.

Amongst the proofs of the truth of our proposition, viz. that the story, which we have *now*, is, in substance, the story which the Christians had *then*, or, in other words, that the accounts in our Gospels are, as to their principal parts at least, the accounts which the apostles and original teachers of the religion delivered, one arises from observing, that it appears by the Gospels themselves, that the story was public at the time; that the Christian community was already in possession of the substance and principal parts of the narrative. The Gospels were not the original cause of the Christian history being believed, but were themselves among the

* The reader who is conversant in these researches, by comparing the short Scripture accounts of the Christian rites above-mentioned, with the minute and circumstantial directions contained in the pretended apostolical constitutions, will see the force of this observation; the difference between truth and forgery.

consequences of that belief. This is expressly affirmed by Saint Luke, in his brief, but, as I think, very important and instructive preface:—"Forasmuch (says the evangelist) as many have taken in hand to set forth in order a declaration of those things *which are most surely believed amongst us, even as they delivered them unto us, which, from the beginning, were eye-witnesses and ministers of the word*; it seemed good to me also, having had perfect understanding of all things from the very first, to write unto thee in order, most excellent Theophilus, that thou mightest know the certainty of those things *wherein thou hast been instructed*."—This short introduction testifies, that the substance of the history, which the evangelist was about to write, was already believed by Christians; that it was believed upon the declarations of eye-witnesses and ministers of the word; that it formed the account of their religion in which Christians were instructed; that the office which the historian proposed to himself was to trace each particular to its origin, and to fix the certainty of many things which the reader had before heard of. In Saint John's Gospel, the same point appears hence, that there are some principal facts, to which the historian refers, but which he does not relate. A remarkable instance of this kind is the *ascension*, which is not mentioned by Saint John in its place, at the conclusion of his history; but which is plainly referred to in the following words of the sixth chapter*: "What and if ye shall see the Son of man ascend up where he was before?" And still more positively in the words which Christ, according to our evangelist, spoke to Mary after his resurrection, "Touch me not, for I am not yet ascended

* Also John, iii. 31; and xvi. 28.

to my Father: but go unto my brethren, and say unto them, I ascend unto my Father and your Father, unto my God and your God*." This can only be accounted for by the supposition that Saint John wrote under a sense of the notoriety of Christ's ascension, amongst those by whom his book was likely to be read. The same account must also be given of Saint Matthew's omission of the same important fact. The thing was very well known, and it did not occur to the historian that it was necessary to add any particulars concerning it. It agrees also with this solution, and with no other, that neither Matthew, nor John, disposes of the person of our Lord in any manner whatever. Other intimations in Saint John's Gospel of the then general notoriety of the story are the following: His manner of introducing his narrative (ch. i. ver. 15.)—"John bare witness of him, and cried, saying"—evidently presupposes that his readers knew who John was. His rapid parenthetical reference to John's imprisonment, "for John was not yet cast into prison†," could only come from a writer whose mind was in the habit of considering John's imprisonment as perfectly notorious. The description of Andrew by the addition "Simon Peter's brother‡," takes it for granted, that Simon Peter was well known. His name had not been mentioned before. The evangelist's noticing§ the prevailing misconstruction of a discourse, which Christ held with the beloved disciple, proves that the characters and the discourse were already public. And the observation which these instances afford is of equal validity for the purpose of the present argument, whoever were the authors of the histories.

These *four* circumstances;—first, the recognition of

* John, x. 17. † Ibid. iii. 24. ‡ Ibid. i. 40. § Ibid. xxi. 24.

the account in its principal parts, by a series of succeeding writers; secondly, the total absence of any account of the origin of the religion substantially different from ours; thirdly, the early and extensive prevalence of rites and institutions, which result from our account; fourthly, our account bearing, in its construction, proof that it is an account of facts, which were known and believed at the time;—are sufficient, I conceive, to support an assurance, that the story which we have now is, in general, the story which Christians had at the beginning. I say *in general*; by which term I mean, that it is the same in its texture, and in its principal facts. For instance, I make no doubt, for the reasons above stated, but that the resurrection of the Founder of the religion was always a part of the Christian story. Nor can a doubt of this remain upon the mind of any one who reflects that the resurrection is, in some form or other, asserted, referred to, or assumed, in every Christian writing, of every description, which hath come down to us.

And if our evidence stopped here, we should have a strong case to offer: for we should have to allege, that, in the reign of Tiberius Cæsar, a certain number of persons set about an attempt of establishing a new religion in the world: in the prosecution of which purpose, they voluntarily encountered great dangers, undertook great labours, sustained great sufferings, all *for* a miraculous story, which they published wherever they came; and that the resurrection of a dead man, whom during his life they had followed and accompanied, was a constant part of this story. I know nothing in the above statement which can, with any appearance of reason, be disputed; and I know nothing, in the history of the human species, similar to it.

CHAPTER VIII.

There is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

THAT the story which we have now is, in the main, the story which the apostles published, is, I think, nearly certain, from the considerations which have been proposed. But whether, when we come to the particulars, and the detail of the narrative, the historical books of the New Testament be deserving of credit as histories, so that a fact ought to be accounted true, because it is found in them; or whether they are entitled to be considered as representing the accounts which, true or false, the apostles published;—whether their authority, in either of these views, can be trusted to, is a point which necessarily depends upon what we know of the books, and of their authors.

Now, in treating of this part of our argument, the first and most material observation upon the subject is, that such was the situation of the authors to whom the four Gospels are ascribed, that, if any one of the four be genuine, it is sufficient for our purpose. The received author of the first was an original apostle and emissary of the religion. The received author of the

second was an inhabitant of Jerusalem at the time, to whose house the apostles were wont to resort, and himself an attendant upon one of the most eminent of that number. The received author of the third was a stated companion and fellow-traveller of the most active of all the teachers of the religion, and in the course of his travels frequently in the society of the original apostles. The received author of the fourth, as well as of the first, was one of these apostles. No stronger evidence of the truth of a history can arise from the situation of the historian, than what is here offered. The authors of all the histories lived at the time and upon the spot. The authors of two of the histories were present at many of the scenes which they describe; eye-witnesses of the facts, ear-witnesses of the discourses; writing from personal knowledge and recollection; and, what strengthens their testimony, writing upon a subject in which their minds were deeply engaged, and in which, as they must have been very frequently repeating the accounts to others, the passages of the history would be kept continually alive in their memory. Whoever reads the Gospels (and they ought to be read for this particular purpose), will find in them not merely a general affirmation of miraculous powers, but detailed circumstantial accounts of miracles, with specifications of time, place, and persons; and these accounts many and various. In the Gospels, therefore, which bear the names of Matthew and John, these narratives, if they really proceeded from these men, must either be true, as far as the fidelity of human recollection is usually to be depended upon, that is, must be true in substance, and in their principal parts (which is sufficient for the purpose of proving a supernatural agency), or they must be wilful and meditated falsehoods. Yet the writers who fabricated and uttered

these falsehoods, if they be such, are of the number of those who, unless the whole contexture of the Christian story be a dream, sacrificed their ease and safety in the cause, and for a purpose the most inconsistent that is possible with dishonest intentions. They were villains for no end but to teach honesty, and martyrs without the least prospect of honour or advantage.

The Gospels which bear the name of Mark and Luke, although not the narratives of eye-witnesses, are, if genuine, removed from that only by one degree. They are the narratives of contemporary writers, or writers themselves mixing with the business; one of the two probably living in the place which was the principal scene of action; both living in habits of society and correspondence with those who had been present at the transactions which they relate. The latter of them accordingly tells us (and with apparent sincerity, because he tells it without pretending to personal knowledge, and without claiming for his work greater authority than belonged to it), that the things which were believed amongst Christians came from those who from the beginning were eye-witnesses and ministers of the word; that he had traced accounts up to their source; and that he was prepared to instruct his reader in the certainty of the things which he related*. Very few histories lie so close to their facts; very few historians are so nearly connected with the subject of their narrative, or possess such means of authentic information, as these.

The situation of the writers applies to the *truth* of

* Why should not the candid and modest preface of this historian be believed, as well as that which Dion Cassius prefixes to his *Life of Commodus*? "These things and the following I write not from the report of others, but from my own knowledge and observation." I see no reason to doubt but that both passages describe truly enough the situation of the authors.

the facts which they record. But at present we use their testimony to a point somewhat short of this, namely, that the facts recorded in the Gospels, whether true or false, are the facts, and the sort of facts, which the original preachers of the religion alleged. Strictly speaking, I am concerned only to show, that what the Gospels contain is the same as what the apostles preached. Now, how stands the proof of this point? A set of men went about the world, publishing a story composed of miraculous accounts (for miraculous from the very nature and exigency of the case they must have been), and, upon the strength of these accounts, called upon mankind to quit the religions in which they had been educated, and to take up, thenceforth, a new system of opinions, and new rules of action. What is more in attestation of these accounts, that is, in support of an institution of which these accounts were the foundation, is, that the same men voluntarily exposed themselves to harassing and perpetual labours, dangers, and sufferings. We want to know what these accounts were. We have the particulars, *i. e.* many particulars, from two of their own number. We have them from an attendant of one of the number, and who, there is reason to believe, was an inhabitant of Jerusalem at the time. We have them from a fourth writer, who accompanied the most laborious missionary of the institution in his travels; who, in the course of these travels, was frequently brought into the society of the rest; and who, let it be observed, begins his narrative by telling us that he is about to relate the things which had been delivered by those who were ministers of the word, and eye-witnesses of the facts. I do not know what information can be more satisfactory than this. We may, perhaps, perceive the force and value of it more

sensibly, if we reflect how *requiring* we should have been if we had wanted it. Supposing it to be sufficiently proved, that the religion now professed among us owed its original to the preaching and ministry of a number of men, who, about eighteen centuries ago, set forth in the world a new system of religious opinions, founded upon certain extraordinary things which they related of a wonderful person who had appeared in Judea; suppose it to be also sufficiently proved, that, in the course and prosecution of their ministry, these men had subjected themselves to extreme hardships, fatigue, and peril; but suppose the accounts which they published had not been committed to writing till some ages after their times, or at least that no histories, but what had been composed some ages afterwards, had reached our hands; we should have said, and with reason, that we were willing to believe these men under the circumstances in which they delivered their testimony, but that we did not, at this day, know with sufficient evidence what their testimony was. Had we received the particulars of it from any of their own number, from any of those who lived and conversed with them, from any of their hearers, or even from any of their contemporaries, we should have had something to rely upon. Now, if our books be genuine, we have all these. We have the very species of information which, as it appears to me, our imagination would have carved out for us, if it had been wanting.

But I have said, that, if *any one* of the four Gospels be genuine, we have not only direct historical testimony to the point we contend for, but testimony which, so far as that point is concerned, cannot reasonably be rejected. If the first Gospel was really written by Matthew, we have the narrative of one of the number,

from which to judge what were the miracles, and the kind of miracles, which the apostles attributed to Jesus. Although, for argument's sake, and only for argument's sake, we should allow that this Gospel had been erroneously ascribed to Matthew; yet, if the Gospel of Saint John be genuine, the observation holds with no less strength. Again, although the Gospels both of Matthew and John could be supposed to be spurious, yet, if the Gospel of Saint Luke were truly the composition of that person, or of any person, be his name what it might, who was actually in the situation in which the author of that Gospel professes himself to have been, or if the Gospel which bears the name of Mark really proceeded from him; we still, even upon the lowest supposition, possess the accounts of one writer at least, who was not only contemporary with the apostles, but associated with them in their ministry; which authority seems sufficient, when the question is simply what it was which these apostles advanced.

I think it material to have this well noticed. The New Testament contains a great number of distinct writings, the genuineness of any one of which is almost sufficient to prove the truth of the religion: it contains, however, four distinct histories, the genuineness of any one of which is perfectly sufficient. If, therefore, we must be considered as encountering the risk of error in assigning the authors of our books, we are entitled to the advantage of so many separate probabilities. And although it should appear that some of the evangelists had seen and used each other's works, this discovery, whilst it subtracts indeed from their characters as testimonies strictly independent, diminishes, I conceive, little, either their separate authority (by which I mean the authority of any one that is genuine), or their

mutual confirmation. For, let the most disadvantageous supposition possible be made concerning them; let it be allowed, what I should have no great difficulty in admitting, that Mark compiled his history almost entirely from those of Matthew and Luke; and let it also for a moment be supposed that these histories were not, in fact, written by Matthew and Luke; yet, if it be true that Mark, a contemporary of the apostles, living in habits of society with the apostles, a fellow-traveller and fellow-labourer with some of them; if, I say, it be true that this person made the compilation, it follows, that the writings from which he made it existed in the time of the apostles, and not only so, but that they were then in such esteem and credit, that a companion of the apostles formed a history out of them. Let the Gospel of Mark be called an epitome of that of Matthew; if a person in the situation in which Mark is described to have been actually made the epitome, it affords the strongest possible attestation to the character of the original.

Again, parallelisms in sentences, in words, and in the order of words, have been traced out between the Gospel of Matthew and that of Luke; which concurrence cannot easily be explained otherwise than by supposing, either that Luke had consulted Matthew's history, or, what appears to me in nowise incredible, that minutes of some of Christ's discourses, as well as brief memoirs of some passages of his life, had been committed to writing at the time; and that such written accounts had by both authors been occasionally admitted into their histories. Either supposition is perfectly consistent with the acknowledged formation of St. Luke's narrative, who professes not to write as an eye-witness, but to have investigated the original of every account

which he delivers: in other words, to have collected them from such documents and testimonies, as he, who had the best opportunities of making inquiries, judged to be authentic. Therefore, allowing that this writer also, in some instances, borrowed from the Gospel which we call Matthew's, and once more allowing, for the sake of stating the argument, that that Gospel was not the production of the author to whom we ascribe it; yet still we have, in St. Luke's Gospel, a history given by a writer immediately connected with the transaction, with the witnesses of it, with the persons engaged in it, and composed from materials which that person, thus situated, deemed to be safe sources of intelligence; in other words, whatever supposition be made concerning any or all the other Gospels, if Saint Luke's Gospel be genuine, we have *in it* a credible evidence of the point which we maintain.

The Gospel according to Saint John appears to be, and is on all hands allowed to be, an independent testimony, strictly and properly so called. Notwithstanding, therefore, any connexion, or supposed connexion, between some of the Gospels, I again repeat what I before said, that if any one of the four be genuine, we have, in that one, strong reason, from the character and situation of the writer, to believe that we possess the accounts which the original emissaries of the religion delivered.

Secondly: In treating of the written evidences of Christianity, next to their separate, we are to consider their aggregate authority. Now, there is in the evangelic history a cumulation of testimony which belongs hardly to any other history, but which our habitual mode of reading the Scriptures sometimes causes us to overlook. When a passage, in any wise

relating to the history of Christ, is read to us out of the epistle of Clemens Romanus, the epistles of Ignatius, of Polycarp, or from any other writing of that age, we are immediately sensible of the confirmation which it affords to the Scripture account. Here is a new witness. Now, if we had been accustomed to read the Gospel of Matthew alone, and had known that of Luke only as the generality of Christians know the writings of the apostolical fathers, that is, had known that such a writing was extant and acknowledged; when we came, for the first time, to look into what it contained, and found many of the facts which Matthew recorded, recorded also there, many other facts of a similar nature added, and throughout the whole work the same general series of transactions stated, and the same general character of the person who was the subject of the history preserved, I apprehend that we should feel our minds strongly impressed by this discovery of fresh evidence. We should feel a renewal of the same sentiment in first reading the Gospel of Saint John. That of Saint Mark perhaps would strike us as an abridgement of the history with which we were already acquainted; but we should naturally reflect, that if that history was abridged by such a person as Mark, or by any person of so early an age, it afforded one of the highest possible attestations to the value of the work. This successive disclosure of proof would leave us assured, that there must have been at least some reality in a story which not one, but many, had taken in hand to commit to writing. The very existence of four separate histories would satisfy us that the subject had a foundation; and when, amidst the variety which the different information of the different writers had supplied to their accounts, or which their different choice and judgement in selecting

their materials had produced, we observed many facts to stand the same in all; of these facts, at least, we should conclude, that they were fixed in their credit and publicity. If, after this, we should come to the knowledge of a distinct history, and that also of the same age with the rest, taking up the subject where the others had left it, and carrying on a narrative of the effects produced in the world by the extraordinary causes of which we had already been informed, and which effects subsist at this day, we should think the reality of the original story in no little degree established by this supplement. If subsequent inquiries should bring to our knowledge, one after another, letters written by some of the principal agents in the business, upon the business, and during the time of their activity and concern in it, assuming all along and recognising the original story, agitating the questions that arose out of it, pressing the obligations which resulted from it, giving advice and directions to those who acted upon it; I conceive that we should find, in every one of these, a still further support to the conclusion we had formed. At present, the weight of this successive confirmation is, in a great measure, unperceived by us. The evidence does not appear to us what it is; for, being from our infancy accustomed to regard the New Testament as one book, we see in it only one testimony. The whole occurs to us as a single evidence; and its different parts, not as distinct attestations, but as different portions only of the same. Yet in this conception of the subject, we are certainly mistaken; for the very discrepancies among the several documents which form our volume prove, if all other proof were wanting, that in their original composition they were separate, and most of them independent productions.

If we dispose our ideas in a different order, the matter stands thus:—Whilst the transaction was recent, and the original witnesses were at hand to relate it; and whilst the apostles were busied in preaching and travelling, in collecting disciples, in forming and regulating societies of converts, in supporting themselves against opposition; whilst they exercised their ministry under the harassings of frequent persecution, and in a state of almost continual alarm, it is not probable that, in this engaged, anxious, and unsettled condition of life, they would think immediately of writing histories for the information of the public or of posterity*. But it is very probable, that emergencies might draw from some of them occasional letters upon the subject of their mission, to converts, or to societies of converts, with which they were connected; or that they might address written discourses and exhortations to the disciples of the institution at large, which would be received and read with a respect proportioned to the character of the writer. Accounts in the mean time would get abroad of the extraordinary things that had been passing, written with different degrees of information and correctness. The extension of the Christian society, which could no longer be instructed by a personal intercourse with the apostles, and the possible circulation of imperfect or erroneous narratives, would soon teach some amongst them the expediency of sending forth authentic memoirs of the life and doctrine of their

* This thought occurred to Eusebius: “Nor were the apostles of Christ greatly concerned about the writing of books, being engaged in a more excellent ministry, which is above all human power.” *Eccles. Hist.* 1. iii. c. 24.—The same consideration accounts also for the paucity of Christian writings in the first century of its æra.

Master. When accounts appeared authorized by the name, and credit, and situation of the writers, recommended or recognised by the apostles and first preachers of the religion, or found to coincide with what the apostles and first preachers of the religion had taught, other accounts would fall into disuse and neglect; whilst these, maintaining their reputation (as, if genuine and well founded, they would do) under the test of time, inquiry, and contradiction, might be expected to make their way into the hands of Christians of all countries of the world.

This seems the natural progress of the business; and with this the records in our possession, and the evidence concerning them, correspond. We have remaining, in the first place, many letters of the kind above described, which have been preserved with a care and fidelity answering to the respect with which we may suppose that such letters would be received. But as these letters were not written to prove the truth of the Christian religion, in the sense in which we regard that question; nor to convey information of facts, of which those to whom the letters were written had been previously informed; we are not to look in them for any thing more than incidental allusions to the Christian history. We are able, however, to gather from these documents various particular attestations which have been already enumerated; and this is a species of written evidence, as far as it goes, in the highest degree satisfactory, and in point of time perhaps the first. But for our more circumstantial information, we have, in the next place, five direct *histories*, bearing the names of persons acquainted, by their situation, with the truth of what they relate, and three of them purporting, in the very body of the narrative, to be written by such persons;

of which books we know, that some were in the hands of those who were contemporaries of the apostles, and that, in the age immediately posterior to that, they were in the hands, we may say, of every one, and received by Christians with so much respect and deference, as to be constantly quoted and referred to by them, without any doubt of the truth of their accounts. They were treated as such histories, proceeding from such authorities, might expect to be treated. In the preface to one of our histories, we have intimations left us of the existence of some ancient accounts which are now lost. There is nothing in this circumstance that can surprise us. It was to be expected, from the magnitude and novelty of the occasion, that such accounts would swarm. When better accounts came forth, these died away. Our present histories superseded others. They soon acquired a character and established a reputation which does not appear to have belonged to any other: that, at least, can be proved concerning them, which cannot be proved concerning any other.

But to return to the point which led to these reflections. By considering our records in either of the two views in which we have represented them, we shall perceive that we possess a *collection of proofs*, and not a naked or solitary testimony; and that the written evidence is of such a kind, and comes to us in such a state, as the natural order and progress of things, in the infancy of the institution, might be expected to produce.

Thirdly: The genuineness of the historical books of the New Testament is undoubtedly a point of importance, because the strength of their evidence is augmented by our knowledge of the situation of their authors, their relation to the subject; and the part which

they sustained in the transaction; and the testimonies which we are able to produce compose a firm ground of persuasion, that the Gospels were written by the persons whose names they bear. Nevertheless, I must be allowed to state, that to the argument which I am endeavouring to maintain, this point is not essential; I mean, so essential as that the fate of the argument depends upon it. The question before us is, whether the Gospels exhibit the story which the apostles and first emissaries of the religion published, and *for* which they acted and suffered in the manner in which, for some miraculous story or other, they did act and suffer. Now let us suppose that we possessed no other information concerning these books than that they were written by early disciples of Christianity; that they were known and read during the time, or near the time, of the original apostles of the religion; that by Christians whom the apostles instructed, by societies of Christians which the apostles founded, these books were *received* (by which term "received," I mean that they were believed to contain authentic accounts of the transactions upon which the religion rested, and accounts which were accordingly used, repeated, and relied upon), this reception would be a valid proof that these books, whoever were the authors of them, must have accorded with what the apostles taught. A reception by the first race of Christians, is evidence that they agreed with what the first teachers of the religion delivered. In particular, if they had not agreed with what the apostles themselves preached, how could they have gained credit in churches and societies which the apostles established?

Now the fact of their early existence, and not only of their existence but their reputation, is made out by

some ancient testimonies which do not happen to specify the names of the writers: add to which, what hath been already hinted, that two out of the four Gospels contain averments in the body of the history, which though they do not disclose the names, fix the time and situation of the authors, viz. that one was written by an eye-witness of the sufferings of Christ, the other by a contemporary of the apostles. In the Gospel of Saint John (xix. 35), after describing the crucifixion, with the particular circumstance of piercing Christ's side with a spear, the historian adds, as for himself, "and he that saw it bare record, and his record is true, and he knoweth that he saith true, that ye might believe." Again (xxi. 24), after relating a conversation which passed between Peter and "the disciple," as it is there expressed, "whom Jesus loved," it is added, "this is the disciple which testifieth of these things, and wrote these things." This testimony, let it be remarked, is not the less worthy of regard, because it is, in one view, imperfect. The name is not mentioned; which, if a fraudulent purpose had been intended, would have been done. The third of our present Gospels purports to have been written by the person who wrote the Acts of the Apostles; in which latter history, or rather latter part of the same history, the author, by using in various places the first person plural, declares himself to have been a contemporary of all, and a companion of one, of the original preachers of the religion.

CHAPTER IX.

There is satisfactory evidence that many, professing to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles; passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.

OF THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE SCRIPTURES.

NOT forgetting, therefore, what credit is due to the evangelical history, supposing even any one of the four Gospels to be genuine; what credit is due to the Gospels, even supposing nothing to be known concerning them but that they were written by early disciples of the religion, and received with deference by early Christian churches; more especially not forgetting what credit is due to the New Testament in its capacity of *cumulative* evidence; we now proceed to state the proper and distinct proofs, which show not only the general value of these records, but their specific authority, and the high probability there is that they actually came from the persons whose names they bear.

There are, however, a few preliminary reflections, by which we may draw up with more regularity to the propositions upon which the close and particular discussion of the subject depends. Of which nature are the following:

I. We are able to produce a great number of ancient *manuscripts*, found in many different countries, and in countries widely distant from each other, all of them anterior to the art of printing, some certainly seven or eight hundred years old, and some which have been preserved probably above a thousand years*. We have also many ancient *versions* of these books, and some of them into languages which are not at present, nor for many ages have been, spoken in any part of the world. The existence of these manuscripts and versions proves that the Scriptures were not the production of any modern contrivance. It does away also the uncertainty which hangs over such publications as the works, real or pretended, of Ossian and Rowley, in which the editors are challenged to produce their manuscripts, and to show where they obtained their copies. The number of manuscripts, far exceeding those of any other book, and their wide dispersion, afford an argument, in some measure to the senses, that the Scriptures anciently, in like manner as at this day, were more read and sought after than any other books, and that also in many different countries. The greatest part of spurious Christian writings are utterly lost, the rest preserved by some single manuscript. There is weight also in Dr. Bentley's observation, that the New Testament has suffered less injury by the errors of transcribers than the works of any profane author of the same size and antiquity; that is, there never was any writing, in the preservation and purity of which the world was so interested or so careful.

II. An argument of great weight with those who are judges of the proofs upon which it is founded, and

* The Alexandrian manuscript, now in the British Museum, was written probably in the fourth or fifth century.

capable, through their testimony, of being addressed to every understanding, is that which arises from the style and language of the New Testament. It is just such a language as might be expected from the apostles, from persons of their age and in their situation, and from no other persons. It is the style neither of classic authors, nor of the ancient Christian fathers, but Greek coming from men of Hebrew origin; abounding, that is, with Hebraic and Syriac idioms, such as would naturally be found in the writings of men who used a language spoken indeed where they lived, but not the common dialect of the country. This happy peculiarity is a strong proof of the genuineness of these writings: for who should forge them? The Christian fathers were for the most part totally ignorant of Hebrew, and therefore were not likely to insert Hebraisms and Syriasms into their writings. The few who had a knowledge of the Hebrew, as Justin Martyr, Origen, and Epiphanius, wrote in a language which bears no resemblance to that of the New Testament. The Nazarenes, who understood Hebrew, used chiefly, perhaps almost entirely, the Gospel of Saint Matthew, and therefore cannot be suspected of forging the rest of the sacred writings. The argument, at any rate, proves the antiquity of these books; that they belonged to the age of the apostles; that they could be composed indeed in no other*.

III. Why should we question the genuineness of these books? Is it for that they contain accounts of supernatural events? I apprehend that this, at the

* See this argument stated more at large in Michaelis's Introduction (Marsh's translation), vol. i. c. ii. sect. 10, from which these observations are taken.

bottom, is the real, though secret, cause of our hesitation about them: for, had the writings inscribed with the names of Matthew and John related nothing but ordinary history, there would have been no more doubt whether these writings were theirs, than there is concerning the acknowledged works of Josephus or Philo; that is, there would have been no doubt at all. Now it ought to be considered that this reason, however it may apply to the credit which is given to a writer's judgement or veracity, affects the question of genuineness very indirectly. The works of Bede exhibit many wonderful relations: but who, for that reason, doubts that they were written by Bede? The same of a multitude of other authors. To which may be added, that we ask no more for our books than what we allow to other books in some sort similar to ours: we do not deny the genuineness of the Koran; we admit that the history of Apollonius Tyanæus, purporting to be written by Philostratus, was really written by Philostratus.

IV. If it had been an easy thing in the early times of the institution to have forged Christian writings, and to have obtained currency and reception to the forgeries, we should have had many appearing in the name of Christ himself. No writings would have been received with so much avidity and respect as these: consequently none afforded so great temptation to forgery. Yet have we heard but of one attempt of this sort, deserving of the smallest notice, *that* in a piece of a very few lines, and so far from succeeding, I mean, from obtaining acceptance and reputation, or an acceptance and reputation in any wise similar to that which can be proved to have attended the books of the New Testament, that it is not so much as mentioned by any writer of the first three centuries. The learned reader need not be in-

formed that I mean the epistle of Christ to Abgarus, king of Edessa, found at present in the work of Eusebius*, as a piece acknowledged by him, though not without considerable doubt whether the whole passage be not an interpolation, as it is most certain, that, after the publication of Eusebius's work, this epistle was universally rejected †.

V. If the ascription of the Gospels to their respective authors had been arbitrary or conjectural, they would have been ascribed to more eminent men. This observation holds concerning the first three Gospels, the reputed authors of which were enabled, by their situation, to obtain true intelligence, and were likely to deliver an honest account of what they knew, but were persons not distinguished in the history by extraordinary marks of notice or commendation. Of the apostles, I hardly know any one of whom less is said than of Matthew, or of whom the little that is said is less calculated to magnify his character. Of Mark, nothing is said in the Gospels; and what is said of any person of that name in the Acts, and in the Epistles, in no part bestows praise or eminence upon him. The name of

* Hist. Eccl. lib. i. c. 15.

† Augustin, A. D. 895, (De Consens. Evang. c. 34), had *heard* that the Pagans pretended to be possessed of an epistle from Christ to Peter and Paul; but he had never seen it, and appears to doubt of the existence of any such piece, either genuine or spurious. No other ancient writer mentions it. He also, and he alone, notices, and that in order to condemn it, an epistle ascribed to Christ by the Manichees, A. D. 270, and a short hymn attributed to him by the Priscillianists, A. D. 378. (cont. Faust. Man. lib. xxviii. c. 4.) The lateness of the writer who notices these things, the manner in which he notices them, and, above all, the silence of every preceding writer, render them unworthy of consideration.

Luke is mentioned only in Saint Paul's Epistles*, and that very transiently. The judgement, therefore, which assigned these writings to these authors proceeded, it may be presumed, upon proper knowledge and evidence; and not upon a voluntary choice of names.

VI. Christian writers and Christian churches appear to have soon arrived at a very general agreement upon the subject, and that without the interposition of any public authority. When the diversity of opinion, which prevailed, and prevails among Christians in other points, is considered, their concurrence in the canon of Scripture is remarkable, and of great weight, especially as it seems to have been the result of private and free inquiry. We have no knowledge of any interference of authority in the question, before the council of Laodicea in the year 363. Probably the decree of this council rather declared than regulated the public judgement, or, more properly speaking, the judgement of some neighbouring churches; the council itself consisting of no more than thirty or forty bishops of Lydia and the adjoining countries†. Nor does its authority seem to have extended further; for we find numerous Christian writers, after this time, discussing the question, "What books were entitled to be received as Scripture," with great freedom, upon proper grounds of evidence, and without any reference to the decision at Laodicea.

These considerations are not to be neglected: but of an argument concerning the genuineness of ancient

* Col. iv. 14. 2 Tim. iv. 11. Philem. 24. † Lardner, Cred. vol. viii. p. 291, et seq.

writings, the substance, undoubtedly, and strength, is ancient testimony.

This testimony it is necessary to exhibit somewhat in detail; for when Christian advocates merely tell us, that we have the same reason for believing the Gospels to be written by the evangelists whose names they bear, as we have for believing the Commentaries to be Cæsar's, the *Æneid* Virgil's, or the Orations Cicero's, they content themselves with an imperfect representation. They state nothing more than what is true, but they do not state the truth correctly. In the number, variety, and early date of our testimonies, we far exceed all other ancient books. For one, which the most celebrated work of the most celebrated Greek or Roman writer can allege, we produce many. But then it is more requisite in our books, than in theirs, to separate and distinguish them from spurious competitors. The result, I am convinced, will be satisfactory to every fair inquirer: but this circumstance renders an inquiry necessary.

In a work, however, like the present, there is a difficulty in finding a place for evidence of this kind. To pursue the details of proofs throughout, would be to transcribe a great part of Dr. Lardner's eleven octavo volumes: to leave the argument without proofs, is to leave it without effect; for the persuasion produced by this species of evidence depends upon a view and induction of the particulars which compose it.

The method which I propose to myself is, first, to place before the reader, in one view, the propositions which comprise the several heads of our testimony, and afterwards to repeat the same propositions in so many distinct sections, with the necessary authorities subjoined to each*.

* The reader, when he has the propositions before him, will ob-

The following, then, are the allegations upon the subject, which are capable of being established by proof:—

I. That the historical books of the New Testament, meaning thereby the four Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles, are quoted, or alluded to, by a series of Christian writers, beginning with those who were contemporary with the apostles, or who immediately followed them, and proceeding in close and regular succession from their time to the present.

II. That when they are quoted, or alluded to, they are quoted or alluded to with peculiar respect, as books *sui generis*; as possessing an authority which belonged to no other books, and as conclusive in all questions and controversies amongst Christians.

III. That they were, in very early times, collected into a distinct volume.

IV. That they were distinguished by appropriate names and titles of respect.

V. That they were publicly read and expounded in the religious assemblies of the early Christians.

VI. That commentaries were written upon them, harmonies formed out of them, different copies carefully collated, and versions of them made into different languages.

VII. That they were received by Christians of different sects, by many heretics as well as catholics, and usually appealed to by both sides in the controversies which arose in those days.

VIII. That the four Gospels, the Acts of the Apostles, thirteen Epistles of Saint Paul, the first epistle of John, and the first of Peter, were received, without

serve that the argument, if he should omit the sections, proceeds connectedly from this point.

doubt, by those who doubted concerning the other books which are included in our present canon.

IX. That the Gospels were attacked by the early adversaries of Christianity, as books containing the accounts upon which the religion was founded.

X. That formal catalogues of authentic Scriptures were published; in all which our present sacred histories were included.

XI. That these propositions cannot be affirmed of any other books claiming to be books of Scripture; by which are meant those books which are commonly called apocryphal books of the New Testament.

SECTION I.

The historical books of the New Testament, meaning thereby the four Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles, are quoted, or alluded to, by a series of Christian writers, beginning with those who were contemporary with the apostles, or who immediately followed them, and proceeding in close and regular succession from their time to the present.

THE medium of proof stated in this proposition is, of all others, the most unquestionable, the least liable to any practices of fraud, and is not diminished by the lapse of ages. Bishop Burnet, in the History of his Own Times, inserts various extracts from Lord Clarendon's History. One such insertion is a proof, that Lord Clarendon's History was extant at the time when

Bishop Burnet wrote, that it had been read by Bishop Burnet, that it was received by Bishop Burnet as a work of Lord Clarendon, and also regarded by him as an authentic account of the transactions which it relates; and it will be a proof of these points a thousand years hence, or as long as the books exist. Quintilian having quoted as Cicero's,* that well-known trait of dissembled vanity:—

“ Si quid est in me ingenii, Judices, quod sentio quam sit exiguum ;”—

the quotation would be strong evidence, were there any doubt, that the oration, which opens with this address, actually came from Cicero's pen. These instances, however simple, may serve to point out to a reader, who is little accustomed to such researches, the nature and value of the argument.

The testimonies which we have to bring forward under this proposition are the following:

I. There is extant an epistle ascribed to Barnabas†, the companion of Paul. It is quoted as the epistle of Barnabas, by Clement of Alexandria, A. D. cxciv; by Origen, A. D. ccxxx. It is mentioned by Eusebius, A. D. cccxv, and by Jerome, A. D. cccxcii, as an ancient work in their time, bearing the name of Barnabas, and as well known and read amongst Christians, though not accounted a part of Scripture. It purports to have been written soon after the destruction of Jerusalem, during the calamities which followed that

* Quint. lib. xi. c. i.

† Lardner, Cred. edit. 1755, vol. i. p. 23, et seq. The reader will observe from the references, that the materials of these sections are almost entirely extracted from Dr. Lardner's work;—my office consisted in arrangement and selection.

disaster; and it bears the character of the age to which it professes to belong.

In this epistle appears the following remarkable passage:—"Let us, therefore, beware lest it come upon us, *as it is written*; There are many called, few chosen." From the expression, "as it is written," we infer with certainty, that at the time when the author of this epistle lived, there was a book extant, well known to Christians, and of authority amongst them, containing these words:—"Many are called, few chosen." Such a book is our present Gospel of Saint Matthew, in which this text is twice found*, and is found in no other book now known. There is a further observation to be made upon the terms of the quotation. The writer of the epistle was a Jew. The phrase "it is written," was the very form in which the Jews quoted their Scriptures. It is not probable, therefore, that he would have used this phrase, and without qualification, of any books but what had acquired a kind of Scriptural authority. If the passage remarked in this ancient writing had been found in one of Saint Paul's Epistles, it would have been esteemed by every one a high testimony to Saint Matthew's Gospel. It ought, therefore, to be remembered, that the writing in which it is found was probably by very few years posterior to those of Saint Paul.

Beside this passage, there are also in the epistle before us several others, in which the sentiment is the same with what we meet with in Saint Matthew's Gospel, and two or three in which we recognise the same words. In particular, the author of the epistle repeats the precept, "Give to every one that asketh thee†;"

* Matt. xx. 16; xxii. 14.

† Matt. v. 42.

and saith that Christ chose as his apostles, who were to preach the Gospel, men who were great sinners, that he might show that he came “not to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance*.”

II. We are in possession of an epistle written by Clement, bishop of Rome †, whom ancient writers, without any doubt or scruple, assert to have been the Clement whom Saint Paul mentions, Phil. iv. 3; “with *Clement* also, and other my fellow-labourers, whose names are in the book of life.” This epistle is spoken of by the ancients as an epistle acknowledged by all; and, as Irenæus well represents its value, “written by Clement, who had seen the blessed apostles, and conversed with them; who had the preaching of the apostles still sounding in his ears, and their traditions before his eyes.” It is addressed to the church of Corinth; and what alone may seem almost decisive of its authenticity, Dionysius, bishop of Corinth, about the year 170, *i. e.* about eighty or ninety years after the epistle was written, bears witness, “that it had been wont to be read in that church from ancient times.”

This epistle affords, amongst others, the following valuable passages:—“Especially remembering the words of the Lord Jesus which he spake, teaching gentleness and long-suffering: for thus he said‡: ‘Be ye merciful, that ye may obtain mercy; forgive, that it may be forgiven unto you; as you do, so shall it be done unto you; as you give, so shall it be given unto you;

* Matt. ix. 13. † Lardner, *Cred.* vol. i. p. 62, et seq.

‡ “Blessed are the merciful, for they shall obtain mercy.” Matt. v. 7.—“Forgive, and ye shall be forgiven; give, and it shall be given unto you.” Luke, vi. 37, 38. “Judge not, that ye be not judged; for with what judgement ye judge, ye shall be judged; and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again.” Matt. vii. 1, 2.

as ye judge, so shall ye be judged; as ye show kindness, so shall kindness be shown unto you; with what measure ye mete, with the same shall it be measured to you.' By this command, and by these rules, let us establish ourselves, that we may always walk obediently to his holy words."

Again; "Remember the words of the Lord Jesus, for he said, 'Wo to that man by whom offences come; it were better for him that he had not been born, than that he should offend one of my elect; it were better for him that a mill-stone should be tied about his neck, and that he should be drowned in the sea, than that he should offend one of my little ones*.'"

In both these passages, we perceive the high respect paid to the words of Christ as recorded by the evangelists; "*Remember* the words of the Lord Jesus;—by this command, and by these rules, let us establish ourselves, that we may always walk obediently to his holy words." We perceive also in Clement a total unconsciousness of doubt, whether these were the real words of Christ, which are read as such in the Gospels. This observation indeed belongs to the whole series of testimony, and especially to the most ancient part of it. Whenever any thing now read in the Gospels is met with in an early Christian writing, it is always observed to stand there as acknowledged truth, *i. e.* to be introduced without hesitation, doubt, or apology. It is to be observed also, that, as this epistle was written in

* Matt. xviii. 6. "But whoso shall offend one of these little ones which believe in me, it were better for him that a mill-stone were hanged about his neck, and that he were cast into the sea." The latter part of the passage in Clement agrees more exactly with Luke, xvii. 2: "It were better for him that a mill-stone were hanged about his neck, and he cast into the sea, than that he should offend one of these little ones."

the name of the church of Rome, and addressed to the church of Corinth, it ought to be taken as exhibiting the judgement not only of Clement, who drew up the letter, but of these churches themselves, at least as to the authority of the books referred to.

It may be said, that, as Clement has not used words of quotation, it is not certain that he refers to any book whatever. The words of Christ, which he has put down, he might himself have heard from the apostles, or might have received through the ordinary medium of oral tradition. This has been said; but that no such inference can be drawn from the absence of words of quotation, is proved by the three following considerations:—First, that Clement, in the very same manner, namely, without any mark of reference, uses a passage now found in the epistle to the Romans*; which passage, from the peculiarity of the words which compose it, and from their order, it is manifest that he must have taken from the book. The same remark may be repeated of some very singular sentiments in the Epistle to the Hebrews. Secondly, that there are many sentences of Saint Paul's First Epistle to the Corinthians standing in Clement's epistle without any sign of quotation, which yet certainly are quotations; because it appears that Clement had Saint Paul's epistle before him, inasmuch as in one place he mentions it in terms too express to leave us in any doubt:—"Take into your hands the epistle of the blessed apostle Paul." Thirdly, that this method of adopting words of Scripture without reference or acknowledgement was, as will appear in the sequel, a method in general use amongst the most ancient Christian writers.—These analogies not only repel the objection, but cast the pre-

* Rom. i. 29.

sumption on the other side, and afford a considerable degree of positive proof, that the words in question have been borrowed from the places of Scripture in which we now find them.

But take it if you will the other way, that Clement had heard these words from the apostles or first teachers of Christianity; with respect to the precise point of our argument, *viz.* that the Scriptures contain what the apostles taught, this supposition may serve almost as well.

III. Near the conclusion of the epistle to the Romans, Saint Paul, amongst others, sends the following salutation: "Salute Asyncritus, Phlegon, *Hermas*, Patrobas, Hermes, and the brethren which are with them."

Of *Hermas*, who appears in this catalogue of Roman Christians as contemporary with Saint Paul, a book bearing the name, and it is most probable rightly, is still remaining. It is called the Shepherd*, or Pastor of *Hermas*. Its antiquity is incontestable, from the quotations of it in Irenæus, A. D. 178; Clement of Alexandria, A. D. 194; Tertullian, A. D. 200; Origen, A. D. 230. The notes of time extant in the epistle itself agree with its title, and with the testimonies concerning it, for it purports to have been written during the life-time of Clement.

In this piece are tacit allusions to Saint Matthew's, Saint Luke's, and Saint John's Gospels; that is to say, there are applications of thoughts and expressions found in these Gospels, without citing the place or writer from which they were taken. In this form appear in *Hermas* the confessing and denying of Christ †; the parable of the seed sown ‡; the comparison of Christ's disciples to

* Lardner, Cred. vol. i. p. 111. † Matt. x. 32, 33, or, Luke, xii. 8, 9.

‡ Matt. xiii. 3, or, Luke, viii. 5.

little children; the saying, “ he that putteth away his wife, and marrieth another, committeth adultery *;” the singular expression, “ having received all power from his Father,” in probable allusion to Matt. xxviii. 18; and Christ being the “ gate,” or only way of coming “ to God,” in plain allusion to John, xiv. 6; x. 7. 9. There is also a probable allusion to Acts, v. 32.

This piece is the representation of a vision, and has by many been accounted a weak and fanciful performance. I therefore observe, that the character of the writing has little to do with the purpose for which we adduce it. It is the age in which it was composed, that gives the value to its testimony.

IV. Ignatius, as it is testified by ancient Christian writers, became bishop of Antioch about thirty-seven years after Christ’s ascension; and therefore, from his time, and place, and station, it is probable that he had known and conversed with many of the apostles. Epistles of Ignatius are referred to by Polycarp, his contemporary. Passages found in the epistles now extant under his name, are quoted by Irenæus, A. D. 178; by Origen, A. D. 230: and the occasion of writing the epistles is given at large by Eusebius and Jerome. What are called the smaller epistles of Ignatius, are generally deemed to be those which were read by Irenæus, Origen, and Eusebius †.

In these epistles are various undoubted allusions to the Gospels of Saint Matthew and Saint John; yet so far of the same form with those in the preceding articles, that, like them, they are not accompanied with marks of quotation.

* Luke, xvi. 18.

† Lardner, Cred. vol. i. p. 147.

Of these allusions the following are clear specimens:

*Matt.** { “ Christ was baptized of John, that *all righteousness might be fulfilled by him.*”
 “ *Be ye wise as serpents in all things, and harmless as a dove.*”

John †. { “ Yet the Spirit is not deceived, being from God: for it knows *whence it comes, and whither it goes.*”
 “ He (Christ) is the *door* of the Father, by which *enter in* Abraham, and Isaac, and Jacob, and the apostles, and the church.”

As to the manner of quotation, this is observable;—Ignatius, in one place, speaks of Saint Paul in terms of high respect, and quotes his Epistle to the Ephesians by *name*; yet, in several other places, he borrows words and sentiments from the same epistle without mentioning it; which shows, that this was his general manner of using and applying writings then extant, and then of high authority.

V. Polycarp ‡ had been taught by the apostles; had conversed with many who had seen Christ; was also by the apostles appointed bishop of Smyrna. This testimony concerning Polycarp is given by Irenæus, who in his youth had seen him:—“ I can tell the place,” saith Irenæus, “ in which the blessed Polycarp sat and

* Chap. iii. 15. “ For thus it becometh us to fulfil all righteousness.”

Chap. x. 16. “ Be ye therefore wise as serpents, and harmless as doves.”

† Chap. iii. 8. “ The wind bloweth where it listeth, and thou hearest the sound thereof, but canst not tell *whence it cometh and whither it goeth*; so is every one that is born of the Spirit.”

Chap. x. 9. “ I am the door; by e if any man enter in, he shall be saved.”

‡ Lardner, Cred. vol. i. p. 192.

taught, and his going out and coming in, and the manner of his life, and the form of his person, and the discourses he made to the people, and how he related his conversation with John, and others who had seen the Lord, and how he related their sayings, and what he had heard concerning the Lord, both concerning his miracles and his doctrine, as he had received them from the eye-witnesses of the word of life: all which Polycarp related agreeable to the Scriptures.”

Of Polycarp, whose proximity to the age and country and persons of the apostles is thus attested, we have one undoubted epistle remaining. And this, though a short letter, contains nearly forty clear allusions to books of the New Testament; which is strong evidence of the respect which Christians of that age bore for these books.

Amongst these, although the writings of Saint Paul are more frequently used by Polycarp than any other parts of Scripture, there are copious allusions to the Gospel of Saint Matthew, some to passages found in the Gospels both of Matthew and Luke, and some which more nearly resemble the words in Luke.

I select the following, as fixing the authority of the Lord's prayer, and the use of it amongst the primitive Christians: “If therefore we *pray* the Lord, that *he will forgive us, we ought also to forgive.*”

“With supplication *beseeking* the all-seeing God *not to lead us into temptation.*”

And the following, for the sake of repeating an observation already made, that words of our Lord found in our Gospels, were at this early day quoted as spoken by him; and not only so, but quoted with so little question or consciousness of doubt about their being really his words, as not even to mention, much less to canvass, the authority from which they were taken:

“ But remembering what the Lord said, teaching, Judge not, that ye be not judged; forgive, and ye shall be forgiven; be ye merciful, that ye may obtain mercy; with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again*.”

Supposing Polycarp to have had these words from the books in which we now find them, it is manifest that these books were considered by him, and, as he thought, considered by his readers, as authentic accounts of Christ's discourses; and that that point was incontestable.

The following is a decisive, though what we call a tacit, reference to Saint Peter's speech in the Acts of the Apostles:—“ whom God hath raised, having loosed the pains of death †.”

VI. Papias ‡, a hearer of John, and companion of Polycarp, as Irenæus attests, and of that age, as all agree, in a passage quoted by Eusebius, from a work now lost, expressly ascribes the respective Gospels to Matthew and Mark; and in a manner which proves that these Gospels must have publicly borne the names of these authors at that time, and probably long before; for Papias does not say that one Gospel was written by Matthew, and another by Mark; but, assuming this as perfectly well known, he tells us from what materials Mark collected his account, *viz.* from Peter's preaching, and in what language Matthew wrote, *viz.* in Hebrew. Whether Papias was well informed in this statement, or not; to the point for which I produce this testimony, namely, that these books bore these names at this time, his authority is complete.

The writers hitherto alleged had all lived and conversed with some of the apostles. The works of theirs

* Matt. vii. 1, 2; v. 7; Luke, vi. 37, 38. † Acts, ii. 24.

‡ Lardner, Cred. vol. i. p. 239.

which remain are in general very short pieces, yet rendered extremely valuable by their antiquity; and none, short as they are, but what contain some important testimony to our historical Scriptures*.

VII. Not long after these, that is, not much more than twenty years after the last, follows Justin Martyr †. His remaining works are much larger than any that have yet been noticed. Although the nature of his two principal writings, one of which was addressed to heathens, and the other was a conference with a Jew, did not lead him to such frequent appeals to Christian books, as would have appeared in a discourse intended for Christian readers; we nevertheless reckon up in them between twenty and thirty quotations of the Gospels and Acts of the Apostles, certain, distinct, and copious: if each verse be counted separately, a much greater number; if each expression, a very great one ‡.

We meet with quotations of three of the Gospels within the compass of half a page: “And in other words he says, Depart from me into outer darkness, which the

* That the quotations are more thinly strown in these, than in the writings of the next and of succeeding ages, is in a good measure accounted for by the observation, that the Scriptures of the New Testament had not *yet*, nor by their recency hardly could have, become a general part of Christian education; read as the Old Testament was by Jews and Christians from their childhood, and thereby intimately mixing, as that had long done, with all their religious ideas, and with their language upon religious subjects. In process of time, and as soon perhaps as could be expected, this came to be the case. And then we perceive the effect, in a proportionably greater frequency, as well as copiousness of allusion.—Mich. Introd. c. ii. sect. vi.

† Lardner, Cred. vol. i. p. 258.

‡ “He cites our present canon, and particularly our four Gospels, continually, I dare say, above two hundred times.” Jones’s New and Full Method. Append. vol. i. p. 589. ed. 1726.

Father hath prepared for Satan and his angels," (which is from Matthew, xxv. 41.) "And again he said in other words, I give unto you power to tread upon serpents, and scorpions, and venomous beasts, and upon all the power of the enemy." (This from Luke, x. 19.) "And before he was crucified, he said, The Son of Man must suffer many things, and be rejected of the Scribes and Pharisees, and be crucified, and rise again the third day." (This from Mark, viii. 31.)

In another place, Justin quotes a passage in the history of Christ's birth, as delivered by Matthew and John, and fortifies his quotation by this remarkable testimony: "As they have taught, who have written the history of all things concerning our Saviour Jesus Christ: and we believe them."

Quotations are also found from the Gospel of Saint John.

What, moreover, seems extremely material to be observed is, that in all Justin's works, from which might be extracted almost a complete life of Christ, there are but two instances, in which he refers to any thing as said or done by Christ, which is not related concerning him in our present Gospels: which shows, that these Gospels, and these, we may say, alone, were the authorities from which the Christians of that day drew the information upon which they depended. One of these instances is of a saying of Christ, not met with in any book now extant*. The other, of a circumstance in

* "Wherefore also our Lord Jesus Christ has said, In whatsoever I shall find you, in the same I will also judge you." Possibly Justin designed not to quote any text, but to represent the sense of many of our Lord's sayings. Fabricius has observed, that this saying has been quoted by many writers, and that Justin is the only one who ascribes it to our Lord, and that perhaps by a slip of his memory.

Words resembling these are read repeatedly in Ezekiel; "I will

Christ's baptism, namely, a fiery or luminous appearance upon the water, which, according to Epiphanius, is noticed in the Gospel of the Hebrews: and which might be true: but which, whether true or false, is mentioned by Justin, with a plain mark of diminution when compared with what he quotes as resting upon Scripture authority. The reader will advert to this distinction: "And then, when Jesus came to the river Jordan, where John was baptizing, as Jesus descended into the water, a fire also was kindled in Jordan; and when he came up out of the water, *the apostles of this our Christ have written*, that the Holy Ghost lighted upon him as a dove."

All the references in Justin are made without mentioning the author; which proves that these books were perfectly notorious, and that there were no other accounts of Christ then extant, or, at least, no others so received and credited as to make it necessary to distinguish these from the rest.

But although Justin mentions not the author's name, he calls the books, "Memoirs composed by the Apostles;" "Memoirs composed by the Apostles and their Companions;" which descriptions, the latter especially, exactly suit with the titles which the Gospels and Acts of the Apostles now bear.

VIII. Hegesippus* came about thirty years after Justin. His testimony is remarkable only for this parti-

judge them according to their ways;" (chap. vii. 3; xxxiii. 20.) It is remarkable that Justin had just before expressly quoted Ezekiel. Mr. Jones upon this circumstance founded a conjecture, that Justin wrote only "the Lord hath said," intending to quote the words of God, or rather the sense of those words, in Ezekiel; and that some transcriber, imagining these to be the words of Christ, inserted in his copy the addition "Jesus Christ." Vol. i. p. 539.

* Lardner, Cred. vol. i. p. 314.

cular; that he relates of himself, that, travelling from Palestine to Rome, he visited, on his journey, many bishops; and that “in every succession, and in every city, the same doctrine is taught, which the Law, and the Prophets, and the *Lord* teacheth.” This is an important attestation, from good authority, and of high antiquity. It is generally understood that by the word “*Lord*,” Hegesippus intended some writing or writings, containing the teaching of Christ, in which sense alone the term combines with the other terms “Law and Prophets,” which denote writings; and together with them admit of the verb “teacheth” in the present tense. Then, that these writings were some or all of the books of the New Testament, is rendered probable from hence, that in the fragments of his works, which are preserved in Eusebius, and in a writer of the ninth century, enough, though it be little, is left to show, that Hegesippus expressed divers things in the style of the Gospels, and of the Acts of the Apostles; that he referred to the history in the second chapter of Matthew, and recited a text of that Gospel as spoken by our Lord.

IX. At this time, viz. about the year 170, the churches of Lyons and Vienne, in France, sent a relation of the sufferings of their martyrs to the churches of Asia and Phrygia*. The epistle is preserved entire by Eusebius. And what carries in some measure the testimony of these churches to a higher age, is, that they had now for their bishop, Pothinus, who was ninety years old, and whose early life consequently must have immediately joined on with the times of the apostles. In this epistle are exact references to the Gospels of Luke and John, and to the Acts of the Apostles; the

* Lardner, Cred. vol. i. p. 332.

form of reference the same as in all the preceding articles. That from Saint John is in these words: "Then was fulfilled that which was spoken by the Lord, that whosoever killeth you, will think that he doeth God service*."

X. The evidence now opens upon us full and clear. Irenæus† succeeded Pothinus as bishop of Lyons. In his youth he had been a disciple of Polycarp, who was a disciple of John. In the time in which he lived, he was distant not much more than a century from the publication of the Gospels; in his instruction, only by one step separated from the persons of the apostles. He asserts of himself and his contemporaries, that they were able to reckon up, in all the principal churches, the succession of bishops from the first‡. I remark these particulars concerning Irenæus with more formality than usual; because the testimony which this writer affords to the historical books of the New Testament, to their authority, and to the titles which they bear, is express, positive, and exclusive. One principal passage, in which this testimony is contained, opens with a precise assertion of the point which we have laid down as the foundation of our argument, viz. that the story which the Gospels exhibit, is the story which the apostles told. "We have not received," saith Irenæus, "the knowledge of the way of our salvation by any others than those by whom the Gospel has been brought to us. Which Gospel they first preached, and afterwards, by the will of God, committed to writing, that it might be for time to come the foundation and pillar of our faith. —For after that our Lord arose from the dead, and they (the apostles) were endowed from above with the power

* John, xvi. 2.

† Lardner, vol. i. p. 344.

‡ Adv. Hæres. 1. iii. c. 3.

of the Holy Ghost coming down upon them, they received a perfect knowledge of all things. They then went forth to all the ends of the earth, declaring to men the blessing of heavenly peace, having all of them, and every one, alike the Gospel of God. Matthew then, among the Jews, wrote a Gospel in their own language, while Peter and Paul were preaching the Gospel at Rome, and founding a church there: and after their exit, Mark also, the disciple and interpreter of Peter, delivered to us in writing the things that had been preached by Peter; and Luke, the companion of Paul, put down in a book the Gospel preached by him (Paul). Afterwards John, the disciple of the Lord, who also leaned upon his breast, he likewise published a Gospel while he dwelt at Ephesus in Asia." If any modern divine should write a book upon the genuineness of the Gospels, he could not assert it more expressly, or state their original more distinctly, than Irenæus hath done within little more than a hundred years after they were published.

The correspondency, in the days of Irenæus, of the oral and written tradition, and the deduction of the oral tradition through various channels from the age of the apostles, which was then lately passed, and, by consequence, the probability that the books truly delivered what the apostles taught, is inferred also with strict regularity from another passage of his works. "The tradition of the apostles," this father saith, "hath spread itself over the whole universe; and all they, who search after the sources of truth, will find this tradition to be held sacred in every church. We might enumerate all those who have been appointed bishops to these churches by the apostles, and all their successors, up to our days. It is by this uninterrupted succession that we have re-

ceived the tradition which actually exists in the church, as also the doctrines of truth, as it was preached by the apostles*.” The reader will observe upon this, that the same Irenæus, who is now stating the strength and uniformity of the tradition, we have before seen recognising, in the fullest manner, the authority of the written records; from which we are entitled to conclude, that they were then conformable to each other.

I have said, that the testimony of Irenæus in favour of our Gospels is *exclusive* of all others. I allude to a remarkable passage in his works, in which, for some reasons sufficiently fanciful, he endeavours to show, that there could be neither more nor fewer Gospels than *four*. With his argument we have no concern. The position itself proves that four, and only four, Gospels were at that time publicly read and acknowledged. That these were our Gospels, and in the state in which we now have them, is shown, from many other places of this writer beside that which we have already alleged. He mentions how Matthew begins his Gospel, how Mark begins and ends his, and their supposed reasons for so doing. He enumerates at length the several passages of Christ’s history in Luke, which are not found in any of the other evangelists. He states the particular design with which Saint John composed his Gospel, and accounts for the doctrinal declarations which precede the narrative.

To the book of the Acts of the Apostles, its author, and credit, the testimony of Irenæus is no less explicit. Referring to the account of Saint Paul’s conversion and vocation, in the ninth chapter of that book, “Nor can they,” says he, meaning the parties with whom he argues, “show that he is not to be credited, who has

* Iren. in Hær. l. iii. c. 3.

related to us the truth with the greatest exactness." In another place, he has actually collected the several texts, in which the writer of the history is represented as accompanying Saint Paul; which leads him to deliver a summary of almost the whole of the last twelve chapters of the book.

In an author thus abounding with references and allusions to the Scriptures, there is not one to any apocryphal Christian writing whatever. This is a broad line of distinction between our sacred books, and the pretensions of all others.

The force of the testimony of the period which we have considered, is greatly strengthened by the observation, that it is the testimony, and the concurring testimony, of writers who lived in countries remote from one another. Clement flourished at Rome, Ignatius at Antioch, Polycarp at Smyrna, Justin Martyr in Syria, and Irenæus in France.

XI. Omitting Athenagoras and Theophilus, who lived about this time*; in the remaining works of the former of whom are clear references to Mark and Luke; and in the works of the latter, who was bishop of Antioch, the sixth in succession from the apostles, evident allusions to Matthew and John, and probable allusions to Luke (which, considering the nature of the compositions, that they were addressed to heathen readers, is as much as could be expected); observing also, that the works of two learned Christian writers of the same age, Miltiades and Pantænus†, are now lost; of which Miltiades, Eusebius records, that his writings "were monuments of zeal for the Divine Oracles;" and which Pantænus, as Jerome testifies, was a man of prudence

* Lardner, vol. i. p. 400.—Ib. 422. † Lardner, vol. i. p. 413 450.

and learning, both in the Divine Scriptures and secular literature, and had left many commentaries upon the Holy Scriptures then extant; passing by these without further remark, we come to one of the most voluminous of ancient Christian writers, Clement of Alexandria*. Clement followed Irenæus at the distance of only sixteen years, and therefore may be said to maintain the series of testimony in an uninterrupted continuation.

In certain of Clement's works, now lost, but of which various parts are recited by Eusebius, there is given a distinct account of the order in which the four Gospels were written. The Gospels which contain the genealogies were (he says) written first; Mark's next, at the instance of Peter's followers; and John's the last: and this account he tells us that he had received from presbyters of more ancient times. This testimony proves the following points; that these Gospels were the histories of Christ then publicly received, and relied upon; and that the dates, occasions, and circumstances, of their publication were at that time subjects of attention and inquiry amongst Christians. In the works of Clement which remain, the four Gospels are repeatedly quoted by the names of their authors, and the Acts of the Apostles is expressly ascribed to Luke. In one place, after mentioning a particular circumstance, he adds these remarkable words: "We have not this passage *in the four Gospels delivered to us*, but in that according to the Egyptians;" which puts a marked distinction between the four Gospels and all other histories, or pretended histories, of Christ. In another part of his works, the perfect confidence, with which he received the Gospels, is signified by him in these words: "That

* Lardner, vol. ii. p. 469.

this is true, appears from hence, that it is written in the Gospel according to Saint Luke;" and again, "I need not use many words, but only to allege the evangelic voice of the Lord." His quotations are numerous. The sayings of Christ, of which he alleges many, are all taken from our Gospels; the single exception to this observation appearing to be a loose * quotation of a passage in Saint Matthew's Gospel.

XII. In the age in which they lived †, Tertullian joins on with Clement. The number of the Gospels then received, the names of the evangelists, and their proper descriptions, are exhibited by this writer in one short sentence:—"Among the *apostles*, John and Matthew teach us the faith; among *apostolical men*, Luke and Mark refresh it." The next passage to be taken from Tertullian, affords as complete an attestation to the authenticity of our books, as can be well imagined. After enumerating the churches which had been founded by Paul, at Corinth, in Galatia, at Philippi, Thessalonica, and Ephesus; the church of Rome established by Peter and Paul, and other churches derived from John; he proceeds thus:—"I say, then, that with them, but not with them only which are apostolical, but with all who have fellowship with them in the same faith, is that Gospel of Luke received from its first publication, which we so zealously maintain:" and presently after-

* "Ask great things, and the small shall be added unto you." Clement rather chose to expound the words of Matthew (chap. vi. 33), than literally to cite them; and this is most undeniably proved by another place in the same Clement, where he both produces the text and these words as an exposition:—"Seek ye first the kingdom of heaven and its righteousness, for these are the great things: but the small things, and things relating to this life, shall be added unto you." Jones's New and Full Method, vol. i. p. 553.

† Lardner, vol. ii. p. 561.

wards adds; "The same authority of the apostolical churches will support the other Gospels, which we have from them and according to them, I mean John's and Matthew's; although that likewise which Mark published may be said to be Peter's, whose interpreter Mark was." In another place Tertullian affirms, that the three other Gospels were in the hands of the churches from the beginning, as well as Luke's. This noble testimony fixes the universality with which the Gospels were received, and their antiquity; that they were in the hands of all, and had been so from the first. And this evidence appears not more than one hundred and fifty years after the publication of the books. The reader must be given to understand that, when Tertullian speaks of maintaining or defending (*tuendi*) the Gospel of Saint Luke, he only means maintaining or defending the integrity of the copies of Luke received by Christian churches, in opposition to certain curtailed copies used by Marcion, against whom he writes.

This author frequently cites the Acts of the Apostles under that title, once calls it Luke's Commentary, and observes how Saint Paul's epistles confirm it.

After this general evidence, it is unnecessary to add particular quotations. These, however, are so numerous and ample, as to have led Dr. Lardner to observe, "that there are more, and larger quotations of the small volume of the New Testament in this one Christian author, than there are of all the works of Cicero in writers of all characters for several ages*."

Tertullian quotes no Christian writing as of equal authority with the Scriptures, and no spurious books at all; a broad line of distinction, we may once more observe, between our sacred books and all others.

* Lardner, vol. ii. p. 647.

We may again likewise remark the wide extent through which the reputation of the Gospels, and of the Acts of the Apostles, had spread, and the perfect consent, in this point, of distant and independent societies. It is now only about one hundred and fifty years since Christ was crucified; and within this period, to say nothing of the apostolical fathers who have been noticed already, we have Justin Martyr at Neapolis, Theophilus at Antioch, Irenæus in France, Clement at Alexandria, Tertullian at Carthage, quoting the same books of historical Scriptures, and, I may say, quoting these alone.

XIII. An interval of only thirty years, and that occupied by no small number of Christian writers*, whose works only remain in fragments and quotations, and in every one of which is some reference or other to the Gospels (and in one of them, Hippolytus, as preserved in Theodoret, is an abstract of the whole Gospel history), brings us to a name of great celebrity in Christian antiquity, Origen† of Alexandria, who, in the quantity of his writings, exceeded the most laborious of the Greek and Latin authors. Nothing can be more peremptory upon the subject now under consideration, and, from a writer of his learning and information, more satisfactory, than the declaration of Origen, preserved, in an extract from his works, by Eusebius; “That the four Gospels alone are received without dispute by the whole church of God under heaven:” to which declaration is immediately subjoined a brief history of the respective authors, to whom they were then, as they are now, ascribed. The language holden concerning the Gospels, throughout the works of Origen

* Minucius Felix, Apollonius, Caius, Asterius, Urbanus, Alexander bishop of Jerusalem, Hippolytus, Ammonius Julius Africanus.

† Lardner, vol. iii. p. 234.

which remain, entirely corresponds with the testimony here cited. His attestation to the Acts of the Apostles is no less positive: "And Luke also once more sounds the trumpet, relating the acts of the apostles." The universality with which the Scriptures were then read, is well signified by this writer, in a passage in which he has occasion to observe against Celsus, "That it is not in any private books, or such as are read by a few only, and those studious persons, but in books read by every body, that it is written, The invisible things of God from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by things that are made." It is to no purpose to single out quotations of Scripture from such a writer as this. We might as well make a selection of the quotations of Scripture in Dr. Clarke's Sermons. They are so thickly sown in the works of Origen, that Dr. Mill says, "If we had all his works remaining, we should have before us almost the whole text of the Bible*."

Origen notices, in order to censure, certain apocryphal Gospels. He also uses four writings of this sort; that is, throughout his large works he once or twice, at the most, quotes each of the four; but always with some mark, either of direct reprobation or of caution to his readers, manifestly esteeming them of little or no authority.

XIV. Gregory, bishop of Neocæsarea, and Dionysius of Alexandria, were scholars of Origen. Their testimony, therefore, though full and particular, may be reckoned a repetition only of his. The series, however, of evidence, is continued by Cyprian, bishop of Carthage, who flourished within twenty years after Origen. "The church," says this father, "is watered, like Paradise, by

* Mill, Proleg. cap. vi. p. 66.

four rivers, that is, by four Gospels." The Acts of the Apostles is also frequently quoted by Cyprian under that name, and under the name of the "Divine Scriptures." In his various writings are such constant and copious citations of Scripture, as to place this part of the testimony beyond controversy. Nor is there, in the works of this eminent African bishop, one quotation of a spurious or apocryphal Christian writing.

XV. Passing over a crowd* of writers following Cyprian at different distances, but all within forty years of his time; and who all, in the imperfect remains of their works, either cite the historical Scriptures of the New Testament, or speak of them in terms of profound respect; I single out Victorin, bishop of Pettaw in Germany, merely on account of the remoteness of his situation from that of Origen and Cyprian, who were Africans; by which circumstance his testimony, taken in conjunction with theirs, proves that the Scripture histories, and the same histories, were known and received from one side of the Christian world to the other. This bishop† lived about the year 290: and in a commentary upon this text of the Revelation, "The first was like a lion, the second was like a calf, the third like a man, and the fourth like a flying eagle," he makes out that by the four creatures are intended the four Gospels; and, to show the propriety of the symbols, he recites the subject with which each evangelist opens his history. The explication is fanciful, but the testimony positive. He also expressly cites the Acts of the Apostles.

* Novatus, Rome, A. D. 251; Dionysius, Rome, A. D. 259; Commodian, A. D. 270; Anatolius, Laodicea, A. D. 270; Theognostus, A. D. 282; Methodius, Lycia, A. D. 290; Phileas, Egypt, A. D. 296.

† Lardner, vol. v. p. 214.

XVI. Arnobius and Lactantius*, about the year 300, composed formal arguments upon the credibility of the Christian religion. As these arguments were addressed to Gentiles, the authors abstain from quoting Christian books *by name*; one of them giving this very reason for his reserve; but when they come to state, for the information of their readers, the outlines of Christ's history, it is apparent that they draw their accounts from our Gospels, and from no other sources; for these statements exhibit a summary of almost every thing which is related of Christ's actions and miracles by the four evangelists. Arnobius vindicates, without mentioning their names, the credit of these historians; observing, that they were eye-witnesses of the facts which they relate, and that their ignorance of the arts of composition was rather a confirmation of their testimony, than an objection to it. Lactantius also argues in defence of the religion, from the consistency, simplicity, disinterestedness, and sufferings of the Christian historians, meaning by that term our evangelists.

XVII. We close the series of testimonies with that of Eusebius†, bishop of Cæsarea, who flourished in the year 315, contemporary with, or posterior only by fifteen years to, the authors last cited. This voluminous writer, and most diligent collector of the writings of others, beside a variety of large works, composed a history of the affairs of Christianity from its origin to his own time. His testimony to the Scriptures is the testimony of a man much conversant in the works of Christian authors, written during the first three centuries of its æra, and who had read many which are now lost. In a passage of his Evangelical Demonstration, Eusebius remarks, with great nicety, the delicacy of two of the

* Lardner, vol. viii. p. 43. 201.

† Lardner, vol. viii. p. 33.

evangelists, in their manner of noticing any circumstance which regarded themselves; and of Mark, as writing under Peter's direction, in the circumstances which regarded him. The illustration of this remark leads him to bring together long quotations from each of the evangelists; and the whole passage is a proof, that Eusebius, and the Christians of those days, not only read the Gospels, but studied them with attention and exactness. In a passage of his Ecclesiastical History, he treats, in form, and at large, of the occasions of writing the four Gospels, and of the order in which they were written. The title of the chapter is, "Of the Order of the Gospels;" and it begins thus: "Let us observe the writings of this apostle John, which are not contradicted by any: and, first of all, must be mentioned, as acknowledged by all, the Gospel according to him, well known to all the churches under heaven; and that it has been justly placed by the ancients the fourth in order, and after the other three, may be made evident in this manner."—Eusebius then proceeds to show that John wrote the last of the four, and that his Gospel was intended to supply the omissions of the others; especially in the part of our Lord's ministry, which took place before the imprisonment of John the Baptist. He observes, "that the apostles of Christ were not studious of the ornaments of composition, nor indeed forward to write at all, being wholly occupied with their ministry."

This learned author makes no use at all of Christian writings, forged with the names of Christ's apostles, or their companions.

We close this branch of our evidence here, because, after Eusebius, there is no room for any question upon the subject; the works of Christian writers being as full of texts of Scripture, and of references to Scripture, as

the discourses of modern divines. Future testimonies to the books of Scripture could only prove that they never lost their character or authority.

SECTION II.

When the Scriptures are quoted, or alluded to, they are quoted with peculiar respect, as books sui generis; as possessing an authority which belonged to no other books, and as conclusive in all questions and controversies amongst Christians.

Beside the general strain of reference and quotation, which uniformly and strongly indicates this distinction, the following may be regarded as specific testimonies:

I. Theophilus*, bishop of Antioch, the sixth in succession from the apostles, and who flourished little more than a century after the books of the New Testament were written, having occasion to quote one of our Gospels, writes thus: "These things the Holy Scriptures teach us, and all who were moved by the Holy Spirit, among whom John says, In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God." Again: "Concerning the righteousness which the law teaches, the like things are to be found in the Prophets and the *Gospels*, because that all, being inspired, spoke by one and the same Spirit of God†." No words can testify more strongly than these do, the high and peculiar respect in which these books were holden.

II. A writer against Artemon‡, who may be supposed to come about one hundred and fifty-eight years

* Lardner, Cred. part ii. vol. i. p. 429. † Ib. p. 448. ‡ Ib. vol. iii. p. 40.

after the publication of the Scripture, in a passage quoted by Eusebius, uses these expressions: "Possibly what they (our adversaries) say, might have been credited, *if first of all* the Divine Scriptures did not contradict them; *and then* the writings of certain brethren more ancient than the times of Victor." The brethren mentioned by name, are Justin, Miltiades, Tatian, Clement, Irenæus, Melito, with a general appeal to many more not named. This passage proves, first, that there was at that time a collection called *Divine Scriptures*; secondly, that these Scriptures were esteemed of higher authority than the writings of the most early and celebrated Christians.

III. In a piece ascribed to Hippolytus*, who lived near the same time, the author professes, in giving his correspondent instruction in the things about which he inquires, "to draw out of the *sacred fountain*, and to set before him from the Sacred Scriptures, what may afford him satisfaction." He then quotes immediately Paul's epistles to Timothy, and afterwards many books of the New Testament. This preface to the quotations carries in it a marked distinction between the Scriptures and other books.

IV. "*Our* assertions and discourses," saith Origen †, "are unworthy of credit; we must receive the *Scriptures* as witnesses." After treating of the duty of prayer, he proceeds with his argument thus: "What we have said, may be proved from the Divine Scriptures." In his books against Celsus, we find this passage: "That our religion teaches us to seek after wisdom, shall be shown, both out of the ancient Jewish Scriptures, which we also use, and out of those written since Jesus, which are believed in the churches to be

* Lardner, Cred. vol. iii. p. 112.

† Ib. p. 287, 288, 289.

divine." These expressions afford abundant evidence of the peculiar and exclusive authority which the Scriptures possessed.

V. Cyprian, bishop of Carthage*, whose age lies close to that of Origen, earnestly exhorts Christian teachers, in all doubtful cases, "to go back to the *fountain*; and, if the truth has in any case been shaken, to recur to the Gospels and apostolic writings."—"The precepts of the Gospel," says he in another place, "are nothing less than authoritative divine lessons, the foundations of our hope, the supports of our faith, the guides of our way, the safeguards of our course to heaven."

VI. Novatus†, a Roman, contemporary with Cyprian, appeals to the Scriptures, as the authority by which all errors were to be repelled, and disputes decided. "That Christ is not only man, but God also, is proved by the sacred authority of the Divine Writings."—"The Divine Scripture easily detects and confutes the frauds of heretics."—"It is not by the fault of the heavenly Scriptures, which never deceive." Stronger assertions than these could not be used.

VII. At the distance of twenty years from the writer last cited, Anatolius‡, a learned Alexandrian, and bishop of Laodicea, speaking of the rule for keeping Easter, a question at that day agitated with much earnestness, says of those whom he opposed, "They can by no means prove their point by the authority of the Divine Scripture."

VIII. The Arians, who sprung up about fifty years after this, argued strenuously against the use of the words consubstantial, and essence, and like phrases;

* Lardner, Cred. vol. iv. p. 840. † Ib. vol. v. p. 102. ‡ Ib. p. 146.

“*because they were not in Scripture**.” And in the same strain one of their advocates opens a conference with Augustine, after the following manner: “If you say what is reasonable, I must submit. If you allege any thing from the Divine Scriptures, which are common to both, I must hear. But unscriptural expressions (*quæ extra Scripturam sunt*) deserve no regard.”

Athanasius, the great antagonist of Arianism, after having enumerated the books of the Old and New Testament, adds, “These are the fountain of salvation, that he who thirsts may be satisfied with the oracles contained in them. In these alone the doctrine of salvation is proclaimed. Let no man add to them, or take any thing from them †.”

IX. Cyril, bishop of Jerusalem ‡, who wrote about twenty years after the appearance of Arianism, uses these remarkable words: “Concerning the divine and holy mysteries of faith, not the least article ought to be delivered without the Divine Scriptures.” We are assured that Cyril’s Scriptures were the same as ours, for he has left us a catalogue of the books included under that name.

X. Epiphanius §, twenty years after Cyril, challenges the Arians, and the followers of Origen, “to produce any passage of the Old and New Testament, favouring their sentiments.”

XI. Pœbadius, a Gallic bishop, who lived about thirty years after the council of Nice, testifies, that “the bishops of that council first consulted the sacred volumes, and then declared their faith ||.”

XII. Basil, bishop of Cæsarea, in Cappadocia, con-

* Lardner, Cred. vol. vii. p. 283, 284.

† Ib. vol. xii. p. 182.

‡ Ib. vol. viii. p. 276.

§ Ib. p. 314.

|| Ib. vol. ix. p. 52.

temporary with Epiphanius, says, “that hearers instructed in the Scriptures, ought to examine what is said by their teachers, and to embrace what is agreeable to the Scriptures, and to reject what is otherwise*.”

XIII. Ephraim, the Syrian, a celebrated writer of the same times, bears this conclusive testimony to the proposition which forms the subject of our present chapter: “The truth written in the Sacred Volume of the Gospel, is a perfect rule. Nothing can be taken from it nor added to it, without great guilt †.”

XIV. If we add Jerome to these, it is only for the evidence which he affords of the judgement of preceding ages. Jerome observes, concerning the quotations of *ancient* Christian writers, that is, of writers who were *ancient* in the year 400, that they made a distinction between books; some they quoted as of authority, and others not: which observation relates to the books of Scripture, compared with other writings, apocryphal or heathen ‡.

SECTION III.

The Scriptures were in very early times collected into a distinct volume.

Ignatius, who was bishop of Antioch within forty years after the Ascension, and who had lived and conversed with the apostles, speaks of the Gospel and of the apostles in terms which render it very probable that he meant by the Gospel, the book or volume of the Gospels, and by the Apostles, the book or volume of

* Lardner, Cred. vol. ix. p. 124. † Ib. p. 202. ‡ Ib. vol. x. p. 123, 124.

their Epistles. His words in one place are*, “Fleeing to the Gospel as the flesh of Jesus, and to the apostles as the presbytery of the church;” that is, as Le Clerc interprets them, “in order to understand the will of God, he fled to the Gospels, which he believed no less than if Christ in the flesh had been speaking to him; and to the writings of the apostles, whom he esteemed as the presbytery of the whole Christian church.” It must be observed, that about eighty years after this, we have direct proof, in the writings of Clement of Alexandria†, that these two names, “Gospel,” and “Apostles,” were the names by which the writings of the New Testament, and the division of these writings, were usually expressed.

Another passage from Ignatius is the following:—“But the Gospel has somewhat in it more excellent, the appearance of our Lord Jesus Christ, his passion and resurrection‡.”

And a third: “Ye ought to hearken to the Prophets, but especially to the Gospel, in which the passion has been manifested to us, and the resurrection perfected.” In this last passage, the Prophets and the Gospel are put in conjunction; and as Ignatius undoubtedly meant by the Prophets a collection of writings, it is probable that he meant the same by the Gospel, the two terms standing in evident parallelism with each other.

This interpretation of the word “Gospel,” in the passages above quoted from Ignatius, is confirmed by a piece of nearly equal antiquity, the relation of the martyrdom of Polycarp by the church of Smyrna. “All things,” say they, “that went before, were done, that the Lord might show us a martyrdom according to the

* Lardner, Cred. part ii. vol. i. p. 180. † Ib. vol. ii. p. 516.

‡ Ib. p. 182.

Gospel, for he expected to be delivered up as the Lord also did*." And in another place, "We do not commend those who offer themselves, forasmuch as the Gospel teaches us no such thing†." In both these places, what is called the *Gospel*, seems to be the history of Jesus Christ, and of his doctrine.

If this be the true sense of the passages, they are not only evidences of our proposition, but strong and very ancient proofs of the high esteem in which the books of the New Testament were holden.

II. Eusebius relates, that Quadratus and some others, who were the immediate successors of the apostles, travelling abroad to preach Christ, carried the Gospels with them, and delivered them to their converts. The words of Eusebius are: "Then travelling abroad, they performed the work of evangelists, being ambitious to preach Christ, and *deliver the Scripture of the divine Gospels*‡." Eusebius had before him the writings both of Quadratus himself, and of many others of that age, which are now lost. It is reasonable, therefore, to believe, that he had good grounds for his assertion. What is thus recorded of the Gospels, took place within sixty, or, at the most, seventy years after they were published: and it is evident that they must, before this time (and, it is probable, long before this time), have been in general use, and in high esteem in the churches planted by the apostles, inasmuch as they were now, we find, collected into a volume; and the immediate successors of the apostles, they who preached the religion of Christ to those who had not already heard it, carried the volume with them, and delivered it to their converts.

III. Irenæus, in the year 178 §, puts the evangelic

* Ignat. Ep. c. i. † Ib. c. iv. ‡ Lardner, Cred. part ii. vol. i. p. 236. § Ib. p. 383.

and apostolic writings in connexion with the Law and the Prophets, manifestly intending by the one a code or collection of Christian sacred writings, as the other expressed the code or collection of Jewish sacred writings. And,

IV. Melito, at this time bishop of Sardis, writing to one Onesimus, tells his correspondent*, that he had procured an accurate account of the books of the Old Testament. The occurrence, in this passage, of the term *Old Testament*, has been brought to prove, and it certainly does prove, that there was then a volume or collection of writings called the *New Testament*.

V. In the time of Clement of Alexandria, about fifteen years after the last-quoted testimony, it is apparent that the Christian Scriptures were divided into two parts, under the general titles of the Gospels and Apostles; and that both these were regarded as of the highest authority. One, out of many expressions of Clement, alluding to this distribution, is the following:—“There is a consent and harmony between the Law and the Prophets, the Apostles and the Gospel †.”

VI. The same division, “Prophets, Gospels, and Apostles,” appears in Tertullian ‡, the contemporary of Clement. The collection of the Gospels is likewise called by this writer the “Evangelic Instrument §;” the whole volume, the “New Testament;” and the two parts, the “Gospels and Apostles ||.”

VII. From many writers also of the third century, and especially from Cyprian, who lived in the middle of it, it is collected that the Christian Scriptures were divided into two codes or volumes, one called the “Gospels or Scriptures of the Lord,” the other, the “Apostles, or Epistles of the Apostles ¶.”

* Lardner, Cred. vol. i. p. 331. † Ib. vol. ii. p. 516. ‡ Ib. p. 631. § Ib. p. 574. || Ib. p. 632. ¶ Ib. vol. iv. p. 846.

VIII. Eusebius, as we have already seen, takes some pains to show, that the Gospel of Saint John had been justly placed by the ancients “the fourth in order, and after the other three*.” These are the terms of his proposition: and the very introduction of such an argument proves incontestably, that the four Gospels had been collected into a volume, to the exclusion of every other; that their order in the volume had been adjusted with much consideration; and that this had been done by those who were called ancients in the time of Eusebius.

In the Diocletian persecution, in the year 303, the Scriptures were sought out and burnt †: many suffered death rather than deliver them up; and those who betrayed them to the persecutors were accounted as lapsed and apostate. On the other hand, Constantine, after his conversion, gave directions for multiplying copies of the Divine Oracles, and for magnificently adorning them at the expense of the imperial treasury ‡. What the Christians of that age so richly embellished in their prosperity, and, which is more, so tenaciously preserved under persecution, was the very volume of the New Testament which we now read.

SECTION IV.

Our present Sacred Writings were soon distinguished by appropriate names and titles of respect.

Polycarp. “I trust that ye are well exercised in the *Holy Scriptures*;—as in these Scriptures it is said, Be ye angry and sin not, and let not the sun go down upon

* Lardner, Cred. vol. viii. p. 90. † Ib. vol. vii. p. 214, et seq.

‡ Ib. p. 432.

your wrath*.” This passage is extremely important; because it proves that, in the time of Polycarp, who had lived with the apostles, there were Christian writings distinguished by the name of “Holy Scriptures,” or *Sacred Writings*. Moreover, the text quoted by Polycarp is a text found in the collection at this day. What also the same Polycarp hath elsewhere quoted in the same manner, may be considered as proved to belong to the collection; and this comprehends Saint Matthew’s, and, probably, Saint Luke’s Gospel, the Acts of the Apostles, ten epistles of Paul, the First Epistle of Peter, and the First of John †. In another place, Polycarp has these words: “Whoever perverts the *Oracles of the Lord* to his own lusts, and says there is neither resurrection nor judgement, he is the firstborn of Satan ‡.”—It does not appear what else Polycarp could mean by the “Oracles of the Lord,” but those same “Holy Scriptures,” or *Sacred Writings*, of which he had spoken before.

II. Justin Martyr, whose apology was written about thirty years after Polycarp’s epistle, expressly cites some of our present histories under the title of *GOSPEL*, and that not as a name by him first ascribed to them, but as the name by which they were generally known in his time. His words are these:—“For the apostles in the memoirs composed by them, *which are called Gospels*, have thus delivered it, that Jesus commanded them to take bread, and give thanks §.” There exists no doubt, but that, by the memoirs above mentioned, Justin meant our present historical Scriptures; for throughout his works, he quotes these, and no others.

III. Dionysius, bishop of Corinth, who came thirty years after Justin, in a passage preserved in Eusebius (for his works are lost), speaks “of the Scriptures of the Lord ||.”

* Lardner, Cred. vol. i. p. 203. † Ib. p. 223. ‡ Ib. p. 222.

§ Ib. p. 271. || Ib. p. 298.

IV. And at the same time, or very nearly so, by Irenæus, bishop of Lyons in France*, they are called “Divine Scriptures,”—“Divine Oracles,”—“Scriptures of the Lord,”—“Evangelic and Apostolic Writings†.” The quotations of Irenæus prove decidedly, that our present Gospels, and these alone, together with the Acts of the Apostles, were the historical books comprehended by him under these appellations.

V. Saint Matthew’s Gospel is quoted by Theophilus, bishop of Antioch, contemporary with Irenæus, under the title of the “Evangelic Voice‡;” and the copious works of Clement of Alexandria, published within fifteen years of the same time, ascribe to the books of the New Testament the various titles of “Sacred Books,”—“Divine Scriptures,”—“Divinely inspired Scriptures,”—“Scriptures of the Lord,”—“the true Evangelical Canon §.”

VI. Tertullian, who joins on with Clement, beside adopting most of the names and epithets above noticed, calls the Gospels “our Digesta,” in allusion, as it should seem, to some collection of Roman laws then extant||.

VII. By Origen, who came thirty years after Tertullian, the same, and other no less strong titles, are applied to the Christian Scriptures: and, in addition thereunto, this writer frequently speaks of the “Old and New Testament,”—“the Ancient and New Scriptures,”—“the Ancient and New Oracles ¶.”

VIII. In Cyprian, who was not twenty years later, they are “Books of the Spirit,”—“Divine Fountains,”—“Fountains of the Divine Fulness**.”

* The reader will observe the remoteness of these two writers in country and situation.

† Lardner, Cred. vol. i. p. 343, et seq. ‡ Ib. p. 427. § Ib. vol. ii. p. 515. || Ib. p. 630. ¶ Ib. vol. iii. p. 230.

** Ib. vol. iv. p. 844.

The expressions we have thus quoted, are evidences of high and peculiar respect. They all occur within two centuries from the publication of the books. Some of them commence with the companions of the apostles; and they increase in number and variety, through a series of writers touching upon one another, and deduced from the first age of the religion.

SECTION V.

Our Scriptures were publicly read and expounded in the religious assemblies of the early Christians.

Justin Martyr, who wrote in the year 140, which was seventy or eighty years after some, and less, probably, after others of the Gospels were published, giving, in his first apology, an account, to the emperor, of the Christian worship, has this remarkable passage:

“The *Memoirs of the Apostles*, or the Writings of the Prophets, are *read* according as the time allows: and, when the reader has ended, the president makes a discourse, exhorting to the imitation of so excellent things*.”

A few short observations will show the value of this testimony.

1. The “*Memoirs of the Apostles*,” Justin in another place expressly tells us, are what are called “*Gospels*:” and that they were the Gospels which we now use, is made certain by Justin’s numerous quotations of *them*, and his silence about any others.

2. Justin describes the general usage of the Christian church.

* Lardner, Cred. vol. i. p. 273.

3. Justin does not speak of it as recent or newly instituted, but in the terms in which men speak of established customs.

II. Tertullian, who followed Justin at the distance of about fifty years, in his account of the religious assemblies of Christians as they were conducted in his time, says, "We come together to recollect the Divine Scriptures; we nourish our faith, raise our hope, confirm our trust, by the Sacred Word*."

III. Eusebius records of Origen, and cites for his authority the letters of bishops contemporary with Origen, that, when he went into Palestine about the year 216, which was only sixteen years after the date of Tertullian's testimony, he was desired by the bishops of that country to discourse and expound the Scriptures publicly in the church, though he was not yet ordained a presbyter†. This anecdote recognises the usage, not only of reading, but of expounding the Scriptures; and both as subsisting in full force. Origen also himself bears witness to the same practice: "This," says he, "we do, when the Scriptures are read in the church, and when the discourse for explication is delivered to the people‡." And, what is a still more ample testimony, many homilies of his upon the Scriptures of the New Testament, delivered by him in the assemblies of the church, are still extant.

IV. Cyprian, whose age was not twenty years lower than that of Origen, gives his people an account of having ordained two persons, who were before confessors, to be readers; and what they were to read, appears by the reason which he gives for his choice: "Nothing," says Cyprian, "can be more fit, than that he, who has made a glorious confession of the Lord, should read pub-

* Lardner, Cred. vol. ii. p. 628. † Ib. vol. iii. p. 68. ‡ Ib. p. 302.

licly in the church ; that he who has shown himself willing to die a martyr, should read the *Gospel of Christ*, by which martyrs are made*.”

V. Intimations of the same custom may be traced in a great number of writers in the beginning and throughout the whole of the fourth century. Of these testimonies I will only use one, as being, of itself, express and full. Augustine, who appeared near the conclusion of the century, displays the benefit of the Christian religion on this very account, the public reading of the Scriptures in the churches, “where,” says he, “is a confluence of all sorts of people of both sexes; and where they hear how they ought to live well in this world, that they may deserve to live happily and eternally in another.” And this custom he declares to be universal: “The canonical books of Scripture being read every where, the miracles therein recorded are well known to all people †.”

It does not appear that any books, other than our present Scriptures, were thus publicly read, except that the epistle of Clement was read in the church of Corinth, to which it had been addressed, and in some others; and that the Shepherd of Hermas was read in many churches. Nor does it subtract much from the value of the argument, that these two writings partly come within it, because we allow them to be the genuine writings of apostolical men. There is not the least evidence, that any other Gospel, than the four which we receive, was ever admitted to this distinction.

* Lardner, Cred. vol. iv. p. 842. † Ib. vol. x. p. 276, et seq.

SECTION VI.

Commentaries were anciently written upon the Scriptures; harmonies formed out of them; different copies carefully collated; and versions made of them into different languages.

No greater proof can be given of the esteem in which these books were holden by the ancient Christians, or of the sense then entertained of their value and importance, than the industry bestowed upon them. And it ought to be observed, that the value and importance of these books consisted entirely in their genuineness and truth. There was nothing in them, as works of taste, or as compositions, which could have induced any one to have written a note upon them. Moreover it shows that they were even *then* considered as ancient books. Men do not write comments upon publications of their own times: therefore the testimonies cited under this head, afford an evidence which carries up the evangelic writings much beyond the age of the testimonies themselves, and to that of their reputed authors.

I. Tatian, a follower of Justin Martyr, and who flourished about the year 170, composed a harmony, or collation of the Gospels, which he called *Diatessaron*, Of the four*. The title, as well as the work, is remarkable; because it shows that then, as now, there were four, and only four, Gospels in general use with Christians. And this was little more than a hundred years after the publication of some of them.

II. Pantænus, of the Alexandrian school, a man of great reputation and learning, who came twenty years after Tatian, wrote many commentaries upon the Holy

* Lardner, Cred. vol. i. p. 307.

Scriptures, which, as Jerome testifies, were extant in his time*.

III. Clement of Alexandria wrote short explications of many books of the Old and New Testament †.

IV. Tertullian appeals from the authority of a later version, then in use, to the authentic Greek ‡.

V. An anonymous author, quoted by Eusebius, and who appears to have written about the year 212, appeals to the *ancient copies* of the Scriptures, in refutation of some corrupt readings alleged by the followers of Artemon §.

VI. The same Eusebius, mentioning by name several writers of the church who lived at this time, and concerning whom he says, “There still remain divers monuments of the laudable industry of those ancient and ecclesiastical men” (*i. e.* of Christian writers who were considered as ancient in the year 300), adds, “There are, besides, treatises of many others, whose names we have not been able to learn, orthodox and ecclesiastical men, as the interpretations of the Divine Scriptures given by each of them show ||.”

VII. The last five testimonies may be referred to the year 200; immediately after which, a period of thirty years gives us

Julius Africanus, who wrote an epistle upon the apparent difference in the genealogies in Matthew and Luke, which he endeavours to reconcile by the distinction of natural and legal descent, and conducts his hypothesis with great industry through the whole series of generations ¶.

Ammonius, a learned Alexandrian, who composed, as Tatian had done, a harmony of *the four Gospels*;

* Lardner, *Cred.* vol. i. p. 455. † *Ib.* vol. ii. p. 462. ‡ *Ib.* p. 638. § *Ib.* vol. iii. p. 46. || *Ib.* vol. ii. p. 551. ¶ *Ib.* vol. iii. p. 170.

which proves, as Tatian's work did, that there were four Gospels, and no more, at this time in use in the church. It affords also an instance of the zeal of Christians for those writings, and of their solicitude about them*.

And, above both these, Origen, who wrote commentaries, or homilies, upon most of the books included in the New Testament, and upon no other books but these. In particular, he wrote upon Saint John's Gospel, very largely upon Saint Matthew's, and commentaries, or homilies, upon the Acts of the Apostles †.

VIII. In addition to these, the third century likewise contains

Dionysius of Alexandria, a very learned man, who compared, with great accuracy, the accounts in the four Gospels of the time of Christ's resurrection, adding a reflection which showed his opinion of their authority: "Let us not think that the evangelists disagree, or contradict each other, although there be some small difference; but let us honestly and faithfully endeavour to reconcile what we read ‡."

Victorin, bishop of Pettaw, in Germany, who wrote comments upon Saint Matthew's Gospel §.

Lucian, a presbyter of Antioch; and Hesychius, an Egyptian bishop, who put forth editions of the New Testament.

IX. The fourth century supplies a catalogue || of

* Lardner, Cred. vol. iii. p. 122.

† Ib. p. 352. 192.

202. 245. ‡ Ib. vol. iv. p. 166.

§ Ib. p. 195.

Eusebius, A. D.	315	Gregory, Nyssen,	371
Juvenus, Spain,	330	Didimus of Alex.	370
Theodore, Thrace,	334	Ambrose of Milan,	374
Hilary, Poitiers,	354	Diodore of Tarsus,	378
Fortunatus,	340	Gaudent. of Brescia,	387
Apollinarius of Lao-		Theodore of Cilicia,	394
dicea,	362	Jerome,	392
Damasus, Rome,	366	Chrysostom,	398

fourteen writers, who expended their labours upon the books of the New Testament, and whose works or names are come down to our times; amongst which number it may be sufficient, for the purpose of showing the sentiments and studies of learned Christians of that age, to notice the following:

Eusebius, in the very beginning of the century, wrote expressly upon the discrepancies observable in the Gospels, and likewise a treatise, in which he pointed out what things are related by four, what by three, what by two, and what by one evangelist*. This author also testifies what is certainly a material piece of evidence, “that the writings of the apostles had obtained such an esteem, as to be translated into every language both of Greeks and Barbarians, and to be diligently studied by all nations †.” This testimony was given about the year 300; how long *before* that date these translations were made, does not appear.

Damasus, bishop of Rome, corresponded with Saint Jerome upon the exposition of difficult texts of Scripture; and, in a letter still remaining, desires Jerome to give him a clear explanation of the word *Hosanna*, found in the New Testament; “he (Damasus) having met with very different interpretations of it in the Greek and Latin commentaries of Catholic writers which he had read ‡.” This last clause shows the number and variety of commentaries then extant.

Gregory of Nyssen, at one time, appeals to the most exact copies of Saint Mark’s Gospel; at another time, compares together, and proposes to reconcile, the several accounts of the Resurrection *given by the four Evangelists*; which limitation proves, that there were no other

* Lardner, Cred. vol. viii. p. 46. † Ib. p. 201.

‡ Ib. vol. ix. p. 108.

histories of Christ deemed authentic beside these, or included in the same character with these. This writer observes, acutely enough, that the disposition of the clothes in the sepulchre, the napkin that was about our Saviour's head, not lying with the linen clothes, but wrapped together in a place by itself, did not bespeak the terror and hurry of thieves, and therefore refutes the story of the body being stolen*.

Ambrose, bishop of Milan, remarked various readings in the Latin copies of the New Testament, and appeals to the original Greek;

And Jeromè, towards the conclusion of this century, put forth an edition of the New Testament in Latin, corrected, at least as to the Gospels, by Greek copies, "and those (he says) ancient."

Lastly, Chrysostom, it is well known, delivered and published a great many homilies, or sermons, upon the Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles.

It is needless to bring down this article lower; but it is of importance to add, that there is no example of Christian writers of the first three centuries composing comments upon any other books than those which are found in the New Testament, except the single one of Clement of Alexandria commenting upon a book called the Revelation of Peter.

Of the *ancient versions* of the New Testament, one of the most valuable is the Syriac. Syriac was the language of Palestine when Christianity was there first established. And although the books of Scripture were written in Greek, for the purpose of a more extended circulation than within the precincts of Judea, yet it is probable that they would soon be translated into the vulgar language of the country where the religion first

* Lardner, Cred. vol. ix. p. 163.

prevailed. Accordingly, a Syriac translation is now extant, all along, so far as it appears, used by the inhabitants of Syria, bearing many internal marks of high antiquity, supported in its pretensions by the uniform tradition of the East, and confirmed by the discovery of many very ancient manuscripts in the libraries of Europe. It is about 200 years since a bishop of Antioch sent a copy of this translation into Europe, to be printed; and this seems to be the first time that the translation became generally known to these parts of the world. The bishop of Antioch's Testament was found to contain all our books, except the second epistle of Pèter, the second and third of John, and the Revelation; which books, however, have since been discovered in that language in some ancient manuscripts of Europe. But in this collection, no other book, besides what is in ours, appears ever to have had a place. And, which is very worthy of observation, the text, though preserved in a remote country, and without communication with ours, differs from ours very little, and in nothing that is important*.

SECTION VII.

Our Scriptures were received by ancient Christians of different sects and persuasions, by many Heretics as well as Catholics, and were usually appealed to by both sides in the controversies which arose in those days.

The three most ancient topics of controversy amongst Christians were, the authority of the Jewish constitution, the origin of evil, and the nature of Christ. Upon

* Jones on the Canon, vol. i. c. 14.

the first of these we find, in very early times, one class of heretics rejecting the Old Testament entirely; another contending for the obligation of its law, in all its parts, throughout its whole extent, and over every one who sought acceptance with God. Upon the two latter subjects, a natural, perhaps, and venial, but a fruitless, eager, and impatient curiosity, prompted by the philosophy and by the scholastic habits of the age, which carried men much into bold hypotheses and conjectural solutions, raised, amongst some who professed Christianity, very wild and unfounded opinions. I think there is no reason to believe that the number of these bore any considerable proportion to the body of the Christian church; and amidst the disputes which such opinions necessarily occasioned, it is a great satisfaction to perceive, what, in a vast plurality of instances, we do perceive, all sides recurring to the same Scriptures.

* I. Basilides lived near the age of the apostles, about the year 120, or, perhaps, sooner†. He rejected the Jewish institution, not as spurious, but as proceeding from a being inferior to the true God; and in other respects advanced a scheme of theology widely different from the general doctrine of the Christian church, and which, as it gained over some disciples, was warmly opposed by Christian writers of the second and third century. In these writings, there is positive evidence that Basilides received the Gospel of Matthew; and there is no sufficient proof that he rejected any of the other three: on the contrary, it appears that he wrote a

* The materials of the former part of this section are taken from Dr. Lardner's *History of the Heretics of the first two Centuries*, published since his death, with additions, by the Rev. Mr. Hogg, of Exeter, and inserted into the ninth volume of his works, of the edition of 1778.

† Vol. ix. p. 271.

commentary upon the Gospel, so copious as to be divided into twenty-four books*.

II. The Valentinians appeared about the same time †. Their heresy consisted in certain notions concerning angelic natures, which can hardly be rendered intelligible to a modern reader. They seem, however, to have acquired as much importance as any of the separatists of that early age. Of this sect, Irenæus, who wrote A. D. 172, expressly records that they endeavoured to fetch arguments for their opinions from the evangelic and apostolic writings ‡. Heracleon, one of the most celebrated of the sect, and who lived probably so early as the year 125, wrote commentaries upon Luke and John §. Some observations also of his upon Matthew are preserved by Origen ||. Nor is there any reason to doubt that he received the whole New Testament.

III. The Carpocratians were also an early heresy, little, if at all, later than the two preceding ¶. Some of their opinions resembled what we at this day mean by Socinianism. With respect to the Scriptures, they are specifically charged, by Irenæus and by Epiphanius, with endeavouring to pervert a passage in Matthew, which amounts to a positive proof that they received that Gospel **. Negatively, they are not accused, by their adversaries, of rejecting any part of the New Testament.

IV. The Sethians, A. D. 150 ††; the Montanists, A. D. 156 ††; the Marcosians, A. D. 160 §§; Hermogenes, A. D. 180 ||||; Praxias, A. D. 196 ¶¶; Arte-

* Lardner, vol. ix. ed. 1788, p. 305, 306. † Ib. p. 350, 351.
 ‡ Ib. vol. i. p. 383. § Ib. vol. ix. ed. 1788, p. 352. || Ib. 353.
 ¶ Ib. 309. ** Ib. 318. †† Ib. 455. †† Ib. 482. §§ Ib. 348.
 |||| Ib. 473. ¶¶ Ib. 433.

mon, A. D. 200*; Theodotus, A. D. 200; all included under the denomination of heretics, and all engaged in controversies with Catholic Christians, received the Scriptures of the New Testament.

V. Tatian, who lived in the year 172, went into many extravagant opinions, was the founder of a sect called Encratites, and was deeply involved in disputes with the Christians of that age; yet Tatian so received the four Gospels, as to compose a harmony from them.

VI. From a writer, quoted by Eusebius, of about the year 200, it is apparent that they who at that time contended for the mere humanity of Christ, argued from the Scriptures; for they are accused by this writer of making alterations in their copies, in order to favour their opinions†.

VII. Origen's sentiments excited great controversies,—the bishops of Rome and Alexandria, and many others, condemning, the bishops of the East espousing them; yet there is not the smallest question, but that both the advocates and adversaries of these opinions acknowledged the same authority of Scripture. In his time, which the reader will remember was about one hundred and fifty years after the Scriptures were published; many dissensions subsisted amongst Christians, with which they were reproached by Celsus; yet Origen, who has recorded this accusation without contradicting it, nevertheless testifies, that the four Gospels were received *without dispute*, by the whole church of God under heaven‡.

VIII. Paul of Samosata, about thirty years after Origen, so distinguished himself in the controversy concerning the nature of Christ, as to be the subject of two councils or synods, assembled at Antioch, upon his

* Lardner, vol. ix. ed. 1778, p. 466. † Ib. vol. iii. p. 46. ‡ Ib. vol. iv. p. 642.

opinions. Yet he is not charged by his adversaries with rejecting any book of the New Testament. On the contrary, Epiphanius, who wrote a history of heretics a hundred years afterwards, says, that Paul endeavoured to support his doctrine by texts of Scripture. And Vincentius Lirinensis, A. D. 434, speaking of Paul and other heretics of the same age, has these words: "Here, perhaps, some one may ask, whether heretics also urge the testimony of Scripture. They urge it, indeed, explicitly and vehemently; for you may see them flying through every book of the sacred law*."

IX. A controversy at the same time existed with the Noëtians or Sabellians, who seem to have gone into the opposite extreme from that of Paul of Samosata and his followers. Yet, according to the express testimony of Epiphanius, Sabellius received all the Scriptures. And with both sects Catholic writers constantly allege the Scriptures, and reply to the arguments which their opponents drew from particular texts.

We have here, therefore, a proof, that parties, who were the most opposite and irreconcilable to one another, acknowledged the authority of Scripture with equal deference.

X. And as a general testimony to the same point, may be produced what was said by one of the bishops of the council of Carthage, which was holden a little before this time:—"I am of opinion that blasphemous and wicked heretics, who *pervert* the sacred and adorable words of the Scripture, should be execrated†." Undoubtedly what they perverted, they received.

XI. The Millennium, Novatianism, the baptism of heretics, the keeping of Easter, engaged also the attention and divided the opinions of Christians, at and before

* Lardner, vol. xi. p. 158.

† Ib. p. 839.

that time (and, by the way, it may be observed, that such disputes, though on some accounts to be blamed, showed how much men were in earnest upon the subject); yet every one appealed for the grounds of his opinion to Scripture authority. Dionysius of Alexandria, who flourished A. D. 247, describing a conference or public disputation, with the Millennarians of Egypt, confesses of them, though their adversary, “that they embrace whatever could be made out by good arguments from the Holy Scriptures*.” Novatus, A. D. 251, distinguished by some rigid sentiments concerning the reception of those who had lapsed, and the founder of a numerous sect, in his few remaining works quotes the Gospel with the same respect as other Christians did; and concerning his followers, the testimony of Socrates, who wrote about the year 440, is positive, *viz.* “That in the disputes between the Catholics and them, each side endeavoured to support itself by the authority of the Divine Scriptures†.”

XII. The Donatists, who sprung up in the year 328, used the same Scriptures as we do. “Produce,” saith Augustine, “some proof from the Scriptures, whose authority is common to us both‡.”

XIII. It is perfectly notorious, that, in the Arian controversy, which arose soon after the year 300, both sides appealed to the same Scriptures, and with equal professions of deference and regard. The Arians, in their council of Antioch, A. D. 341, pronounce, that, “if any one, contrary to the sound doctrine of the Scriptures, say, that the Son is a creature, as one of the creatures, let him be an anathema §.” They and the Athanasians mutually accuse each other of using *un-*

* Lardner, vol. iv. p. 666. † Ib. vol. v. p. 105. ‡ Ib. vol. vii. p. 243. § Ib. p. 277.

scriptural phrases; which was a mutual acknowledgement of the conclusive authority of Scripture.

XIV. The Priscillianists, A. D. 378*, the Pelagians, A. D. 405†, received the same Scriptures as we do.

XV. The testimony of Chrysostom, who lived near the year 400, is so positive in affirmation of the proposition which we maintain, that it may form a proper conclusion of the argument. “The general reception of the Gospels is a proof that their history is true and consistent; for, since the writing of the Gospels, many heresies have arisen, holding opinions contrary to what is contained in them, who yet receive the Gospels either entire or in part‡.” I am not moved by what may seem a deduction from Chrysostom’s testimony, the words, “entire, or in part;” for, if all the parts, which were ever questioned in our Gospels, were given up, it would not affect the miraculous origin of the religion in the smallest degree: *e. g.*

Cerintus is said by Epiphanius to have received the Gospel of Matthew, but not entire. What the omissions were, does not appear. The common opinion, that he rejected the first two chapters, seems to have been a mistake§. It is agreed, however, by all who have given any account of Cerintus, that he taught that the Holy Ghost (whether he meant by that name a person or a power) descended upon Jesus at his baptism; that Jesus from this time performed many miracles, and that he appeared after his death. He must have retained therefore the essential parts of the history.

Of all the ancient heretics, the most extraordinary was Marcion||. One of his tenets was the rejection of

* Lardner, vol ix. p. 325. † Ib. vol. xi. p. 52. ‡ Ib. vol. x. p. 316. § Ib. vol. ix. ed. 1788, p. 322. || Ib. sect. ii. c. x. Also Michael. vol. i. c. i. sect. xviii.

the Old Testament, as proceeding from an inferior and imperfect deity; and in pursuance of this hypothesis, he erased from the New, and that, as it should seem, without entering into any critical reasons, every passage which recognised the Jewish Scriptures. He spared not a text which contradicted his opinion. It is reasonable to believe that Marcion treated books as he treated texts: yet this rash and wild controversialist published a recension, or chastised edition, of Saint Luke's Gospel, containing the leading facts, and all which is necessary to authenticate the religion. This example affords proof, that there were always some points, and those the main points, which neither wildness nor rashness, neither the fury of opposition nor the intemperance of controversy, would venture to call in question. There is no reason to believe that Marcion, though full of resentment against the Catholic Christians, ever charged them with forging their books. "The Gospel of Saint Matthew, the Epistle to the Hebrews, with those of Saint Peter and Saint James, as well as the Old Testament in general," he said, "were writings not for Christians but for Jews*." This declaration shows the ground upon which Marcion proceeded in his mutilation of the Scriptures, *viz.* his dislike of the passages or the books. Marcion flourished about the year 130.

Dr. Lardner, in his General Review, sums up this head of evidence in the following words:—"Noëtus, Paul of Samosata, Sabellius, Marcellus, Photinus, the Novatians, Donatists, Manicheans†, Priscillianists, be-

* I have transcribed this sentence from Michaelis (p. 38.), who has not, however, referred to the authority upon which he attributes these words to Marcion.

† This must be with an exception, however, of Faustus, who lived so late as the year 384.

side Artemon, the Audians, the Arians, and divers others, all received most of all the same books of the New Testament which the Catholics received; and agreed in a like respect for them as written by apostles, or their disciples and companions*.”

SECTION VIII.

The four Gospels, the Acts of the Apostles, thirteen Epistles of Saint Paul, the First Epistle of John, and the First of Peter, were received without doubt by those who doubted concerning the other books which are included in our present Canon.

I state this proposition, because, if made out, it shows that the authenticity of their books was a subject amongst the early Christians of consideration and inquiry; and that, where there was cause of doubt, they did doubt; a circumstance which strengthens very much their testimony to such books as were received by them with full acquiescence.

I. Jerome, in his account of Caius, who was probably a presbyter of Rome, and who flourished near the year 200, records of him, that, reckoning up only thirteen epistles of Paul, he says the fourteenth, which is inscribed to the Hebrews, is not his: and then Jerome adds, “ With the Romans to this day it is not looked upon as Paul’s.” This agrees in the main with the account given by Eusebius of the same ancient author and his work; except that Eusebius delivers his own remark in more guarded terms: “ And indeed to this very time

* Lardner, vol. xii. p. 12.—Dr. Lardner’s future inquiries supplied him with many other instances.

by *some* of the Romans, this epistle is not thought to be the apostle's*."

II. Origen, about twenty years after Caius, quoting the Epistle to the Hebrews, observes that some might dispute the authority of that epistle; and therefore proceeds to quote to the same point, as *undoubted* books of Scripture, the Gospel of Saint Matthew, the Acts of the Apostles, and Paul's First Epistle to the Thessalonians†. And in another place, this author speaks of the Epistle to the Hebrews thus:—"The account come down to us is various; some saying that Clement, who was bishop of Rome, wrote this epistle; others, that it was Luke, the same who wrote the Gospel and the Acts." Speaking also, in the same paragraph, of Peter, "Peter," says he, "has left one epistle, acknowledged; let it be granted likewise that he wrote a second, for it is doubted of." And of John, "He has also left one epistle, of a very few lines; grant also a second and a third, for all do not allow them to be genuine." Now let it be noted, that Origen, who thus discriminates, and thus confesses his own doubts, and the doubts which subsisted in his time, expressly witnesses concerning the four Gospels, "that they alone are received without dispute by the whole church of God under heaven‡."

III. Dionysius of Alexandria, in the year 247, doubts concerning the Book of Revelation, whether it was written by Saint John; states the grounds of his doubt, represents the diversity of opinion concerning it, in his own time, and before his time§. Yet the same Dionysius uses and collates the four Gospels in a manner which shows that he entertained not the smallest suspicion of *their* authority, and in a manner also which

* Lardner, vol. iii. p. 240. † Ib. p. 246. ‡ Ib. p. 234.

§ Ib. vol. iv. p. 670.

shows that they, and they alone, were received as authentic histories of Christ*.

IV. But this section may be said to have been framed on purpose to introduce to the reader two remarkable passages extant in Eusebius's Ecclesiastical History. The first passage opens with these words:—"Let us observe the writings of the apostle John which are *uncontradicted*; and first of all must be mentioned, as acknowledged of all, the Gospel according to him, well known to all the churches under heaven." The author then proceeds to relate the occasions of writing the Gospels, and the reasons for placing Saint John's the last, manifestly speaking of all the four as parallel in their authority, and in the certainty of their original †. The second passage is taken from a chapter, the title of which is, "Of the Scriptures *universally acknowledged*, and of those that are not such." Eusebius begins his enumeration in the following manner:—"In the *first place* are to be ranked the sacred four Gospels; then the book of the Acts of the Apostles; after that are to be reckoned the Epistles of Paul. In the next place, that called the First Epistle of John, and the Epistle of Peter, are to be esteemed authentic. After this is to be placed, if it be thought fit, the Revelation of John, about which we shall observe the different opinions at proper seasons. Of the controverted, but yet well known or approved by the most, are, that called the Epistle of James, and that of Jude, and the Second of Peter, and the Second and Third of John, whether they are written by the evangelist, or another of the same name ‡." He then proceeds to reckon up five others, not in our canon, which he calls in one place *spurious*,

* Lardner, vol. iv. p. 661. † Ib. vol. viii. p. 90. ‡ Ib. p. 39.

in another *controverted*, meaning, as appears to me, nearly the same thing by these two words*.

It is manifest from this passage, that the four Gospels, and the Acts of the Apostles (the parts of Scripture with which our concern principally lies), were acknowledged without dispute, even by those who raised objections, or entertained doubts, about some other parts of the same collection. But the passage proves something more than this. The author was extremely conversant in the writings of Christians, which had been published from the commencement of the institution to his own time: and it was from these writings that he drew his knowledge of the character and reception of the books in question. That Eusebius recurred to this medium of information, and that he had examined with attention this species of proof, is shown, first, by a passage in the very chapter we are quoting, in which, speaking of the books which he calls spurious, “None,” he says, “of the ecclesiastical writers, in the succession of the apostles, have vouchsafed to make any mention of them in their writings;” and secondly, by another passage of the same work, wherein, speaking of the First Epistle of Peter, “This,” he says, “the presbyters of ancient times have quoted in their writings as undoubtedly genuine †;” and then, speaking of some other writings bearing the name of Peter, “We know,” he says, “that they have not been delivered down to us in the number of Catholic writings, forasmuch as no

* That Eusebius could not intend, by the word rendered “spurious,” what we at present mean by it, is evident from a clause in this very chapter, where, speaking of the Gospels of Peter, and Thomas, and Matthias, and some others, he says, “They are not so much as to be reckoned among the *spurious*, but are to be rejected as altogether absurd and impious.” Vol. viii. p. 98.

† Lardner, vol. viii. p. 99.

ecclesiastical writer of the ancients, or of our times, has made use of testimonies out of them." "But in the progress of this history," the author proceeds, "we shall make it our business to show, together with the successions from the apostles, what ecclesiastical writers, in every age, have used such writings as these which are contradicted, and what they have said with regard to the Scriptures received in the New Testament, *and acknowledged by all*, and with regard to those which are not such*."

After this it is reasonable to believe, that when Eusebius states the four Gospels, and the Acts of the Apostles, as uncontradicted, uncontested, and acknowledged by all; and when he places them in opposition, not only to those which were spurious, in our sense of that term, but to those which were controverted, and even to those which were well known and approved by many, yet doubted of by some; he represents not only the sense of his own age, but the result of the evidence which the writings of prior ages, from the apostles' time to his own, had furnished to his inquiries. The opinion of Eusebius and his contemporaries appears to have been founded upon the testimony of writers whom they *then* called ancient: and we may observe, that such of the works of these writers as have come down to our times entirely confirm the judgement, and support the distinction which Eusebius proposes. The books which he calls "books universally acknowledged," are in fact used and quoted in the remaining works of Christian writers, during the 250 years between the apostles' time and that of Eusebius, much more frequently than, and in a different manner from, those, the authority of which, he tells us, was disputed.

* Lardner, vol. viii. p. 111.

SECTION IX.

Our historical Scriptures were attacked by the early adversaries of Christianity, as containing the accounts upon which the Religion was founded.

Near the middle of the second century, Celsus, a heathen philosopher, wrote a professed treatise against Christianity. To this treatise, Origen, who came about fifty years after him, published an answer, in which he frequently recites his adversary's words and arguments. The work of Celsus is lost; but that of Origen remains. Origen appears to have given us the words of Celsus, where he professes to give them, very faithfully, and, amongst other reasons for thinking so, this is one, that the objection, as stated by him from Celsus, is sometimes stronger than his own answer. I think it also probable, that Origen, in his answer, has retailed a large portion of the work of Celsus: "That it may not be suspected," he says, "that we pass by any chapters, because we have no answers at hand, I have thought it best, according to my ability, to confute every thing proposed by him, not so much observing the natural order of things, as the order which he has taken himself*."

Celsus wrote about one hundred years after the Gospels were published; and therefore any notices of these books from him are extremely important for their antiquity. They are, however, rendered more so by the character of the author; for, the reception, credit, and notoriety of these books must have been well established amongst Christians, to have made them subjects of animadversion and opposition by strangers and by enemies.

* Orig. cont. Cels. l. i. sect. 41.

It evinces the truth of what Chrysostom, two centuries afterwards, observed, that “the Gospels, when written, were not hidden in a corner or buried in obscurity, but they were made known to all the world, before enemies as well as others, even as they are now*.”

1. Celsus, or the Jew whom he personates, uses these words:—“I could say many things concerning the affairs of Jesus, and those, too, different from those written by the disciples of Jesus; but I purposely omit them†.” Upon this passage it has been rightly observed, that it is not easy to believe, that if Celsus could have contradicted the disciples upon good evidence in any material point, he would have omitted to do so, and that the assertion is, what Origen calls it, a mere oratorical flourish.

It is sufficient, however, to prove, that, in the time of Celsus, there were books well known, and allowed to be written by the disciples of Jesus, which books contained a history of him. By the term *disciples*, Celsus does not mean the followers of Jesus in general; for them he calls Christians, or believers, or the like; but those who had been taught by Jesus himself, *i. e.* his apostles and companions.

2. In another passage, Celsus accuses the Christians of altering the Gospel‡. The accusation refers to some variations in the readings of particular passages: for Celsus goes on to object, that when they are pressed hard, and one reading has been confuted, they disown that, and fly to another. We cannot perceive from Origen, that Celsus specified any particular instances, and without such specification the charge is of no value. But the true conclusion to be drawn from it is, that

* In Matt. Hom. I. 7. † Lardner, Jewish and Heathen Test. vol. ii. p. 274. ‡ Ib. p. 275.

there were in the hands of the Christians, histories, which were even then of some standing: for, various readings and corruptions do not take place in recent productions.

The former quotation, the reader will remember, proves that these books were composed by the disciples of Jesus, strictly so called; the present quotation shows, that, though objections were taken by the adversaries of the religion to the integrity of these books, none were made to their genuineness.

3. In a third passage, the Jew, whom Celsus introduces, shuts up an argument in this manner:—"These things then we have alleged to you out of *your own writings*, not needing any other weapons*." It is manifest that this boast proceeds upon the supposition that the books, over which the writer affects to triumph, possessed an authority by which Christians confessed themselves to be bound.

4. That the books to which Celsus refers were no other than our present Gospels, is made out by his allusions to various passages still found in these Gospels. Celsus takes notice of the *genealogies*, which fixes two of these Gospels; of the precepts, Resist not him that injures you, and, If a man strike thee on the one cheek, offer to him the other also †; of the woes denounced by Christ; of his predictions; of his saying, that it is impossible to serve two masters ‡; of the purple robe, the crown of thorns, and the reed in his hand; of the blood that flowed from the body of Jesus upon the cross §, which circumstance is recorded by John alone; and (what is *instar omnium* for the purpose for which we produce it) of the difference in the accounts given of

* Lardner, vol. ii. p. 276. † Ib. ‡ Ib. p. 277.

§ Ib. p. 280, 281.

the resurrection by the evangelists, some mentioning two angels at the sepulchre, others only one*.

It is extremely material to remark, that Celsus not only perpetually referred to the accounts of Christ contained in the four Gospels†, but that he referred to no other accounts; that he founded none of his objections to Christianity upon any thing delivered in spurious Gospels.

II. What Celsus was in the second century, Porphyry became in the third. His work, which was a large and formal treatise against the Christian religion, is not extant. We must be content therefore to gather his objections from Christian writers, who have noticed in order to answer them; and enough remains of this species of information, to prove completely, that Porphyry's animadversions were directed against the contents of our present Gospels, and of the Acts of the Apostles; Porphyry considering that to overthrow *them* was to overthrow the religion. Thus he objects to the repetition of a generation in Saint Matthew's genealogy; to Matthew's call; to the quotation of a text from Isaiah, which is found in a psalm ascribed to Asaph; to the calling of the lake of Tiberias a sea; to the expression in Saint Matthew, "the abomination of desolation;" to the variation in Matthew and Mark upon the text, "The voice of one crying in the wilderness," Matthew citing it from Isaias, Mark from the Prophets; to John's application of the term "Word;" to Christ's change of intention about going up to the feast of tabernacles (John vii. 8); to the judgement denounced by Saint

* Lardner, vol. ii. p. 283.

† The particulars, of which the above are only a few, are well collected by Mr. Bryant, p. 140.

Peter upon Ananias and Sapphira, which he calls an “ imprecation of death *.”

The instances here alleged serve, in some measure, to show the nature of Porphyry’s objections, and prove that Porphyry had read the Gospels with that sort of attention which a writer would employ who regarded them as the depositaries of the religion which he attacked. Beside these specifications, there exists, in the writings of ancient Christians, general evidence that the places of Scripture upon which Porphyry had remarked were very numerous.

In some of the above-cited examples, Porphyry, speaking of Saint Matthew, calls him *your evangelist*; he also uses the term evangelists in the plural number. What was said of Celsus, is true likewise of Porphyry, that it does not appear that he considered any history of Christ, except these, as having authority with Christians.

III. A third great writer against the Christian religion was the emperor Julian, whose work was composed about a century after that of Porphyry.

In various long extracts, transcribed from this work by Cyril and Jerome, it appears †, that Julian noticed *by name* Matthew and Luke, in the difference between their genealogies of Christ; that he objected to Matthew’s application of the prophecy, “ Out of Egypt have I called my son” (ii. 15), and to that of “ A virgin shall conceive” (i. 23); that he recited sayings of Christ, and various passages of his history, in the very words of the evangelists; in particular, that Jesus healed lame and blind people, and exorcised demoniacs, in the villages of Bethsaida, and Bethany; that he alleged that

* Jewish and Heathen Test. vol. iii. p. 166, et seq.

† Ib. vol. iv. p. 77, et seq.

none of Christ's disciples ascribed to him the creation of the world, except John; that neither Paul, nor Matthew, nor Luke, nor Mark, have dared to call Jesus, God; that John wrote later than the other evangelists, and at a time when a great number of men in the cities of Greece and Italy were converted; that he alludes to the conversion of Cornelius and of Sergius Paulus, to Peter's vision, to the circular letter sent by the apostles and elders at Jerusalem, which are all recorded in the Acts of the Apostles: by which quoting of the four Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles, and by quoting no other, Julian shows that these were the historical books, and the only historical books, received by Christians as of authority, and as the authentic memoirs of Jesus Christ, of his apostles, and of the doctrines taught by them. But Julian's testimony does something more than represent the judgement of the Christian church in his time. It discovers also his own. He himself expressly states the early date of these records; he calls them by the names which they now bear. He all along supposes, he nowhere attempts to question, their genuineness.

The argument in favour of the books of the New Testament, drawn from the notice taken of their contents by the early writers against the religion, is very considerable. It proves that the accounts, which Christians had then, were the accounts which we have now; that our present Scriptures were theirs. It proves, moreover, that neither Celsus in the second, Porphyry in the third, nor Julian in the fourth century, suspected the authenticity of these books, or ever insinuated that Christians were mistaken in the authors to whom they ascribed them. Not one of them expressed an opinion upon this subject different from that which was holden

by Christians. And when we consider how much it would have availed them to have cast a doubt upon this point, if they could; and how ready they showed themselves to be, to take every advantage in their power; and that they were all men of learning and inquiry; their concession, or rather their suffrage, upon the subject, is extremely valuable.

In the case of Porphyry, it is made still stronger, by the consideration that he did in fact support himself by this species of objection when he saw any room for it, or when his acuteness could supply any pretence for alleging it. The prophecy of Daniel he attacked upon this very ground of spuriousness, insisting that it was written after the time of Antiochus Epiphanes, and maintains his charge of forgery by some far-fetched indeed, but very subtle criticisms. Concerning the writings of the New Testament, no trace of this suspicion is any where to be found in him*.

SECTION X.

Formal catalogues of authentic Scriptures were published, in all which our present sacred histories were included.

This species of evidence comes later than the rest; as it was not natural that catalogues of any particular class of books should be put forth until Christian writings became numerous; or until some writings showed themselves, claiming titles which did not belong to them, and thereby rendering it necessary to separate books of

* Michaelis's Introduction to the New Testament, vol. i. p. 43. Marsh's Translation.

authority from others. But, when it does appear, it is extremely satisfactory; the catalogues, though numerous, and made in countries at a wide distance from one another, differing very little, differing in nothing which is material, and all containing the four Gospels. To this last article there is no exception.

I. In the writings of Origen which remain, and in some extracts preserved by Eusebius, from works of his which are now lost, there are enumerations of the books of Scripture, in which the four Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles are distinctly and honourably specified, and in which no books appear beside what are now received*. The reader, by this time, will easily recollect that the date of Origen's works is A. D. 230.

II. Athanasius, about a century afterwards, delivered a catalogue of the books of the New Testament in form, containing our Scriptures and no others; of which he says, "In these alone the doctrine of Religion is taught; let no man add to them, or take any thing from them †."

III. About twenty years after Athanasius, Cyril, bishop of Jerusalem, set forth a catalogue of the books of Scripture, publicly read at that time in the church of Jerusalem, exactly the same as ours, except that the "Revelation" is omitted ‡.

IV. And fifteen years after Cyril, the council of Laodicea delivered an authoritative catalogue of canonical Scripture, like Cyril's, the same as ours, with the omission of the "Revelation."

V. Catalogues now became frequent. Within thirty years after the last date, that is, from the year 363 to near the conclusion of the fourth century, we have catalogues by Epiphanius §, by Gregory Nazianzen ||,

* Lardner, Cred. vol. iii. p. 234, et seq.; vol. viii. p. 196.

† Ib. vol. ii. p. 223.

‡ Ib. p. 270.

§ Ib. p. 368.

|| Ib. vol. ix. p. 132.

by Philaster, bishop of Brescia in Italy*, by Amphilochius, bishop of Iconium, all, as they are sometimes called, *clean* catalogues (that is, they admit no books into the number beside what we now receive), and all, for every purpose of historic evidence, the same as ours †.

VI. Within the same period Jerome, the most learned Christian writer of his age, delivered a catalogue of the books of the New Testament, recognising every book now received, with the intimation of a doubt concerning the Epistle to the Hebrews alone, and taking not the least notice of any book which is not now received ‡.

VII. Contemporary with Jerome, who lived in Palestine, was St. Augustine, in Africa, who published likewise a catalogue, without joining to the Scriptures, as books of authority, any other ecclesiastical writing whatever, and without omitting one which we at this day acknowledge §.

VIII. And with these concurs another contemporary writer, Rufen, presbyter of Aquileia, whose catalogue, like theirs, is perfect and unmixed, and concludes with these remarkable words: "These are the volumes which the fathers have included in the canon, and out of which they would have us prove the doctrine of our faith ||."

* Lardner, vol. ix. p. 373.

† Epiphanius omits the Acts of the Apostles. This must have been an accidental mistake, either in him or in some copyist of his work; for he elsewhere expressly refers to this book, and ascribes it to Luke.

‡ Lardner, Cred. vol. x. p. 77. § Ib. p. 213. || Ib. p. 187.

SECTION XI.

These propositions cannot be predicated of any of those books which are commonly called Apocryphal Books of the New Testament.

I do not know that the objection taken from apocryphal writings is at present much relied upon by scholars. But there are many, who, hearing that various Gospels existed in ancient times under the names of the apostles, may have taken up a notion, that the selection of our present Gospels from the rest was rather an arbitrary or accidental choice, than founded in any clear and certain cause of preference. To these it may be very useful to know the truth of the case. I observe, therefore,

I. That, beside our Gospels and the Acts of the Apostles, no Christian history, claiming to be written by an apostle or apostolical man, is quoted within three hundred years after the birth of Christ, by any writer now extant or known; or, if quoted, is not quoted with marks of censure and rejection.

I have not advanced this assertion without inquiry; and I doubt not, but that the passages cited by Mr. Jones and Dr. Lardner, under the several titles which the apocryphal books bear; or a reference to the places where they are mentioned as collected in a very accurate table, published in the year 1773, by the Rev. J. Atkinson, will make out the truth of the proposition to the satisfaction of every fair and competent judgement. If there be any book which may seem to form an exception to the observation, it is a Hebrew Gospel, which

was circulated under the various titles of the Gospel according to the Hebrews, the Gospel of the Nazarenes, of the Ebionites, sometimes called of the Twelve, by some ascribed to St. Matthew. This Gospel is *once*, and only *once*, cited by Clemens Alexandrinus, who lived, the reader will remember, in the latter part of the second century, and which same Clement quotes one or other of our four Gospels in almost every page of his work. It is also twice mentioned by Origen, A. D. 230; and both times with marks of diminution and discredit. And this is the ground upon which the exception stands. But what is still more material to observe is, that this Gospel, in the main, agreed with our present Gospel of Saint Matthew*.

Now if, with this account of the apocryphal Gospels, we compare what we have read concerning the canonical Scriptures in the preceding sections; or even recollect that general but well-founded assertion of Dr. Lardner, “That in the remaining works of Irenæus, Clement of Alexandria, and Tertullian, who all lived in the first two centuries, there are more and larger quotations of the small volume of the New Testament, than of all the works of Cicero, by writers of all characters, for several ages †;” and if to this we add that, notwithstanding the loss of many works of the primitive times of Christianity, we have, within the above-mentioned period, the remains of Christian writers, who lived in Palestine, Syria, Asia Minor, Egypt, the part of Africa that used the Latin tongue, in Crete, Greece, Italy, and Gaul,

* In applying to this Gospel, what Jerome in the latter end of the fourth century has mentioned of a Hebrew Gospel, I think it probable that we sometimes confound it with a Hebrew copy of Saint Matthew’s Gospel, whether an original or version, which was then extant.

† Lardner, Cred. vol. xii. p. 53.

in all which remains, references are found to our evangelists; I apprehend, that we shall perceive a clear and broad line of division, between those writings, and all others pretending to similar authority.

II. But beside certain *histories* which assumed the names of apostles, and which were forgeries properly so called, there were some other Christian writings, in the whole or in part of an historical nature, which, though not forgeries, are denominated apocryphal, as being of uncertain or of no authority.

Of this second class of writings, I have found only two which are noticed by any author of the first three centuries, without express terms of condemnation; and these are, the one, a book entitled the Preaching of Peter, quoted repeatedly by Clemens Alexandrinus, A. D. 196; the other, a book entitled the Revelation of Peter, upon which the above-mentioned Clemens Alexandrinus is said, by Eusebius, to have written notes; and which is twice cited in a work still extant, ascribed to the same author.

I conceive, therefore, that the proposition we have before advanced, even after it hath been subjected to every exception of every kind, that can be alleged, separates, by a wide interval, our historical Scriptures from all other writings which profess to give an account of the same subject.

We may be permitted however to add,

1. That there is no evidence that any spurious or apocryphal books whatever existed in the first century of the Christian æra, in which century all our historical books are proved to have been extant. "There are no quotations of any such books in the apostolical fathers, by whom I mean Barnabas, Clement of Rome, Hermas, Ignatius, and Polycarp, whose writings reach from about

the year of our Lord 70, to the year 108 (and some of whom have quoted each and every one of our historical Scriptures): I say this," adds Dr. Lardner, "because I think it has been proved*."

2. These apocryphal writings were not read in the churches of Christians;

3. Were not admitted into their volume;

4. Do not appear in their catalogues;

5. Were not noticed by their adversaries;

6. Were not alleged by different parties, as of authority in their controversies;

7. Were not the subjects, amongst them, of commentaries, versions, collections, expositions.

Finally; beside the silence of three centuries, or evidence within that time, of their rejection, they were, with a consent nearly universal, reprobated by Christian writers of succeeding ages.

Although it be made out by these observations, that the books in question never obtained any degree of credit and notoriety which can place them in competition with our Scriptures; yet it appears, from the writings of the fourth century, that many such existed in that century, and in the century preceding it. It may be difficult at this distance of time to account for their origin. Perhaps the most probable explication is, that they were in general composed with a design of making a profit by the sale. Whatever treated of the subject, would find purchasers. It was an advantage taken of the pious curiosity of unlearned Christians. With a view to the same purpose, there were many of them adapted to the particular opinions of particular sects, which would naturally promote their circulation amongst the favourers of those opinions. After all,

* Lardner, Cred. vol. xii. p. 158.

they were probably much more obscure than we imagine. Except the Gospel according to the Hebrews, there is none of which we hear more than the Gospel of the Egyptians; yet there is good reason to believe that Clement, a presbyter of Alexandria in Egypt, A. D. 184, and a man of almost universal reading, had never seen it*. A Gospel according to Peter, was another of the most ancient books of this kind; yet Serapion, bishop of Antioch, A. D. 200, had not read it, when he heard of such a book being in the hands of the Christians of Rhossus in Cilicia; and speaks of obtaining a sight of this Gospel from some sectaries who used it †. Even of the Gospel of the Hebrews, which confessedly stands at the head of the catalogue, Jerome, at the end of the fourth century, was glad to procure a copy by the favour of the Nazarenes of Berea. Nothing of this sort ever happened, or could have happened, concerning our Gospels.

One thing is observable of all the apocryphal Christian writings, *viz.* that they proceed upon the same fundamental history of Christ and his apostles, as that which is disclosed in our Scriptures. The mission of Christ, his power of working miracles, his communication of that power to the apostles, his passion, death, and resurrection, are assumed or asserted by every one of them. The names under which some of them came forth are the names of men of eminence in our histories. What these books give, are not contradictions, but unauthorized additions. The principal facts are supposed, the principal agents the same; which shows, that these points were too much fixed to be altered or disputed.

* Jones, vol. i. p. 243.

† Lardner, Cred. vol. ii. p. 557.

If there be any book of this description, which appears to have imposed upon some considerable number of learned Christians, it is the Sibylline oracles; but, when we reflect upon the circumstances which facilitated that imposture, we shall cease to wonder either at the attempt or its success. It was at that time universally understood, that such a prophetic writing existed. Its contents were kept secret. This situation afforded to some one a hint, as well as an opportunity, to give out a writing under this name, favourable to the already established persuasion of Christians, and which writing, by the aid and recommendation of these circumstances, would in some degree, it is probable, be received. Of the ancient forgery we know but little: what is now produced, could not, in my opinion, have imposed upon any one. It is nothing else than the Gospel history, woven into verse; perhaps was at first rather a fiction than a forgery; an exercise of ingenuity, more than an attempt to deceive.

CHAPTER X.

Recapitulation.

THE reader will now be pleased to recollect, that the two points which form the subject of our present discussion, are, first, that the Founder of Christianity, his associates, and immediate followers, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings; secondly, that they did so, in attestation of the miraculous history recorded

in our Scriptures, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of that history.

The argument, by which these two propositions have been maintained by us, stands thus :

No historical fact, I apprehend, is more certain, than that the original propagators of Christianity voluntarily subjected themselves to lives of fatigue, danger, and suffering, in the prosecution of their undertaking. The nature of the undertaking; the character of the persons employed in it; the opposition of their tenets to the fixed opinions and expectations of the country in which they first advanced them; their undissembled condemnation of the religion of all other countries; their total want of power, authority, or force; render it in the highest degree probable that this must have been the case. The probability is increased, by what we know of the fate of the Founder of the institution, who was put to death for his attempt; and by what we also know of the cruel treatment of the converts to the institution, within thirty years after its commencement: both which points are attested by Heathen writers, and, being once admitted, leave it very incredible that the primitive emissaries of the religion, who exercised their ministry, first, amongst the people who had destroyed their Master, and, afterwards, amongst those who persecuted their converts, should themselves escape with impunity, or pursue their purpose in ease and safety. This probability, thus sustained by foreign testimony, is advanced, I think, to historical certainty, by the evidence of our own books; by the accounts of a writer who was the companion of the persons whose sufferings he relates; by the letters of the persons themselves; by predictions of persecutions ascribed to the Founder of the religion, which predictions would not have been

inserted in his history, much less have been studiously dwelt upon, if they had not accorded with the event, and which, even if falsely ascribed to him, could only have been so ascribed, because the event suggested them; lastly, by incessant exhortations to fortitude and patience, and by an earnestness, repetition, and urgency upon the subject, which were unlikely to have appeared, if there had not been, at the time, some extraordinary call for the exercise of these virtues.

It is made out also, I think, with sufficient evidence, that both the teachers and converts of the religion, in consequence of their new profession, took up a new course of life and behaviour.

The next great question is, what they did this *FOR*. That it was *for* a miraculous story of some kind or other, is to my apprehension extremely manifest; because, as to the fundamental article, the designation of the person, *viz.* that this particular person, Jesus of Nazareth, ought to be received as the Messiah, or as a messenger from God, they neither had, nor could have, any thing but miracles to stand upon. That the exertions and sufferings of the apostles were *for* the story which we have now, is proved by the consideration that this story is transmitted to us by two of their own number, and by two others personally connected with them; that the particularity of the narrative proves, that the writers claimed to possess circumstantial information, that from their situation they had full opportunity of acquiring such information, that they certainly, at least, knew what their colleagues, their companions, their masters, taught; that each of these books contains enough to prove the truth of the religion; that, if any one of them therefore be genuine, it is sufficient; that the genuineness, however, of all of them is made out, as

well by the general arguments which evince the genuineness of the most undisputed remains of antiquity, as also by peculiar and specific proofs, *viz.* by citations from them in writings belonging to a period immediately contiguous to that in which they were published; by the distinguished regard paid by early Christians to the authority of these books (which regard was manifested by their collecting of them into a volume, appropriating to that volume titles of peculiar respect, translating them into various languages, digesting them into harmonies, writing commentaries upon them, and, still more conspicuously, by the reading of them, in their public assemblies in all parts of the world); by an universal agreement with respect to *these* books, whilst doubts were entertained concerning some others; by contending sects appealing to them; by the early adversaries of the religion not disputing their genuineness, but, on the contrary, treating them as the depositaries of the history upon which the religion was founded; by many formal catalogues of these, as of certain and authoritative writings, published in different and distant parts of the Christian world; lastly, by the absence or defect of the above-cited topics of evidence, when applied to any other histories of the same subject.

These are strong arguments to prove, that the books actually proceeded from the authors whose names they bear (and have always borne, for there is not a particle of evidence to show that they ever went under any other); but the strict genuineness of the books is perhaps more than is necessary to the support of our proposition. For even supposing that, by reason of the silence of antiquity, or the loss of records, we knew not who were the writers of the four Gospels, yet the fact,

that they were received as authentic accounts of the transaction upon which the religion rested, and were received as such by Christians, at or near the age of the apostles, by those whom the apostles had taught, and by societies which the apostles had founded; this fact, I say, connected with the consideration, that they are corroborative of each other's testimony, and that they are further corroborated by another contemporary history, taking up the story where they had left it, and, in a narrative built upon that story, accounting for the rise and production of changes in the world, the effects of which subsist at this day; connected, moreover, with the confirmation which they receive, from letters written by the apostles themselves, which both assume the same general story, and, as often as occasions lead them to do so, allude to particular parts of it; and connected also with the reflection, that if the apostles delivered any different story, it is lost (the present and no other being referred to by a series of Christian writers, down from their age to our own; being likewise recognised in a variety of institutions, which prevailed early and universally, amongst the disciples of the religion); and that so great a change as the oblivion of one story and the substitution of another, under such circumstances, could not have taken place: this evidence would be deemed, I apprehend, sufficient to prove concerning these books, that, whoever were the authors of them, they exhibit the story which the apostles told, and for which, consequently, they acted, and they suffered.

If it be so, the religion must be true. These men could not be deceivers. By only not bearing testimony, they might have avoided all these sufferings, and have lived quietly. Would men in such circumstances pre-

tend to have seen what they never saw; assert facts which they had no knowledge of; go about lying, to teach virtue; and, though not only convinced of Christ's being an impostor, but having seen the success of his imposture in his crucifixion, yet persist in carrying it on; and so persist, as to bring upon themselves, for nothing, and with a full knowledge of the consequence, enmity and hatred, danger and death?

OF THE
DIRECT HISTORICAL EVIDENCE
OF
CHRISTIANITY.

PROPOSITION II.

CHAPTER I.

Our first proposition was, *That there is satisfactory evidence that many, pretending to be original witnesses of the Christian miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undertaken and undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of those accounts; and that they also submitted, from the same motives, to new rules of conduct.*

Our second proposition, and which now remains to be treated of, is, *That there is NOT satisfactory evidence, that persons pretending to be original witnesses of any other similar miracles, have acted in the same manner, in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and solely in consequence of their belief of the truth of those accounts.*

I ENTER upon this part of my argument, by declaring how far my belief in miraculous accounts goes. If the reformers in the time of Wickliffe, or of Luther; or those of England, in the time of Henry the Eighth, or

of Queen Mary; or the founders of our religious sects since, such as were Mr. Whitfield and Mr. Wesley in our times; had undergone the life of toil and exertion, of danger and sufferings, which we know that many of them did undergo, *for* a miraculous story; that is to say, if they had founded their public ministry upon the allegation of miracles wrought within their own knowledge, and upon narratives which could not be resolved into delusion or mistake; and if it had appeared, that their conduct really had its origin in these accounts, I should have believed them. Or, to borrow an instance which will be familiar to every one of my readers, if the late Mr. Howard had undertaken his labours and journeys in attestation, and in consequence of a clear and sensible miracle, I should have believed him also. Or, to represent the same thing under a third supposition; if Socrates had professed to perform public miracles at Athens; if the friends of Socrates, Phædo, Cebes, Crito, and Simmias, together with Plato, and many of his followers, relying upon the attestations which these miracles afforded to his pretensions, had, at the hazard of their lives, and the certain expense of their ease and tranquillity, gone about Greece, after his death, to publish and propagate his doctrines: and if these things had come to our knowledge, in the same way as that in which the life of Socrates is now transmitted to us, through the hands of his companions and disciples, that is, by writings received without doubt as theirs, from the age in which they were published to the present, I should have believed this likewise. And my belief would, in each case, be much strengthened, if the subject of the mission were of importance to the conduct and happiness of human life; if it testified any thing which it behoved mankind to know from such authority; if

the nature of what it delivered, required the sort of proof which it alleged; if the occasion was adequate to the interposition, the end worthy of the means. In the last case, my faith would be much confirmed, if the effects of the transaction *remained*; more especially, if a change had been wrought, at the time, in the opinion and conduct of such numbers, as to lay the foundation of an institution, and of a system of doctrines, which had since overspread the greatest part of the civilised world. I should have believed, I say, the testimony, in these cases; yet none of them do more than come up to the apostolic history.

If any one choose to call assent to its evidence credulity, it is at least incumbent upon him to produce examples in which the same evidence hath turned out to be fallacious. And this contains the precise question which we are now to agitate.

In stating the comparison between our evidence, and what our adversaries may bring into competition with ours, we will divide the distinctions which we wish to propose into two kinds,—those which relate to the proof, and those which relate to the miracles. Under the former head we may lay out of the case,

I. Such accounts of supernatural events as are found only in histories by some ages posterior to the transaction, and of which it is evident that the historian could know little more than his reader. Ours is contemporary history. This difference alone removes out of our way the miraculous history of Pythagoras, who lived five hundred years before the Christian æra, written by Porphyry and Jamblicus, who lived three hundred years after that æra; the prodigies of Livy's history; the fables of the heroic ages; the whole of the Greek and Roman, as well as of the Gothic mythology; a great

part of the legendary history of Popish saints, the very best attested of which is extracted from the certificates that are exhibited during the process of their canonization, a ceremony which seldom takes place till a century after their deaths. It applies also with considerable force to the miracles of Apollonius Tyaneus, which are contained in a solitary history of his life, published by Philostratus, above a hundred years after his death; and in which, whether Philostratus had any prior account to guide him, depends upon his single unsupported assertion. Also to some of the miracles of the third century, especially to one extraordinary instance, the account of Gregory, bishop of Neocesarea, called Thaumaturgus, delivered in the writings of Gregory of Nyssen, who lived one hundred and thirty years after the subject of his panegyric.

The value of this circumstance is shown to have been accurately exemplified in the history of Ignatius Loyola, founder of the order of Jesuits*. His life, written by a companion of his, and by one of the order, was published about fifteen years after his death. In which life, the author, so far from ascribing any miracles to Ignatius, industriously states the reasons why he was not invested with any such power. The life was republished fifteen years afterwards, with the addition of many circumstances, which were the fruit, the author says, of further inquiry, and of diligent examination; but still with a total silence about miracles. When Ignatius had been dead nearly sixty years, the Jesuits, conceiving a wish to have the founder of their order placed in the Roman calendar, began, as it should seem, for the first time, to attribute to him a catalogue of miracles, which could not then be distinctly disproved;

* Douglas's Criterion of Miracles, p. 74.

and which there was, in those who governed the church, a strong disposition to admit upon the slenderest proofs.

II. We may lay out of the case, accounts published in one country, of what passed in a distant country, without any proof that such accounts were known or received at home. In the case of Christianity, Judea, which was the scene of the transaction, was the centre of the mission. The story was published in the place in which it was acted. The church of Christ was first planted at Jerusalem itself. With that church, others corresponded. From thence the primitive teachers of the institution went forth; thither they assembled. The church of Jerusalem, and the several churches of Judea, subsisted from the beginning, and for many ages*; received also the same books and the same accounts, as other churches did.

This distinction disposes, amongst others, of the above-mentioned miracles of Apollonius Tyaneus, most of which are related to have been performed in India; no evidence remaining that either the miracles ascribed to him, or the history of those miracles, were ever heard of in India. Those of Francis Xavier, the Indian missionary, with many others of the Romish breviary, are liable to the same objection, *viz.* that the accounts of them were published at a vast distance from the supposed scene of the wonders†.

III. We lay out of the case *transient* rumours. Upon the first publication of an extraordinary account, or even of an article of ordinary intelligence, no one who is not personally acquainted with the transaction can know

* The succession of many eminent bishops of Jerusalem in the first three centuries is distinctly preserved; as Alexander, A. D. 212, who succeeded Narcissus, then 116 years old.

† Douglas's Crit. p. 84.

whether it be true or false, because any man may publish any story. It is in the future confirmation, or contradiction, of the account; in its permanency, or its disappearance; its dying away into silence, or its increasing in notoriety; its being followed up by subsequent accounts, and being repeated in different and independent accounts; that solid truth is distinguished from fugitive lies. This distinction is altogether on the side of Christianity. The story did not drop. On the contrary, it was succeeded by a train of action and events dependent upon it. The accounts, which we have in our hands, were composed after the first reports must have subsided. They were followed by a train of writings upon the subject. The historical testimonies of the transaction were many and various, and connected with letters, discourses, controversies, apologies, successively produced by the same transaction.

IV. We may lay out of the case what I call *naked* history. It has been said, that if the prodigies of the Jewish history had been found only in fragments of Manetho, or Berosus, we should have paid no regard to them: and I am willing to admit this. If we knew nothing of the fact, but from the fragment; if we possessed no proof that these accounts had been credited and acted upon, from times, probably, as ancient as the accounts themselves; if we had no visible effects connected with the history, no subsequent or collateral testimony to confirm it; under these circumstances, I think that it would be undeserving of credit. But this certainly is not our case. In appreciating the evidence of Christianity, the books are to be combined with the institution; with the prevalency of the religion at this day; with the time and place of its origin, which are acknowledged points; with the circumstances of its rise and

progress, as collected from external history; with the fact of our present books being received by the votaries of the institution from the beginning; with that of other books coming after these, filled with accounts of effects and consequences resulting from the transaction, or referring to the transaction, or built upon it; lastly, with the consideration of the number and variety of the books themselves, the different writers from which they proceed, the different views with which they were written, so disagreeing as to repel the suspicion of confederacy, so agreeing as to show that they were founded in a common original, *i. e.* in a story substantially the same. Whether this proof be satisfactory or not, it is properly a cumulation of evidence, by no means a naked or solitary record.

V. A mark of historical truth, although only a certain way, and to a certain degree, is *particularity*, in names, dates, places, circumstances, and in the order of events preceding or following the transaction: of which kind, for instance, is the particularity in the description of Saint Paul's voyage and shipwreck, in the 27th chapter of the Acts, which no man, I think, can read without being convinced that the writer was there; and also in the account of the cure and examination of the blind man, in the ninth chapter of Saint John's Gospel, which bears every mark of personal knowledge on the part of the historian*. I do not deny that fiction has often the particularity of truth; but then it is of studied and elaborate fiction, or of a formal attempt to deceive, that we observe this. Since, however, experience proves that particularity is not confined to truth, I have stated that it is a proof of truth only to a

* Both these chapters ought to be read for the sake of this very observation.

certain extent, *i. e.* it reduces the question to this, whether we can depend or not upon the *probity* of the relater? which is a considerable advance in our present argument; for an express attempt to deceive, in which case alone particularity can appear without truth, is charged upon the evangelists by few. If the historian acknowledge himself to have received his intelligence from others, the particularity of the narrative shows, *primâ facie*, the accuracy of his inquiries, and the fullness of his information. This remark belongs to Saint Luke's history. Of the particularity which we allege, many examples may be found in all the Gospels. And it is very difficult to conceive, that such numerous particularities, as are almost every where to be met with in the Scriptures, should be raised out of nothing, or be spun out of the imagination without any fact to go upon*.

It is to be remarked, however, that this particularity is only to be looked for in direct history. It is not natural in references or allusions, which yet, in other respects, often afford, as far as they go, the most unsuspecting evidence.

VI. We lay out of the case such stories of super-

* "There is always some truth where there are considerable particularities related; and they always seem to bear some proportion to one another. Thus there is a great want of the particulars of time, place, and persons, in Manetho's account of the Egyptian Dynasties, Etesias's of the Assyrian Kings, and those which the technical chronologers have given of the ancient kingdoms of Greece; and, agreeably thereto, the accounts have much fiction and falsehood, with some truth; whereas Thucydides's History of the Peloponnesian War, and Cæsar's of the War in Gaul, in both which the particulars of time, place, and persons, are mentioned, are universally esteemed true to a great degree of exactness." Hartley, vol. ii. p. 109.

natural events, as require, on the part of the hearer, nothing more than an *otiose* assent; stories upon which nothing depends, in which no interest is involved, nothing is to be done or changed in consequence of believing them. Such stories are credited, if the careless assent that is given to them deserve that name, more by the indolence of the hearer, than by his judgement: or, though not much credited, are passed from one to another without inquiry or resistance. To this case, and to this case alone, belongs what is called the love of the marvellous. I have never known it carry men further. Men do not suffer persecution from the love of the marvellous. Of the indifferent nature we are speaking of, are most vulgar errors and popular superstition: most, for instance, of the current reports of apparitions. Nothing depends upon their being true or false. But not, surely, of this kind were the alleged miracles of Christ and his apostles. They decided, if true, the most important question upon which the human mind can fix its anxiety. They claimed to regulate the opinions of mankind, upon subjects in which they are not only deeply concerned, but usually refractory and obstinate. Men could not be utterly careless in such a case as this. If a Jew took up the story, he found his darling partiality to his own nation and law wounded; if a Gentile, he found his idolatry and polytheism reprobated and condemned. Whoever entertained the account, whether Jew or Gentile, could not avoid the following reflection:—"If these things be true, I must give up the opinions and principles in which I have been brought up, the religion in which my fathers lived and died." It is not conceivable that a man should do this upon any idle report or frivolous account, or, indeed, without being fully satisfied and convinced of the truth

and credibility of the narrative to which he trusted. But it did not stop at opinions. They who believed Christianity, acted upon it. Many made it the express business of their lives to publish the intelligence. It was required of those who admitted that intelligence, to change forthwith their conduct and their principles, to take up a different course of life, to part with their habits and gratifications, and begin a new set of rules, and system of behaviour. The apostles, at least, were interested not to sacrifice their ease, their fortunes, and their lives for an idle tale; multitudes beside them were induced, by the same tale, to encounter opposition, danger, and sufferings.

If it be said, that the mere promise of a future state would do all this; I answer, that the mere promise of a future state, without any evidence to give credit or assurance to it, would do nothing. A few wandering fishermen talking of a resurrection of the dead, could produce no effect. If it be further said, that men easily believe what they anxiously desire; I again answer that, in my opinion, the very contrary of this is nearer to the truth. Anxiety of desire, earnestness of expectation, the vastness of an event, rather causes men to disbelieve, to doubt, to dread a fallacy, to distrust, and to examine. When our Lord's resurrection was first reported to the apostles, they did not believe, we are told, for joy. This was natural, and is agreeable to experience.

VII. We have laid out of the case those accounts which require no more than a simple assent; and we now also lay out of the case those which come merely *in affirmance* of opinions already formed. This last circumstance is of the utmost importance to notice well. It has long been observed, that Popish miracles happen in Popish countries; that they make no converts; which

proves that stories are accepted, when they fall in with principles already fixed, with the public sentiments, or with the sentiments of a party already engaged on the side the miracle supports, which would not be attempted to be produced in the face of enemies, in opposition to reigning tenets or favourite prejudices, or when, if they be believed, the belief must draw men away from their preconceived and habitual opinions, from their modes of life and rules of action. In the former case, men may not only receive a miraculous account, but may both act and suffer on the side, and in the cause, which the miracle supports, yet not act or suffer for the miracle, but in pursuance of a prior persuasion. The miracle, like any other argument which only confirms what was before believed, is admitted with little examination. In the moral, as in the natural world, it is *change* which requires a cause. Men are easily fortified in their old opinions, driven from them with great difficulty. Now how does this apply to the Christian history? The miracles, there recorded, were wrought in the midst of enemies, under a government, a priesthood, and a magistracy, decidedly and vehemently adverse to them, and to the pretensions which they supported. They were Protestant miracles in a Popish country; they were Popish miracles in the midst of Protestants. They produced a change; they established a society upon the spot, adhering to the belief of them; they made converts; and those who were converted gave up to the testimony their most fixed opinions and most favourite prejudices. They who acted and suffered in the cause, acted and suffered *for* the miracles: for there was no anterior persuasion to induce them, no prior reverence, prejudice, or partiality to take hold of. Jesus had not one follower when he set up his claim. His miracles gave birth to his sect. No part of

this description belongs to the ordinary evidence of Heathen or Popish miracles. Even most of the miracles alleged to have been performed by Christians, in the second and third century of its æra, want this confirmation. It constitutes indeed a line of partition between the *origin* and the *progress* of Christianity. Frauds and fallacies might mix themselves with the progress, which could not possibly take place in the commencement of the religion; at least, according to any laws of human conduct that we are acquainted with. What should suggest to the first propagators of Christianity, especially to fishermen, tax-gatherers, and husbandmen, such a thought as that of changing the religion of the world; what could bear them through the difficulties in which the attempt engaged them; what could procure any degree of success to the attempt; are questions which apply, with great force, to the setting out of the institution, with less, to every future stage of it.

To hear some men talk, one would suppose the setting up a religion by miracles to be a thing of every day's experience: whereas the whole current of history is against it. Hath any founder of a new sect amongst Christians pretended to miraculous powers, and succeeded by his pretensions? "Were these powers claimed or exercised by the founders of the sects of the Waldenses and Albigenses? Did Wickliffe in England pretend to it? Did Huss or Jerome in Bohemia? Did Luther in Germany, Zuinglius in Switzerland, Calvin in France, or any of the reformers advance this plea*?" The French prophets, in the beginning of the present century †, ventured to allege miraculous evidence, and immediately ruined their cause by their temerity. "Concerning the religion of ancient Rome, of Turkey, of

* Campbell on Miracles, p. 120. ed. 1766. † The eighteenth.

Siam, of China, a single miracle cannot be named, that was ever offered as a test of any of those religions *before* their establishment*.”

We may add to what has been observed of the distinction which we are considering, that, where miracles are alleged merely in affirmance of a prior opinion, they who believe the doctrine may sometimes propagate a belief of the miracles which they do not themselves entertain. This is the case of what are called *pious* frauds; but it is a case, I apprehend, which takes place solely in support of a persuasion already established. At least it does not hold of the apostolical history. If the apostles did not believe the miracles, they did not believe the religion; and, without this belief, where was the *piety*, what place was there for any thing which could bear the name or colour of piety, in publishing and attesting miracles in its behalf? If it be said that many promote the belief of revelation, and of any accounts which favour that belief, because they think them, whether well or ill founded, of public and political utility; I answer, that if a character exist, which can with less justice than another be ascribed to the founders of the Christian religion, it is that of politicians, or of men capable of entertaining political views. The truth is, that there is no assignable character which will account for the conduct of the apostles, supposing their story to be false. If bad men, what could have induced them to take such pains to promote virtue? If good men, they would not have gone about the country with a string of lies in their mouths.

IN APPRECIATING the credit of any miraculous story, these are distinctions which relate to the evidence. There are other distinctions, of great moment in the question,

* Adams on Mir. p. 75.

which relate to the miracles themselves. Of which latter kind the following ought carefully to be retained.

I. It is not necessary to admit as a miracle, what can be resolved into a *false perception*. Of this nature was the demon of Socrates; the visions of Saint Anthony, and of many others; the vision which Lord Herbert of Cherbury describes himself to have seen; Colonel Gardiner's vision, as related in his life, written by Dr. Doddridge. All these may be accounted for by a momentary insanity; for the characteristic symptom of human madness is the rising up in the mind of images not distinguishable by the patient from impressions upon the senses*. The cases, however, in which the possibility of this delusion exists, are divided from the cases in which it does not exist, by many, and those not obscure marks. They are, for the most part, cases of visions or voices. The object is hardly ever touched. The vision submits not to be handled. One sense does not confirm another. They are likewise almost always cases of a *solitary* witness. It is in the highest degree improbable, and I know not, indeed, whether it hath ever been the fact, that the same derangement of the mental organs should seize different persons at the same time; a derangement, I mean, so much the same, as to represent to their imagination the same objects. Lastly, these are always cases of *momentary* miracles; by which term I mean to denote miracles, of which the whole existence is of short duration, in contradistinction to miracles which are attended with permanent effects. The appearance of a spectre, the hearing of a supernatural sound, is a momentary miracle. The sensible proof is gone, when the apparition or sound is over. But if a person born blind be restored to sight, a no-

* Batty on Lunacy.

torious cripple to the use of his limbs, or a dead man to life, here is a permanent effect produced by supernatural means. The change indeed was instantaneous, but the proof continues. The subject of the miracle remains. The man cured or restored is there: his former condition was known, and his present condition may be examined. This can by no possibility be resolved into false perception: and of this kind are by far the greater part of the miracles recorded in the New Testament. When Lazarus was raised from the dead, he did not merely move, and speak, and die again; or come out of the grave, and vanish away. He returned to his home and family, and there continued; for we find him some time afterwards in the same town, sitting at table with Jesus and his sisters; visited by great multitudes of the Jews, as a subject of curiosity; giving by his presence so much uneasiness to the Jewish rulers as to beget in them a design of destroying him*. No delusion can account for this. The French prophets in England, some time since, gave out that one of their teachers would come to life again; but their enthusiasm never made them believe that they actually saw him alive. The blind man, whose restoration to sight at Jerusalem is recorded in the ninth chapter of Saint John's Gospel, did not quit the place or conceal himself from inquiry. On the contrary, he was forthcoming, to answer the call, to satisfy the scrutiny, and to sustain the brow-beating of Christ's angry and powerful enemies. When the cripple at the gate of the temple was suddenly cured by Peter†, he did not immediately relapse into his former lameness, or disappear out of the city; but boldly and honestly produced himself along with the apostles, when they were brought the next day before the Jewish

* John, xii. 1, 2, 9, 10. † Acts, iii. 2.

council*. Here, though the miracle was sudden, the proof was permanent. The lameness had been notorious; the cure continued. This, therefore, could not be the effect of any momentary delirium, either in the subject or in the witnesses of the transaction. It is the same with the greatest number of the Scripture miracles. There are other cases of a *mixed* nature, in which, although the principal miracle be momentary, some circumstance combined with it is permanent. Of this kind is the history of Saint Paul's conversion†. The sudden light and sound, the vision and the voice, upon the road to Damascus, were momentary: but Paul's blindness for three days in consequence of what had happened; the communication made to Ananias in another place; and by a vision independent of the former; Ananias finding out Paul in consequence of intelligence so received, and finding him in the condition described, and Paul's recovery of his sight upon Ananias' laying his hands upon him: are circumstances, which take the transaction, and the principal miracle as included in it; entirely out of the case of momentary miracles, or of such as may be accounted for by false perceptions. Exactly the same thing may be observed of Peter's vision preparatory to the call of Cornelius, and of its connexion with what was imparted in a distant place to Cornelius himself, and with the message despatched by Cornelius to Peter. The vision might be a dream; the message could not. Either communication, taken separately, might be a delusion; the concurrence of the two was impossible to happen without a supernatural cause.

Beside the risk of delusion which attaches upon momentary miracles, there is also much more room for

* Acts, iv. 14. † Ib. ix.

imposture. The account cannot be examined at the moment: and, when that is also a moment of hurry and confusion, it may not be difficult for men of influence to gain credit to any story which they may wish to have believed. This is precisely the case of one of the best attested of the miracles of Old Rome, the appearance of Castor and Pollux in the battle fought by Posthumius with the Latins at the lake Regillus. There is no doubt but that Posthumius, after the battle, spread the report of such an appearance. No person could deny it, whilst it was said to last. No person, perhaps, had any inclination to dispute it afterwards; or, if they had, could say with positiveness, what was or what was not seen, by some or other of the army, in the dismay and amidst the tumult of a battle.

In assigning false perceptions as the origin to which some miraculous accounts may be referred, I have not mentioned claims to inspiration, illuminations, secret notices or directions, internal sensations, or consciousnesses of being acted upon by spiritual influences, good or bad; because these, appealing to no external proof, however convincing they may be to the persons themselves, form no part of what can be accounted miraculous evidence. Their own credibility stands upon their alliance with other miracles. The discussion, therefore, of all such pretensions may be omitted.

II. It is not necessary to bring into the comparison what may be called *tentative* miracles; that is, where, out of a great number of trials, some succeed; and in the accounts of which, although the narrative of the successful cases be alone preserved, and that of the unsuccessful cases sunk, yet enough is stated to show that the cases produced are only a few out of many in which the same means have been employed. This observation

bears, with considerable force, upon the ancient oracles and auguries, in which a single coincidence of the event with the prediction is talked of and magnified, whilst failures are forgotten, or suppressed, or accounted for. It is also applicable to the cures wrought by relics, and at the tombs of saints. The boasted efficacy of the king's touch, upon which Mr. Hume lays some stress, falls under the same description. Nothing is alleged concerning it, which is not alleged of various nostrums, namely, out of many thousands who have used them, certified proofs of a few who have recovered after them. No solution of this sort is applicable to the miracles of the Gospel. There is nothing in the narrative, which can induce, or even allow, us to believe, that Christ attempted cures in many instances, and succeeded in a few; or that he ever made the attempt in vain. He did not profess to heal every where all that were sick; on the contrary, he told the Jews, evidently meaning to represent his own case, that, "although many widows were in Israel in the days of Elias, when the heaven was shut up three years and six months, when great famine was throughout all the land, yet unto none of them was Elias sent, save unto Sarepta, a city of Sidon, unto a woman that was a widow:" and that "many lepers were in Israel in the time of Eliseus the prophet, and none of them was cleansed saving Naaman the Syrian*." By which examples, he gave them to understand, that it was not the nature of a Divine interposition, or necessary to its purpose, to be general; still less to answer every challenge that might be made, which would teach men to put their faith upon these experiments. Christ never pronounced the word, but the effect

* Luke, iv. 25.

followed*. It was not a thousand sick that received his benediction, and a few that were benefited; a single paralytic is let down in his bed at Jesus's feet, in the midst of a surrounding multitude; Jesus bid him walk, and he did so†. A man with a withered hand is in the synagogue; Jesus bid him stretch forth his hand, in the presence of the assembly, and it was "restored whole like the other‡." There was nothing tentative in these cures; nothing that can be explained by the power of accident.

We may observe also that many of the cures which Christ wrought, such as that of a person blind from his birth, also many miracles beside cures, as raising the dead, walking upon the sea, feeding a great multitude with a few loaves and fishes, are of a nature which does not in anywise admit of the supposition of a fortunate experiment.

III. We may dismiss from the question all accounts in which, allowing the phenomenon to be real, the fact to be true, it still remains *doubtful* whether a miracle were wrought. This is the case with the ancient history of what is called the thundering legion, of the extraordinary circumstances which obstructed the re-

* One, and only one, instance may be produced in which the *disciples* of Christ do seem to have attempted a cure, and not to have been able to perform it. The story is very ingenuously related by three of the evangelists*. The patient was afterwards healed by Christ himself; and the whole transaction seems to have been intended, as it was well suited, to display the superiority of Christ above all who performed miracles in his name, a distinction which, during his presence in the world, it might be necessary to inculcate by some such proof as this.

† Mark, ii. 3.

‡ Matt. xii. 10.

* Matt. xvii. 14. Mark, ix. 14. Luke, ix. 33.

building of the temple at Jerusalem by Julian, the circling of the flames and fragrant smell at the martyrdom of Polycarp, the sudden shower that extinguished the fire into which the Scriptures were thrown in the Diocletian persecution; Constantine's dream; his inscribing in consequence of it the cross upon his standard and the shields of his soldiers; his victory, and the escape of the standard-bearer; perhaps also the imagined appearance of the cross in the heavens, though this last circumstance is very deficient in historical evidence. It is also the case with the modern annual exhibition of the liquefaction of the blood of Saint Januarius at Naples. It is a doubt, likewise, which ought to be excluded by very special circumstances, from these narratives which relate to the supernatural cure of hypochondriacal and nervous complaints, and of all diseases which are much affected by the imagination. The miracles of the second and third century are, usually, healing the sick, and casting out evil spirits, miracles in which there is room for some error and deception. We hear nothing of causing the blind to see, the lame to walk, the deaf to hear, the lepers to be cleansed*. There are also instances in Christian writers, of reputed miracles, which were natural operations, though not known to be such at the time; as that of articulate speech after the loss of a great part of the tongue.

IV. To the same head of objection nearly, may also be referred accounts, in which the variation of a small circumstance may have transformed some extraordinary appearance, or some critical coincidence of events, into a miracle; stories, in a word, which may be resolved into exaggeration. The miracles of the Gospel can by no possibility be explained away in this manner. Total fiction will account for any thing; but no stretch of

* Jortin's Remarks, vol. ii. p. 51.

exaggeration that has any parallel in other histories, no force of fancy upon real circumstances, could produce the narratives which we now have. The feeding of the five thousand with a few loaves and fishes surpasses all bounds of exaggeration. The raising of Lazarus, of the widow's son at Nain, as well as many of the cures which Christ wrought, come not within the compass of misrepresentation. I mean that it is impossible to assign any position of circumstances however peculiar, any accidental effects however extraordinary, any natural singularity, which could supply an origin or foundation to these accounts.

Having thus enumerated several exceptions, which may justly be taken to relations of miracles, it is necessary when we read the Scriptures, to bear in our minds this general remark; that, although there be miracles recorded in the New Testament, which fall within some or other of the exceptions here assigned, yet that they are united with others, to which none of the same exceptions extend, and that their credibility stands upon this union. Thus the visions and revelations which Saint Paul asserts to have been imparted to him, may not, in their separate evidence, be distinguishable from the visions and revelations which many others have alleged. But here is the difference. Saint Paul's pretensions were attested by external miracles wrought by himself, and by miracles wrought in the cause to which these visions relate; or, to speak more properly, the same historical authority, which informs us of one, informs us of the other. This is not ordinarily true of the visions of enthusiasts, or even of the accounts in which they are contained. Again, some of Christ's own miracles were *momentary*; as the transfiguration, the appearance and voice from Heaven at his baptism, a voice from the clouds on one occasion afterwards

(John, xii. 28), and some others. It is not denied, that the distinction which we have proposed concerning miracles of this species, applies, in diminution of the force of the evidence, as much to these instances as to others. But this is the case, not with all the miracles ascribed to Christ, nor with the greatest part, nor with many. Whatever force therefore there may be in the objection, we have numerous miracles which are free from it; and even these to which it is applicable, are little affected by it in their credit, because there are few who, admitting the rest, will reject *them*. If there be miracles of the New Testament, which come within any of the *other* heads into which we have distributed the objections, the same remark must be repeated. And this is one way, in which the unexampled number and variety of the miracles ascribed to Christ strengthens the credibility of Christianity. For it precludes any solution, or conjecture about a solution, which imagination, or even which experience, might suggest concerning some particular miracles, if considered independently of others. The miracles of Christ were of various kinds*, and performed in great varieties of situation, form, and manner; at Jerusalem, the metropolis of the Jewish nation and religion; in different parts of Judea and Galilee; in cities and villages; in synagogues, in private houses; in the street, in highways; with preparation, as in the case

* Not only healing every species of disease, but turning water into wine (John, ii.); feeding multitudes with a few loaves and fishes (Matt. xiv. 15; Mark, vi. 35; Luke, ix. 12; John, vi. 5); walking on the sea (Matt. xiv. 25); calming a storm (Matt. viii. 26; Luke, viii. 24); a celestial voice at his baptism, and miraculous appearance (Matt. iii. 16; afterwards, John, xii. 28); his transfiguration (Matt. xvii. 1—8; Mark, ix. 2; Luke, ix. 28; 2 Peter, i. 16, 17); raising the dead in three distinct instances (Matt. ix. 18; Mark, v. 22; Luke, viii. 41; Luke, vii. 14; John, xi.).

of Lazarus; by accident, as in the case of the widow's son of Nain; when attended by multitudes, and when alone with the patient; in the midst of his disciples, and in the presence of his enemies; with the common people around him, and before Scribes and Pharisees, and rulers of the synagogues.

I apprehend that, when we remove from the comparison the cases which are fairly disposed of by the observations that have been stated, many cases will not remain. To those which do remain, we apply this final distinction; "that there is not satisfactory evidence, that persons, pretending to be original witnesses of the miracles, passed their lives in labours, dangers, and sufferings, voluntarily undertaken and undergone in attestation of the accounts which they delivered, and properly in consequence of their belief of the truth of those accounts."

CHAPTER II.

BUT they, with whom we argue, have undoubtedly a right to select their own examples. The instances with which Mr. Hume has chosen to confront the miracles of the New Testament, and which, therefore, we are entitled to regard as the strongest which the history of the world could supply to the inquiries of a very acute and learned adversary, are the three following:

I. The cure of a blind and of a lame man of Alexandria, by the emperor Vespasian, as related by Tacitus;

II. The restoration of the limb of an attendant in a Spanish church, as told by cardinal de Retz; and,

III. The cures said to be performed at the tomb of the abbé Paris, in the early part of the present century.

I. The narrative of Tacitus is delivered in these terms: "One of the common people of Alexandria, known to be diseased in his eyes, by the admonition of the god Serapis, whom that superstitious nation worship above all other gods, prostrated himself before the emperor, earnestly imploring from him a remedy for his blindness, and entreating that he would deign to anoint with his spittle his cheeks and the balls of his eyes. Another, diseased in his hand, requested, by the admonition of the same god, that he might be touched by the foot of the emperor. Vespasian at first derided and despised their application; afterwards, when they continued to urge their petitions, he sometimes appeared to dread the imputation of vanity; at other times, by the earnest supplication of the patients, and the persuasion of his flatterers, to be induced to hope for success. At length he commanded an inquiry to be made by the physicians, whether such a blindness and debility were vincible by human aid. The report of the physicians contained various points; that in the one, the power of vision was not destroyed, but would return if the obstacles were removed; that in the other, the diseased joints might be restored, if a healing power were applied; that it was, perhaps, agreeable to the gods to do this; that the emperor was elected by divine assistance; lastly, that the credit of the success would be the emperor's, the ridicule of the disappointment would fall upon the patients. Vespasian believing that every thing was in the power of his fortune, and that nothing was any longer incredible, whilst the multitude, which stood by, eagerly expected the event, with a countenance expressive of joy, executed what he was desired to do.

Immediately the hand was restored to its use, and light returned to the blind man. They who were present relate both these cures, even at this time, when there is nothing to be gained by lying*.”

Now, though Tacitus wrote this account twenty-seven years after the miracle is said to have been performed, and wrote at Rome of what passed at Alexandria, and wrote also from report: and although it does not appear that he had examined the story or that he believed it (but rather the contrary), yet I think his testimony sufficient to prove that such a transaction took place: by which I mean, that the two men in question did apply to Vespasian; that Vespasian did touch the diseased in the manner related; and that a cure was reported to have followed the operation. But the affair labours under a strong and just suspicion, that the whole of it was a concerted imposture brought about by collusion between the patients, the physician, and the emperor. This solution is probable, because there was every thing to suggest, and every thing to facilitate such a scheme. The miracle was calculated to confer honour upon the emperor, and upon the god Serapis. It was achieved in the midst of the emperor's flatterers and followers; in a city, and amongst a populace, beforehand devoted to his interest, and to the worship of the god: where it would have been treason and blasphemy together to have contradicted the fame of the cure, or even to have questioned it. And what is very observable in the account is, that the report of the physicians is just such a report as would have been made of a case, in which no external marks of the disease existed, and which, consequently, was capable of being easily counterfeited; *viz.* that in the first of the patients the

* Tacit. Hist. lib. iv.

organs of vision were not destroyed, that the weakness of the second was in his joints. The strongest circumstance in Tacitus's narration is, that the first patient was, "*notus tabe oculorum*," remarked or notorious for the disease in his eyes. But this was a circumstance which might have found its way into the story in its progress from a distant country, and during an interval of thirty years; or it might be true that the malady of the eyes was notorious, yet that the nature and degree of the disease had never been ascertained; a case by no means uncommon. The emperor's reserve was easily affected; or it is possible he might not be in the secret. There does not seem to be much weight in the observation of Tacitus, that they who were present, continued even then to relate the story when there was nothing to be gained by the lie. It only proves that those who had told the story for many years persisted in it. The state of mind of the witnesses and spectators *at the time*, is the point to be attended to. Still less is there of pertinency in Mr. Hume's eulogium on the cautious and penetrating genius of the historian; for it does not appear that the historian believed it. The terms in which he speaks of Serapis, the deity to whose interposition the miracle was attributed, scarcely suffer us to suppose that Tacitus thought the miracle to be real: "by the admonition of the god Serapis, whom that superstitious nation (*dedita superstitionibus gens*) worship above all other gods." To have brought this supposed miracle within the limits of comparison with the miracles of Christ, it ought to have appeared, that a person of a low and private station, in the midst of enemies, with the whole power of the country opposing him, with every one around him prejudiced or interested against his claims and character, pretended to perform

these cures, and required the spectators, upon the strength of what they saw, to give up their firmest hopes and opinions, and follow *him* through a life of trial and danger; that many were so moved, as to obey his call, at the expense both of every notion in which they had been brought up, and of their ease, safety, and reputation; and that by these beginnings, a change was produced in the world, the effects of which remain to this day: a case, both in its circumstances and consequences, very unlike any thing we find in Tacitus's relation.

II. The story taken from the Memoirs of Cardinal de Retz, which is the second example alleged by Mr. Hume, is this: "In the church of Saragossa in Spain, the canons showed me a man whose business it was to light the lamps; telling me, that he had been several years at the gate with one leg only. I saw him with two*."

It is stated by Mr. Hume, that the cardinal, who relates this story, did not believe it: and it nowhere appears that he either examined the limb, or asked the patient, or indeed any one, a single question about the matter. An artificial leg, wrought with art, would be sufficient, in a place where no such contrivance had ever before been heard of, to give origin and currency to the report. The ecclesiastics of the place would, it is probable, favour the story, inasmuch as it advanced the honour of their image and church. And if *they* patronized it, no other person at Saragossa, in the middle of the last century, would care to dispute it. The story likewise coincided, not less with the wishes and preconceptions of the people, than with the interests of their ecclesiastical rulers: so that there was prejudice backed by authority, and both operating upon

* Liv, iv. A. D. 1654.

extreme ignorance, to account for the success of the imposture. If, as I have suggested, the contrivance of an artificial limb was then new, it would not occur to the cardinal himself to suspect it; especially under the carelessness of mind with which he heard the tale, and the little inclination he felt to scrutinize or expose its fallacy.

III. The miracles related to have been wrought at the tomb of the abbé Paris, admit in general of this solution. The patients who frequented the tomb were so affected by their devotion, their expectation, the place, the solemnity, and, above all, by the sympathy of the surrounding multitude, that many of them were thrown into violent convulsions, which convulsions, in certain instances, produced a removal of disorder, depending upon obstruction. We shall, at this day, have the less difficulty in admitting the above account, because it is the very same thing as hath lately been experienced in the operations of animal magnetism: and the report of the French physicians upon that mysterious remedy is very applicable to the present consideration, *viz.* that the pretenders to the art, by working upon the imaginations of their patients, were frequently able to produce convulsions; that convulsions so produced are amongst the most powerful, but, at the same time, most uncertain and unmanageable applications to the human frame which can be employed.

Circumstances, which indicate this explication in the case of the Parisian miracles, are the following:

1. They were *tentative*. Out of many thousand sick, infirm, and diseased persons, who resorted to the tomb, the professed history of the miracles contains only nine cures.

2. The convulsions at the tomb are admitted.

3. The diseases were, for the most part, of that sort

which depends upon inaction and obstruction, as dropsies, palsies, and some tumours.

4. The cures were gradual; some patients attending many days, some several weeks, and some several months.

5. The cures were many of them incomplete.

6. Others were temporary*.

So that all the wonder we are called upon to account for is, that, out of an almost innumerable multitude which resorted to the tomb for the cure of their complaints, and many of whom were there agitated by strong convulsions, a very small proportion experienced a beneficial change in their constitution, especially in the action of the nerves and glands.

Some of the cases alleged do not require that we should have recourse to this solution. The first case in the catalogue is scarcely distinguishable from the progress of a natural recovery. It was that of a young man, who laboured under an inflammation of one eye, and had lost the sight of the other. The inflamed eye was relieved, but the blindness of the other remained. The inflammation had before been abated by medicine; and the young man, at the time of his attendance at the tomb, was using a lotion of laudanum. And, what is a still more material part of the case, the inflammation after some interval returned. Another case was that of a young man who had lost his sight by the puncture of an awl, and the discharge of the aqueous humour through the wound. The sight, which had been gradually returning, was much improved during his visit to the tomb, that is, probably, in the same degree in which the discharged humour was replaced by fresh

* The reader will find these particulars verified in the detail, by the accurate inquiries of the present bishop of Sarum, in his *Criterion of Miracles*, p. 132, et seq.

secretions. And it is observable, that these two are the only cases which, from their nature, should seem unlikely to be affected by convulsions.

In one material respect I allow that the Parisian miracles were different from those related by Tacitus, and from the Spanish miracle of the cardinal de Retz. They had not, like them, all the power and all the prejudice of the country on their side to begin with. They were alleged by one party against another, by the Jansenists against the Jesuits. These were of course opposed and examined by their adversaries. The consequence of which examination was, that many falsehoods were detected, that with something really extraordinary much fraud appeared to be mixed. And if some of the cases upon which designed misrepresentation could not be charged, were not at the time satisfactorily accounted for, it was because the efficacy of strong spasmodic affections was not then sufficiently known. Finally, the cause of Jansenism did not rise by the miracles, but sunk, although the miracles had the anterior persuasion of all the numerous adherents of that cause to set out with.

These, let us remember, are the strongest examples, which the history of ages supplies. In none of them, was the miracle *unequivocal*; by none of them, were established prejudices and persuasions overthrown; of none of them, did the credit make its way, in opposition to authority and power; by none of them, were many induced to commit themselves, and that in contradiction to prior opinions, to a life of mortification, danger, and sufferings; none were called upon to attest them, at the expense of their fortunes and safety*.

* It may be thought that the historian of the Parisian miracles, M. Montgeron, forms an exception to this last assertion. He pre-

sented his book (with a suspicion, as it should seem, of the danger of what he was doing) to the king; and was shortly afterwards committed to prison; from which he never came out. Had the miracles been unequivocal, and had M. Montgeron been originally convinced by them, I should have allowed this exception. It would have stood, I think, alone, in the argument of our adversaries. But, beside what has been observed of the dubious nature of the miracles, the account which M. Montgeron has himself left of his conversion, shows both the state of his mind, and *that his persuasion was not built upon external miracles.*—"Scarcely had he entered the church-yard, when he was struck," he tells us, "with awe and reverence, having never before heard prayers pronounced with so much ardour and transport as he observed amongst the supplicants at the tomb. Upon this, throwing himself on his knees, resting his elbows on the tomb-stone, and covering his face with his hands, he spake the following prayer. *O thou, by whose intercession so many miracles are said to be performed, if it be true that a part of thee surviveth the grave, and that thou hast influence with the Almighty, have pity on the darkness of my understanding, and through his mercy obtain the removal of it.*" Having prayed thus, "many thoughts," as he sayeth, "began to open themselves to his mind; and so profound was his attention, that he continued on his knees four hours, not in the least disturbed by the vast crowd of surrounding supplicants. During this time, all the arguments which he ever heard or read in favour of Christianity occurred to him with so much force, and seemed so strong and convincing, that he went home fully satisfied of the truth of religion in general, and of the holiness and power of that person, who," as he supposed, "had engaged the Divine Goodness to enlighten his understanding so suddenly." *Douglas's Crit. of Mir. p. 214.*

END OF VOL. I.

LONDON:


PRINTED BY THOMAS DAVISON, WHITEFRIARS.



[The text on this page is extremely faint and illegible due to the quality of the scan. It appears to be a dense block of text, possibly a letter or a page from a book, but no specific words or phrases can be discerned.]







BR Paley, William
75 Works New ed.
P3
1830
v.1

PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE
CARDS OR SLIPS FROM THIS POCKET

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARY

